



# Nonparametric-efficient Causal Mediation Analysis for Stochastic Interventions

Nima Hejazi, Mark van der Laan, and Iván Díaz

Graduate Group in Biostatistics & Dept. of Statistics, UC Berkeley

Division of Biostatistics, Dept. of Healthcare Policy & Research, Weill Cornell Medicine

## OVERVIEW & MOTIVATIONS

- Using stochastic interventions, we present a decomposition of the *population intervention effect* into direct and indirect effects.
  - Define causal contrasts of effects of continuous and categorical exposures
  - ...
- We propose estimators of these direct and indirect effects:
  - Classical parametric*: substitution and re-weighted (IPW) estimators
  - Nonparametric-efficient*: one-step and TMLE using data adaptive regression
- Our efficient estimators are asymptotically linear under a condition requiring  $n^{1/4}$ -consistency of certain regression functions.

## SOFTWARE IMPLEMENTATION

- The `medshift` R package [3] implements these estimators and leverages state-of-the-art machine learning in the procedure.
  - Construction of all estimators via the eponymous `medshift()` function.
  - Uses the `sl3` R package to provide machine learning facilities.
- Construction of TML estimators using tools from the `tlverse` software ecosystem.
- ...

## CONSTRUCTION OF NONPARAMETRIC-EFFICIENT ESTIMATORS

- To avoid entropy conditions on initial estimators, we rely on cross-fitting [6, 1]. Let  $\hat{\eta}_j$  be the estimator of  $\eta = (g, m, e, \phi)$  and  $j(i)$  the index of the validation set containing observation  $i$ .
- A one-step estimator [4] may be constructed by augmenting the substitution estimator with the efficient influence function:

$$\hat{\theta}_{OS}(\delta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_{\hat{\eta}_{j(i)}, \delta}(O_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ D_{\hat{\eta}_{j(i)}, \delta}^Y(O_i) + D_{\hat{\eta}_{j(i)}, \delta}^A(O_i) + D_{\hat{\eta}_{j(i)}, \delta}^{Z,W}(O_i) \right\}.$$

– ...

– ...

- A targeted minimum loss-based estimator may be constructed by using the efficient influence function as an estimating equation, updating estimates of nuisance components:

$$\hat{\theta}_{TMLE}(\delta) = \dots,$$

where

- Unlike the one-step estimator, the TMLE is a substitution estimator.
- Use universal least favorable submodels for one-step estimation [5].

## STOCHASTIC POPULATION INTERVENTION (IN)DIRECT EFFECTS

- Consider  $O = (W, A, Z, Y) \sim P_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ , where  $W$  is a set of baseline covariates,  $A$  an intervention,  $Z$  a mediator between  $A$  and outcome, and  $Y$  the outcome, with no assumptions on model  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- We may decompose the PIE in terms of a *population intervention direct effect (PIDE)* and a *population intervention indirect effect (PIIE)*:

$$\psi(\delta) = \overbrace{\mathbb{E}\{Y(g, q) - Y(g_\delta, q)\}}^{\text{PIDE}} + \overbrace{\mathbb{E}\{Y(g_\delta, q) - Y(g_\delta, q_\delta)\}}^{\text{PIIE}}.$$

- We show the causal parameter  $\mathbb{E}\{Y(g_\delta, q)\}$  is identified by the observed data parameter [2]:

$$\theta(\delta) = \int m(a, z, w) g_\delta(a | w) p(z, w) d\nu(a, z, w).$$

- Letting  $\eta = (g, m, e, \phi)$ , the efficient influence function for  $\theta(\delta)$  in the nonparametric model  $M$  is  $D_{\eta, \delta}^Y(o) + D_{\eta, \delta}^A(o) + D_{\eta, \delta}^{Z,W}(o) - \theta(\delta)$ , where

$$D_{\eta, \delta}^{Z,W}(o) = \int m(z, a, w) g_\delta(a | w) d\kappa(a),$$

$$D_{\eta, \delta}^A(o) = \frac{g_\delta(a | w)}{g(a | w)} \left\{ \phi(a, w) - \int \phi(a, w) g_\delta(a | w) d\kappa(a) \right\}$$

$$D_{\eta, \delta}^Y(o) = \frac{g_\delta(a | w)}{e(a | z, w)} \{y - m(z, a, w)\},$$

$$\text{where } \phi_0(a, w) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{g(A|W)}{e(A|Z,W)} m(Z, A, W) \mid A = a, W = w \right\}.$$

## RESULTS & DISCUSSION

- All estimators approx. unbiased in large samples; however, inefficient TMLE with HAL has bias not converging at  $n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .
- Fitting  $\Pi$  with HAL or GLM, efficient TMLE has lower variance than the inefficient.

## REFERENCES

- V. Chernozhukov, D. Chetverikov, M. Demirer, E. Duflo, C. Hansen *et al.*, “Double machine learning for treatment and causal parameters,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.00060*, 2016.
- I. Díaz and N. S. Hejazi, “Causal mediation analysis for stochastic interventions,” *in revision*, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.02776>
- N. S. Hejazi and I. Díaz, *medshift: Causal mediation analysis for stochastic interventions in R*, 2019, R package version 0.0.8. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/nhejazi/medshift>
- J. Pfanzagl and W. Wefelmeyer, “Contributions to a general asymptotic statistical theory,” *Statistics & Risk Modeling*, vol. 3, no. 3-4, pp. 379–388, 1985.
- M. van der Laan and S. Gruber, “One-step targeted minimum loss-based estimation based on universal least favorable one-dimensional submodels,” *The international journal of biostatistics*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 351–378, 2016.
- W. Zheng and M. J. van der Laan, “Cross-validated targeted minimum-loss-based estimation,” in *Targeted Learning*. Springer, 2011, pp. 459–474.

## BUT WAIT, THERE’S MORE!

- N. Hejazi:** [nhejazi@berkeley.edu](mailto:nhejazi@berkeley.edu);
- M. van der Laan:** [laan@berkeley.edu](mailto:laan@berkeley.edu);
- I. Díaz:** [ild2005@med.cornell.edu](mailto:ild2005@med.cornell.edu)
- <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.02776>
- Check out Iván’s talk tomorrow morning!