**XROSS – X-Ray Optics Simulation Software**

**Summary**

We present XROSS, a Python-based X-ray optics simulator designed for evaluating of

nanoscale thin films. XROSS provides a user-friendly interface that integrates (i) calculation

of optical properties and X-ray reflectivity (XRR), (ii) particle swarm optimization

(PSO)-assisted XRR analysis, and (iii) deposition process optimization via surface growth

models. Our key contribution is the high-precision estimation of thin film density that

governs the complex refractive index in the X-ray regime. In this study, we use black-box

optimization with the Optuna library, achieving half runtime XRR fitting than conventional

PSO. We also apply Optuna to deposition process optimization for simulating thin film

roughness and density based on statistical physics; the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation

and the solid-on-solid (SOS) model. These simulations improve the prediction of thin film

density by fitting the density scan from surface growth and complex refractive index from

reflectivity.

**Statement of need**

X-ray analysis software requires user-friendly interface, short-time analysis, and precise calculations for nanoscale structures. We have developed XROSS as a successor to the X-ray reflectivity simulator IMD (D. L. Windt, 1998), and users can freely use without programming knowledge. XROSS simulates optical properties of multilayer films based on the Parratt model (L. G. Parratt, 1954), and it can also evaluate new parameters of X-ray multilayer, such as an effective reflection plane (E. Van Setten et al., 2020). X-ray reflectivity (XRR) analysis needs to minimize non-convex and non-linear objective functions, but gradient calculation is difficult because analytical expressions for hierarchy structures with reflectivity. X-Ray Calc 3 (O. V. Penkov et al., 2024) have applied the particle swarm optimization (PSO) for XRR analysis, and search for local optima. However, the PSO depends on number of trials and takes a long time. In this study, we introduce Optuna (T. Akiba et al., 2019) which use Bayesian and gradient-free black-box optimization for shorttime converge. Optuna requires lower computer resources for calculating parameter ranges and scales (thickness, density, and roughness). We propose an optimal deposition simulation of surface roughness and scattered light intensity, based on the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation and the solid-on-solid (SOS) model. Using experimental data with Optuna, the coefficients of the KPZ equation terms are updated and improve simulation accuracy of roughness. It aims to predict deposition feasibility close to fitting models to experiment by statistical trends.

**Usage**

XROSS user interface is built by the Python library tkinter (F. Lundh, 1999), and structure　models are built by “Layer” and “Subroutine” enabling to set several parameters in the　XROSS window: refractive index, extinction coefficient, thickness, density, and roughness.　Prepared models can save them as csv files. The log window from the main window displays　a message when commands are executed and save file history in the text file. The EUV　optics window calling from the main window, and we can simulate a reflectivity at an　arbitrary wavelength. XROSS has proven a calculation for beyond EUV multilayers at 6.7　nm wavelength (N. Hayase et al., 2024). The XRR analysis window and XROSS requires an　evaluated model and XRR experimental data (.xrdml file). When “Run” is executed, a fitting　curve is draw in a graph and the degree of agreement between the fitting curves is　determined by the chi-square value. The coefficients of the fitting curve in simulations are　output as csv files. The number of trials can be set and repeated for the minimization until　the chi-square becomes saturated. In the deposition window, we assume the solid-on solid　model which particles are flight and attach at random to lattice points in a plane and output　analytical values by the KPZ equation. We show the surface growth model in 2+1　dimensions by material parameters: roughness, surface energy, and crystallinity as　deposition process.
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