

**Assignment 2**

MATH 324 - Statistics  
 Prof. Masoud Asgharian  
 Winter 2019

**LE, Nhat Hung**

McGill ID: 260793376  
 Date: February 9, 2019  
 Due date: February 16, 2019

**9.3 p. 447**

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  denote a random sample from the uniform distribution on the interval  $(\theta, \theta + 1)$ .  
 Let

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{Y} - \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \hat{\theta}_2 = Y_{(n)} - \frac{n}{n+1}$$

a. Show that both  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2$  are unbiased estimators of  $\theta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_1] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{Y} - \frac{1}{2}\right] \\ &= \mu - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{\theta + (\theta + 1)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_2] &= \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{(n)} - \frac{n}{n+1}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Y_{(n)}] - \frac{n}{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f_{Y_{(n)}}(y)$  the density function of  $Y_{(n)}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{(n)}] = \int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} y f_{Y_{(n)}}(y) dy$$

$$f_{Y_{(n)}} = nF(y)^{n-1}f(y) = n\left(\frac{y-\theta}{(\theta+1)-\theta}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{(\theta+1)-\theta} = n(y-\theta)^{n-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_{(n)}] &= \int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} yn(y-\theta)^{n-1} dy \\ &= y(n-\theta)^n \Big|_{\theta}^{\theta+1} - \int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} (y-\theta)^n dy \\ &= \theta + 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \\ &= \theta + \frac{n}{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_2] &= \mathbb{E}[Y_{(n)}] - \frac{n}{n+1} \\
&= \theta + \frac{n}{n+1} - \frac{n}{n+1} \\
&= \theta \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

b. Find the efficiency of  $\hat{\theta}_1$  relative to  $\hat{\theta}_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[\hat{\theta}_1] &= \mathbb{V}\left[\bar{Y} - \frac{1}{2}\right] = \mathbb{V}(\bar{Y}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{\frac{((\theta+1)-\theta)^2}{12}}{n} = \frac{1}{12n} \\
\mathbb{V}[\hat{\theta}_2] &= \mathbb{V}\left[\bar{Y} - \frac{n}{n+1}\right] = \mathbb{V}[Y_{(n)}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[Y_{(n)}] &= \mathbb{E}[Y_{(n)}^2] - \mathbb{E}[Y_{(n)}]^2 = \mathbb{E}[Y_{(n)}^2] - \left(\theta + \frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 \\
\mathbb{E}[Y_{(n)}^2] &= \int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} y^2 n(y-\theta)^2 dy = (\theta+1)^2 - \frac{2(\theta+1)(n+2) - 2}{(n+1)(n+2)} \\
\mathbb{V}[Y_{(n)}] &= \mathbb{E}[Y_{(n)}^2] - \left(\theta + \frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 \\
&= (\theta+1)^2 - \frac{2(\theta+1)(n+2) - 2}{(n+1)(n+2)} - \left(\theta + \frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 \\
&= \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{V}[\hat{\theta}_2] = \mathbb{V}[Y_{(n)}] = \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}$$

and

$$\text{eff}(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{\mathbb{V}[\hat{\theta}_2]}{\mathbb{V}[\hat{\theta}_1]} = \frac{12n^2}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}$$

### 9.7 p. 447

Suppose that  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  denote a random sample of size  $n$  from an exponential distribution with density function given by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} (1/\theta)e^{-y/\theta}, & 0 < y, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

In Exercise 8.19, we determined that  $\hat{\theta}_1 = nY_{(1)}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  with  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_1) = \theta^2$ . Consider the estimator  $\hat{\theta}_2 = \bar{Y}$  and find the efficiency of  $\hat{\theta}_1$  relative to  $\hat{\theta}_2$ .

$$Y \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta)$$

Because  $\hat{\theta}_1$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[\hat{\theta}_1] &= \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_1) = \theta^2 \\
\mathbb{V}[\hat{\theta}_2] &= \mathbb{V}[\bar{Y}] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{\theta^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{eff}(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{\mathbb{V}[\hat{\theta}_2]}{\mathbb{V}[\hat{\theta}_1]} = \frac{1}{n}$$

**9.17 p. 456**

Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  are independent random samples from populations with means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and variances  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$ , respectively. Show that  $\bar{X} - \bar{Y}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{V}[\bar{X}] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{V}[\bar{Y}] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n} = 0$$

Therefore,  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  are consistent estimators of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ .

Because  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  converge in probability to  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ ,  $\bar{X} - \bar{Y}$  converges in probability to  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  (theorem 9.2 p. 451).

Therefore,  $\bar{X} - \bar{Y}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ .  $\square$

**9.18 p. 456**

In Exercise 9.17, suppose that the populations are normally distributed with  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \sigma^2$ . Show that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{2n - 2}$$

is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{2n - 2} = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n - 1} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{n - 1} \right) / 2$$

Let  $S_1^2 = \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 / (n - 1)$  and  $S_2^2 = \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 / (n - 1)$ .

$S_1^2$  and  $S_2^2$  are sample variances and estimators of  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  and are both estimators of  $\sigma^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[S_1^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n - 1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n - 1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum ((X_i - \mu) - (\bar{X} - \mu))^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n - 1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum ((X_i - \mu)^2 - 2(\bar{X} - \mu)(X_i - \mu) + (\bar{X} - \mu)^2) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n - 1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum (X_i - \mu)^2 - \sum 2(\bar{X} - \mu)(X_i - \mu) + \sum (\bar{X} - \mu)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n - 1} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum (X_i - \mu)^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ -2(\bar{X} - \mu) \sum (X_i - \mu) + n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2 \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n - 1} \left( \sum \mathbb{E} [(X_i - \mu)^2] + \mathbb{E} \left[ -2(\bar{X} - \mu) \left( \sum X_i - \sum \mu \right) + n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2 \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n-1} (n\sigma^2 + \mathbb{E} [-2(\bar{X} - \mu)(n\bar{X} - n\mu) + n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2]) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} (n\sigma^2 + \mathbb{E} [-2n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2 + n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2]) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} (n\sigma^2 - n\mathbb{E} [(\bar{X} - \mu)^2]) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} (n\sigma^2 - n(\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}^2] - 2\mu^2 + \mu^2)) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} (n\sigma^2 - n(\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}] + \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}]^2 - \mu^2)) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( n\sigma^2 - n \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 - \mu^2 \right) \right) \\
&= \sigma^2
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly with  $S_2^2$ , both  $S_1^2$  and  $S_2^2$  are therefore unbiased estimators of  $\sigma^2$ .

Without loss of generality, consider  $S_1^2$ .

$$X_i \sim^{\text{iid}} \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V} \left[ \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \right] &= 2(n-1) \Rightarrow \mathbb{V}[S_1^2] = \frac{2\sigma_1^4}{n-1} \\
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{V}[S_1^2] &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $S_1^2$  and  $S_2^2$  are consistent estimators of  $\sigma^2$ .

Therefore,  $(S_1^2 + S_2^2)/2$  is a consistent estimator of  $(\sigma^2 + \sigma^2)/2 = \sigma^2$ .  $\square$

### 9.33 p. 458

An experimenter wishes to compare the numbers of bacteria of types A and B in samples of water. A total of  $n$  independent water samples are taken, and counts are made for each sample. Let  $X_i$  denote the number of type A bacteria and  $Y_i$  denote the number of type B bacteria for sample  $i$ . Assume that the two bacteria types are sparsely distributed within a water sample so that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  can be considered independent random samples from Poisson distributions with means  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , respectively. Suggest an estimator of  $\lambda_1/(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ . What properties does your estimator have?

Take  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  sample means of type A bacteria and type B bacteria, which are unbiased and consistent estimators of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . Then

$$\bar{X}/(\bar{X} + \bar{Y})$$

is a consistent estimator of  $\lambda_1/(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ .

### 9.36 p. 458

Suppose that  $Y$  has a binomial distribution based on  $n$  trials and success probability  $p$ . Then  $\hat{p}_n = Y/n$  is an unbiased estimator of  $p$ . Use Theorem 9.3 to prove that the distribution of  $(\hat{p}_n - p)/\sqrt{\hat{p}_n \hat{q}_n/n}$  converges to a standard normal distribution. [Hint: Write  $Y$  as we did in Section 7.5.]

Write  $Y$  as the sum of a sample consisting of 1s and 0s

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i,$$

where  $X_i = 1$  if the  $i$ th trial is a success and 0 otherwise.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &\sim^{\text{iid}} \text{Bernoulli}(p) \\ \mathbb{E}[X_i] &= p \\ \mathbb{V}[X_i] &= pq \end{aligned}$$

Define  $U_n$  such that

$$U_n = \frac{\sum X_i - np}{\sqrt{pqn}} = \frac{Y - np}{\sqrt{pqn}} = \frac{\hat{p}_n - p}{\sqrt{pq/n}}$$

Then, from the Central Limit Theorem, the distribution of  $U_n$  converges to the standard normal distribution.

We want  $W_n$  such that

$$\frac{U_n}{W_n} = \frac{\hat{p}_n - p}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_n \hat{q}_n/n}} \Rightarrow W_n = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_n \hat{q}_n}{pq}}$$

Want to prove  $\hat{p}_n \hat{q}_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $pq$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\hat{p}_n] &= \frac{1}{n} \sum \mathbb{E}[X_i] = p \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{V}[\hat{p}_n] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{pq}{n} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\hat{p}_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $p$ , and  $\hat{q}_n = 1 - \hat{p}_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $1 - p = q$ .

Thus,  $\hat{p}_n \hat{q}_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $pq$ , and  $W_n$  converges in probability to 1.

Now, the distribution of  $U_n$  converges to the standard normal distribution and  $W_n$  converges in probability to 1. From theorem 9.3 p. 453,

$$\frac{U_n}{W_n} = \frac{\hat{p}_n - p}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_n \hat{q}_n/n}}$$

converges to a standard normal distribution.  $\square$

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  denote a random sample from a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ . Show by conditioning that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$$

is sufficient for  $\lambda$ .

Let  $U = \sum Y_i$ . Then, from independence of  $Y_i$

$$U \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n | U = u) &= \frac{P(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n)}{P(U = u)} \\ &= \frac{\prod \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!}}{\frac{e^{-n\lambda} (n\lambda)^u}{u!}} \\ &= \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum y_i}}{\frac{\prod y_i!}{e^{-n\lambda} (n\lambda)^u}} \\ &= \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^u}{\frac{\prod y_i!}{e^{-n\lambda} (n\lambda)^u}} \\ &= \frac{u!}{n^u \prod y_i!} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{u!}{n^u \prod y_i!}, & \text{if } \sum y_i = u, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$P(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n | U = u)$  does not depend on  $\lambda$ .

Therefore,  $U$  is sufficient for  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

#### 9.41 p. 463

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  denote a random sample from a Weibull distribution with known  $m$  and unknown  $\alpha$ . (Refer to Exercise 6.26.) Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^m$$

is sufficient for  $\alpha$ .

The Weibull density function is

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} m y^{m-1} e^{-y^m/\alpha}, & y > 0, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $U = \sum Y_i^m$ .

The likelihood is

$$L(\alpha) = \prod f(y_i | \alpha) = \left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)^n \prod y_i^{m-1} e^{-y_i^m/\alpha} = \alpha^{-n} e^{-u/\alpha} \times m^n \left(\prod y_i\right)^{m-1}$$

Let

$$g(u, \alpha) = \alpha^{-n} e^{-u/\alpha},$$

$$h(y_1, \dots, y_n) = m^n \left( \prod y_i \right)^{m-1}$$

Both  $g(u, \alpha)$  and  $h(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  are non negative.

