In []: import numpy as np import pandas as pd from pandas import Series, DataFrame import matplotlib import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns import scipy.stats as st import statsmodels.formula.api as smf import statsmodels.api as sm 1. (a) In []: indicators = pd.read_table("/Users/user/Desktop/Yonsei/Junior/3-2/Introduction to Data Analysis and Regression/Homework/indicators2.txt", sep='\t') indicators2 = indicators[['PriceChange','LoanPaymentsOverdue']] indicators2 Out[]: **PriceChange LoanPaymentsOverdue** 1.2 4.55 **Atlanta Boston** -3.4 3.31 -0.9 2.99 Chicago **Dallas** 8.0 4.26 **Denver** -0.7 3.56 -9.7 Detroit 4.71 4.90 LasVegas -6.1 3.05 LosAngeles -4.8 MiamiFt.Lauderdale -6.4 5.63 MinneapolisStPaul -3.4 3.01 NewYork -3.8 3.29 3.26 Phoenix -7.3 **Portland** 3.8 1.93 SanDiego -7.8 3.45 SanFrancisco -4.1 2.29 1.65 Seattle 6.9 -8.8 4.60 Tampa WashingtonDC 3.14 In []: indicators2['PriceChange'].mean() -3.42777777777778 indicators2['LoanPaymentsOverdue'].mean() 3.53222222222222 len(indicators2.index) Out[]: In []: | SXX = ((indicators2['LoanPaymentsOverdue'] - indicators2['LoanPaymentsOverdue'].mean())**2).sum() 19.16011111111111 In []: SYY = ((indicators2['PriceChange'] - indicators2['PriceChange'].mean())**2).sum() SYY 347.0161111111111 In []: SXY = ((indicators2['LoanPaymentsOverdue'] - indicators2['LoanPaymentsOverdue'].mean())*(indicators2['PriceChange'] - indicators2['PriceChange'].mean())).sum() SXY -43.081888888889 In []: beta1 = SXY / SXX beta1 -2.248519783578152 beta0 = indicators2['PriceChange'].mean() - beta1 * indicators2['LoanPaymentsOverdue'].mean() beta0 4.514493768883272 In []: s = (SYY / (len(indicators2.index)-2))**(1/2)s 4.657092112514465 In []: st.t.ppf(0.975, len(indicators2.index)-2) Out[]: 2.1199052992210112 In []: beta1 - st.t.ppf(0.975, len(indicators2.index)-2) * s / (SXX)**(1/2) -4.503964968807471Out[]: beta1 + st.t.ppf(0.975, len(indicators2.index)-2) * s / (SXX)**(1/2) 0.00692540165116684 Out[]: Thus, the 95% confidence interval is (-4.503964968807471, 0.00692540165116684). In []: Estimate = beta0 + beta1 * 4 Estimate -4.479585365429337 In []: lm model = smf.ols(formula='PriceChange ~ LoanPaymentsOverdue', data=indicators2).fit() lm_model.summary() /Users/user/opt/anaconda3/lib/python3.9/site-packages/scipy/stats/stats.py:1541: UserWarning: kurtosistest only valid for n>=20 ... continuing anyway, n=18 warnings.warn("kurtosistest only valid for n>=20 ... continuing " **OLS Regression Results** Out[]: 0.279 Dep. Variable: PriceChange R-squared: Adj. R-squared: 0.234 Model: Least Squares F-statistic: 6.196 Method: Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2023 Prob (F-statistic): 0.0242 Time: Log-Likelihood: -49.226 12:30:21 No. Observations: 18 102.5 AIC: **Df Residuals:** 16 BIC: 104.2 1 Df Model: **Covariance Type:** nonrobust coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975] 4.5145 1.358 0.193 -2.532 11.561 Intercept 3.324 **LoanPaymentsOverdue** -2.2485 0.903 -2.489 0.024 -4.163 -0.334 **Omnibus:** 2.121 **Durbin-Watson:** 2.009 Prob(Omnibus): 0.346 Jarque-Bera (JB): 1.403 **Skew:** 0.448 **Prob(JB):** 0.496 Kurtosis: 1.966 **Cond. No.** 14.0 Notes: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. In []: lm_model.resid.std() # = residual standard error. 3.8359417252407346 Out[]: (SYY / (len(indicators2.index)-2))**(1/2) / SXX**(1/2) # why is it different to 0.903? 