### PURDUE UNIVERSITY

CS 699

**Spring** 2016

# Research Thesis

Author:
Hai NGUYEN

 $Instructor: \\ Prof. \ Hemanta \ Maji$ 

 $March\ 1,\ 2016$ 

## Chapter 1

### Fourier Basics

### 1.1 Vector Space of Functions on Boolean Hyper-cube

**Definition 1.1** (Inner Product). Consider the  $2^n$ -dimensional vector space of all functions  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ . We define an inner product on this space by

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \mathbb{E}[f \cdot g] = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} f(x)g(x)$$

.

#### 1.2 Characteristic Functions

**Definition 1.2** (Characteristic function). For each  $S \subseteq [n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , we define the characteristic function of S as

$$\chi_S(x) = (-1)^{S \cdot x}$$
, where  $S \cdot x = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i \cdot x_i = \sum_{i \in S} x_i$ 

.

**Lemma 1.3.** For every  $S \subseteq [n]$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \chi_S(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if } S = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $S=\emptyset$ , then  $S\cdot x=0$ . So  $\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n}\chi_S(x)=\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n}1=2^n$ . If  $S\neq\emptyset$ , then there exists k such that  $S_k\neq0$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \chi_S(x) = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{\sum_{i \in S} x_i}$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} [(-1)^{x_k} \cdot (-1)^{\sum_{i \in S \setminus \{k\}} x_i}]$$

$$= \sum_{x_k \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x_k} \cdot \sum_{x \setminus x_k \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} (-1)^{\sum_{i \in S \setminus \{k\}} x_i}$$

$$= [(-1)^0 + (-1)^1] \sum_{x \setminus x_k \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} (-1)^{\sum_{i \in S \setminus \{k\}} x_i}$$

$$= 0$$

**Theorem 1.4.** For every  $S, T \subseteq [n]$ ,

$$\langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S = T \\ 0 & \text{if } S \neq T \end{cases}$$

Proof.

$$\langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{S \cdot x + T \cdot x} = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{(S\Delta T) \cdot x}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the symmetric different between two sets S and T.  $S\Delta T = \emptyset$  if and only if S = T. Hence, our goal follows immediately from Lemma 1.3.  $\square$ 

### 1.3 Fourier Basis

**Theorem 1.5.** The set of all  $\chi_S$  defines an orthonormal basis for the space of all real-valued function on  $\{0,1\}^n$ 

*Proof.* From Theorem 1.4, the set of all  $\chi_S$  is an orthonormal set. Also, there are  $2^n$  different  $\chi_S$ . Hence, the set of all  $\chi_S$  must be an orthonormal basis for the space of all real-valued functions on  $\{0,1\}^n$ .

The set of all  $\chi_S$  is called the *the Fourier basis*.

#### 1.4 Fourier Transform

**Definition 1.6.** For each  $S \subseteq [n]$ , we define the Fourier transform of f at S as following:

$$\widehat{f}(S) := \mathbb{E}[f \cdot \chi_S] = \langle f, \chi_S \rangle$$

**Definition 1.7** (Fourier transform). The mapping  $\mathcal{F}: f \mapsto \widehat{f}$  is called the Fourier transform.

If we view functions  $f, \hat{f}$  as N-dimensional vectors, then we can write the Fourier transform as the product of f and some matrix F as following:

$$\mathcal{F}(f) = f \cdot F$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} (f(0), f(1), \dots, f(N-1)) \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0(0) & \chi_1(0) & \dots & \chi_{N-1}(0) \\ \chi_0(1) & \chi_1(1) & \dots & \chi_{N-1}(1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \chi_0(N-1) & \chi_1(N-1) & \dots & \chi_{N-1}(N-1) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (\widehat{f}(0), \widehat{f}(1), \dots, \widehat{f}(N-1))$$

$$= \widehat{f}$$

where  $F_{ij} = \frac{\chi_i(j)}{N}$ . Since  $\chi_i(j) = \chi_j(i)$ , F is symmetric.

