

The Three-Dimensional Distribution of Galactic AGB Stars with ALLWISE

Nicholas M. Hunt-Walker, Zeljko Ivezic, Andrew C. Becker

University of Washington, Department of Astronomy, Seattle, WA 98195

`nmhw@uw.edu, ivezic@uw.edu, acbecker@uw.edu`

1. Introduction

The formation of galaxies like the Milky Way was long thought to be a steady process that created a smooth distribution of stars. This standard view was exemplified by the Bahcall & Soneira (1980) and Gilmore et al. (1989) models, described in detail by, e.g., Majewski (1993). It was further motivated by observations of other galaxies, as well as what little information was available from *High Precision Parallax Collecting Satellite* (HIPPARCOS, Kovalevsky 1984) and smaller pencil-beam surveys. In these, the Milky Way is modeled by three discrete components described by simple analytic expressions: the thin disk, thick disk, and halo.

The advent of the *Sloan Digital Sky Survey* (SDSS, York et al. 2000) alleviated these limitations, providing accurate digital multi-band optical photometry across a quarter of the sky, as well as the largest optical spectroscopic catalog thus far known. This new influx of data enabled the development and application of photometric parallax methods, using color-magnitude relations to estimate stellar distances. In turn, this led to the large scale “tomography” of the Milky Way via stellar distributions in the 7-dimensional space spanned by spatial coordinates (Jurić et al. 2008), velocity components (Bond et al. 2010), and metallicity (Ivezić et al. 2008). The resulting maps revealed rich, complex substructure in the distribution of the Milky Way’s stars (e.g. Ivezić et al. 2000; Yanny et al. 2000; Vivas et al. 2001; Newberg et al. 2002; Majewski et al. 2003; Belokurov et al. 2006; Grillmair 2006; Vivas & Zinn 2006), deeply shaking the existing view of the Galaxy.

In order to move forward from where SDSS tomography left off, we require observations that span an area larger than that of SDSS with Galactic objects that can be seen through interstellar dust out to large distances. Stars from the Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) are perfect candidates as probes to the Milky Way. AGB stars represent the last stage of evolution for stars between 0.8 and $8 M_{\odot}$ (Iben & Renzini 1983; Herwig 2005), so they are bound to reside throughout the galaxy wherever other stars are present. During this phase, they produce substantial stellar winds ($10^{-7} < \dot{M} < 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, Olofsson et al. 2002) rich in SiO and amorphous carbon as they progress through being oxygen-rich to being carbon-rich. These winds collect into circumstellar shells that, when warmed by the stellar photosphere, shine brightly in the near- and mid-infrared (NIR & MIR respectively).

The *Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer* (WISE, Wright et al. 2010; Cutri et al. 2012) is a space-based observatory that has imaged the entire sky in the MIR (3.4, 4.6, 12, and $22 \mu\text{m}$).

Additionally, WISE has been positionally matched to the Two-Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS), a four-year mission characterizing the full sky in the NIR. Thus, the WISE catalog presents with hundreds of millions of sources with photometry of unprecedented sensitivity in the NIR and MIR—ideal for capturing AGB stars at Galactic distances. In Section 2, we describe in detail the data that we use for our study.

In Section 3, we describe the color-color criteria used to isolate AGB stars in the WISE dataset, and the color-magnitude relationships that were derived for these stars from the Large Magellanic Cloud and the Milky Way bulge. In Section 4, we describe the spatial density distribution of AGB candidates in the Milky Way. Our conclusions can be found in section 5.

2. Data Sources and Reduction

2.1. Data Sources

In order to analyze the physical distribution of AGB stars in the IR, we require reliable identifications of known AGB stars to generate color-color selection criteria. We select AGB stars from three source catalogs: the *Optical Gravitational Lens Experiment-III Variable Star Catalog* (OGLE-III, Udalski et al. 2008; Soszyński et al. 2009; Soszyński et al. 2011), the *MAssive Compact Halo Objects* project (MACHO, Alcock et al. 1997), and the SIMBAD Astronomical Database (Wenger et al. 2000).

