

1 Chapter 1

1.5 Subsection 5

1.5 Problem 1.

Show that

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{c}_k d_k$$

with

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b \bar{f}(x) g(x) \rho(x) dx$$

Since we have that $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(x) c_k$ we can substitute and get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_a^b \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(x) c_k} g(x) \rho(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_a^b \bar{u}_k(x) \bar{c}_k g(x) \rho(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_a^b \bar{u}_k(x) \bar{c}_k g(x) \rho(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{c}_k \int_a^b \bar{u}_k(x) g(x) \rho(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{c}_k d_k \end{aligned}$$

1.5 Problem 2.

$$Tf(\omega, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{g}(x - t) e^{-i\omega x} f(x) dx$$

$$\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}_1(\omega, t) h_2(\omega, t) d\omega dt$$

Find a formula for: $\langle Tf_1, Tf_2 \rangle$ in terms of

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_1 f_2 dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T f_1, T f_2 \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{T f_1} T f_2 d\omega dt = \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{g}(x-t) e^{-i\omega x} f_1(x) dx \right]} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{g}(x-t) e^{-i\omega x} f_2(x) dx \right] d\omega dt \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\bar{g}(x-t) e^{-i\omega x} f_1(x)} \bar{g}(y-t) e^{-i\omega y} f_2(y) dx dy d\omega dt
\end{aligned}$$

We now return to Calc III and need to do a replacment of variables:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{matrix} u = x - y, & v = y \\ x = u + v, & y = y \end{matrix} \text{ which has deterimnate: } J = \left| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right| = 1 \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\bar{g}(u+v-t) f_1(u+v)} \bar{g}(v-t) f_2(v) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega u} d\omega du dv dt
\end{aligned}$$

Subbing in the following identity for δ

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega u} d\omega = \delta(u)$$

we see:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\bar{g}(u+v-t) f_1(u+v)} \bar{g}(v-t) f_2(v) \delta(u) du dv dt \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\bar{g}(v-t) f_1(v)} \bar{g}(v-t) f_2(v) dv dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_1(v) f_2(v) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(v-t) \bar{g}(v-t) dt dv \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_1(v) f_2(v) |g|^2 dv = |g|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_1 f_2 dx = |g|^2 \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

1.5 Problem 3.

i) Show that the set of functions

$$\left\{ \frac{\sin \pi(2\omega z - k)}{\pi(2\omega z - k)} = \text{sinc}(2\omega z - k), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is an orthogonal set satisfying:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{sinc}(2\omega z - k) \text{sinc}(2\omega z - l) dz = A \delta_{kl}$$

What is A? To show orthogonality follow this 3 step outline:

a)

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \delta_N \left(t - \frac{2\pi}{2N+1} k \right) \delta_N \left(t - \frac{2\pi}{2N+1} l \right) dt = \frac{2N+1}{2\pi} \delta_{kl}$$

where

$$\delta_N(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin(N + \frac{1}{2})u}{\sin \frac{u}{2}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inu}$$

b) Then rescaling the integration domain by using $z = \frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{2\pi\omega} t$.

c) and finally going to the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

ii) This set of functions

$$\left\{ u_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \text{sinc}(2\omega z - k), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is not complete on L^2 , but is complete on a specific subset. What is this subset, ie what property must a function $f(t)$ satisfy in order to be in this subset?

i) If we take $k = 0 = l$ then we can calculate A:

$$A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{sinc}(2\omega z) \text{sinc}(2\omega z) dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{sinc}(2\omega z)^2 dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin \pi(2\omega z)}{\pi 2\omega z} \right)^2 dz$$

Now with $u = 2\pi\omega z$, $du = 2\pi\omega dz$ we can change variables and see:

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin(u)}{u} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} du = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin(u)}{u} \right)^2 du$$

Now we can apply integration by parts with

$$\begin{cases} u = \sin^2 x & du = 2 \sin x \cos x = \sin(2x) \\ v = -x^{-1} & dv = -x^{-2} \end{cases}$$

and arrive at:

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \left[\frac{-\sin^2 x}{x} \Big|_{\pm\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2x)}{-x} dx \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2x)}{x} dx \right]$$

With another change of variables $2x \rightarrow x$, and noticing that $\sin(x)$ is an even function we get:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \frac{1}{\pi\omega} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \frac{1}{\pi\omega} \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\omega^{-1} = A$$

a) Let $u_k = t - \frac{2\pi}{2N+1}k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \delta_N \left(t - \frac{2\pi}{2N+1}k \right) \delta_N \left(t - \frac{2\pi}{2N+1}l \right) dt &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \delta_N(u_k) \delta_N(u_l) dt \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inu_k} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inu_l} \right) dt \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{n_1=-N}^N \sum_{n_2=-N}^N e^{i(n_1 u_k + n_2 u_l)} dt = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{n_1=-N}^N \sum_{n_2=-N}^N \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(n_1 u_k + n_2 u_l)} dt \end{aligned}$$

Considering now just the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(n_1 u_k + n_2 u_l)} dt &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp \left\{ i \left(n_1 \left[t - \frac{2\pi}{2N+1}k \right] + n_2 \left[t - \frac{2\pi}{2N+1}l \right] \right) \right\} dt \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp \left\{ i(n_1 + n_2)t - i \left[n_1 \frac{2\pi}{2N+1}k + n_2 \frac{2\pi}{2N+1}l \right] \right\} dt \end{aligned}$$

If we let $C_{n_1 n_2 l k} = \exp \left\{ -i \frac{2\pi}{2N+1} [n_1 k + n_2 l] \right\}$ then we see get:

$$= \frac{C_{n_1 n_2 l k}}{i(n_1 + n_2)} \exp \{ i(n_1 + n_2)t \} \Big|_{t=\pm\pi} = C_{n_1 n_2 l k} \delta_{-n_1, n_2} 2\pi$$

