I provided the heuristic method of AB_custom AB_Custom2, AB_Custom_3, The $\,$

own = len(game.get_legal_moves(player))
 opp = len(game.get_legal_moves(game.get_opponent(player)))
The AB_Improved heuristic is in fact custom_2

The test result as below Round 1

custom_score: own - 1.5*opp custom_2: own - opp custom-3: 1.5*own - opp

		****	****** Playin(*			
Match #	0pponent	AB_Imp Won	oroved Lost	AB_Cu Won	ıstom Lost	AB_Cus	stom_2 Lost	AB_Cus	stom_3 Lost
1	Random	10	0	9	1	10	0	10	0
2	MM_Open	7	3	6	4	4	6	6	4
3	MM_Center	7	3	5	5	6	4	6	4
4	MM_Improved	8	2	7	3	6	4	5	5
5	AB_0pen	5	5	4	6	6	4	5	5
6	AB_Center	2	8	6	4	6	4	6	4
7	AB_Improved	7	3	8	2	5	5	6	4
	Win Rate:	65	. 7%	64.	3%	61	. 4%	62.	. 9%

Round 2

custom_score: own - 2*opp custom_2: own - opp custom-3: 2*own - opp

		****	*****	*****	*****	*			
			Playin	g Match	nes				
		****	*****	*****	*****	*			
Match	# Opponent	AB_Imp	rovea	AB_C	ıstom	AB_Cus	stom_2	AB_Cus	stom_3
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	8	2	10	Θ	9	1	9	1
2	MM_Open	6	4	5	5	7	3	7	3
3	MM_Center	5	5	7	3	9	1	9	1
4	MM_Improved	7	3	6	4	5	5	5	5
5	AB_0pen	5	5	5	5	4	6	5	5
6	AB_Center	6	4	6	4	7	3	6	4
7	AB_Improved	5	5	5	5	4	6	5	5
	Win Rate:	60.	0%	62	. 9%	64	. 3%	65	. 7%

Round 3

custom_score: own - 2.5*opp custom_2: own - opp custom-3: 2.5*own - opp

		****	*****	*****	*****	*			
			Playing	g Match	nes				
		****	*****	*****	*****	*			
Note to	0	4B T		45.0		4B. 0		4B. 0	- t o
Match #	Opponent	AB_Imp	orovea	AB_C	ustom	AB_Cus	stom_2	AB_Cus	stom_3
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	10	Θ	10	0	10	0	10	0
2	MM_Open	7	3	5	5	6	4	5	5
3	MM_Center	8	2	7	3	6	4	8	2
4	MM_Improved	5	5	7	3	7	3	7	3
5	AB_0pen	5	5	6	4	3	7	6	4
6	AB_Center	5	5	6	4	5	5	6	4
7	AB_Improved	3	7	6	4	5	5	3	7
	Win Rate:	61.	4%	67	. 1%	60	. 0%	64	. 3%

Round 4

custom_score: own - 3*opp custom_2: own - opp custom-3: 3*own - opp

		****	***** Playing *****		****** 1es *****	*			
Match #	0pponent	AB_Imp	roved	AB_Cı	ustom	AB_Cus	stom_2	AB_Cus	stom_3
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	9	1	10	0	10	0	8	2
2	MM_Open	5	5	7	3	7	3	8	2
3	MM_Center	8	2	7	3	9	1	8	2
4	MM_Improved	6 j	4	7	3	5	5	7	3
5	AB_Open	7 j	3	2	8	6	4	6	4
6	AB_Center	6 j	4	5	5	6	4	5	5
7	AB_Improved	5 j	5	4	6	6	4	5	5
	Win Rate:	65.	7%	60	. 0%	70.	0%	67	. 1%

Round 5

custom_score: own**2 - opp**2

custom_2: own - opp custom-3: -opp/own

,
om_3
Lost
2
2
3
4
5
4
7
%

Round	Heuristic	AB_improved Win Rate	Win Rate	Gap
	own - 1.5*opp	65.7		
	own - opp	65.7	61.4	
1	1.5*opp-own	65.7	62.9	-2.8
	own - 2*opp	60	62.9	2.9
	own - opp	60	64.3	4.3
2	2*opp-own	60	65.7	
	own - 2.5*opp	61.4	67.1	5.7
	own - opp	61.4	60	-1.4
3	2.5*own – opp	61.4	64.3	2.9
	own – 3*opp	65.7	60	-5.7
	own - opp	65.7	70	4.3
4	3*own – opp	65.7	67.1	1.4
	own**2 – opp**2	65.7	68.7	3
	own – opp	65.7	60	-5.7
5	-opp/own	65.7	61.4	-4.3
	own**2 – opp**2	58.6	64.3	5.7
	own – opp	58.6	64.3	5.7
6	2*own – opp	58.6	64.3	5.7

From round 1~5, I got the win rate gap against the AB_improved, the green shows the top 5 heuristics, Among them, I choose 3 to conduct test round #6.

You can see the data of Round # 6 and # Round 2 are stably outperform the AB_improved.

However, you should discuss a little *why* the results are the way they are. Why do you think your final combination of heuristics performs best of all?

From Round 1 to 5, I was testing the value of t, which varies in (1.5, 2, 2,5, 3), and test it against the AB_Improved. And the final results shows 2 are optimal to my machine and my code.

The reasoning is based on the test data. As for the performance and computational cost, they have been covered by the lecture.

F	Round 6											
			****	****	*****	*****	*					
	Playing Matches											
М	atch #	Opponent	AB_Imp	roved	AB_Cı	ustom	AB_Cus	stom_2	AB_Cus	stom_3		
			Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost		
	1	Random	9	1	9	1	10	0	9	1		
	2	MM_Open	6	4	7	3	6	4	7	3		
	3	MM_Center	7	3	7	3	8	2	7	3		
	4	MM_Improved	6	4	6	4	7	3	6	4		
Γ	5	AB_0pen	5	5	6	4	5	5	5	5		
	6	AB_Center	3	7	6	4	5	5	5	5		
	7	AB_Improved	5	5	4	6	4	6	6	4		
		Win Rate:	58.	6%	64	. 3%	64.	3%	64.	3%		