Therefore, from the factorization criterion,  $U$  is sufficient for  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

### 9.65 p. 471

In this exercise, we illustrate the direct use of the Rao–Blackwell theorem. Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  be independent Bernoulli random variables with

$$p(y_i|p) = p^{y_i}(1-p)^{1-y_i}, \quad y_i = 0, 1.$$

That is,  $P(Y_i = 1) = p$  and  $P(Y_i = 0) = 1 - p$ . Find the MVUE of  $p(1-p)$ , which is a term in the variance of  $Y_i$  or  $W = \sum Y_i$ , by the following steps.

a. Let

$$T = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } Y_1 = 1 \text{ and } Y_2 = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show that  $E(T) = p(1-p)$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[T] = P(T = 1) = P(Y_1 = 1)P(Y_2 = 0) = p(1-p) \quad \square$$

b. Show that

$$P(T = 1|W = w) = \frac{w(n-w)}{n(n-1)}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(T = 1|W = w) &= \frac{P(T = 1, W = w)}{P(W = w)} \\ &= \frac{P(Y_1 = 1, Y_2 = 0, \sum_{i=3}^n Y_i = w-1)}{\binom{n}{w} p^w (1-p)^{n-w}} \\ &= \frac{P(Y_1 = 1)P(Y_2 = 0)P(\sum_{i=3}^n Y_i = w-1)}{\binom{n}{w} p^w (1-p)^{n-w}} \\ &= \frac{p(1-p) \binom{n-2}{w-1} p^{w-1} (1-p)^{(n-2)-(w-1)}}{\binom{n}{w} p^w (1-p)^{n-w}} \\ &= \frac{\binom{n-2}{w-1}}{\binom{n}{w}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{(n-2)!}{(w-1)!(n-w-1)!}}{\frac{n!}{w!(n-w)!}} \\ &= \frac{w(n-w)}{n(n-1)} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

c. Show that

$$E(T|W) = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[ \frac{W}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{W}{n} \right) \right] = \frac{n}{n-1} \bar{Y}(1 - \bar{Y})$$

and hence that  $n\bar{Y}(1 - \bar{Y})/(n-1)$  is the MVUE of  $p(1-p)$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[T|W] = P(T=1|W) = \frac{W(n-W)}{n(n-1)} = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[ \frac{W(n-W)}{n^2} \right] = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[ \frac{W}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{W}{n} \right) \right]$$

Want to show  $W$  is sufficient for  $p(1-p)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n | W = w) &= \frac{P(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n, W = w)}{P(W = w)} \\ &= \frac{p^w (1-p)^{n-w}}{\binom{n}{w} p^w (1-p)^{n-w}} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{w}}, & \text{if } \sum y_i = w, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The condition distribution of  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  given  $W$  does not depend on  $p(1-p)$ . Therefore,  $W$  is sufficient for  $p(1-p)$ .

$T$  is unbiased (from part a.).

Therefore,  $n\bar{Y}(1 - \bar{Y})/(n-1)$  is the MVUE of  $p(1-p)$ .  $\square$

### 9.86 p. 482

Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ , representing yields per acre for corn variety A, constitute a random sample from a normal distribution with mean  $\mu_1$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Also,  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$ , representing yields for corn variety B, constitute a random sample from a normal distribution with mean  $\mu_2$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . If the  $X$ 's and  $Y$ 's are independent, find the MLE for the common variance  $\sigma^2$ . Assume that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are unknown.