OGLE-III photometry for Long-Period Variables (LPVs) in the Small and Large Magellanic Clouds (SMC and LMC respectively) was obtained between July 2001 and May 2009, with stars in the central 4.5-deg² of the LMC and SMC having an additional 5 observing seasons of photometry from OGLE-II. O-rich and C-rich AGB stars in OGLE-III were photometrically selected using reddening-free Wesenheit magnitudes, described in Soszyński et al. (2009); Soszyński et al. (2011). Data reduction techniques are described in Udalski et al. (2008). The resulting samples yielded 46,467 AGB stars from the LMC (37,203 O-rich; 9,264 C-rich) and 6,509 stars from the SMC (3,727 O-rich; 2,782 C-rich).

From MACHO we obtain the sample of SMC, LMC, and Galactic Bulge AGB stars used in Fraser et al. (2008) (14,861 stars). Following Fraser et al. (2008), the objects are divided into sequences (seq) 1-4. Sequence 1 primarily contains Miras pulsating in their fundamental modes, whereas Sequences 2-4 contain semi-regular variables in various pulsation modes.

The sample of AGB stars from SIMBAD was obtained by querying all objects classified as C-stars (18,656), S-stars (1,108), OH/IR stars (825), AGB stars (2,359), and Mira variables (9,608), for a total of 32,556 stars. The total sample of AGB stars is 100,393. Objects are classified spectroscopically, though by a variety of methods owing to the heterogeneous data housed within SIMBAD.

Since SDSS is an optical survey of unprecedented extent and sensitivity, we use SDSS spectroscopic catalogs to select contaminant sources for the NIR-MIR color-color fields of AGB stars. Data for active galactic nuclei (AGN; 19,184 objects), quasi-stellar objects (QSOs; 122,550 objects), and star forming/burst galaxies (820,272 objects total) were drawn from SDSS DR7, specifically from the NYU Value Added Galaxy Catalog¹ (Blanton et al. 2005, VAGC). Luminous Red Galaxies (LRGs) were selected from the SDSS Luminous Red Galaxy Survey (105,631 objects, Kazin et al. 2010). Data for stars in the SDSS stellar locus were drawn from the DR 9 SEGUE Stellar Parameters Pipeline (SSPP) (1,843,190 objects, Ahn et al. 2012).

In this study, we rely heavily on data from the ALLWISE extension of the WISE survey, combining data from the initial All-Sky Data Release, the 3-band cryogenic data release, and the NEOWISE post-cryogenic data release (Cutri et al. 2013). The initial WISE All-Sky Data Release observed the sky between January and August 2010, observing the sky 1.2 times with four detectors, operating at 3.4, 4.6, 12, and 22 μm . Hereon we refer to ALLWISE photometric bands at $[3.4\mu\text{m}/4.6\mu\text{m}/12\mu\text{m}/22\mu\text{m}]$ as $[W1/W2/W3/W4]$. The positions of objects in the WISE catalog were calibrated to the 2MASS point source catalog. The 3-band cryogenic data release contains data from $W1$, 2, and 3, and surveyed 30% of the sky between August and October 2010. During the 3-band cryogenic survey, $W1$ and $W2$ operated with nearly the same sensitivity as during the full survey. Warming of the telescope reduced sensitivity in $W3$ and fully saturated $W4$. The NEOWISE post-cryogenic data release contains $W1$ and $W2$ measurements, with sensitivities close to those obtained during the full cryogenic phase. During this phase, WISE surveyed 70% of the sky. Data products from the post-cryogenic release included updated instrumental, astrometric, and photometric calibrations and reduction algorithms, resulting in much lower SNR. The overall total number of sources compiled into ALLWISE totals over 747.6 million.