Plugging this back into the above we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n_1=-N}^N \sum_{n_2=-N}^N C_{n_1 n_2 l k} \delta_{-n_1, n_2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-N}^N C_{n, -nlk} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-N}^N \exp \left\{ -in \frac{2\pi}{2N+1} [k - l] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-N}^N \exp \left\{ -in \frac{2\pi}{2N+1} [k - l] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly when $k = l$ then we get $\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1 = \frac{2N+1}{2\pi}$. Now for $k \neq l$ we see that this is just

$$\delta_N \left(\frac{2\pi}{2N+1} (k - l) \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin(\pi(k - l))}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2N+1} (k - l)}$$

Notice that the denominator is never zero and that the top always is. Thus we arrive at:

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \delta_N \left(t - \frac{2\pi}{2N+1}k \right) \delta_N \left(t - \frac{2\pi}{2N+1}l \right) dt = \frac{2N+1}{2\pi} \delta_{kl}$$

b) Now we wish to make the change of variable $z = \frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{2\pi\omega}t$.

$$\int_{-\frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{2\omega}}^{\frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{2\omega}} \delta_N \left(\frac{2\pi}{2N+1}(2z\omega - k) \right) \delta_N \left(\frac{2\pi}{2N+1}(2z\omega - l) \right) \frac{4\pi\omega}{2N+1} dz = \frac{2N+1}{2\pi} \delta_{kl}$$

$$\int_{-\frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{2\omega}}^{\frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{2\omega}} \delta_N \left(\frac{2\pi}{2N+1}(2z\omega - k) \right) \delta_N \left(\frac{2\pi}{2N+1}(2z\omega - l) \right) dz = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2N+1}{2\pi} \right)^2 \omega^{-1} \delta_{kl}$$

$$\delta_N \left(\frac{2\pi}{2N+1}(2z\omega - k) \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin \left((N + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{2\pi}{2N+1} (2z\omega - k) \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{2N+1} (2z\omega - k) \right) / 2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin(\pi(2z\omega - k))}{\sin \left(\frac{1}{2N+1} \pi(2z\omega - k) \right)}$$

c) Now since we are taking the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ we can ignore all higher terms in the sin expansion and just leave the linear factor.

$$\sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin(\pi(2z\omega - k))}{\frac{1}{2N+1} \pi(2z\omega - k)}$$

Putting this back into our integral equation yields:

$$\int_{-\frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{2\omega}}^{\frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{2\omega}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin(\pi(2z\omega - k))}{\frac{1}{2N+1} \pi(2z\omega - k)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin(\pi(2z\omega - l))}{\frac{1}{2N+1} \pi(2z\omega - l)} dz = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2N+1}{2\pi} \right)^2 \omega^{-1} \delta_{kl}$$

With some simplification gives us:

$$\int_{-\frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{2\omega}}^{\frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{2\omega}} \frac{\sin(\pi(2z\omega - k))}{\pi(2z\omega - k)} \frac{\sin(\pi(2z\omega - l))}{\pi(2z\omega - l)} dz = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{-1} \delta_{kl}$$

Now taking limits we get the desired equality and see that A was in fact $\frac{1}{2\omega}$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(\pi(2z\omega - k))}{\pi(2z\omega - k)} \frac{\sin(\pi(2z\omega - l))}{\pi(2z\omega - l)} dz = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{-1} \delta_{kl}$$

Thus the set is in fact an orhtogonal set satisfying the above.

ii) Limited bandwith functions???? *****

2 Chapter 2

2.1 Subsection 1

2.1 Problem 1.

Suppose $f(x) = f(x + 2\pi) \forall x$ is periodic with period 2π . Show

$$\int_a^{2\pi+a} f(x)dx = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x)dx, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$$

As all great math proofs, no words are needed just equalities and beautiful integrals. Let a be given then:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^{2\pi+a} f(x)dx &= \int_a^{2\pi} f(x)dx + \int_{2\pi}^{2\pi+a} f(x)dx = \int_a^{2\pi} f(x)dx + \int_0^a f(x + 2\pi)dx \\ &= \int_a^{2\pi} f(x)dx + \int_0^a f(x)dx = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

2.1 Problem 2.

Dirichelet Basis

$$W_{2N+1} = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikt} \right\}_{k=\pm N}$$

Consider the set

$$g_k(t) = \frac{2\pi}{2N+1} \delta_N(t - x_k) = \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{in(t-k\pi/(N+\frac{1}{2}))}$$

Show that

A) $B = \{g_k, k \in 1, 2, \dots\}$ is linearly independent.

B) B spans W_{2N+1}

A) It suffices to notice that $g_k(x_l) = \delta_{kl}$. Thus we can see for any given k that g_k is independent of all the other's as $\sum_{k' \neq k} \lambda_{k'} g_{k'}(x_k) = 0$. Thus we can not have a non trivial linear relationship between the functions.

B) It is clear that $g_k(t) \in W_{2N+1}$ since each of the elements in its sum namely $e^{in(t-k\pi/(N+\frac{1}{2}))}$ is just a multiple of e^{int} a basis element of W_{2N+1} . Notice there are $2N+1$ of these independnet vectors in the vector space of dimmension $2N+1$. Thus they must be a spanning set and there must exist coefficients for any function in the space to be written as a sum of this basis.

To actually exhibit coefficients one would use $f(t) = \sum_k f(x_k) g_k(t)$.

2.1 Problem 3.

Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma

$G(u)$ piecewise continuous and has left and right derivatives on $[0, 2\pi]$. Show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} G(u) \sin(N + \frac{1}{2})u du = 0$$

WLOG $\exists a, b \in [0, \pi]$ st. $\forall x \in [a, b]$ $G(x) > 0$ or $G(x) < 0$.

Now it suffices to show

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b G(u) \sin(N + \frac{1}{2})u du = 0$$

[since the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ can be sliced into a countable number of these intervals, and then you can sum over them] WLOG we assume $G(x)$ is positive.

$$0 \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b G(u) \sin(N + \frac{1}{2})u du \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b [\max_u G(u)] \sin(N + \frac{1}{2})u du$$

Let G_m be the max above, then we have

$$0 \leq G_m \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \sin(N + \frac{1}{2})u du = G_m \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left. \frac{\cos(N + \frac{1}{2})u}{N + \frac{1}{2}} \right|_a^b \leq G_m \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{N + \frac{1}{2}} \leq 0$$

Thus we get the 0 value for the limit as desired.