**Lemma 1.8.** F is invertible

*Proof.* We have

$$(F \cdot F)_{ij} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \langle \chi_i(k), \chi_j(k) \rangle$$

Based on Theorem 1.4, it is easy to see that

$$(F \cdot F)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N^2} & \text{if } i = j\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So  $F \cdot F = N^2 \cdot I$ , which implies that F is invertible

**Theorem 1.9.** The mapping  $\mathcal{F}$  is linear.

*Proof.* This follows from the properties of inner product. For any S,

$$\widehat{af+bg}(S) = \langle af+bg, \chi_S \rangle = \langle af, \chi_S \rangle + \langle bg, \chi_S \rangle = a\langle f, \chi_S \rangle + b\langle g, \chi_s \rangle = a\widehat{f}(S) + b\widehat{g}(S)$$

Thus,  $\widehat{af+bg} = \widehat{af} + b\widehat{g}$ , which means  $\mathcal{F}$  is linear.

Here is another way to show that.

$$\widehat{af+bg} = \mathcal{F}(af+bg) = (af+bg)F = afF + bgF = a(fF) + b(gF) = a\widehat{f} + b\widehat{g}$$

**Theorem 1.10.** The linear map  $\mathcal{F}$  is a bijection.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that F is invertible, which follows immediately from Lemma 1.8.

### 1.5 Parseval's Identity

Since the set of  $\chi_S$  forms an orthonormal basis,

$$f = \sum_{S} \widehat{f}(S)\chi_{S}. \tag{1.1}$$

Hence,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{S} \widehat{f}(S)\widehat{g}(S)$$
 (1.2)

In particular, when f = g we get Parseval's identity:

$$||f||_2^2 = \sum_{S} \widehat{f}(S)^2 \tag{1.3}$$

This also implies:

$$||f - g||_2^2 = \sum_{S} (\widehat{f}(S) - \widehat{g}(S))^2$$
(1.4)

#### 1.6 Convolution

**Definition 1.11.** Given any two function f and  $g: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , the convolution of  $f * g: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$(f * g)(x) := \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} f(x \oplus y)g(y)$$

**Theorem 1.12.** If X and Y are n-bits random independent variables with probability distributions f and g, respectively, then  $2^n(f*g)$  is the distribution of the random variable  $Z = X \oplus Y$ .

Proof.

$$\begin{split} Pr[Z = z] &= Pr[X = z \oplus Y] \\ &= \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} Pr[X = z \oplus y | Y = y] \\ &= \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} Pr[X = z \oplus y] \cdot Pr[Y = y] \\ &= \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} f((z \oplus y) \cdot g(y) \\ &= 2^n (f * g)(z) \end{split}$$

**Theorem 1.13.** For every  $S \subseteq [n]$ ,

$$\widehat{f * g}(S) = \widehat{f}(S) \cdot \widehat{g}(S)$$

Proof.

$$\widehat{f * g}(S) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x} (f * g)(x) \chi_S(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x} \left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y} f(x \oplus y) g(y) \right) \chi_S(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sum_{x} \sum_{y} f(x \oplus y) g(y) \chi_S(x \oplus y) \chi_S(y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x} f(x \oplus y) \chi_S(x \oplus y) \left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y} g(y) \chi_S(y) \right)$$

$$= \widehat{f}(S) \cdot \widehat{g}(S)$$

Intuitively, the convolution f \* g is the product of the Fourier transforms of f and g.

**Theorem 1.14.** Let V be a subspace of dimension k of  $\{0,1\}^n$  and let  $V^{\perp}$  be the dual of V. Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^k} & if \ x \in V \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\widehat{f}(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} & \text{if } S \in V^{\perp} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_k$  is a basis of V.

Claim 1.15.  $V = \langle v_1 \rangle \oplus \langle v_2 \rangle \oplus ... \oplus \langle v_k \rangle$ 

Claim 1.16.  $\langle v_1 \rangle^{\perp} \cap ... \cap \langle v_k \rangle^{\perp} = \langle v_1, ..., v_k \rangle^{\perp} = V^{\perp}$ 

Let 
$$f_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } S \in \langle v_i \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
, then  $\widehat{f}_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} & \text{if } S \in \langle v_i \rangle^{\perp} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ .

From above claims, we immediately obtain following result.

Claim 1.17.  $f = f_1 \oplus f_2 \oplus ... \oplus f_k$ 

Hence,

$$\widehat{f}(S) = N^{k-1}\widehat{f}_1(S)...\widehat{f}_k(S)$$

If  $S \in V^{\perp}$ , then  $S \in \langle v_i \rangle^{\perp}$  for every i, so  $\widehat{f}(S) = N^{k-1} \cdot (\frac{1}{N})^k = \frac{1}{N}$ . If  $S \notin V^{\perp}$ , then there exits some i such that  $S \notin \langle v_i \rangle^{\perp}$ , which implies  $\widehat{f}_i(S) = 0$ . Hence,  $\widehat{f}(S) = 0$ 

## Chapter 2

# Min Entropy

Let  $X = (x_0, x_1, ..., x_{N-1})$  be a distribution function of a random variable over  $\{0, 1\}^n$ , where  $N := 2^n$ .

**Definition 2.1** (Min Entropy). We define the min entropy of X as follow.

$$H_{\infty}(X) := \min_{i} (-\log x_i)$$

This implies that if  $H_{\infty}(X) \geq k$  then  $x_i \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq N-1$ 

**Theorem 2.2** (Collision Probability). If we sample X twice, then the probability we get the same result, denoted Col(X), is  $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x_i^2 = N \cdot ||X||_2^2$ .

**Definition 2.3** (Flat Distribution). A probability distribution function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to (0,1)$  is a T-flat if there  $\exists S \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$  such that |S| = T and  $f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{T} & \text{if } x \in S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

**Lemma 2.4.** For every  $\alpha \geq \beta$ , every  $\alpha$ -flat distribution can be written as the sum of  $\beta$ -flat distributions.

**Theorem 2.5.** For every integer  $k \geq 0$ , if  $H_{\infty}(X) \geq k$ , then  $X = \sum \alpha_i X_i$ , where each  $X_i$  is a  $2^k$ -flat,  $\alpha_i \in [0,1]$  for every i, and  $\sum_i \alpha_i = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let S be the set of all the probability distributions X with  $H_{\infty}(X) \geq k$ , then S is a compact (closed and bounded) convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Claim 2.6. The set of all  $2^k$ -flat distributions is the set of all extreme points of S.

First, every  $2^k$ -flat distribution X is an extreme point of S since if  $X = \alpha Y + (1 - \alpha)Z$  for some  $Y, Z \in S$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , then X = Y = Z. Next, we want to show that for any X, which is not a  $2^k$ -flat distribution, X is not an extreme point of S. Since X is not a  $2^k$ -flat distribution, there exist i < j such that  $0 < x_i, x_j < \frac{1}{2^k}$ . So we can choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x_i + \delta, x_j + \delta \le \frac{1}{2^k}$  and  $x_i - \delta, x_j - \delta \ge 0$ . Now let  $y_k = z_k = x_k$  for every  $k \ne i, k \ne j$ ,  $y_i = x_i + \delta, y_j = x_j - \delta, z_i = x_i - \delta$ , and  $z_j = x_j + \delta$ . Then  $Y, Z \in S$  and  $X = \frac{1}{2}Y + \frac{1}{2}Z$ , which implies that X is not an extreme point.

Back to the problem, since S is a compact convex set, the set of all convex combinations of its vertices is identical to S. Hence, every distribution X with  $H_{\infty}(X) \geq k$  can be written as a convex combination of k-flat distributions.

**Theorem 2.7.** If  $H_{\infty}(X) \geq k$ , then  $Col(X) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$ .

*Proof.* By theorem 2.5, we can write X as  $X = \sum_{i} \alpha_i X_i$ , where each  $X_i$  is a  $2^k$ -flat,  $\sum_{i} \alpha_i = 1$ , and  $\alpha_i \in [0,1]$  for every i. It is obvious that  $Col(X_i) = ||X_i||_2^2 = \frac{1}{2^k}$ . Collision functions are convex, so by Jensen's inequality,

$$Col(X) = Col\left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} X_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \cdot Col(X_{i}) = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \frac{1}{2^{k}} = \frac{1}{2^{k}} \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} = \frac{1}{2^{k}}$$

**Theorem 2.8.** If  $H_{\infty}(X) \geq k$ , then  $\sum_{S} \widehat{X}(S)^2 \leq \frac{1}{N \cdot 2^k}$ .

This follow immediately from the Parseval's identity.

**Definition 2.9** (Small Bias Distribution). Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a probability distribution function over  $\{0,1\}^n$ . We say that  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $\alpha$ -bias if  $\widehat{D}(S) \leq \frac{\alpha}{N}$ .

**Definition 2.10.** Statistical Different between two distributions A and B is defined as follow:

$$SD(A,B) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |a_i - b_i|$$

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a small bias distribution with  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(S) \leq \frac{\alpha}{N}$  for all S, let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a min entropy source such that  $H_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \geq k$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the uniform distribution over n-bits string. Then

$$SD(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{U}) \le \frac{\alpha\sqrt{N}}{2^{1+k/2}}$$

Proof.

$$SD(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{U}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{M})(i) - \mathcal{U}(i)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{N \sum_{i} [(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{M})(i) - \mathcal{U}(i)]^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{N^{2} \cdot ||(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{M}) - \mathcal{U}||_{2}^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \sqrt{\sum_{S \neq \emptyset} \widehat{\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{M}}(S) - \mathcal{U}(S)]^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \sqrt{\sum_{S \neq \emptyset} \widehat{\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{M}}(S)^{2}}$$

By convolution,

$$\sum_{S \neq \emptyset} \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \oplus \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(S)^2 = \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} N^2 \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{D}} * \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(S)^2$$

$$= N^2 \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(S)^2 \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(S)^2$$

$$\leq N^2 \cdot \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} (\frac{\alpha}{N})^2 \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(S)^2$$

$$= \alpha^2 \cdot \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(S)^2$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha^2}{N \cdot 2^k}$$

Hence,

$$SD(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{U}) \leq \frac{\alpha \sqrt{N}}{2^{1+k/2}}$$

**Theorem 2.12.** Let M be a distribution with min entropy k over  $\{0,1\}^n$ , let  $G_0, G$  be  $1 \times \frac{n}{2}$  and  $\frac{n}{2} \times n$  matrices respectively, and let X be a distribution over  $\{0,1\}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ . Then

$$SD\{(XG_0, XG \oplus M, G_0, G), (U, XG \oplus M, G_0, G)\} \le \frac{1}{2^k}$$

*Proof.* For simplicity, let  $A = (XG_0, XG \oplus M | G_0, G)$  and  $B = (U, XG \oplus M | G_0, G)$ .

$$SD\{(XG_0, XG \oplus M, G_0, G), (U, XG \oplus M, G_0, G)\}$$

$$= \underset{G_0, G}{\mathbb{E}} [SD(A, B)]$$

$$= \underset{G_0, G}{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} |A(i) - B(i)| \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \underset{G_0, G}{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \sqrt{2N \cdot \sum_{i} ((A(i) - B(i))^2} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{2N}}{2} \sqrt{\sum_{G_0, G} \left[ \sum_{i} (A(i) - B(i))^2 \right]}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2N}}{2} \sqrt{\sum_{G_0, G} \left[ (\widehat{A}(S) - \widehat{B}(S))^2 \right]}$$

Chapter 3
Bourgain's Extractor

# Appendix A

#### Dual of a Vector Space **A.1**

**Definition A.1** (Dual space). Let V be a subspace of  $\{0,1\}^n$ . We define the dual of V as  $V^{\perp} = \{ x \in \{0, 1\}^n | x \cdot v = 0 \ \forall v \in V \}.$ 

**Theorem A.2.**  $V^{\perp}$  is a subspace of  $\{0,1\}^n$ .

*Proof.* For any 
$$x, y \in V^{\perp}$$
,  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $(a \cdot x + y) \cdot v = a \cdot (x \cdot v) + y \cdot v = 0 + 0 = 0$ .

