Evolutionary construction of dynamic biochemical systems performing mathematical calculations

Quang Huy Dinh[1], Hitoshi Iba[1], Yannick Rondelez[2]

[1] Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo, Japan
[2] LIMMS/CNRS–IIS, Institute of Industrial Science, The University of Tokyo, Japan

1. Introduction

■ **Goal**: use Evolutionary Computations to design analog dynamic biochemical systems, starting from the desired behavior to the actual sequence and concentration of each chemical compound. Apply to cases where there is no clues about the relevant network structure.

● Generally, *trial-and-error* procedures are applied in parallel with the use of mathematical models → not efficient for optimization and implementation of complex systems.

● The DNA Toolbox [1] – an experimental molecular programming scheme coupled with quantitative mathematical models – could theoretically permit the automation of the full design of biochemical circuits.

● This work uses the combination of an effective technique called ERNe [2] and Differential Evolution to discover feasible and robust solutions to a challenging information processing problem: mathematical calculations – which could be used as filters or integrators in molecular circuits.

● This work also tests the possibility of completely automated function-to-test tube design process.

2. Models and Methods

2.1 Building molecular networks: the PEN DNA Toolbox

(A) Activation link between two species (B) Inhibition of activation links

Oscillator's graphical representation and its equivalent equations [1]

2.2 Searching through topology/parameter space efficiently – ERNe Algorithm

An efficient derivative of the NeuroEvolution of Augmenting Topologies algorithm [3] directed at the evolution of biochemical systems or molecular programs.

● meaningful crossovers between two chemical reaction networks of different topologies.

● preserving topological innovations through speciation.

Generation -1, species 1, fitness: 0 (no oscillation)
Generation 0, species 3, fitness: 0 (no oscillation)
Generation 1, species 4, fitness: 0 (no oscillation)
Generation 3, species 10, fitness: 40.8673 (MSE = 23.0135)
Generation 9, species 17, fitness: 39.5601 (MSE = 25.2426)
Generation 10, species 25, fitness: 12.2585 (MSE = 81.5755)
Generation 100, fitness: 668.1365 (MSE = 1.4967)

Rectangular oscillation evolution and its species visualization.

2.3 Construction of mathematical calculator

Information Encoding:
● Input: Input sequence's initial concentration.
● Output: Output sequence's concentration at stable state.

Target Function

– Initial attempt: Square function
$$output \propto input^2$$
– Input range: from 1μM to 50μM.
– Fitness measured by MSE.

Topologies discovered by ERNe

The discovered topologies clearly shows that there must be an autocatalysis inhibiting the activation from input node to output node.

Minimal topologies obtained by manual pruning

Pruning process:
– Remove irrelevant parts.
– Simplify indirect connections.

Parameters optimized by Differential Evolution

Usually, after pruning, fitness reduces significantly. We keep the topologies, and have their parameters optimized by Differential Evolution.

Robustness Evaluation

5000 tests: multiplicative noise is given to sequences' kinetic parameters.

Plot of multiplicative noise given to sequence b and lab, and corresponding fitness

Successful tests: 12 (0.24%)		
	Sequence	Acceptable standard deviation
	a	±20.08%
	b	±14.93%
	d	±14.49%
	lbb	±19.54%
	ldd	±15.06%

Successful tests: 2137 (42.74%)		
	Sequence	Acceptable standard deviation
	a	±11.21%
	b	±18.44%
	d	±16.73%
	lab	±16.08%
	ldd	±20.18%

Successful tests: 385 (7.7%)		
	Sequence	Acceptable standard deviation
	a	±13.72%
	b	±14.96%
	f	±4.21%
	lab	±16.10%

| Successful tests: 2067 (41.34%)		
	Sequence	Acceptable standard deviation
	a	±19.56%
	b	±16.30%
	d	±19.43%
	lab	±13.85%

BEST

Take the sequences to test tube (on progress)

3. Ongoing works

Gaussian function (log scale)

$$output \propto e^{-(\log(input)-2)^2/1}$$

Two–input functions

$$output \propto input1 \times input2$$

$$output \propto input1/input2$$

References

[1] Montagne, Kevin, *et al.* "Programming an in vitro DNA oscillator using a molecular networking strategy." Molecular systems biology, 7(466), 2011.

[2] Quang Huy, Dinh, *et al.* "An Effective Method for Evolving Reaction Networks in Synthetic Biochemical Systems." IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computations. (in press).

[3] Kenneth O., Stanley and Risto Miikkulainen. "Evolving neural networks through augmenting topologies." Evolutionary Computation, 10(2), 2002.