

A REVIEW OF SOME LINEAR ALGEBRA

By using these notes you agree not to distribute them:
they are solely for your personal use.

We consider a 2×2 matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

We will assume throughout that $A \neq 0$. Associated to the matrix A are two important quantities, the *trace*

$$\operatorname{tr} A = a + d$$

and the *determinant*

$$\det A = ad - bc.$$

We say that the columns \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} of A are *linearly dependent* if there exist constants q, p , not both zero, so that

$$q\mathbf{v} + p\mathbf{w} = 0.$$

We say the columns are *linearly independent* if they are not linearly dependent. We then have the following:

Lemma 1. *The determinant $\det A \neq 0$ if and only if the columns of A are linearly independent.*

Proof. The columns of A are linearly dependent if and only if there exist constants q, p , not both zero, so that

$$q \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} + p \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $q \neq 0$. Then the columns are linearly dependent if and only if

$$\det A = ad - bc = \left(-\frac{p}{q}b\right)d - b\left(-\frac{p}{q}d\right) = 0.$$

□

If $\det A \neq 0$, we say that the matrix A is *invertible*. The unique inverse matrix is given by

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix},$$

and satisfies

$$AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = I,$$

where the *identity matrix*

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfies

$$AI = IA = A \quad \text{for any matrix } A.$$

We say that a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is an *eigenvalue* of A if

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0.$$

Expanding the determinant and applying the quadratic formula, we see that¹

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tau \pm \sqrt{\tau^2 - 4\Delta} \right) \quad \text{where } \tau = \operatorname{tr} A \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta = \det A.$$

In particular, taking λ to correspond to the choice of “+” and μ to correspond to the choice of “−” (note that $\lambda = \mu$ if $\tau^2 = 4\Delta$) we have

$$\operatorname{tr} A = \lambda + \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \det A = \lambda\mu.$$

We say that a non-zero vector \mathbf{v} is an *eigenvector* of A associated to the eigenvalue λ if

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}.$$

We then recall the following:

Lemma 2. *If λ is an eigenvalue of A then there is at least one eigenvector \mathbf{v} associated to λ .*

Proof. If $A = \lambda I$ then any non-zero choice of \mathbf{v} will suffice. Otherwise, suppose that

$$A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix}.$$

As the determinant of this matrix vanishes, we may apply Lemma 1 to find constants q, p , not both zero, so that

$$q \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} + p \begin{bmatrix} y \\ w \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

We may then take $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix}$. □

Our main application of linear algebra in this class will be to reduce any real 2×2 matrix to one of three *real canonical forms*. Precisely, we have the following:

Theorem 3. *Given any real 2×2 matrix A , there exists a real invertible matrix P so that*

$$A = PMP^{-1},$$

and M is one of the real canonical forms

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 1 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\lambda \geq \mu$ and $\beta > 0$.

Proof. We first note that if $A = 0$ then we may take $P = I$ and to reduce to the first real canonical form with $\lambda = \mu = 0$. For $A \neq 0$, we divide into several cases depending on the trace $\tau = \operatorname{tr} A$ and determinant $\Delta = \det A$.

Case 1: $\tau^2 > 4\Delta$. Here we have two distinct eigenvalues

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 4\Delta} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tau - \sqrt{\tau^2 - 4\Delta} \right),$$

¹We have to be a little careful about how we define the square root if $(\tau^2 - 4\Delta) \notin [0, \infty)$. We will be deliberately ambiguous here and defer this discussion to classes on complex analysis.

which satisfy $\lambda > \mu$. Applying Lemma 2, we may find an eigenvector \mathbf{v} associated to λ and an eigenvector \mathbf{w} associated to μ . If we can show that \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are linearly independent then the matrix $P = [\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{w}]$ reduces A to the first real canonical form.

