Research Note

Seong-hun Kim

August 19, 2018

Introduction

Composite adaptive control combines *direct* and *indirect* schemes of adaptive control [6]. The purpose is

- to obtain the global asymptotic stability of *both* tracking and parameter estimation errors with proper exciting conditions and a matching condition, or
- to simply improve the tracking performance, where the matching condition or the exciting conditions are not satisfied.

Fundamental idea is to exploit a current estimation of the parameter estimation error. Consider¹

¹ We define
$$\Delta(t) = W^{*T}\phi(t) + \varepsilon(t)$$
.

$$\dot{e}(t) = Ae(t) + B(u(t) + \Delta(t)).$$

Convert this system into²

$$y(t) = W^{*T}\phi(t) + \varepsilon(t), \tag{1}$$

² Sometimes we filter the system as

$$y_f = W^{*T} \phi_f(t) + \varepsilon_f(t),$$

where y(t) is measured using

$$y(t) = B^{\dagger}(\dot{e}(t) - Ae(t)) - u(t).$$

Observation 1.

- 1. equation (1) is merely a linear regression form, and
- 2. almost all composite adaptive control schemes [6, 2, 3] use the update law of standard least square regression, which are represented by

$$\dot{W}(t) = \Gamma_1 \phi(t) e^T P B - \int_0^t c(t, \tau) \phi(\tau) \epsilon^T(t, \tau) d\tau, \qquad (2)$$

where

$$\epsilon(t,\tau) = W^T(t)\phi(\tau) - y(\tau).$$

Observation 2. Consider $c(t, \tau)$ in equation (2),

1. **Standard Least Square Update [9]:** If $c(t,\tau) = \delta(t-\tau)$ where δ is a Dirac delta function, then the update law is a standard least square form, which requires the PE condition for exponential convergence.

- 2. Concurrent Learning [3]: If $c(t,\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \delta(t_i \tau)$ for $0 \le t_i \le t$, then the update law is a concurrent learning form, which requires the exciting over finite interval condition.
- 3. Y. Pan [8] and N. Cho [2]: If $c(t,\tau) = \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^{t_i} k(\nu) d\nu\right)$ for $t_0 \le t_i \le t$, then the update law is the form suggested in, which requires the IE or FE condition.

Motivation

- Without the PE Condition: The standard least square update is valid only with the PE condition.
- Time-Varying Parameters: Concurrent learning, Y. Pan and N. Cho's algorithms are not suited for time-varying parameter estimation, as it can be stuck in the past time where the minimum singular/eigenvalue are dominant.
- Stochastic Estimation: The standard least square update can deal with the stochastic estimation³ only when the PE condition is satisfied. Concurrent learning and its variations are sensitive to such noises, as the history stack algorithms are heavily dependent on the singular values.
- Smooth Estimation: Parameter estimation in concurrent learning, Y. Pan and N. Cho's algorithms are not smooth, as the update is piecewise constant in time.

Preliminaries

Theorem 1 (Weyl, see [4]). Let A and B be n-by-n Hermitian matrix and let the respective eigenvalues of A, B, and A + B be $\{\lambda_i(A)\}_{i=1}^n$, $\{\lambda_i(B)\}_{i=1}^n$, and $\{\lambda_i(A+B)\}_{i=1}^n$, ordered algebraically as $\lambda_{\max}=\lambda_n\geq$ $\lambda_{n-1} \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_1 = \lambda_{\min}$. Then,

$$\lambda_i(A+B) \leq \lambda_{i+j}(A) + \lambda_{n-j}(B), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-i$$

for each i = 1, ..., n. Also,

$$\lambda_{i-j+1}(A) + \lambda_j(B) \le \lambda_i(A+B), \quad j=1,\ldots,i$$

for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Definition 1 (Additive spread, see [7]). Let *A* be n-by-n matrix and let the eigenvalues of A be $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$. The additive spread is defined as

$$ads A = \max_{i,j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|.$$

 $^{3}\varepsilon(t)$ is a random variable

Corollary 1 (Merikoski, see [7]). *Let A and B be Hermitian n-by-n* matrices. Then,

$$ads(A + B) \le ads A + ads$$

Theorem 2 (Bhatia, see [1]). Let A, $B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be compact operators. Then for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, we have

$$2s_i(A^*B) \le s_i(AA^* + BB^*)$$

where $s_i(A)$, j = 1, 2, ... denote the singular values of A in increasing order.

