

# Data Science–NECC Proposal

## Introduction

The Northwest Atlantic is a highly productive ecosystem with diverse environments. It holds very high economic value as well with commercial fisheries cumulatively worth \$1.3 billion (Fisheries 2021a, 2021b). However, while the region is a focal point for marine commerce, it is also a central location in the progressive climate change occurring around the world. The Gulf of Maine, the northern end of the Northwest Atlantic, is warming faster than 99.9% of the world's oceans (Pershing et al. 2015). The water body is fed primarily by cool Arctic waters, a region showing strong signals of climate change, that circulate in the deep basins, allowing them warm further. The Gulf of Maine is also linked to the south to the regions of the Georges Bank and Long Island Sound of Southern New England and the Mid-Atlantic Bight further south. These waters have historically been connected transiently, but have shown increasing homogenization in recent years.

As the Gulf of Maine circulating waters allow it to warm more quickly than its southern neighbors, the thermal conditions of this northern region have become more similar to past and present conditions of those areas. As such, recent decades have shown a rapid onset of species encroaching into the Gulf of Maine that had, historically, been seasonal visitors at most. New arrivals or expanding populations include those of the Atlantic blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*), black sea bass (*Centropristes striata*), butterfish (*Peprilus triacanthus*), tautog (*Tautoga onitis*), and longfin squid (*Doryteuthis pealeii*) among many more. Similarly, species that have been historically abundant and important contributors to the Gulf of Maine ecosystem have shown appreciable declines due, at least in part, to climate change. These struggling species of the region include Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*), Northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*), Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), with the collapse of American lobster (*Americanus homarus*) on the horizon in the eyes of many researchers. The reason for these changes in species' abundance within the region is due to the widespread pattern of range shifts experienced by marine organisms.

Species, both in marine and terrestrial ecosystems, rely on habitable conditions that are specific to their needs. Due to progressive climate change, the conditions of a local area may become uninhabitable for a species or individual that previously were. This change can result in contractions of a population's range. However, as condition changes are global, it is probable that an area previously uninhabitable becomes habitable for that same species allowing an expansion of their range. These two components, a push and pull on a population's range, can happen simultaneously resulting in an other shift in the areas used by a species. Work has shown that the expansion or leading edge of range shifts responds more quickly to climate change than does contraction or the lagging edge (Fredston-Hermann et al. 2020). While the general case, this directional shift in population ranges is species-specific (Fredston-Hermann et al. 2020) with species moving at different rates and even different directions (Chen et al. 2011; Pinsky, Selden, and Kitchel 2020). Even further, many species instead seek cooler water temperatures by deepening their distribution (Dulvy et al. 2008). This plurality of responses exists both around the globe as well as within a shared community (Pinsky, Selden, and Kitchel 2020); disconnecting previously interconnected communities that had been based on overlapping distributions of species. It is theorized that climate influences marine habitat suitability more strongly at the cold, leading edge whereas biotic interactions dominate at warmer, trailing edges (Fredston-Hermann et al. 2020). These two components of species range may, additionally, interact to produce a 'double whammy' for marine populations.

Fish, like many marine organisms, are poikilothermic meaning their body temperatures are able to range widely, predominantly due to changes in their environmental temperature. Due to this substantial influence of climate conditions on the internal conditions of the individual, the physiology of fishes can range widely. Though non-linear and species-specific, a universally positive interaction of temperature and metabolic demand exists for fish. Therefore, with the rising temperatures fish experience, they are expected to increase

their metabolism. Such increases require additional forage to maintain consistent individual growth and broader population biomass, though adaptations exist to reduce this pressure (Auer et al. 2015). However, forage may also be struggling to maintain biomass with increased metabolisms, declining in energy density, or shifting to new locations that are less accessible for a predator. Therefore, as a global phenomenon climate change can influence predators both directly—by physiological demands of the species and indirectly—by similarly impacting lower trophic levels. As such, predators are expected and shown to feel greater threats due to climate change than species lower in trophic level and/or with shorter generation times (Thackeray et al. 2010).

As marine communities are subject to a variety of changes with complex interactions, there is potential for changes in diets of the fish predators within these communities. My objective is to conduct retrospective analyses on the diets of important predators that represent a variety of taxa and showcasing a range spatial responses. Using historical diet contents from these predators across a broad spatial area allows spatio-temporal analyses to characterize the magnitude and direction of foraging changes. Defining the patterns in dietary shifts can provide additional metrics—beyond reproductive, mobility, and habitat traits previously employed (Hare et al. 2016)—to characterize the vulnerability of niches or taxa to climate change. Ultimately, informing fishers and managers about the community-wide dietary changes impact the vulnerability of those large predatory fishes that are commonly harvested can aid in their conservation and help to stabilize the community in the future.