2.1 Problem 4.

Prove or disprove:

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+x)^2 + a^2} = \frac{\pi}{a} \frac{\coth \pi a}{\cos^2 \pi x + \sin^2 \pi x \coth^2 \pi a}$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+x)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2 \pi x}$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi m + x)^2} = \frac{1}{4 \sin^2 x/2}$$

Let $f(m) = \frac{1}{(m+x)^2 + a^2}$, then we wish to find $\sum f(m)$. To this end we consider $F(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikm} f(m) dm$. To find this we use the u sub: $u = m + x$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikm} \frac{1}{(m+x)^2 + a^2} dm = e^{ikx} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iku} \frac{1}{u^2 + a^2} du = e^{ikx} \frac{\pi}{a} e^{-|k|a}$$

Using the Poisson formula we thus see:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+x)^2 + a^2} &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i2\pi kx} \frac{\pi}{a} e^{-|2\pi k|a} = \frac{\pi}{a} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} e^{i2\pi kx} e^{-|2\pi k|a} + \sum_{k \leq 0} e^{i2\pi kx} e^{-|2\pi k|a} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi}{a} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} e^{i2\pi k(x-a)} + \sum_{k \leq 0} e^{i2\pi k(x+a)} - 1 \right] = \frac{\pi}{a} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} e^{i2\pi k(x-a)} + e^{-i2\pi k(x+a)} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi}{a} \left[\frac{1}{1 - e^{-i2\pi(x-a)}} + \frac{1}{1 - e^{i2\pi(x-a)}} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi}{a} \left[\frac{1 - e^{-i2\pi(x+a)} + 1 - e^{i2\pi(x-a)} - (1 - e^{i2\pi(x-a)}) (1 - e^{-i2\pi(x+a)})}{(1 - e^{i2\pi(x-a)}) (1 - e^{-i2\pi(x+a)})} \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi}{a} \left[\frac{1 - e^{i2\pi(x-a)} e^{-i2\pi(x+a)}}{(1 - e^{i2\pi(x-a)}) (1 - e^{-i2\pi(x+a)})} \right] = \frac{\pi}{a} \left[\frac{1 - e^{-i4\pi a}}{(1 - e^{i2\pi(x-a)}) (1 - e^{-i2\pi(x+a)})} \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi}{a} \left[\frac{(1 - e^{-i2\pi a}) (1 + e^{-i2\pi a})}{(1 - e^{i2\pi(x-a)}) (1 - e^{-i2\pi(x+a)})} \right] = \frac{\pi}{a} \left[\frac{(1 - e^{-i2\pi a}) (1 + e^{-i2\pi a})}{(1 - e^{i2\pi(x-a)}) (1 - e^{-i2\pi(x+a)})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

*****BELIEVE THE ABOVE DISPROVES THE SUM*****

For the last 2 the answers are somewhat lack luster.

Let $f(m) = \frac{1}{(m+x)^2}$, then we wish to find $\sum f(m)$. To this end we consider $F(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikm} f(m) dm$. To find this we use the u sub: $u = m + x$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikm} \frac{1}{(m+x)^2} dm = e^{ikx} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iku} \frac{1}{u^2} du$$

This is an error function producing integral. This is bad. Notice also that the claimed value on the right hand side of the equation doesn't even make sense for $x = 0$. Thus the equation as stated is clearly false, Besides as any good student of Bergelson knows: $\sum 1/n^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$

For the final one we again have issues with $\sin(0) = 0$ in the denominator.

2.1 Problem 5.

My man Stephane G. Mallat claims the following: The family of functions $\phi(x - k)$ $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ is orthonormal iff

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}(\omega + 2\pi k)|^2$$

is constant wrt ω . Prove my boy wrong or right.

Stephane is no chump and said a true thing. Lets investigate the sum:

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}(\omega + 2\pi k)|^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\hat{\phi}(\omega + 2\pi k)} \hat{\phi}(\omega + 2\pi k)$$

Now to avoid a factor out front the rest of the analysis, the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ is suppressed when expanding the Fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(\omega+2\pi k)y} \bar{\phi}(y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(\omega+2\pi k)x} \phi(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) e^{i(\omega+2\pi k)y} e^{-i(\omega+2\pi k)x} dx dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(\omega+2\pi k)(x-y)} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

Via formula on page 62

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) e^{-i\omega(x-y)} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - y - k) dx dy$$

Now for the change of variables $u = x - y, v = y$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(u + v) \bar{\phi}(v) e^{-i\omega u} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(u - k) du dv = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\phi}(v) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega u} \phi(u + v) \delta(u - k) du dv \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\phi}(v) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega k} \phi(k + v) dv = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\phi}(v) \phi(k + v) dv = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \phi(v), \phi(k + v) \rangle e^{-i\omega k} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the sum above is in fact a Fourier series with $c_k = \langle \phi(v), \phi(k + v) \rangle$. Now this series being constant is equivalent to $c_k = \delta_{0k}$, which is equivalent to the $\phi(v + k)$'s being an orthogonal system.

Moreover if $c_0 = 1$ then we have an orthonormal system aswell. Thus the system is orthonormal if the series is constant and equal to 1. Now in actuality we rememeber that we have a secret factor of $\frac{1}{2\pi}$ hanging around. Thus the constants value is actually that.