2.2. Data Reduction

We use NASA/IPAC IRSA’s GATOR tool² to positionally match SDSS, OGLE-III, MACHO, and SIMBAD to ALLWISE. We select only matches within 3” between our main sample of known AGB stars and ALLWISE. All samples of AGB star matches were required to be brighter than the published 5σ faint limits of $[16.83/15.6/11.32/8.0]$, as well as fainter than the saturation limits of $[2.0/1.5/-3.0/-4.0]$ extrapolated from the wings of the PSFs for point sources, for $[W1/W2/W3/W4]$ (Cutri et al. 2013), with no confusion or contamination flags in $[W1/W2/W3/W4]$. We require only single associations with 2MASS objects within 3”, detections in 2MASS J , K_s , and all ALLWISE magnitudes as well as $\text{SNR} > 3$ in each ALLWISE band. The population for each sample from initial matching as well as after the application of the ALLWISE faint limits, saturation limits, and 2MASS detection requirements are shown in Table 1. The WISE color-color distributions for

¹<http://sdss.physics.nyu.edu/vagc/>

²<http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/Gator/nph-scan?mission=irsa&submit=Select&projshort=WISE>

the AGB and contaminant samples are shown in Figure 1.

Table 1: AGB and Contaminant Populations

Population	SIMBAD AGB*	C*	Mira	OH/IR	S*
3" match	1,689	14,209	9,027	406	1,081
Reduced	684	1,782	3,241	43	511
Population	MACHO seq1	seq2	seq3	seq4	
3" match	5,279	3,519	2,619	3,070	
Reduced	277	185	73	61	
Population	OGLE-III C-rich	O-rich			
3" match	11,542	38,848			
Reduced	249	730			
Population	DR12 SSPP	DR7 LRG	QSO	AGN	Galaxies
3" match	1,578,329	104,345	103,590	18,528	841,712
Reduced	67,508	84	3,977	1,069	44,314

3. Object Selection Criteria

We create color-color criteria to generate a catalog of AGB candidates. We seek to maximize AGB completeness while minimizing contamination from non-AGB objects to beneath the 1% level.

The color-color criteria for AGB selection are as follows:

$$(J - K_s) > 1.1 \quad (1)$$

$$(W2 - W3) > 0.3 \quad (2)$$

$$(W3 - W4) < -0.83(W2 - W3) + 3.37 \quad (3)$$

The criteria in (1) and (2) are primarily concerned with rejecting objects from the stellar locus, and other stars whose NIR spectra are dominated by the Rayleigh-Jeans tail (many covered by the sample of galaxies). (3) also rejects stars from the stellar locus, but primarily functions to remove IR-bright extragalactic sources.

Sample completeness η is defined as

$$\eta = \frac{N - n_{\text{missed}}}{N}$$

where N is the total number of objects in the sample, and n_{missed} is the number of objects outside of the applied boundaries. Figure 2 shows the distribution of sample completeness amongst AGB sources after the application of the above criteria. The vast majority (79.07%) of Galactic AGB stars is recovered after criteria (1), (2), and (3) are applied. The largest losses occur at the edges




Fig. 1.— Logarithmic number densities for objects in WISE and 2MASS color-color space, binned in 0.1 dex on each axis. *Left:* The combined AGB sample matched to ALLWISE. *Right:* The combined contaminant sample.

of the Galactic disk ($|b| \approx 10^\circ$) and in regions of high stellar number density both in the Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds. In the color-color diagrams, selection completeness degrades near the borders of the selection area, as objects that straddle these boundaries may exhibit enough emission in other color-color spaces to be removed by our criteria. Of the remaining sample of 5,709 objects, 52.94% lie within the disk ($|b| < 10^\circ$).




Fig. 2.— Sample selection completeness maps in (l, b) space (*top*) and the color-color space of our selection criteria (*bottom*). Color scale shows the completeness fraction per bin, with 4-deg^2 bins on the Galactic map and 0.1 dex bins on each axis of the color-color diagrams. Selection criteria are shown as dashed lines.

Ivezić et al. (2013) defines sample contamination as

$$\epsilon = \frac{n_{\text{spurious}}}{n_{\text{selected}}}.$$

where n_{spurious} is the number of spurious sources and $n_{\text{selected}} = N + n_{\text{spurious}}$. The contamination map is shown in Figure 3. Most bins in Figure 3 exhibit 0% contamination, with the overall contamination level at 0.38%. What contaminants do remain exist primarily at the very fringes of the AGB star distribution in $(J - K_s)$ vs $(W2 - W3)$ space and near the redder boundary in $(W3 - W4)$ vs $(W2 - W3)$, where bluer extragalactic sources creep into the selection region. The results of the applied criteria on both the collective AGB and contaminant samples are summarized in Table 2.




