

Auditability model for Intent-based SDN Applications

Nicolas Herbaut , Camilo Correa , Jacques Robin
Centre de Recherche en Informatique
Université Paris 1 Panthón-Sorbonne
Paris, France

Raul Mazo
Lab-STICC
ENSTA Bretagne
Brest, France

I. CONFORMANCE CHECKING ALGORITHM

- \mathbb{I} The Intents model
- \mathbb{F} The Flows model
- \mathbb{T} Topology
- \mathbb{H} Hosts
- \mathbb{C} The security policy configuration
- S_b^f : the set of host pairs for which at least one host is blocked and flows are still in place in the topology
- S_c^f : the set of host pairs none of which is blocked by the configuration for which the connectivity flows are missing
- S_b^i : the set of hosts pairs for which at least one host is blocked and intents are not installed
- S_c^i : the set of host pairs none of which is blocked by the configuration for which the intents are not installed.

During the conformance-checking phase, we repeat algorithm 1 for each log and each SCO configuration, to report $S_b^f, S_c^f, S_b^i, S_c^i$

In algorithm 1, the $K_shortest_simple_path$ function is implemented from [1] with a complexity of $\mathcal{O}(KN^3)$, and generates all the non-looping path from two hosts, starting with the smallest. Assuming that there exist a cache of paths, the path lookup function complexity is assumed $\mathcal{O}(1)$. We can see that the conformance algorithm of flow conformance has $\mathcal{O}(KLN^2)$ with N the number of hosts and devices, K the number of simple paths between 2 hosts and L the average size of the K -shortest path, depending on the topology characteristics. The conformance checking of flow-based security and connectivity is at least cubic \square .

Intent conformance, on the other hand, is straightforward, since it involves looking up the intent list composed of host pairs to assure that no intent grant connectivity to a blocked host ($\mathcal{O}(n)$) and making sure that for each non-blocked host pair, there exist an intent ($\mathcal{O}(n^2)$). The conformance checking of intent-based security and connectivity is quadratic \square .

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Y. Yen, " Finding the K Shortest Loopless Paths in a Network ," *Management Science*, vol. 17, no. 11, pp. 712–716, jul 1971.

```

Data: ( $\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{I}, \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ )
Result:  $S_b^f, S_c^f, S_b^i, S_c^i$ 
 $C, \bar{C}, S_b^f, S_c^f, S_b^i, S_c^i \leftarrow \{\}$  ;
for ( $h_{src}, h_{dst}$ )  $\in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \setminus \{h_{src} \neq h_{dst}\}$  do
  if "block  $h_{src} h_{dst}$ "  $\in \mathbb{C}$  then
     $\bar{C} \leftarrow \bar{C} \cup \{(h_{src}, h_{dst})\}$  ;
  else
     $C \leftarrow C \cup \{(h_{src}, h_{dst})\}$  ;
  end
  for  $p \in K\_shortest\_simple\_path(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}, h_{src}, h_{dst})$  do
    connected  $\leftarrow$  true ;
    for ( $s, d$ )  $\in p$  do
      if  $f_{s,d} \notin \mathbb{F}$  then
        connected  $\leftarrow$  false ;
        continue outer For;
      end
    end
    if connected  $\wedge (h_{src}, h_{dst}) \in \bar{C}$  then
       $S_b^f \leftarrow S_b^f \cup \{(h_{src}, h_{dst})\}$ 
    else if  $\neg$  connected  $\wedge (h_{src}, h_{dst}) \in C$  then
       $S_c^f \leftarrow S_c^f \cup \{(h_{src}, h_{dst})\}$ 
    end
  end
if  $\mathbb{I} \neq \{\}$  then
  for ( $h_{src}, h_{dst}$ )  $\in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \setminus \{h_{src} \neq h_{dst}\}$  do
    if ( $h_{src}, h_{dst}$ )  $\in \mathbb{I} \wedge (h_{src}, h_{dst}) \in \mathbb{C}$  then
       $S_b^i \leftarrow S_b^i \cup \{(h_{src}, h_{dst})\}$ 
    else if ( $h_{src}, h_{dst}$ )  $\notin \mathbb{I} \wedge (h_{src}, h_{dst}) \in \bar{C}$  then
       $S_c^i \leftarrow S_c^i \cup \{(h_{src}, h_{dst})\}$ 
    end
  end
end

```

Algorithm 1: Conformance checking algorithm