

Research Note

Seong-hun Kim

August 16, 2018

Introduction

Composite adaptive control combines *direct* and *indirect* schemes of adaptive control [6]. The purpose is

- to obtain the global asymptotic stability of *both* tracking and parameter estimation errors with proper exciting conditions and a matching condition, or
- to simply improve the tracking performance, where the matching condition or the exciting conditions are not satisfied.

FUNDAMENTAL IDEA is to exploit a current estimation of the parameter estimation error. Consider¹

$$\dot{e}(t) = Ae(t) + B(u(t) + \Delta(t)).$$

¹ We define $\Delta(t) = W^{*T}\phi(t) + \varepsilon(t)$.

Convert this system into²

$$y(t) = W^{*T}\phi(t) + \varepsilon(t), \quad (1)$$

² Sometimes we filter the system as

$$y_f = W^{*T}\phi_f(t) + \varepsilon_f(t),$$

where $y(t)$ is measured using

$$y(t) = B^T(\dot{e}(t) - Ae(t)) - u(t).$$

Observation 1.

1. equation (1) is merely a linear regression form, and
2. almost all composite adaptive control schemes [6, 2, 3] use the update law of standard least square regression, which are represented by

$$\dot{W}(t) = \Gamma_1 \phi(t) e^T P B - \int_0^t c(t, \tau) \phi(\tau) \varepsilon^T(t, \tau) d\tau, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\varepsilon(t, \tau) = W^T(t) \phi(\tau) - y(\tau).$$

Observation 2. Consider $c(t, \tau)$ in equation (2),

1. **Standard Least Square Update [9]:** If $c(t, \tau) = \delta(t - \tau)$ where δ is a Dirac delta function, then the update law is a standard least square form, which requires the PE condition for exponential convergence.

2. **Concurrent Learning [3]:** If $c(t, \tau) = \sum_{i=1}^p \delta(t_i - \tau)$ for $0 \leq t_i \leq t$, then the update law is a concurrent learning form, which requires the exciting over finite interval condition.
3. **Y. Pan [8] and N. Cho [2]:** If $c(t, \tau) = \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^{t_i} k(\nu) d\nu\right)$ for $t_0 \leq t_i \leq t$, then the update law is the form suggested in, which requires the IE or FE condition.

Motivation

- **Without the PE Condition:** The standard least square update is valid only with the PE condition.
- **Time-Varying Parameters:** Concurrent learning, Y. Pan and N. Cho's algorithms are not suited for time-varying parameter estimation, as it can be stuck in the past time where the minimum singular/eigenvalue are dominant.
- **Stochastic Estimation:** The standard least square update can deal with the stochastic estimation³ only when the PE condition is satisfied. Concurrent learning and its variations are sensitive to such noises, as the history stack algorithms are heavily dependent on the singular values.
- **Smooth Estimation:** Parameter estimation in concurrent learning, Y. Pan and N. Cho's algorithms are not smooth, as the update is piecewise constant in time.

³ $\varepsilon(t)$ is a random variable

Preliminaries

Theorem 1 (Weyl, see [4]). Let A and B be n -by- n Hermitian matrix and let the respective eigenvalues of A , B , and $A + B$ be $\{\lambda_i(A)\}_{i=1}^n$, $\{\lambda_i(B)\}_{i=1}^n$, and $\{\lambda_i(A + B)\}_{i=1}^n$, ordered algebraically as $\lambda_{\max} = \lambda_n \geq \lambda_{n-1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 = \lambda_{\min}$. Then,

$$\lambda_i(A + B) \leq \lambda_{i+j}(A) + \lambda_{n-j}(B), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n - i$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Also,

$$\lambda_{i-j+1}(A) + \lambda_j(B) \leq \lambda_i(A + B), \quad j = 1, \dots, i$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Definition 1 (Additive spread, see [7]). Let A be n -by- n matrix and let the eigenvalues of A be $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$. The *additive spread* is defined as

$$\text{ads } A = \max_{i,j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|.$$

Corollary 1 (Merikoski, see [7]). *Let A and B be Hermitian n -by- n matrices. Then,*

$$\text{ads}(A + B) \leq \text{ads } A + \text{ads } B$$

Theorem 2 (Bhatia, see [1]). *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be compact operators. Then for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we have*

$$2s_j(A^*B) \leq s_j(AA^* + BB^*)$$

where $s_j(A)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ denote the singular values of A in increasing order.