2.1 Problem 6.

Prove or Disprove the following identities:

i)

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f([2m+1]\pi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n F(n)$$

ii)

$$2\pi \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m f(2\pi m) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F(n + \frac{1}{2})$$

iii)

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(u - [2m+1]\pi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{inu}$$

iv) And in greater generality

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\frac{[2m+1]\pi}{a}) = \frac{a}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n F(na)$$

v)

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a|} \delta(u - \frac{[2m+1]\pi}{a}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{inau}$$

The main equation to keep in mind here is the general Poisson formula:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in(x-t)} f(t) dt = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x + 2\pi m)$$

i) Begin with $x = \pi$ in the formula above and we see:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in(\pi-t)} f(t) dt &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f([2m+1]\pi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-int} f(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n F(n) \end{aligned}$$

ii) Beginning with the right hand side:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F(n + \frac{1}{2}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(n+\frac{1}{2})t} f(t) dt = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-int} f(t) e^{-it/2} dt$$

Now we notice this is the Fourier transform of not f but of $f(t)e^{-it/2}$, applying Poisson sum with this:

$$= 2\pi \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f(2\pi m) e^{-i(2\pi m)/2} = 2\pi \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f(2\pi m) e^{-i\pi m} = 2\pi \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m f(2\pi m)$$

iii) It is straightforward to see that this is actually just 1) but in the suppressed function notation. To see this we note that

$$\delta(u - [2m + 1]\pi) \rightarrow f([2m + 1]\pi), \quad e^{inu} \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{inu} f(u) du = F(-n)$$

But wait we get $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n F(-n)$ and not the exact sum we wanted! Thankfully $(-1)^n = (-1)^{-n}$ and we just switch the order of the sum and get the identity.

iv) Let $\bar{f}(x) = f(\frac{x}{a})$, then by 1) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{[2m + 1]\pi}{a}\right) &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}([2m + 1]\pi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \bar{F}(n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-int} \bar{f}(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-int} f(t/a) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-inau} f(u) du = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n a F(na) = \frac{a}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n F(na) \end{aligned}$$

v) Similar to 3) we note that this is just an earlier identity. A constant is shifted around but this is basically just 4).

2.2 Dirac Delta Distribution

2.2 Problem 1.

Show that

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin 2\pi\omega x}{\pi x}, \quad \omega > 0$$

is a representation of the Dirac δ DISTRIBUTION.

This equality can only be expressed inside of an integral, thus we must apply the above to test functions and see that the answer is the same as with the delta *distribution*.

Thus if we consider f continuous on some $[-a, a]$ then we get:

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-a}^a \delta_{\omega}(x) f(x) dx = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-a}^a \frac{\sin 2\pi\omega x}{\pi x} f(x) dx$$

Now in following with the style of the Fourier series theorem we add and subtract the same term, namely a $f(0)$ (inside some paranthesis but basicly the same)

$$= \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-a}^a \frac{\sin 2\pi\omega x}{\pi x} (f(x) - f(0) + f(0)) dx = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-a}^a \frac{\sin 2\pi\omega x}{\pi} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x} + f(0) \frac{\sin 2\pi\omega x}{\pi x} dx$$

Now we can consider WLOG just the positive side of the integral.

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a \frac{\sin 2\pi\omega x}{\pi} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x} + f(0) \frac{\sin 2\pi\omega x}{\pi x} dx$$

Notice for the exact same resonaning as $G(u)$ on page 57 that we get $\frac{f(x)-f(0)}{x}$ is continuous at 0 and converges to $f'(0^+)$. Thus again we see that the integral:

$$\int_0^a \frac{\sin 2\pi\omega x}{\pi} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x} dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we only have:

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a f(0) \frac{\sin 2\pi\omega x}{\pi x} dx = f(0) \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a \frac{\sin 2\pi\omega x}{\pi x} dx$$

$$y = 2\pi\omega x, \quad dy = 2\pi\omega dx$$

$$= f(0) \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{a2\pi\omega} \frac{\sin y}{\pi y / (2\pi\omega)} \frac{dy}{2\pi\omega} = \frac{f(0)}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin y}{y} dy = \frac{f(0)}{\pi} \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{f(0)}{2}$$

Using a isomorphic version of the logic above one can get the $f(0^-)$ term and complete the proof.

2.2 Problem 2.

Assuming that $f(x)$ is nearly linear, that is to say that

$$f(-a) = f(0) - af'(0) + \text{H.O.T.}$$

Show that

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x+a)f(x)dx$$

can be evaluated by means of the formal equation:

$$\delta(x+a) = \delta(x) + a\delta'(x)$$

By the definition of the δ function we have:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x+a)f(x)dx = f(-a) \\ &= f(0) - af'(0) + \text{H.O.T.} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x)f(x)dx - a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta'(x)f(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

Via integration by parts we know that:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x)f'(x)dx = \delta(x)f(x)|_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta'(x)f(x)dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta'(x)f(x)dx$$

Putting stuff together:

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x)f(x)dx + a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta'(x)f(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\delta(x) + a\delta'(x)] f(x)dx$$

Thus it makes some sense to claim $\delta(x+a) = \delta(x) + a\delta'(x)$

2.3 The Fourier Integral

2.3 Problem 1.

a) Consider the Linear Operator \mathfrak{F}^2 and its eigenvalue equation

$$\mathfrak{F}^2 f = \lambda f$$

What are the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of \mathfrak{F}^2 ?

b) Same with \mathfrak{F}^4 ?

c) Same with \mathfrak{F} ?

For the sake of clarity:

$$\mathfrak{F}(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} f(x) dx$$

a) Obviously we begin with the calculation in question

$$\mathfrak{F}^2(f)[k] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iky} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iyx} f(x) dx dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(ky+yx)} f(x) dx dy$$

This looks close to the identity we are given on page 70, namely:

$$\delta(x - t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{ik(x-t)} dk$$

which carries the note that we must integrate on the outside with dt for this to make sense. Now rearranging some integrals and swapping x with $-x$ we arrive at:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(-x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-iy(k-x)} dy dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(-x) \delta(k - x) dx = f(-k)$$

Now we can see that the constraint: $\mathfrak{F}^2 f = \lambda f$ is really just $f(-x) = \lambda f(x)$. Two obvious cases come to mind, namely even and odd functions for the eigenvalues ± 1 . For any other value of λ one could apply the relation twice to get $f(x) = \lambda^2 f(-x)$ which only has 2 roots. Thus those are the only eigenvalues of \mathfrak{F}^2 .