Problem Formulation

In (2), let

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = -\int_0^t c(t,\tau)\phi(\tau)\phi^T(\tau) d\tau,$$

whose derivative is

$$\dot{\mathcal{A}}(t) = -\int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} c(t,\tau) \phi(\tau) \phi^T(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau - c(t,t) \phi(t) \phi^T(t), \quad \mathcal{A}(0) = 0.$$

To deliberately consider a forgetting factor, let $c(t, \tau)$ be

$$c(t,\tau) = \beta(\tau) \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^{t} \alpha(\nu) d\nu\right),$$

for $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, which leads to

$$\dot{\mathcal{A}}(t) = -\alpha(t)\mathcal{A}(t) - \beta(t)\phi(t)\phi^{T}(t), \quad \mathcal{A}(0) = 0.$$

Note that there are no constraints for α and β , if they guarantee the BIBO stability.4

⁴ Need references

With slight abuse of notations for simplicity, the discrete counterpart can be written as

$$A^{k+1} = a_k A^k + b_k v_k v_k^T, \quad A^0 = 0, \tag{3}$$

for $a_k > 0$, $b_k \in \mathbb{R}$, where $A^k := A(k\Delta t)$, and $v_k := \phi(k\Delta t)$.

The purpose is to design a_k and b_k

- 1. to increase the minimum eigenvalue of A^k as k increases, and
- 2. to bound, simultaneously, the maximum eigenvalue of A^k .

for given v_k at each step k.

Theorem 3 (Ipsen, see [5]). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be Hermitian, $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

1. (smallest eigenvalue). Let

$$L_{\pm} \coloneqq egin{pmatrix} \lambda_2(A) & 0 \ 0 & \lambda_1(A) \end{pmatrix} \pm egin{pmatrix} \|y_{2:n}\| \ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \Big(\|y_{2:n}\| & ar{y}_1 \Big), \ U_{\pm} \coloneqq egin{pmatrix} \lambda_2(A) & 0 \ 0 & \lambda_1(A) \end{pmatrix} \pm egin{pmatrix} y_2 \ y_1 \Big) \Big(ar{y}_2 & ar{y}_1 \Big). \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\lambda_{\min}(L_{\pm}) \leq \lambda_{\min}(A \pm yy^*) \leq \lambda_{\min}(U_{\pm}),$$

where

$$\lambda_{\min}(A) \le \lambda_{\min}(L_{+}) \le \lambda_{\min}(U_{+}) \le \lambda_{2}(A),$$

$$\lambda_{\min}(A) - \|y\|^{2} \le \lambda_{\min}(L_{-}) \le \lambda_{\min}(U_{-}) \le \lambda_{\min}(A).$$

2. (largest eigenvalue). Let

$$\begin{split} L_{\pm} &\coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_n(A) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n-1}(A) \end{pmatrix} \pm \begin{pmatrix} \|y_n\| \\ y_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}_n & \bar{y}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\ U_{\pm} &\coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_n(A) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n-1}(A) \end{pmatrix} \pm \begin{pmatrix} y_n \\ \|y_{1:n-1}\| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}_n & \|y_{1:n-1}\| \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\lambda_{\max}(L_{\pm}) \leq \lambda_{\max}(A \pm yy^*) \leq \lambda_{\max}(U_{\pm}),$$

where

$$\lambda_{\max}(A) \le \lambda_{\max}(L_+) \le \lambda_{\max}(U_+) \le \lambda_{\max}(A) + \|y\|^2,$$

$$\lambda_{n-1}(A) \le \lambda_{\max}(L_-) \le \lambda_{\max}(U_-) \le \lambda_{\max}(A).$$

Main Results

Let *A* be an n-by-n positive semidefinite matrix, and *v* be an ndimensional real vector, and $\{\lambda_i(\cdot)\}\$ be the eigenvalues of (\cdot) ordered algebraically as $\lambda_{\max} = \lambda_n \ge \lambda_{n-1} \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_1 = \lambda_{\min}$.

Let Λ and V be the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of A, and the corresponding matrix of eigenvectors, i.e.

$$A = V\Lambda V^{T}$$
.

Also, let

$$A' = aA + bvv^T$$
.

which is an abbreviated form of (3).

Now, we want to derive an algorithm that

1. increases the minimum eigenvalue,

$$\lambda_1(A') \geq \lambda_1(A)$$
,

2. and bounding the maximum eigenvalue as

$$\lambda_{\max}(A') \leq \lambda_{\max}(A)$$
.