1.0639367645611877 Out[]: Anyway, the confidence interval of beta1 for alpha=0.05 is (-4.163, -0.334), so it has an evidence of significant negative linear regression, whereas R says that the same interval is (-4.504445, 0.006445185), so it doesn't have an evidence of significant negative linear regression. (b) lm model.params 4.514494 Intercept Out[]: LoanPaymentsOverdue -2.248520 dtype: float64 lm_model.predict(DataFrame({"LoanPaymentsOverdue": [4]})) 0 -4.479585 Out[]: dtype: float64 In []: lm_model.predict(DataFrame({"LoanPaymentsOverdue": [4]})) - st.t.ppf(0.975, len(indicators2.index)-2) * 0.903 0 -6.39386 Out[]: dtype: float64 In []: lm_model.predict(DataFrame({"LoanPaymentsOverdue": [4]})) + st.t.ppf(0.975, len(indicators2.index)-2) * 0.903 0 -2.565311 Out[]: dtype: float64 Thus, the 95% confidence interval for beta1 where x=4 is (-6.39386, -2.565311). Therefore, 0% = 0.00 is not a feasible value for E(Y|X=4). 3. (a) Find a 95% confidence interval for the start-up time, i.e. beta0. In []: invoices = pd.read_table("/Users/user/Desktop/Yonsei/Junior/3-2/Introduction to Data Analysis and Regression/Homework/invoices.txt", sep="\t") In []: invoices Out[]: Day Invoices Time 2.1 149 1 2 1.8 60 3 188 2.3 8.0 23 2.7 5 201 6 1.0 58 7 1.7 77 3.1 8 222 2.8 9 181 **9** 10 30 1.0 11 1.5 110 **11** 12 83 1.2 12 13 8.0 60 14 1.0 13 25 2.0 15 173 2.5 **15** 16 169 2.9 16 17 190 18 3.4 233 19 4.1 18 289 20 45 1.2 21 2.5 193 22 1.8 70 3.8 23 22 241 24 1.5 23 103 2.8 25 163 26 120 2.5 25 27 3.3 201 28 135 2.0 29 1.7 28 80 30 29 1.5 29 In []: lm_model_hw2_3 = smf.ols('Time~Invoices', data=invoices).fit() lm_model_hw2_3.summary() **OLS Regression Results** Out[]: 0.872 Dep. Variable: Time R-squared: Model: OLS 0.867 Adj. R-squared: Least Squares Method: F-statistic: 190.4 Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2023 Prob (F-statistic): 5.17e-14 Log-Likelihood: -8.2528 Time: 12:35:12 No. Observations: 30 AIC: 20.51 **Df Residuals:** 28 BIC: 23.31 Df Model: 1 **Covariance Type:** nonrobust coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975] 0.391 **Intercept** 0.6417 0.122 5.248 0.000 0.892 **Invoices** 0.0113 0.001 13.797 0.000 0.010 0.013 **Durbin-Watson:** 1.760 Omnibus: 2.815 0.245 Jarque-Bera (JB): 1.341 Prob(Omnibus): **Prob(JB):** 0.511 **Skew:** -0.042 Kurtosis: 1.968 **Cond. No.** 303. Notes: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. Thus, the 95% confidence interval for beta0 = (0.391, 0.892). (b) Suppose that $eta_1=0.01$. Test the null hypothesis $H_0:eta_1=0.01$ against a two-sided alternative. lm_model_hw2_3.HC3_se.Invoices 0.0007872634462536831 In []: (lm_model_hw2_3.params.Invoices - 0.01) / lm_model_hw2_3.HC3_se.Invoices 1.640675810336413 In []: st.t.ppf(0.975, len(invoices.index)-2) 2.048407141795244 Out[]: Thus, 1.64 < 2.04, so that we cannot reject the null. Therefore, we cannot say that $eta_1=0.01$. (c) Find a point estimate and a 95% prediction interval for the time taken to process 130 invoices. lm_model_hw2_3.predict(DataFrame({"Invoices":[130]})) 2.109624 Out[]: dtype: float64 sxx =((invoices['Invoices'] - invoices['Invoices'].mean())**2).sum() In []: 162366.9666666662 Out[]: In []: $s_hw2_3 = (sum((lm_model_hw2_3.resid)**2) / (len(invoices.index)-2))**(1/2)$ s_hw2_3 0.3297733332485559 In []: lm_model_hw2_3.resid.std() # a little bit different from residual standard error. 0.3240377073104922 Out[]: In []: sel30_hw2_3 = s_hw2_3 * (1 + (1/len(invoices.index)) + (((130 - invoices['Invoices'].mean())**2) / sxx))**(1/2) se130_hw2_3 0.3352245025503466 Out[]: print(lm_model_hw2_3.predict(DataFrame({"Invoices":[130]})) - st.t.ppf(0.975, len(invoices.index)-2) * se130_hw2_3) print(lm_model_hw2_3.predict(DataFrame({"Invoices":[130]})) + st.t.ppf(0.975, len(invoices.index)-2) * se130_hw2_3) 1.422947 dtype: float64 2.7963 dtype: float64 Thus, the point estimate is 2.109624, and 95% prediction interval is (1.422947, 2.7963). In []: sns.lmplot(x='Invoices', y='Time', data=invoices) <seaborn.axisgrid.FacetGrid at 0x7fb7aa108460> Out[]: 4.0 3.5 3.0 9 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 300 100 150 200 250 Invoices In []: