CIVE 3205

Example ACZO Elastic Local Buckling  $\left(\frac{bel}{t} \notin \frac{h}{w} > limit\right)$ Feb. 26, 2020

N.M. Holtz

Revisions:
Feb 26/20 - original posting.

Calculate the factored axial strength of a W360x64 of 350W steel. Fy = 350 MPa. Use Lx=6000 mm Ly = 3000 mm K=1.0

W360x64:

$$A = 8140 \text{ mm}^2$$
 $\Gamma_{x} = 148 \text{ mm}$ 
 $\Gamma_{y} = 48.1 \text{ mm}$ 

b= 203 mm t= 13.5 mm d-2t= 320mm w= 7.7 mm

i) local buckling

flange: 
$$\frac{bel}{t} = \frac{203}{2 \times 13.5} = 7.52$$

$$|\sin t| = \frac{200}{\sqrt{350}} = 10.7 > 7.52 \quad \text{o.k.}$$

web: 
$$\frac{h}{w} = \frac{320}{7.7} = 41.6$$
  
 $| imit = \frac{670}{\sqrt{350}} = 35.8 < 41.6$  N.G.

.. use \$13.3.5 to compute reduced capacity based on effective properties.

Method (a) - compute effective cross section properties.

web: 
$$\frac{h_e}{W} = \frac{670}{\sqrt{350}}$$
 $h_e = \frac{670}{\sqrt{350}} \times 7.7 = 275.8 \text{ mm.}$ 

(if web had this h it would meet the slenderness limits).

calc. section properties of W360x64 with 44.2 mm of web removed from X-section.

AC20-1 (continued)

W360x64: A=8140 mm²

reduced effective area

 $A_e = 8140 - 44.2 \times 7.7 = 7800 \text{ mm}^2$ 

Other section properties are to be of the gross x-section (see Commentary for \$13.3.5)

 $\frac{K_{x}L_{x}}{\Gamma} = \frac{1.0 \times 6000}{148} = 40.54$ 

 $\frac{K_{\gamma}L_{\gamma}}{V_{\gamma}} = \frac{1.0 \times 3000}{48.1} = 62.37$  = governs

 $F_{e^{2}} = \frac{\pi^{2} \times 200000}{67.37^{2}} = 507.4$ 

 $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{350}{507.4}} = 0.8305$  n = 1.34

Cr = \$AeFy (1- 2n)-1/n = 0.9 x 7800 x ·35 (1 + 0.8305<sup>2.68</sup>) 1.34

Cr= 1724 KN

Method (b) - compute effective Fy = Fye

 $\frac{h}{w} = \frac{670}{\sqrt{F_{ye}}}$   $F_{ye} = \left(\frac{670}{h/w}\right)^2$  $=\left(\frac{(320/3.7)}{(320/3.7)}\right)^{2}$ 

= 259.9 MPa

7.7 1 44.2mm - assummed in effective

 $F_e = \frac{\pi^2 \times 200000}{62.37^2} = 507.4$ 

 $\lambda_e = \sqrt{\frac{259.9}{507.4}} = 0.7157$ 

n = 1.34

Cr = 0.9 x 8 140 x .2599 (1+.7157 2.68)-1/1.34

 $C_r = 1475 \text{ kN}$ 

Discussion: there is a significant difference between the two methods. The designer is free to use whichever method she prefers; 516-09 does not specify that the minimum should be used.

For comparison if the strength was not reduced by the methods of \$13.3.5

 $C_r = \frac{8140}{7800} \times 1724 = 1799 \text{ kN}$ 

By method (a) we have a 4% reduction in strength