Problem Formulation

In (2), let

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = - \int_0^t c(t, \tau) \phi(\tau) \phi^T(\tau) d\tau,$$

whose derivative is

$$\dot{\mathcal{A}}(t) = - \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} c(t, \tau) \phi(\tau) \phi^T(\tau) d\tau - c(t, t) \phi(t) \phi^T(t), \quad \mathcal{A}(0) = 0.$$

To deliberately consider a forgetting factor, let $c(t, \tau)$ be

$$c(t, \tau) = \beta(\tau) \exp\left(- \int_\tau^t \alpha(v) dv\right),$$

for $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which leads to

$$\dot{\mathcal{A}}(t) = -\alpha(t)\mathcal{A}(t) - \beta(t)\phi(t)\phi^T(t), \quad \mathcal{A}(0) = 0.$$

Note that there are no constraints for α and β , if they guarantee the BIBO stability.⁴

⁴ Need references

With slight abuse of notations for simplicity, the discrete counterpart can be written as

$$A^{k+1} = a_k A^k + b_k v_k v_k^T, \quad A^0 = 0, \quad (3)$$

for $a_k > 0$, $b_k \in \mathbb{R}$, where $A^k := \mathcal{A}(k\Delta t)$, and $v_k := \phi(k\Delta t)$.

THE PURPOSE is to design a_k and b_k

1. to increase the minimum eigenvalue of A^k as k increases, and
2. to bound, simultaneously, the maximum eigenvalue of A^k .

for given v_k at each step k .

Theorem 3 (Ipsen, see [5]). *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be Hermitian, $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$.*

1. (smallest eigenvalue). Let

$$L_{\pm} := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2(A) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1(A) \end{pmatrix} \pm \begin{pmatrix} \|y_{2:n}\| \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \|y_{2:n}\| & \bar{y}_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U_{\pm} := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2(A) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1(A) \end{pmatrix} \pm \begin{pmatrix} y_2 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}_2 & \bar{y}_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\lambda_{\min}(L_{\pm}) \leq \lambda_{\min}(A \pm yy^*) \leq \lambda_{\min}(U_{\pm}),$$

where

$$\lambda_{\min}(A) \leq \lambda_{\min}(L_+) \leq \lambda_{\min}(U_+) \leq \lambda_2(A),$$

$$\lambda_{\min}(A) - \|y\|^2 \leq \lambda_{\min}(L_-) \leq \lambda_{\min}(U_-) \leq \lambda_{\min}(A).$$

2. (largest eigenvalue). Let

$$L_{\pm} := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_n(A) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n-1}(A) \end{pmatrix} \pm \begin{pmatrix} \|y_n\| \\ y_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}_n & \bar{y}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U_{\pm} := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_n(A) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n-1}(A) \end{pmatrix} \pm \begin{pmatrix} y_n \\ \|y_{1:n-1}\| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}_n & \|y_{1:n-1}\| \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\lambda_{\max}(L_{\pm}) \leq \lambda_{\max}(A \pm yy^*) \leq \lambda_{\max}(U_{\pm}),$$

where

$$\lambda_{\max}(A) \leq \lambda_{\max}(L_+) \leq \lambda_{\max}(U_+) \leq \lambda_{\max}(A) + \|y\|^2,$$

$$\lambda_{n-1}(A) \leq \lambda_{\max}(L_-) \leq \lambda_{\max}(U_-) \leq \lambda_{\max}(A).$$

Main Results

Let A be an n -by- n positive semidefinite matrix, and v be an n -dimensional real vector, and $\{\lambda_i(\cdot)\}$ be the eigenvalues of (\cdot) ordered algebraically as $\lambda_{\max} = \lambda_n \geq \lambda_{n-1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 = \lambda_{\min}$.

Let Λ and V be the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of A , and the corresponding matrix of eigenvectors, i.e.

$$A = V\Lambda V^T.$$

Also, let

$$A' = aA + bvv^T,$$

which is an abbreviated form of (3).

