

# Deriving Observational Constraints on the Milky Way's Disk using AGB Stars

Nicholas Hunt-Walker

November 6, 2014

## Table of Contents

<b>Main Science Drivers and Objectives</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Work Completed/In Progress</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Development of Initial Color-Color Criteria for Selecting AGB Stars . . . . .	3
2.2 Estimation of Distances to Candidates with GALFASTDUST . . . . .	3
2.3 The Milky Way in 3D and Disk Measurements . . . . .	5
<b>3 Work to be Completed</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 Investigate Unclassified AGB Candidates . . . . .	6
3.2 Comparison with TRILEGAL . . . . .	7
3.3 ALLWISE AGB Candidates and APOGEE . . . . .	9
3.4 Conclusions about Galactic Evolution with respect to SDSS and TRILEGAL	9
<b>4 Timeline</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>11</b>

# 1 Introduction


The formation of galaxies like the Milky Way was long thought to be a steady process that created a smooth distribution of stars. This standard view was exemplified by the Bahcall & Soneira (1980) and Gilmore et al. (1989) models, and described in detail by, e.g., Majewski (1993). In these, the Milky Way is modeled by three discrete components described by simple analytic expressions: the thin disk, thick disk, and halo. Recent discoveries of complex substructure in the distribution of the Milky Way’s stars (e.g. Ivezić et al., 2000; Yanny et al., 2000; Vivas et al., 2001; Newberg et al., 2002; Majewski et al., 2003; Belokurov et al., 2006; Grillmair, 2006; Vivas & Zinn, 2006; Jurić et al., 2008) have deeply shaken this standard view with indications of signs of rich substructure within the Milky Way Galaxy. This was due to the ability to map the Milky Way using the distances and positions of its own stellar residents. I propose to continue the mapping of the Milky Way in further detail, using the ubiquitous stars of the Asymptotic Giant Branch (hereon AGB).

## First Steps Toward Milky Way Tomography

Until recently, our knowledge of the basic structural components of the Milky Way was limited to indirect inferences based on stellar population models motivated by other galaxies, and what little information was available from the *High Precision Parallax Collecting Satellite* (HIPPARCOS; Kovalevsky, 1984) catalog and smaller pencil-beam surveys. The advent of the *Sloan Digital Sky Survey* (SDSS; York et al., 2000) alleviated this limitation, providing accurate digital multi-band optical photometry across a quarter of the sky, as well as the largest optical spectroscopic catalog thus far known. SDSS photometry enabled the development and application of photometric parallax methods, using color-magnitude relations to estimate stellar distances. In turn, this led to the large-scale “tomography” of the Milky Way via stellar distributions in the 7-dimensional space spanned by spatial coordinates (Jurić et al., 2008), velocity components (Bond et al., 2010), and metallicity (Ivezić et al., 2008). The resulting maps provided a quantitative basis for analysis of the main structural components of the Galaxy, enabled efficient searches for complex substructure, and a robust comparison with various model predictions (Berry et al., 2012; Ivezić et al., 2012). An example of these results is reproduced in Figure 1, showing a panoramic view of the variation in the median  $[Fe/H]$  over an unprecedentedly-large volume of the Galaxy.

**THIS STILL NEEDS WORK AND RESTRUCTURING**

FIGURE 0: The variation of the median photometric stellar metallicity in cylindrical Galactic coordinates  $R$  and  $|Z|$  for  $\sim 2.5$  million stars from SDSS with  $14.5 < r < 20$ ,  $0.2 < g - r < 0.4$  (blue turn-off stars), and photometric distance in the 0.8-9 kpc range. Each bin (50 pc by 50 pc) contained in this map is colored according to the legend in the top left. The gradient of the median metallicity is essentially parallel to the  $|Z|$  axis, except in the region of the Monoceros stream, as marked. The gray scale background is the best-fit model for the stellar number density distribution from Jurić et al. (2008). The inset in the top right illustrates the extent of the data volume relative to the rest of Galaxy, and the background image is the Andromeda Galaxy. The three squares outline the regions used to measure the 3-dimensional velocity distribution for halo stars. The arrows illustrate the variation of the velocity ellipsoid orientation, which always points toward the Galactic center. Adapted from Ivezić et al. (2012).