- b) Thanks to $\mathfrak{F}^2 f[x] = f(-x)$ from the previous problem we know that $\mathfrak{F}^4 = \mathfrak{F}^2 \mathfrak{F}^2 f[x] = f(-(-x)) = f(x)$. Thus every function is an eigen function of $\mathfrak{F}^4 = \text{Id}$, with eigenvalue 1.
- c) We know that $\mathfrak{F}^4 = \text{Id}$, and thus if λ is an eigenvalue of \mathfrak{F} then $\lambda^4 = 1$. Thus the only possible eigenvalues of \mathfrak{F} are 4th roots of unity. Thus the eigenvalues are $\pm 1, \pm i$.

2.3 Problem 2.

Let

$$W = \text{span}\{\phi, \mathfrak{F}\phi, \mathfrak{F}^2\phi, \dots\}$$

- a) Show that W is finite dimensional, and what is its dimension?
- b) Exhibit a basis for W .
- c) It is evident that \mathfrak{F} is a unitary transform of W . Find the basis representation matrix $[\mathfrak{F}]_B$ relative to the basis B found in part b).
- d) Find the secular determinant, the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenvectors of $[\mathfrak{F}]_B$.
- e) For W exhibit an alternative basis which consists entirely of eigenvectors of \mathfrak{F} , each one labelled by its respective eigenvalue.
- f) What can you say about the eigenvalues of \mathfrak{F} as a transformation on L^2 as compared to $[\mathfrak{F}]_B$ which acts on a finite dim. vector space

- a) W clearly has dimension ≤ 4 by the previous problem since $\mathfrak{F}^4 = \text{Id}$. In fact if ϕ is even, we have only dimension 2 and the two basis elements of the space are just ϕ and $\mathfrak{F}\phi$. Due to the limits of the roots of unity argument above we know that the only number of dimensions can be those two or 1, namely $\dim = 1, 2$, or 4.
- b) The possible basis are ϕ , or $\phi, \mathfrak{F}\phi$, or all 4: $\phi, \mathfrak{F}\phi, \mathfrak{F}^2\phi, \mathfrak{F}^{-1}\phi$.
- c) With the basis: $\phi, \mathfrak{F}\phi, \mathfrak{F}^2\phi, \mathfrak{F}^{-1}\phi$

$$[\mathfrak{F}]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

A classic style of shifting operator on a finite dimensional space.

- d) Easily enough we see:

$$\det([\mathfrak{F}]_B - \lambda I) = \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) = \lambda^4 - 1$$

Thus the eigenvalues are $\pm 1, \pm i$. The corresponding vectors are listed below:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda = 1 & \quad \phi + \mathfrak{F}\phi + \mathfrak{F}^2\phi + \mathfrak{F}^{-1}\phi \\ \lambda = -1 & \quad \phi - \mathfrak{F}\phi + \mathfrak{F}^2\phi - \mathfrak{F}^{-1}\phi \\ \lambda = i & \quad \phi + i\mathfrak{F}\phi - \mathfrak{F}^2\phi - i\mathfrak{F}^{-1}\phi \\ \lambda = -i & \quad \phi - i\mathfrak{F}\phi - \mathfrak{F}^2\phi + i\mathfrak{F}^{-1}\phi \end{aligned}$$

(Something something permutation matrices)

- e) The eigenvalues of \mathfrak{F} are the same viewed as a finite-dimensional vector space and as an infinite dimensional one. This seems to have been forced by the simplicity of the characteristic polynomial more than anything else.

2.3 Problem 3.

Define the equivalent width as

$$\Delta_t = \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) dt}{f(0)} \right|$$

Define the equivalent Fourier width as

$$\Delta_{\omega} = \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) dt}{\hat{f}(0)} \right|$$

- a) Show that $\Delta_t \Delta_{\omega} = \text{const}$, is independent of the function f , and find its value.
b) Determine the equivalent width and Fourier width of

$$e^{-x^2/2b^2}$$

and compare them with its full width as defined by its inflection points.

a)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t \Delta_{\omega} &= \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) dt}{\hat{f}(0)} \right| \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) dt}{f(0)} \right| = \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) dt}{\hat{f}(0) f(0)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x) f(y) dx dy}{\hat{f}(0) f(0)} \right| = \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-ixz} f(z) dz dx dy}{\hat{f}(0) f(0)} \right| = \\ &= \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) f(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-ixz} dx dz dy}{\hat{f}(0) f(0)} \right| = \left| \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) f(z) \delta(z) dz dy}{\hat{f}(0) f(0)} \right| = \sqrt{2\pi} \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) dy}{\hat{f}(0)} \right| \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi} \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) dy}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i*0*x} f(y) dy} \right| = \sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{2\pi} = 2\pi \end{aligned}$$

b) With $f(x) = e^{-x^2/2b^2}$

Since I stared at completing the squares for way too long to justify not writing this down, here is the Fourier transform of the Gaussian:

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2b^2} e^{-i\omega x} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-1/2b^2 [x^2 + 2b^2 i\omega x]} dx$$

We complete the square in the exponent:

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-1/2b^2[x^2+2b^2i\omega x-\omega^2b^4]-\omega^2b^2/2} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\omega^2b^2/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-1/2b^2[x-\omega b^2]^2} dx$$

Now with $|b|u = x - \omega b^2$, $|b|du = dx$ we get:

$$= |b| e^{-\omega^2b^2/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-u^2/2} du = |b| e^{-\omega^2b^2/2}$$

So we have: $\hat{f}(\omega) = |b| e^{-\omega^2b^2/2}$ and can now do our calculations. (we could before and actually don't need this at all but I'll be damned if I didn't spend too much time on this part to not just write something)

The Δ_ω is actually the famous Gaussian integral:

$$\Delta_\omega = \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2/2b^2} dt}{1} \right| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2/2b^2} dt \right| = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{1/2b^2}} = \sqrt{\pi 2b^2} = |b| \sqrt{\pi 2}$$

Thanks to the relation $\Delta_t \Delta_\omega = 2\pi$ we see that Δ_t must be $1/|b| \sqrt{2\pi}$.