Main Results

Lemma 1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be Hermitian, $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and $A' := aA + bvv^T$ for a > 0 and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$\lambda_{\min}(A') \ge a\lambda_1(A) + \frac{1}{2} \left(a \operatorname{gap}_1(A) + b \|v\|^2 - \sqrt{\left(a \operatorname{gap}_1(A) + b \|v\|^2 \right)^2 - 4ab \operatorname{gap}_1(A) |v_1|^2} \right), \tag{4}$$

and

$$\lambda_{\max}(A') \le a\lambda_n(A) + \frac{1}{2} \left(-a \operatorname{gap}_n(A) + b\|v\|^2 + \sqrt{\left(a \operatorname{gap}_n(A) + b\|v\|^2 \right)^2 - 4ab \operatorname{gap}_n(A)|v_{1:n-1}|^2} \right), \quad (5)$$

Proof. With the fact that $\lambda_i(aA) = a\lambda_i(A)$, and $gap_i(aA) = a gap_i(A)$, the proof directly follows Theorem 2.1, and Corollary 2.2 of [5].

For simplicity, we abbreviate $\lambda_i := \lambda_i(A)$, and $gap_i := gap_i(A)$. Define a function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, such that

$$f(a,b) := a\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(a \operatorname{gap}_1 + b \|v\|^2 - \sqrt{\left(a \operatorname{gap}_1 + b \|v\|^2 \right)^2 - 4ab \operatorname{gap}_1 |v_1|^2} \right).$$
(6)

Lemma 2. f(a,b) is a monotonically increasing function for each a>0and $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, given a,

$$\lim_{b \to \infty} f(a, b) = a \left(\lambda_1(A) + \operatorname{gap}_1(A) \frac{|v_1|^2}{\|v\|^2} \right).$$

Lemma 3. Suppose that there exist $a, b \ge 0$, such that $f(a, b) \ge \lambda_1(A)$.

Then

$$a \ge \frac{\lambda_1(A)}{\lambda_2(A)}. (7)$$

Given $A \in \mathbb{S}^{n \times n}$, and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $f_1 : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be

$$f_1(a,b;c) := a^2 + \frac{k_1 \|v\|^2}{\lambda_1} ab - \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} ac - \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} bc + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} c^2,$$

where

$$a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} b - \frac{2c}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \ge 0,$$

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \le k_1 := \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{|v_1|^2}{\|v\|^2} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right) \le 1.$$

Also, let $f_n : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$f_n(a,b;c) := a^2 + \frac{k_n \|v\|^2}{\lambda_n} ab - \frac{\lambda_n + \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n-1}} ac - \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n-1}} bc + \frac{1}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n-1}} c^2,$$

where

$$a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_n + \lambda_{n-1}}b - \frac{2c}{\lambda_n + \lambda_{n-1}} \le 0,$$

$$1 \le k_n := \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}} - \frac{|v_n|^2}{\|v\|^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}} - 1\right) \le \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}}.$$

Theorem 4. 1. Suppose that there exist $a > 0, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f_1(a,b;c) \geq 0$. Then, $\lambda_{\min}(aA + bvv^T) \geq c$.

2. Also, if $f_n(a,b;c) \ge 0$, then, $\lambda_{\max}(aA + bvv^T) \le c$.

Proof. The proof is direct result from Lemma 1,

Remark 1. 1. If gap₁ = 0, then $k_1 = 1$, and $f_1(a, b, c) \ge 0$ reads

$$f_1(a,b;c) = \left(a - \frac{c}{\lambda_1}\right) \left(a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_1}b - \frac{c}{\lambda_1}\right) \ge 0,$$
$$a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{2\lambda_1}b - \frac{c}{\lambda_1} \ge 0.$$

2. If $gap_n = 0$, then $k_n = 1$, and $f_n(a, b, c) \ge 0$ reads

$$f_n(a,b;c) = \left(a - \frac{c}{\lambda_n}\right) \left(a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{\lambda_n}b - \frac{c}{\lambda_n}\right) \ge 0,$$
$$a + \frac{\|v\|^2}{2\lambda_n}b - \frac{c}{\lambda_n} \le 0.$$

Remark 2. Note that $f_1(c_1/\lambda_1,0;c_1) = f_n(c_n/\lambda_n,0;c_n) = 0$ for all $c_1,c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 4. Let $A \in \mathbb{S}^{n \times n}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, for all $c_1, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{c_1}{\lambda_1} \leq \frac{c_n}{\lambda_n},$$

there exist a > 0, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $c_1 \leq \lambda_i(aA + bvv^T) \leq c_2$, for i = 1, ..., n.