Now, suppose for a contradiction that \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} were linearly dependent. Then we can find constants q, p , not both zero, so that

$$q\mathbf{v} + p\mathbf{w} = 0.$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $q \neq 0$. Applying A to this expression and recalling that \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} are eigenvectors yields

$$\lambda q\mathbf{v} + \mu p\mathbf{w} = 0.$$

Using that $p\mathbf{w} = -q\mathbf{v}$, we then obtain

$$(\lambda - \mu)q\mathbf{v} = 0,$$

which is a contradiction as $\lambda \neq \mu$, $q \neq 0$, and $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$.

Case 2: $\tau^2 = 4\Delta$. Here we have a repeated eigenvalue $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\tau$.

If there exists two linearly independent vectors \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} associated to σ then, as any vector can be written as a linear combination of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} we have $A\mathbf{x} = \sigma\mathbf{x}$ for all vectors \mathbf{x} . Consequently, $A = \sigma I$ and we may take $P = I$ to reduce to the first canonical form with $\lambda = \mu = \sigma$.

If $A \neq \sigma I$ then, as in the proof of Lemma 2 we may write

$$A - \sigma I = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & -x \end{bmatrix},$$

where we have used that $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\tau$ to simplify the diagonal entries. Moreover, as the determinant

$$0 = \det(A - \sigma I) = -x^2 - yz,$$

and $A - \sigma I \neq 0$, none of x, y, z can be zero. As the columns are linearly dependent, there exist constants q, p , not both zero, so that

$$q \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} + p \begin{bmatrix} y \\ -x \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

As none of x, y, z vanish, we must have that $q, p \neq 0$. As before, we may take our eigenvector to be $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} q \\ p \end{bmatrix}$. We may then take our generalized eigenvector, which solves $(A - \sigma I)\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}$ to be

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ -x + \frac{q}{y} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking $P = [\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{w}]$, we may readily verify that

$$\det P = \frac{qp}{x} \neq 0,$$

so P is indeed invertible. It is then straightforward to verify that conjugation by P reduces A to the second real canonical form.

Case 3: $\tau^2 < 4\Delta$. Taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\tau$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4\Delta - \tau^2}$, we see that the two eigenvalues are $\alpha \pm i\beta$. Applying Lemma 2, we may find an eigenvector $\mathbf{v} + i\mathbf{w}$ associated to the eigenvalue $\alpha + i\beta$, where both \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} are real vectors. Note that, as A is real-valued, the eigenvector $\mathbf{v} - i\mathbf{w}$ is associated to the eigenvalue $\alpha - i\beta$.

Arguing precisely as in Case 1, we may show that the vectors $\mathbf{v} \pm i\mathbf{w}$ are linearly independent. Consequently,

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v} + i\mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v} - i\mathbf{w}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{2i}(\mathbf{v} + i\mathbf{w}) - \frac{1}{2i}(\mathbf{v} - i\mathbf{w}),$$

are linearly independent. We may then take $P = [\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{w}]$ to reduce to the third real canonical form.

□

We note that the proof of our theorem gives us a construction of the matrix P . We demonstrate this fact with several examples of this decomposition:

Example 4. Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We compute that

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda - 5)(\lambda + 1).$$

For the eigenvalue $\lambda = 5$ we have

$$A - 5I = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 2 \\ 4 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$

so we may take the corresponding eigenvector to be $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$. For the eigenvalue $\lambda = -1$ we have

$$A + I = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

so we may take the corresponding eigenvector to be $\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. We then take the matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

to obtain

$$A = P \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

Example 5. Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We compute that

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda + 1)^2.$$

Computing that

$$A + I = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

we see that an eigenvector $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$. A corresponding generalized eigenvector is

then $\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. We then take

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

to obtain

$$A = P \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

Example 6. Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ -5 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We compute that

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 2,$$

and hence

$$\lambda = 1 \pm i.$$

To find the eigenvector(s), we consider

$$A - (1 + i)I = \begin{bmatrix} 3 - i & 2 \\ -5 & -3 - i \end{bmatrix},$$

so we may take $\mathbf{v} + i\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} + i \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Taking our matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

we then have

$$A = P \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}.$$