I am too stubborn to not write this after the above

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t &= \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) dt}{\hat{f}(0)} \right| = \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |b| e^{-\omega^2b^2/2} d\omega}{|b|} \right| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\omega^2b^2/2} d\omega \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\omega^2b^2/2} d\omega \right| = \sqrt{2\pi/b^2} = \frac{1}{|b|} \sqrt{2\pi} \end{aligned}$$

The inflection points are at $\pm b$ and thus its 'inflection width' is $2|b|$

2.3 Problem 4.

Define the auto-correlation h of the function f :

$$h(y) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) f(x-y) dx$$

Compute the Fourier transform of the auto correlation function and show that it equals the "spectral intensity" (aka power spectrum) of f whenever f is real valued.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(k) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iky} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) f(x-y) dx dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iky} f(x) f(x-y) dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ik(x-u)} f(u) du dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} f(x) \hat{f}(-k) dx = \hat{f}(-k) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \hat{f}(-k)\hat{f}(k) = |\hat{f}(k)|^2$$

The $\hat{f}(-k) = \overline{\hat{f}(k)}$ is implied by f being real valued and is the only point we make use of this fact.

2.3 Problem 5.

a) Compute the total energy

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(T)|^2 dT$$

of the cross correlation $h(T)$ in terms of the Fourier amplitudes of f_0 and f .

b) Consider

$$h_k(T) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_0(t-T)f_k(t)dt}{\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_k(t)|^2 dt\right]^{1/2}}$$

i) Show that $h_0(t)$ is the peak intensity, ie $|h_k(T)|^2 \leq |h_0(T)|^2$.

ii) Show that equality holds if $f_k(t) = \kappa f_0(t)$ for κ some constant.

a) For a matched filter we have that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_0(t-T)f(t)dt = h(T)$, using this: Using the fact that \mathfrak{F} is an isometry of L^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(T)|^2 dT &= \|h\|_2 = \|\hat{h}\|_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{F}h(\omega)|^2 d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iT\omega} h(T) dT \right|^2 d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iT\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_0(t-T)f(t)dt dT \right|^2 d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iT\omega} \bar{f}_0(t-T) dT dt \right|^2 d\omega \end{aligned}$$

Let $u = t - T$, $du = -dT$ (the negative sign is lost in the $||$).

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(t-u)\omega} \bar{f}_0(u) du dt \right|^2 d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-it\omega} f(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iu\omega} \bar{f}_0(u) du dt \right|^2 d\omega$$

Thanks to the relationship between conjugates and the fourier transform, namely $\mathfrak{F}\bar{f}[k] = \mathfrak{F}f[-k]$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{f}_0(\omega) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-it\omega} f(t) dt \right|^2 d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{f}_0(\omega) \hat{f}(\omega) \right|^2 d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}_0(\omega) \hat{f}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \end{aligned}$$

- b) i) This problem is actually wrong, consider $f_0(t) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(t)$ and $f_1(t) = \mathbb{1}_{[2,3]}(t)$ and $T = 2$.
Then $\|f_1\|_2 = 1 = \|f_0\|$ but

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_0(t-T)f_0(t)dt = 0$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_0(t-T)f_k(t)dt = 1$$

Which gives $h_1(T) > h_0(T)$, contrary to the statment of the problem.

*****MESSAGE GERLACH*****

- ii) Oddly enough the equality still holds. If we have $f_k(t) = \kappa f_0(t)$ then we see:

$$|h_k(T)|^2 = \left\| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_0(t-T)\kappa f_0(t)dt}{[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\kappa f_0(t)|^2 dt]^{1/2}} \right\|^2 = \left\| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_0(t-T)f_0(t)dt}{[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_0(t)|^2 dt]^{1/2}} \right\|^2 = |h_0(T)|^2$$

2.3 Problem 6.

What functions are eigenvectors of \mathfrak{F}^2 with eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$?

Already did this.

2.3 Problem 7.

Let $\hat{g}(k) = \mathfrak{F}[g(x)](k)$ and $H(k) = \mathfrak{F}[h](k)$ be the Fourier transforms of g and h. Express the following in terms of \hat{g} and \hat{f} .

- i) $\mathfrak{F}[\alpha g + \beta h]$ for some constants α, β
- ii) $\mathfrak{F}[g(x - \xi)]$
- iii) $\mathfrak{F}[e^{ik_0 x} g]$
- iv) $\mathfrak{F}[g(ax)]$
- v) $\mathfrak{F}[\frac{dg}{dx}]$
- vi) $\mathfrak{F}[xg(x)]$

- i) It is clear by the linearity of the integrals that we have:

$$\mathfrak{F}[\alpha g + \beta h] = \mathfrak{F}[\alpha g] + \mathfrak{F}[\beta h] = \alpha \mathfrak{F}[g] + \beta \mathfrak{F}[h]$$

ii)

$$\mathfrak{F}[g(x - \xi)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} g(x - \xi) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ik(u+\xi)} g(u) du = e^{-ik(\xi)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iku} g(u) du = e^{-ik(\xi)} \hat{g}$$

iii)

$$\mathfrak{F}[e^{ik_0 x} g] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} e^{ik_0 x} g(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(k-k_0)x} g(x) dx = \hat{g}(k - k_0)$$

iv)

$$\mathfrak{F}[g(ax)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} g(ax) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a} e^{-iku/a} g(u) du = \frac{1}{a} \hat{g}(k/a)$$

v) Using integration by parts:

$$\mathfrak{F}\left[\frac{dg}{dx}\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} \frac{dg}{dx} dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g \frac{de^{-ikx}}{dx} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ik g e^{-ikx} dx = ik \hat{g}(k)$$

vi)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}[xg(x)] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} xg dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{-ikx} g dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{-i} \frac{d}{dk} e^{-ikx} \right] g dx \\ &= \frac{1}{-i} \frac{d}{dk} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} g dx = \frac{1}{-i} \frac{d}{dk} \hat{g}(k) \end{aligned}$$

*****DOUBLE CHECK LAST COUPLE

2.3 Problem 8.

Show that any periodic function $f(\xi) = f(\xi + a)$ is the convolution of a nonperiodic function with a train of Dirac delta DISTRIBUTIONS.

[I was very stuck on this and stack exchange provided an answer.]

