potentially anomalous x-rays for future review. All of the flagged x-rays will be reviewed, but any abnormalities in x-rays that are not flagged by the system may be completely missed

Which of the following statements is most appropriate to this situation:

Recall is more important than sensitivity for this system.

Sensitivity is more important than precision for this system

Specificity is more important the sensitivity for this system.



In this case, we want the system to have high sensitivity/recall (which are equivalent) because we want to minimize the number of false negatives.

Question 3 1 / 1 point

A data scientist has designed a new regularizer called a "logarithmic L2 regularizer" that adds the following penalty to a loss function, based on the weight vector \mathbf{w} :

$$\mathrm{Err}_{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathrm{Err}(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \log_e(m+e) \parallel \mathbf{w} \parallel_2^2$$

where Err(w) denotes the unregularized error for a model, m denotes the number of features in the dataset, and e denotes Euler's number. Essentially, we have multiplied the standard L2 regularization term by a logarithmic term, based on m.

Now, suppose we run the logarithmic L2 regularizer and a standard L2 regularizer with standard gradient descent for linear regression on the exact same dataset and using the exact same value for
λ
. Which of the following statements is most appropriate:
✓ In terms of the bias-variance tradeoff, we would expect the logarithmic L2 regularizer to result in a higher bias model.
It is impossible to make a general statement.
In terms of the bias-variance tradeoff, we would expect the logarithmic L2 regularizer to result in a higher variance models.
The two approaches will result in identical solutions.
▼ Hide Feedback
We know that
$\log_e(m+e) > 1, \forall m > 1$
so the "logarithmic" term effectively increases the strength of the regularization, leading to a higher bias and lower variance model.
Question 4 1 / 1 point
True or False: In terms of the statistical bias-variance tradeoff, an extremely high bias model is equivalent to a model that is suffering from underfitting.
✓ True
False
▼ Hide Feedback
As discussed in lecture, a model that has very high bias in the bias-variance tradeoff is equivalent to underfitting.
Question 5 1 / 1 point
An employee at a movie production company is prototyping a Naive Bayes model to predict whether a movie will be successful (a binary classification task). So far in the prototype there are three binary features:
 fresh, which is 1 if the movie is "certified fresh" on Rotten Tomatoes and 0 otherwise. summer, which is 1 if the movie was released in the summer and 0 otherwise. rock, which is 1 if the movie is starring Dwayne "The Rock" Johnson and 0 otherwise.
Suppose the model is trained with Laplace add-one smoothing on the following data:
 success=1, [fresh=0, summer=0, rock=0] success=1, [fresh=0, summer=0, rock=0] success=1, [fresh=1, summer=1, rock=1] success=0, [fresh=0, summer=1, rock=0] success=0, [fresh=1, summer=0, rock=0]
Would this model predict success or failure for a movie with the following attributes: [fresh=0, summer=0, rock=1]
✓ Success
Failure
Impossible to tell (i.e., not enough information given)

▼ Hide Feedback

Using the notation from lecture, the maximum likelihood parameters for this model are:

$$\theta_1 = 3/5, \theta_{1,fresh} = 2/5, \theta_{1,summer} = 2/5, \theta_{1,rock} = 2/5, \theta_{0,fresh} = 1/2, \theta_{0,summer} = 1/2, \theta_{0,rock} = 1/4$$
 And from these we can get that

 $P(success=1|[fresh=0,summer=0,rock=1]) \propto \theta_1(1-\theta_{1,fresh})(1-\theta_{1,summer})\theta_{1,rock}=0.0864$ and that

$$P(success = 0 | [fresh = 0, summer = 0, rock = 1]) \propto \theta_0 (1 - \theta_{0, fresh}) (1 - \theta_{0, summer}) \theta_{0, rock} = 0.025$$

Attempt Score: 4 / 5 - 80 %

Overall Grade (highest attempt): 4 / 5 - 80 %

Done