Lemma A.3. 
$$\sum_{i \text{ even}}^{t} \binom{n}{i} = \sum_{i \text{ odd}}^{t} \binom{n}{i} = 2^{t-1}.$$

**Theorem A.4.** For any subspace V of dimension k of  $\{0,1\}^n$ , there exists a unique dual space  $V^{\perp}$  of dimension (n-k).

*Proof.* We will show that  $|V^{\perp}| = 2^{n-k}$  by induction on k.

If k = 0, then  $V = \{0\}$ . Clearly,  $V^{\perp} = \{0, 1\}^n$ .

If k=1, let  $V=\{\vec{0},v\}$ . Suppose the number of  $v_i=1$  is t, then the number of x such that  $x\cdot v=0$  is  $\sum\limits_{i:2|t-i}\binom{n}{i}2^{n-t}=2^{t-1}\cdot 2^{n-t}=2^{n-1}$  by Lemma A.3.

Suppose that there exists a unique orthogonal subspace  $V^{\perp}$  of dimension (n-k+1) for any subspace V of dimension k-1 of  $\{0,1\}^n$ , where  $k \geq 2$ .

Let  $V = \langle v_1, v_2, ..., v_k \rangle$ ,  $S_1 = \langle v_1, v_2, ..., v_{k-1} \rangle$ , and  $S_2 = \langle v_k \rangle$ . Then,  $V^{\perp} = S_1^{\perp} \cap S_2^{\perp}$ .

Suppose  $dim(V^{\perp}) = t$ . We want to show t = n - k.

By induction hypothesis,  $dim(S_1^{\perp}) = n - k + 1$  and  $dim(S_2^{\perp}) = n - 1$ .

If  $t \leq n-k-1$ , then we need [(n-k+1)-t] independent vectors to cover  $S_1^{\perp}$  from extending  $V^{\perp}$ , and we need [(n-1)-t] independent vectors to cover  $S_2^{\perp}$  from extending  $V^{\perp}$ . Since  $S_1^{\perp} \cup S_2^{\perp} \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$ , we must have  $[(n-k+1)-t]+[(n-1)-t]+t \leq n$ , which is equivalent to  $t \geq n - k$ , contradiction.

If  $t \geq n-k+1$ , then  $S_1^{\perp} \subseteq S_2^{\perp}$ , this is impossible since  $v_k$  is independent from  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_{k-1}$ . Thus, t = n - k. So  $|V^{\perp}| = 2^{n-k}$ .

16 APPENDIX A.

### A.2 Statistical Different between Two Joint Distributions

**Theorem A.5.** Let A, B be some probability distributions over the same sample space, and let C be a probability distribution. Then

$$SD\{(A,C),(B,C)\} = \mathbb{E}_{c \sim C}[SD\{(A|C=c),(B|C=c)\}]$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} SD\{(A,C),(B,C)\} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,c} |(A,C)(i,c) - (B,C)(i,c)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,c} |Pr(C=c) \cdot Pr(A=i|C=c) - Pr(C=c) \cdot Pr(B=i|C=c)| \\ &= \sum_{c \sim C} \left( Pr(C=c) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |Pr(A=i|C=c) - Pr(B=i|C=c)| \right) \\ &= \sum_{c \sim C} Pr(C=c) \cdot SD\{(A|C=c), (B|C=c)\} \\ &= \underset{c \sim C}{\mathbb{E}} \left[ SD\{(A|C=c), (B|C=c)\} \right] \end{split}$$

#### A.3 Group Basics

#### A.3.1 Notation

We reverse the variable p to denote primes.

 $\mathbb{F}_p$  denotes the field of size p.

G denotes a finite abelian group.

 $\mathbb{C}$  denotes the set of complex numbers.

**Definition A.6.** We say  $\psi: G \to \mathbb{C}$  is a character if  $\psi$  is a homomorphism.

**Definition A.7.** We say a map  $e: G \times G \to \mathbb{C}$  is a bilinear map if it is a homomorphism in each variable.

**Theorem A.8.** For every abelian group G, there exists a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear  $e: G \times G \to \mathcal{C}$ 

#### A.3.2 Dual of a finite Abelian Group

**Theorem A.9.** Every nite abelian group G is isomorphic to its character group  $G^{\wedge}$