Let $a > 0$ be the length of the period of the function $f(x)$. Then let $g(x) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,a)}(x)f(x)$ have value in the 'first' period of f and then be 0 elsewhere. Obviously g is non periodic unless $f = 0$ (that case being trivial and not relevant). Now consider:

$$g \star \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - an) \right) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g \star \delta(u - (x - an)) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(x - an) = f(x)$$

Thus we have written f as a convolution of a non periodic function and a train of delta distributions.

2.3 Problem 9.

Find the Fourier spectrum of a finite train of identical coherent pulses of the kind shown in Fig. 2.9.

The function in reference is of the form:

$$f_n(t) = e^{-(t-nT)^2/2b^2} e^{i\omega_0(t-nT)} e^{i\delta_n}$$

Which in our specific case is:

$$f_n(t) = e^{-(t-nT)^2/2b^2} e^{i\omega_0(t-nT)}$$

So our sum is:

$$\sum_{n=-N}^N f_n(t) = \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{-(t-nT)^2/2b^2} e^{i\omega_0(t-nT)}$$

We could in theory calculate the Fourier transform of each of the elements of the sum and then combine. However the text has outlined a process we can just semi blindly follow with less work.

To this end we notice that with $\delta_n = 0 = n\Delta\phi$ we have the same form as page 90 with:

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{-(t-nT)^2/2b^2} e^{i\omega_0(t-nT)} e^{in\Delta\phi}$$

but with finite bounds on our sum. Thankfully we can still rewrite it as a convolution:

$$f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(t-\xi)^2/2b^2} e^{i\omega_0(t-\xi)} \sum_{n=-N}^N \delta(\xi - nT) d\xi$$

Our pulses have the same form as before, but our comb is much shorter this time.

$$\mathfrak{F}[\text{pulse}](\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2/2b^2} e^{i\omega_0 t} dt = b e^{-(\omega-\omega_0)^2/2b^2}$$

$$\mathfrak{F}[\text{small comb}](\omega) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \mathfrak{F}\delta(\xi - nT) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega\xi} \delta(\xi - nT) d\xi$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{-in\omega T} = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\sin(N + \frac{1}{2})\omega T}{\sin \omega T/2}$$

In the book they use Poisson's sum formula, here we are not so lucky as the bounds are finite.

Combining now our expressions for the Fourier transform we get:

$$\mathfrak{F}[f](\omega) = \sqrt{2\pi} b e^{-(\omega-\omega_0)^2/2b^2} \frac{\sin(N + \frac{1}{2})\omega T}{\sin \omega T/2}$$

Thus in the end the spectral envelope ends up being the same as it was determined by the amplitude. The spectral lines portion though, is now just a finite approximation. Notably it is a function and has not achieved yet distribution status. The spectral lines in this case wobbles much more and has support on the whole real line and not just at integerl multilpes of 2π plus a $\Delta\phi$ factors.

2.3 Problem 10.

Verify that

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(t-nT)^2/2b^2} e^{i\omega_0(t-nT)}$$

is a periodic function of t, and that $f(t+T) = f(t)$. Find the full 4-ier representation

$$f(t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_m e^{i\omega_m t}$$

of f by determing ω_m and c_m .

Verifying periodicity is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t+T) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(t+T-nT)^2/2b^2} e^{i\omega_0(t+T-nT)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(t-(n-1)T)^2/2b^2} e^{i\omega_0(t-(n-1)T)} \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(t-nT)^2/2b^2} e^{i\omega_0(t-nT)} = f(t) \end{aligned}$$

Now to find the 4-ier representation: We know from the work done in the book that

$$\mathfrak{F}[f](\omega) = \sqrt{2\pi} b e^{-(\omega-\omega_0)^2/2b^2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(\omega T - 2\pi m)$$

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i2\pi n t} \mathfrak{F}f(2\pi n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i2\pi n t}$$

2.4 Orthonormal Wave Packet Representation

2.4 Problem 1.

Consider the set of functions:

$$\left\{ P_{jl}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} e^{2\pi i l \omega / \epsilon} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega, \quad j, l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \right\}$$

- a) Show that these wave packets are orthonormal
- b) Show that these wave packets form a complete set.

a)

b)

2.4 Problem 2.

Consider the wave packet

$$Q_{jl}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{(j-1/2)\epsilon}^{(j+1/2)\epsilon} e^{i\omega t} e^{-2\pi i l \omega / \epsilon} d\omega$$

Express the summed wave packets:

a)

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{jl}(t)$$

b)

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{jl}(t)$$

c)

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{jl}(t)$$

in terms of appropriate Dirac delta DISTRIBUTIONS if necessary.

a)

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{jl}(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{(j-1/2)\epsilon}^{(j+1/2)\epsilon} e^{i\omega t} e^{-2\pi i l \omega / \epsilon} d\omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} e^{-2\pi i l \omega / \epsilon} d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i\omega(t-2\pi l/\epsilon)} d\omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \sqrt{2\pi} \delta(t - 2\pi l/\epsilon)\end{aligned}$$

b)

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{jl}(t) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{(j-1/2)\epsilon}^{(j+1/2)\epsilon} e^{i\omega t} e^{-2\pi i l \omega / \epsilon} d\omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{(j-1/2)\epsilon}^{(j+1/2)\epsilon} e^{i\omega t} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i l \omega / \epsilon} d\omega$$

Now letting $y = -2\pi\omega/\epsilon$, $dy = -2\pi/\epsilon d\omega$, we can change variables and evaluate the sum:

$$\begin{aligned}&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{(j-1/2)\epsilon}^{(j+1/2)\epsilon} e^{i\omega t} \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{il(-2\pi\omega/\epsilon)} d\omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{(j-1/2)(-2\pi)}^{(j+1/2)(-2\pi)} e^{i\epsilon y/(-2\pi)t} \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ily} dy \frac{\epsilon}{-2\pi} \\ &= \frac{1}{-2\pi} \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_{(j-1/2)(-2\pi)}^{(j+1/2)(-2\pi)} e^{i\epsilon y/(-2\pi)t} \sqrt{2\pi} \delta(y) dy = \frac{1}{-2\pi} \sqrt{\epsilon} \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{|j| \leq 3} \delta_{j,0}\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \in [j - \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2}]$ iff $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$.

c) By the first part we immediatly see:

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{jl}(t) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \sqrt{2\pi} \delta(t - 2\pi l/\epsilon) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\epsilon}} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - 2\pi l/\epsilon)$$

*****DOUBLE CHECK

2.5 Orthonormal Wavelet Representation

2.6 Multiresolutions Analysis

2.6 Problem 1.

Show that

$$\overline{\bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_k} = L^2 \iff \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{V_k} f - f\| = 0$$

where P_{V_k} is the projection onto V_{-k} (the sign is flipped to make the limits easier to write) and the norm is the L^2 norm.