Now, we want to derive an algorithm that

1. increases the minimum eigenvalue,

$$\lambda_1(A') \geq \lambda_1(A),$$

2. and bounding the maximum eigenvalue as

$$\lambda_{\max}(A') \leq \lambda_{\max}(A).$$

Main Results

Lemma 1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be Hermitian, $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and $A' := aA + bv v^T$ for $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$\lambda_{\min}(A') \geq a\lambda_1(A) + \frac{1}{2} \left(a \operatorname{gap}_1(A) + b\|v\|^2 - \sqrt{\left(a \operatorname{gap}_1(A) + b\|v\|^2 \right)^2 - 4ab \operatorname{gap}_1(A)|v_1|^2} \right), \quad (4)$$

and

$$\lambda_{\max}(A') \leq a\lambda_n(A) + \frac{1}{2} \left(-a \operatorname{gap}_n(A) + b\|v\|^2 + \sqrt{\left(-a \operatorname{gap}_n(A) + b\|v\|^2 \right)^2 - 4ab \operatorname{gap}_n(A)|v_{1:n-1}|^2} \right), \quad (5)$$

Proof. With the fact that $\lambda_i(aA) = a\lambda_i(A)$, and $\operatorname{gap}_i(aA) = a \operatorname{gap}_i(A)$, the proof directly follows Theorem 2.1, and Corollary 2.2 of [5]. \square

For simplicity, we abbreviate $\lambda_i := \lambda_i(A)$, and $\operatorname{gap}_i := \operatorname{gap}_i(A)$. Define a function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that

$$f(a, b) := a\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(a \operatorname{gap}_1 + b\|v\|^2 - \sqrt{\left(a \operatorname{gap}_1 + b\|v\|^2 \right)^2 - 4ab \operatorname{gap}_1 |v_1|^2} \right). \quad (6)$$

Lemma 2. $f(a, b)$ is a monotonically increasing function for each $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, given a ,

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} f(a, b) = a \left(\lambda_1(A) + \operatorname{gap}_1(A) \frac{|v_1|^2}{\|v\|^2} \right).$$

Lemma 3. Suppose that there exist $a, b \geq 0$, such that $f(a, b) \geq \lambda_1(A)$. Then

$$a \geq \frac{\lambda_1(A)}{\lambda_2(A)}. \quad (7)$$

Given $A \in \mathbb{S}^{n \times n}$, and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $f_1 : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be

$$f_1(a, b; c) := a^2 + \frac{k_1\|v\|^2}{\lambda_1} ab - \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} ac - \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} bc + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} c^2,$$

where

$$a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} b - \frac{2c}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \geq 0, \\ \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \leq k_1 := \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{|v_1|^2}{\|v\|^2} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right) \leq 1.$$

Also, let $f_n : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f_n(a, b; c) := a^2 + \frac{k_n\|v\|^2}{\lambda_n} ab - \frac{\lambda_n + \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n-1}} ac - \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n-1}} bc + \frac{1}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n-1}} c^2,$$

where

$$a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_n + \lambda_{n-1}}b - \frac{2c}{\lambda_n + \lambda_{n-1}} \leq 0,$$

$$1 \leq k_n := \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}} - \frac{|v_n|^2}{\|v\|^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}}.$$

Theorem 4. 1. Suppose that there exist $a > 0, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f_1(a, b; c) \geq 0$. Then, $\lambda_{\min}(aA + bvv^T) \geq c$.

2. Also, if $f_n(a, b; c) \geq 0$, then, $\lambda_{\max}(aA + bvv^T) \leq c$.

Proof. The proof is direct result from Lemma 1, □

Remark 1. 1. If $\text{gap}_1 = 0$, then $k_1 = 1$, and $f_1(a, b, c) \geq 0$ reads

$$f_1(a, b; c) = \left(a - \frac{c}{\lambda_1} \right) \left(a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_1}b - \frac{c}{\lambda_1} \right) \geq 0,$$

$$a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{2\lambda_1}b - \frac{c}{\lambda_1} \geq 0.$$

2. If $\text{gap}_n = 0$, then $k_n = 1$, and $f_n(a, b, c) \geq 0$ reads

$$f_n(a, b; c) = \left(a - \frac{c}{\lambda_n} \right) \left(a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_n}b - \frac{c}{\lambda_n} \right) \geq 0,$$

$$a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{2\lambda_n}b - \frac{c}{\lambda_n} \leq 0.$$