Fig. 3.— Sample contamination in Galactic (l, b) space (*top*) and color-color space (*bottom*). Boundaries and binning the same as Fig 2.

4. AGB Candidate Distribution

Put words here

5. Conclusions

Put words here

REFERENCES

- Ahn, C. P., et al. 2012, *Ap. J. Suppl.*, 203, 21
- Alcock, C., et al. 1997, *Ap. J.*, 482, 89
- Bahcall, J. N., & Soneira, R. M. 1980, *Ap. J. Suppl.*, 44, 73
- Belokurov, V., et al. 2006, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, 642, L137
- Blanton, M. R., et al. 2005, *A. J.*, 129, 2562

Table 2: Sample Selection Completeness and Contamination

Population	SIMBAD AGB*	C*	Mira	OH/IR	S*
Completeness	89.62%	72.11%	95.62%	39.53%	22.31%
Population	MACHO seq1	seq2	seq3	seq4	
Completeness	88.45%	81.08%	28.77%	14.75%	
Population	OGLE-III C-rich	O-rich	All AGB Stars		
Completeness	73.09%	70.68%	79.07%		
Population	DR12 SSPP	DR7 LRG	Galaxies	QSO	AGN
Contamination	0.56%	0.00%	0.00%	0.07%	0.00%

Bond, N. A., et al. 2010, *Ap. J.*, 716, 1

Cutri, R. M., et al. 2012, Explanatory Supplement to the WISE All-Sky Data Release Products, Tech. rep.

—. 2013, Explanatory Supplement to the AllWISE Data Release Products, Tech. rep.

Dyck, H. M., Lockwood, G. W., & Capps, R. W. 1974, *Ap. J.*, 189, 89

Fraser, O. J., Hawley, S. L., & Cook, K. H. 2008, *A. J.*, 136, 1242

Gilmore, G., Wyse, R. F. G., & Kuijken, K. 1989, *Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap.*, 27, 555

Grillmair, C. J. 2006, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, 651, L29

Herwig, F. 2005, *Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap.*, 43, 435

Iben, Jr., I., & Renzini, A. 1983, *Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap.*, 21, 271

Ivezić, Ž., Connolly, A., VanderPlas, J., & Gray, A. 2013, Statistics, Data Mining, and Machine Learning in Astronomy

Ivezić, Ž., et al. 2000, *A. J.*, 120, 963

—. 2008, *Ap. J.*, 684, 287

Jurić, M., et al. 2008, *Ap. J.*, 673, 864

Kazin, E. A., et al. 2010, *Ap. J.*, 710, 1444

Kovalevsky, J. 1984, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 39, 1

Majewski, S. R. 1993, *Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap.*, 31, 575

Majewski, S. R., Skrutskie, M. F., Weinberg, M. D., & Ostheimer, J. C. 2003, *Ap. J.*, 599, 1082

- Newberg, H. J., et al. 2002, *Ap. J.*, 569, 245
- Olofsson, H., González Delgado, D., Kerschbaum, F., & Schöier, F. L. 2002, *Astr. Ap.*, 391, 1053
- Soszyński, I., et al. 2009, *Acta Astronomica*, 59, 239
- Soszyński, I., et al. 2011, *Acta Astronomica*, 61, 217
- Udalski, A., Szymanski, M. K., Soszynski, I., & Poleski, R. 2008, *Acta Astronomica*, 58, 69
- Vivas, A. K., & Zinn, R. 2006, *A. J.*, 132, 714
- Vivas, A. K., et al. 2001, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, 554, L33
- Wenger, M., et al. 2000, *Astr. Ap. Suppl.*, 143, 9
- Wright, E. L., et al. 2010, *A. J.*, 140, 1868
- Yanny, B., et al. 2000, *Ap. J.*, 540, 825
- York, D. G., et al. 2000, *A. J.*, 120, 1579