Theorem 5. Let $A \in \mathbb{S}^{n \times n}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that

$$\frac{\left|v_{1}\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{\min}(A)} \neq \frac{\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{\max}(A)},\tag{8}$$

$$\operatorname{gap}_1,\operatorname{gap}_n\neq 0. \tag{9}$$

Then, there exist a > 0 and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$c_1 < \lambda_i(aA + bvv^T) < c_n,$$

for all c_1, c_2 such that $c_1/\lambda_1 \leq c_n/\lambda_n$.

Proof. Note that $f_1(c_1/\lambda_1, 0; c_1) = f_n(c_n/\lambda_n, 0; c_n) = 0$, and

$$\nabla f_1(c_1/\lambda_1, 0; c_1) = \frac{c_1 \operatorname{gap}_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{|v_1|^2}{\lambda_1} \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

$$\nabla f_n(c_n/\lambda_n, 0; c_n) = -\frac{c_n \operatorname{gap}_n}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n-1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{|v_n|^2}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

Since $f_1(a,b;c_1)=0$ and $f_n(a,b;c_n)=0$ intersect the point $(a,b)=(c_1/\lambda_1,0)$, and $(a,b)=(c_n/\lambda_n,0)$, respectively, there exists a region $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying both $f_1(a,b;c_1)>0$ and $f_n(a,b;c_n)>0$ for $(a,b)\in \mathcal{D}$, if only

$$\nabla f_1(c_1/\lambda_1,0;c_1) \times \nabla f_n(c_n/\lambda_n,0;c_n) \neq 0$$

which completes the proof.

Analytic Solution

For $\lambda_{\min}(A') \geq \lambda_1$ for all v, the following conditions are necessary:

$$\left((a\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)|v_1|^2 - (1-a)\lambda_1 ||v_{2:n}||^2 \right) b - (1-a)(a\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\lambda_1 \ge 0, \tag{10}$$

$$a = 1, \quad \forall v \quad \text{s.t.} \quad v_1 = 0.$$
 (11)

asf

$$\left(a \operatorname{ads}_{1} + b \|v\|^{2} \right)^{2} - 4ab \operatorname{ads}_{1} |v_{1}|^{2} \le \left(\left(a \operatorname{ads}_{1} + b \|v\|^{2} \right) - 2(1 - a)\lambda_{1} \right)^{2}$$

$$-4ab \operatorname{ads}_{1} |v_{1}|^{2} \le -4(1 - a)\lambda_{1} \left(a \operatorname{ads}_{1} + b \|v\|^{2} \right) + 4(1 - a)^{2}\lambda_{1}^{2}$$

$$\left(a \operatorname{ads}_{1} |v_{1}|^{2} - (1 - a)\lambda_{1} \|v\|^{2} \right) b - (1 - a)\lambda_{1} \operatorname{ads}_{1} a + (1 - a)^{2}\lambda_{1}^{2} \ge 0$$

References

- [1] Rajendra Bhatia and Fuad Kittaneh. On the Singular Values of a Product of Operators. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 11(2):272–277, April 1990.
- [2] N. Cho, H. Shin, Y. Kim, and A. Tsourdos. Composite Model Reference Adaptive Control with Parameter Convergence Under Finite Excitation. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 63(3):811–818, March 2018.
- [3] Girish Chowdhary, Maximilian Mühlegg, and Eric Johnson. Exponential parameter and tracking error convergence guarantees for adaptive controllers without persistency of excitation. *International Journal of Control*, 87(8):1583–1603, August 2014.

- [4] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. Matrix Analysis. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 2 edition edition, October 2012.
- [5] I. C. F. Ipsen and B. Nadler. Refined Perturbation Bounds for Eigenvalues of Hermitian and Non-Hermitian Matrices. SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 31(1):40-53, January 2009.
- [6] E. Lavretsky. Combined/Composite Model Reference Adaptive Control. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 54(11):2692-2697, November 2009.
- [7] Jorma K Merikoski and Ravinder Kumar. Inequalities For Spreads Of Matrix Sums And Products. Applied Mathematics E-Notes, 4:150–159, 2004.
- [8] Yongping Pan and Haoyong Yu. Composite learning robot control with guaranteed parameter convergence. Automatica, 89:398-406, March 2018.
- [9] Jean-Jacques Slotine and Weiping Li. Applied Nonlinear Control. Pearson, Englewood Cliffs, N.J, 1991.