



Nonparametric-efficient Causal Mediation Analysis for Stochastic Interventions

Nima Hejazi, Mark van der Laan, and Iván Díaz

Graduate Group in Biostatistics & Dept. of Statistics, UC Berkeley

Division of Biostatistics, Dept. of Healthcare Policy & Research, Weill Cornell Medicine

OVERVIEW & MOTIVATIONS

- We present a decomposition of the *population intervention effect*, defined through stochastic interventions.
- Population intervention effects provide a generalized framework in which a variety of interesting causal contrasts can be defined, including effects for continuous and categorical exposures.
- We propose estimators of these direct and indirect effects:
 - substitution (G-computation), re-weighted (IPW), and
 - efficient estimators based on flexible regression techniques (one-step, TMLE).

SOFTWARE IMPLEMENTATION

- Our efficient estimators are asymptotically linear under a condition requiring $n^{1/4}$ -consistency of certain regression functions.
- The efficient estimators are asymptotically normal with estimable variance, thereby allowing for the construction of confidence intervals and hypothesis tests.
- The `medshift` R package [2] implements these estimators and leverages state-of-the-art machine learning in the procedure.
- `tlverse` plug: <https://tlverse.org>
- ...

CONSTRUCTION OF NONPARAMETRIC-EFFICIENT ESTIMATORS

- To avoid entropy conditions on the initial estimators, we rely on cross-fitting [3, 1] — denote by $\hat{\eta}_j$ the estimator of $\eta = (g, m, e, \phi)$, obtained by training the corresponding prediction algorithm using only data in the sample \mathcal{T}_j . Further, let $j(i)$ denote the index of the validation set which contains observation i . The estimator is thus defined as:

$$\hat{\theta}\delta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_{\hat{\eta}_{j(i)}, \delta}(O_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ D_{\hat{\eta}_{j(i)}, \delta}^Y(O_i) + D_{\hat{\eta}_{j(i)}, \delta}^A(O_i) + D_{\hat{\eta}_{j(i)}, \delta}^{Z,W}(O_i) \right\}. \quad (1)$$

- TMLE!

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

- All estimators approx. unbiased in large samples; however, inefficient TMLE with HAL has bias not converging at $n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
- Fitting Π with HAL or GLM, efficient TMLE has lower variance than the inefficient.

STOCHASTIC POPULATION INTERVENTION (IN)DIRECT EFFECTS

- Consider $O = (W, A, Z, Y) \sim P_0 \in \mathcal{M}$, where W is a set of baseline covariates, A an intervention, Z a mediator between A and outcome, and Y the outcome, with no assumptions on model \mathcal{M} .
- We may decompose the PIE in terms of a *population intervention direct effect (PIDE)* and a *population intervention indirect effect (PIIE)*:

$$\psi(\delta) = \overbrace{\mathbb{E}\{Y(g, q) - Y(g_\delta, q)\}}^{\text{PIDE}} + \overbrace{\mathbb{E}\{Y(g_\delta, q) - Y(g_\delta, q_\delta)\}}^{\text{PIIE}}. \quad (2)$$

- The causal parameter is identified by the observed data parameter [?]:

$$\theta(\delta) = \int m(a, z, w) g_\delta(a | w) p(z, w) d\nu(a, z, w). \quad (3)$$

- Let $\eta = (g, m, e, \phi)$. The efficient influence function for $\theta(\delta)$ in the nonparametric model M is $D_{\eta, \delta}^Y(o) + D_{\eta, \delta}^A(o) + D_{\eta, \delta}^{Z,W}(o) - \theta(\delta)$, where

$$D_{\eta, \delta}^Y(o) = \frac{g_\delta(a | w)}{e(a | z, w)} \{y - m(z, a, w)\}; D_{\eta, \delta}^{Z,W}(o) = \int m(z, a, w) g_\delta(a | w) d\kappa(a),$$

$$D_{\eta, \delta}^A(o) = \frac{g_\delta(a | w)}{g(a | w)} \left\{ \phi(a, w) - \int \phi(a, w) g_\delta(a | w) d\kappa(a) \right\}.$$

$$\text{and } \phi_0(a, w) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{g(A|W)}{e(A|Z,W)} m(Z, A, W) \mid A = a, W = w \right\}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Chernozhukov, D. Chetverikov, M. Demirer, E. Duflo, C. Hansen *et al.*, “Double machine learning for treatment and causal parameters,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.00060*, 2016.
- [2] N. S. Hejazi and I. Díaz, *medshift: Causal mediation analysis for stochastic interventions in R*, 2019, r package version 0.0.8. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/nhejazi/medshift>
- [3] W. Zheng and M. J. van der Laan, “Cross-validated targeted minimum-loss-based estimation,” in *Targeted Learning*. Springer, 2011, pp. 459–474.

BUT WAIT, THERE’S MORE!

- **N. Hejazi:** nhejazi@berkeley.edu;
- **M. van der Laan:** laan@berkeley.edu;
- **I. Díaz:** ild2005@med.cornell.edu

- Pre-print of our original paper: <https://>