The likelihood for corn variety A is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu_1, \sigma^2) &= f(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_m = x_m | \mu_1 \sigma^2) \\ &= \prod f(X_i = x_i | \mu_1 \sigma^2) \\ &= (\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2})^{-m} \prod e^{-(x_i - \mu_1)^2 / (2\sigma^2)} \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-m/2} e^{\sum [(x_i - \mu_1)^2] / (-2\sigma^2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\ln L(\mu_1, \sigma^2) = -\frac{m}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \mu_1)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\mu_1, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu_1} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \mu_1)$$



$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\mu_1, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu_1} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \mu_1) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu_1 = \bar{X}$$

The MLE of  $\mu_1$  is therefore  $\bar{X}$ . Similarly, the MLE of  $\mu_2$  is  $\bar{Y}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} L(\sigma^2) &= f(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_m = x_m, Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n | \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m f(X_i = x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n f(Y_i = y_i) \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-(n+m)/2} e^{-\sum^m (x_i - \mu_1)^2 / (2\sigma^2)} e^{-\sum^n (y_i - \mu_2)^2 / (2\sigma^2)} \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-(n+m)/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left( \sum^m (x_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum^n (y_i - \mu_2)^2 \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\ln L(\sigma^2) = -\frac{n+m}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n+m}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left( \sum^m (x_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum^n (y_i - \mu_2)^2 \right)$$

$$\frac{d \ln L(\sigma^2)}{d \sigma^2} = \frac{-n-m}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \left( \sum^m (x_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum^n (y_i - \mu_2)^2 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \ln L(\sigma^2)}{d \sigma^2} &\Rightarrow \frac{-n-m}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^4} \left( \sum^m (x_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum^n (y_i - \mu_2)^2 \right) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow -n-m + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left( \sum^m (x_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum^n (y_i - \mu_2)^2 \right) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum^m (x_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum^n (y_i - \mu_2)^2}{n+m} \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in the MLEs of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ ,  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$ , the MLE of  $\sigma^2$  is therefore

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum^m (x_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum^n (y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{n+m}$$

### 9.90 p. 482

A random sample of 100 men produced a total of 25 who favored a controversial local issue. An independent random sample of 100 women produced a total of 30 who favored the issue. Assume that  $p_M$  is the true underlying proportion of men who favor the issue and that  $p_W$  is the true underlying proportion of women who favor of the issue. If it actually is true that  $p_W = p_M = p$ , find the MLE of the common proportion  $p$ .

Let  $Y$  the number of people in the sample favoring the issue.

Then, the likelihood is

$$L(p) = p^Y (1-p)^{n-Y}$$

The log-likelihood is

$$\ln L(p) = Y \ln p + (n-Y) \ln(1-p)$$

$$\frac{d \ln L(p)}{dp} = \frac{Y}{p} - \frac{n - Y}{1 - p}$$

$$\frac{d \ln L(p)}{dp} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{Y}{p} - \frac{n - Y}{1 - p} = 0 \Rightarrow p = \frac{Y}{n}$$

Therefore, the MLE of  $p$  is  $Y/n$ , and the sample MLE is  $(25 + 30)/(100 + 100) = 11/20$ .

**9.112 p. 487**

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  denote a random sample from a Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$  and define

$$W_n = \frac{\bar{Y} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\bar{Y}/n}}$$

a. Show that the distribution of  $W_n$  converges to a standard normal distribution.

From the Central Limit Theorem,

$$\frac{\bar{Y} - \mathbb{E}[Y_i]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}[Y_i]/n}} = \frac{\bar{Y} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}}$$

converges to a standard normal distribution.

Want  $U$  such that

$$\frac{\frac{\bar{Y} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}}}{U} = W_n \Rightarrow U = \sqrt{\bar{Y}/\lambda}$$

From the law of Large Numbers,  $U$  converges to 1 in probability.

Therefore,  $W_n$  converges to a standard normal distribution.  $\square$

b. Use  $W_n$  and the result in part (a) to derive the formula for an approximate 95% confidence interval for  $\lambda$ .

The standard error is

$$\sqrt{\bar{Y}/n}$$

Therefore, the 95% confidence interval for  $\lambda$  is

$$\bar{Y} \pm z_{0.025} \sqrt{\bar{Y}/n} = \bar{Y} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\bar{Y}/n}$$