Remark 2. Note that $f_1(c_1/\lambda_1, 0; c_1) = f_n(c_n/\lambda_n, 0; c_n) = 0$ for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 4. Let $A \in \mathbb{S}^{n \times n}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, for all $c_1, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{c_1}{\lambda_1} \leq \frac{c_n}{\lambda_n},$$

there exist $a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $c_1 \leq \lambda_i(aA + bvv^T) \leq c_2$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Theorem 5. Let $A \in \mathbb{S}^{n \times n}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that

$$\frac{|v_1|^2}{\lambda_{\min}(A)} \neq \frac{|v_n|^2}{\lambda_{\max}(A)}, \quad (8)$$

$$\text{gap}_1, \text{gap}_n \neq 0. \quad (9)$$

Then, there exist $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$c_1 < \lambda_i(aA + bvv^T) < c_n,$$

for all c_1, c_2 such that $c_1/\lambda_1 \leq c_n/\lambda_n$.

Proof. Note that $f_1(c_1/\lambda_1, 0; c_1) = f_n(c_n/\lambda_n, 0; c_n) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f_1(c_1/\lambda_1, 0; c_1) &= \frac{c_1 \mathbf{gap}_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{|v_1|^2}{\lambda_1} \end{bmatrix}^T, \\ \nabla f_n(c_n/\lambda_n, 0; c_n) &= -\frac{c_n \mathbf{gap}_n}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n-1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{|v_n|^2}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix}^T.\end{aligned}$$

Since $f_1(a, b; c_1) = 0$ and $f_n(a, b; c_n) = 0$ intersect the point $(a, b) = (c_1/\lambda_1, 0)$, and $(a, b) = (c_n/\lambda_n, 0)$, respectively, there exists a region $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying both $f_1(a, b; c_1) > 0$ and $f_n(a, b; c_n) > 0$ for $(a, b) \in \mathcal{D}$, if only

$$\nabla f_1(c_1/\lambda_1, 0; c_1) \times \nabla f_n(c_n/\lambda_n, 0; c_n) \neq 0,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Analytic Solution

For $\lambda_{\min}(A') \geq \lambda_1$ for all v , the following conditions are necessary:

$$\left((a\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)|v_1|^2 - (1-a)\lambda_1\|v_{2:n}\|^2 \right) b - (1-a)(a\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\lambda_1 \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

$$a = 1, \quad \forall v \quad \text{s.t.} \quad v_1 = 0. \quad (11)$$

asf

$$\begin{aligned}\left(a \text{ads}_1 + b\|v\|^2 \right)^2 - 4ab \text{ads}_1 |v_1|^2 &\leq \left(\left(a \text{ads}_1 + b\|v\|^2 \right) - 2(1-a)\lambda_1 \right)^2 \\ -4ab \text{ads}_1 |v_1|^2 &\leq -4(1-a)\lambda_1 \left(a \text{ads}_1 + b\|v\|^2 \right) + 4(1-a)^2 \lambda_1^2 \\ \left(a \text{ads}_1 |v_1|^2 - (1-a)\lambda_1\|v\|^2 \right) b &- (1-a)\lambda_1 \text{ads}_1 a + (1-a)^2 \lambda_1^2 \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Rajendra Bhatia and Fuad Kittaneh. On the Singular Values of a Product of Operators. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 11(2):272–277, April 1990.
- [2] N. Cho, H. Shin, Y. Kim, and A. Tsourdos. Composite Model Reference Adaptive Control with Parameter Convergence Under Finite Excitation. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 63(3):811–818, March 2018.
- [3] Girish Chowdhary, Maximilian Mühlegg, and Eric Johnson. Exponential parameter and tracking error convergence guarantees for adaptive controllers without persistency of excitation. *International Journal of Control*, 87(8):1583–1603, August 2014.

- [4] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 2 edition edition, October 2012.
- [5] I. C. F. Ipsen and B. Nadler. Refined Perturbation Bounds for Eigenvalues of Hermitian and Non-Hermitian Matrices. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 31(1):40–53, January 2009.
- [6] E. Lavretsky. Combined/Composite Model Reference Adaptive Control. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 54(11):2692–2697, November 2009.
- [7] Jorma K Merikoski and Ravinder Kumar. Inequalities For Spreads Of Matrix Sums And Products. *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, 4:150–159, 2004.
- [8] Yongping Pan and Haoyong Yu. Composite learning robot control with guaranteed parameter convergence. *Automatica*, 89:398–406, March 2018.
- [9] Jean-Jacques Slotine and Weiping Li. *Applied Nonlinear Control*. Pearson, Englewood Cliffs, N.J, 1991.