## Methods

NMFS Bottom Trawl Survey and Food Habits Database

## Discussion

The predators show signs of predation upon each other, however this is largely focused on Silver Hake, even when that requires cannibalism (Figure 5).

## Figures

## References

- Auer, Sonya K., Karine Salin, Agata M. Rudolf, Graeme J. Anderson, and Neil B. Metcalfe. 2015. “Flexibility in Metabolic Rate Confers a Growth Advantage Under Changing Food Availability.” *Journal of Animal Ecology* 84 (5): 1405–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12384>.
- Chen, I. Ching, Jane K. Hill, Ralf Ohlemüller, David B. Roy, and Chris D. Thomas. 2011. “Rapid Range Shifts of Species Associated with High Levels of Climate Warming.” *Science* 333 (6045): 1024–26. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1206432>.
- Dulvy, Nicholas K., Stuart I. Rogers, Simon Jennings, Vanessa Stelzenmüller, Stephen R. Dye, and Hein R. Skjoldal. 2008. “Climate Change and Deepening of the North Sea Fish Assemblage: A Biotic Indicator of Warming Seas.” *Journal of Applied Ecology* 45 (4): 1029–39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01488.x>.
- Fisheries, NOAA. 2021a. “2021 State of the Ecosystem: Mid-Atlantic.”
- . 2021b. “2021 State of the Ecosystem: New England.”
- Fredston-Hermann, Alexa, Rebecca Selden, Malin Pinsky, Steven D. Gaines, and Benjamin S. Halpern. 2020. “Cold Range Edges of Marine Fishes Track Climate Change Better Than Warm Edges.” *Global Change Biology* 26 (5): 2908–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15035>.
- Hare, Jonathan A., Wendy E. Morrison, Mark W. Nelson, Megan M. Stachura, Eric J. Teeters, Roger B. Griffis, Michael A. Alexander, et al. 2016. “A Vulnerability Assessment of Fish and Invertebrates to Climate Change on the Northeast U.S. Continental Shelf.” Edited by Jan Geert Hiddink. *PLOS ONE* 11 (2): e0146756. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146756>.




Figure 1: Map of the trawl positions overlaid by the NMFS Statistical areas.




Figure 2: Number of diets collected for each of 9 target predator species by the National Marine Fisheries Service during the annual Spring-Fall Bottom Trawl Survey since 1973. Differences represent predator availability as well as research prioritization, both of which have varied over time. The minimum number of diets collected by a season of trawls is noted in each panel, along with the season in which it occurred. If the minimum number of diets for a species occurred in multiple seasons, the number of seasons is listed instead.




Figure 3: Number of unique prey names at each level of resolution. General, Analytical, and Collection scales refer to groupings provided by the National Marine Fisheries Service; Prey name is the most specific a visual observer could identify an item to; and cf. Garrison and Link, 2000 refers to that grouping used in their feeding guild analyses.




Figure 4: Percentage of stomachs that are empty over time for each of the predator species in each of the geographic regions. The rightmost columns represents the cumulative across regions for each species. The bottom row represents the cumulative across species for each region. Horizontal red lines in each show the mean across all years for that subset. Regions are ordered by increasing latitude from left to right, species are ordered by increasing percentage of empty stomachs from top to bottom.




Figure 5: Proportion of consumption for each predator species of the other predator species, including cannibalism. Red lines represent the total proportion on self and other target predator species. Prey names include the number of instances of predation upon that species. Note square-root scale for proportions.

- Pershing, Andrew J., Katherine E. Mills, Nicholas R. Record, Karen Stamieszkin, Katharine V. Wurtzell, Carrie J. Byron, Dominic Fitzpatrick, Walter J. Golet, and Elise Koob. 2015. “Evaluating Trophic Cascades as Drivers of Regime Shifts in Different Ocean Ecosystems.” *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 370 (1659): 20130265. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0265>.
- Pinsky, Malin L., Rebecca L. Selden, and Zoë J. Kitchel. 2020. “Climate-Driven Shifts in Marine Species Ranges: Scaling from Organisms to Communities.” *Annual Review of Marine Science* 12 (1): 153–79. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-010916>.
- Thackeray, Stephen J., Timothy H. Sparks, Morten Frederiksen, Sarah Burthe, Philip J. Bacon, James R. Bell, Marc S. Botham, et al. 2010. “Trophic Level Asynchrony in Rates of Phenological Change for Marine, Freshwater and Terrestrial Environments.” *Global Change Biology* 16 (12): 3304–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02165.x>.