We go forward first:

$$\overline{\bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_k} = L^2$$

Thus given an $f \in L^2$, $\exists h_n$, st. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h_n - f\| = 0$, $h_n \in \bigcup V_k$. Now $\forall n \exists k$ st. $h_n \in V_k$. Now either $h_n = P_{V_k} f$ or $\|h_n - f\| \geq \|P_{V_k} f - f\|$ and we can replace h_n with the actual projection without making the approximation any worse. Obviously our new \bar{h}_n still converges and is made entirely of projections onto subspaces. Thus we have constructed the desired sequence. (We may need to additionally doctor the sequence and insert terms if h_k skipped many subspaces.)
 *****HATE HOW THIS IS WRITTEN*****g

Now we go backwards: Let f again be some function in L^2 , then $\|P_{V_k} f - f\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there exists some sequence $h_k = P_{V_k} f$ where $\|h_k - f\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Additionally $h_k \in V_k \forall k$ thus $\forall f \in L^2, f \in \overline{\bigcup V_k}$. The reverse inclusion is obvious and we are done.

2.6 Problem 2.

Show that

$$\bigcap_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_k = \{0\} \iff \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{V_k} f\| = 0$$

First we go forward:

Notice that $\|P_{V_k} f\|$ is a decreasing sequence and thus has some limit. Now suppose for contradiction that $\|P_{V_k} f\| \rightarrow \epsilon > 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Notice now that this is a Cauchy sequence in L^2 and thus there is some function $g \in L^2$ st. $\|P_{V_k} f - g\| \rightarrow 0$. Now we wish to show that $g \in V_k, \forall k$. Suppose it is not, there is some k_0 st. g is no longer in any of the V_k 's after k_0 . But then (since $\overline{\bigcap V_k} = \{0\}$) there would be some nonzero gap that emerges between $P_{V_k} f$ and g , namely that: $\|P_{V_k} f - g\| \geq d(V_k, g) = \epsilon_g > 0$. Thus $g \in V_k, \forall k$ but then $g \in \bigcap V_k$ which then means $g = 0$ and thus $\|P_{V_k} f\| \rightarrow \|g\| = 0$.

Then we go back:

We do this by contradiction, so suppose that $\bigcap_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_k \supsetneq \{0, g\}$ for some nonzero functions g . Then $\|g\| > 0$ and since $g \in \bigcap_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_k, \rightarrow g \in V_k \forall k$. Thus $g \in P_{V_k}$ for all k and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{V_k} g\| = \|g\| > 0$. This is a contradiction and we see that there is no g .

2.6 Problem 3.

a) Show that V_0 is discrete translation invariant, ie. whenever $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ that:

$$f(t) \in V_0 \iff f(t-l) \in V_0$$

b) Show that V_k is 2^k shift invariant, ie with $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ that:

$$f(t) \in V_0 \iff f(t-2^k l) \in V_0$$

a) Suppose $f \in V_0$ then $\exists \alpha_l$ st. $f(t) = \sum_l \alpha_l \phi(t-l)$. By the construction of the basis of V_0 . Notice that for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$f(t-k) = \sum_l \alpha_l \phi(t-k-l) = \sum_{m=k+l} \alpha_{m-k} \phi(t-m) = \sum_{m=k+l} \alpha'_m \phi(t-m)$$

Thus we still have an expansion for $f(t-l)$ in terms of the original basis.

b) Similar tricks:

$$f(t) \in V_k \Rightarrow f(2^k t) \in V_0 \Rightarrow$$

Now we remember that shifting by a constant value keeps you in V_0 . $f(2^k(t-j)) = f(2^k t - 2^k j) \in V_0$

Now scaling the t by 2^{-k} will get us back to V_k , that is: $f(t-2^k j) \in V_k$.

2.6 Problem 4.

zt

3 Strum-Liouville Theory

3.3 Strum-Liouville Systems

3.3 Problem 1.

a) Show that any equation of the form

$$u'' + b(x)u' + c(x)u = 0$$

can always be brought into the Shrodinger form:

$$v'' + Q(x)v = 0$$

Apply this result to obtain the Schrodinger form for:

b)

$$u'' - 2xu' + \lambda u = 0$$

c)

$$x^2 u'' + xu' + (x^2 - \nu^2)u = 0$$

d)

$$xu'' + (1 - x)u' + \lambda u = 0$$

e)

$$(1 - x^2)u'' - xu' + \alpha^2 u = 0$$

f)

$$(pu')' + (q + \lambda r)u = 0$$

g)

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \sin \theta \frac{d}{d\theta} + l(l+1) - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right] u = 0$$

3.3 Problem 2.

Consider the S-L eigenvalue problem:

$$[Lu_n](x) = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 \right) u_n(x) = \lambda_n u_n(x), \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0$$

Show that the eigenvalues λ_n are nondegenerate, ie. show that, except for a constant multiple, the correpsonding eigenfunctions are unique.

3.3 Problem 3.

zt

3.3 Problem 4.

zt

3.3 Problem 5.

zt

3.3 Problem 6.

zt

3.3 Problem 7.

zt

4 Green's Function Theory

4.3 Pictorial Definition of a Green's Function

4.3 Problem 1.

a)

b)

4.3 The Totally Inhomogeneous Boundary Value Problem

4.3 Problem 1.

Let $L = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ with boundary

4.3 Problem 2.

zt