## 2 Work Completed/In Progress

The coverage of SDSS in the plane of the Galaxy, and even in the Galactic halo, is thus far limited to the regions targeted by the *Sloan Extension for Galactic Understanding and Exploration* (SEGUE, Berry et al., 2012). More detailed studies of Galactic structure need to rely on the extensive observational capabilities of surveys of the entire sky, preferably with instruments that either display weak sensitivity to dust extinction along the line of sight or are immune. The all-sky survey conducted by the *Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer* (WISE, Cutri et al., 2012) gives us the advantage of being able to observe the whole sky in the infrared (IR) at 3.4, 4.6, 12, and  $22\mu\text{m}$  with unprecedented depth and resolution. While the near- and mid-infrared (hereon NIR and MIR) are not immune to dust extinction along the line of sight, the influence of dust on observations is minimal as compared to optical wavelengths (Berry et al., 2012).

While observations in the NIR and MIR allow us to minimize the influence of dust extinction, and using WISE (particularly the latest data products released as ALLWISE) provides access to the entire sky, a proper survey of the Galaxy requires reliable objects that can be observed throughout the entirety of the Milky Way. AGB stars are the perfect objects to serve as those probes of the Galaxy. AGB stars with dusty circumstellar shells shine brightly in the IR, particularly with the SiO present in the shells of O-rich stars and the AmC in shells of C-rich stars (Ishihara et al., 2011). They are bright enough to be detected by ALLWISE not only at the Galactic center (even with dust extinction), but beyond out to the edge of the Galactic disk (Tu & Wang, 2013). They're also ubiquitous

throughout the Galaxy, being the evolutionary products of low- and intermediate-mass Main Sequence stars (Iben & Renzini, 1983). They have massive stellar winds that enrich their local Galactic neighborhood, and their chemical content can represent the metallicity of the region in which they reside. As such, our work here is first to obtain a clean, reliable sample of these stars within the Galaxy. Once obtained, we can then use the sample of ALLWISE AGB candidates in conjunction with high-confidence models of the Galaxy and of the consequences of AGB star evolution to describe the Milky Way in detail, parameterized by the physical characteristics of these AGB stars.

## 2.1 Development of Initial Color Criteria for Selecting AGB Stars

We begin by selecting a known sample of AGB stars and matching to the ALLWISE-2MASS data release. The MACHO project (Fraser et al., 2008) and the Optical Gravitational Lens Experiment (OGLE) III Online Catalog of Variable Stars<sup>1</sup> both contain catalogs of AGB stars, confirmed from their variability. Additionally, the SIMBAD database<sup>2</sup> contains objects classified as AGB stars from small surveys and individual studies. We positionally match these catalogs to objects from the ALLWISE-2MASS data using a circle of uncertainty with radius 1", and necessitate that every match have a 2MASS association.

The region of color-color space occupied by these stars is also occupied by other objects that happen to have dusty environments, as well as naked stars on the main sequence and extragalactic sources. Thus we need a reliable sample of contaminants that we can exclude in color-color space to increase the likelihood that our candidates represent the objects we wish to study. We gather AGN, QSOs, and star forming galaxies from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (York et al., 2000) data release (DR) 7, specifically the NYU Value-Added Galaxy Catalog<sup>3</sup> (Blanton et al., 2005, VAGC), and the LRGs from the SDSS Luminous Red Galaxy Survey (Kazin et al., 2010). Data for stars in the SDSS stellar locus (Davenport et al., 2014) were drawn from the DR 9 SEGUE Stellar Parameters Pipeline (SSPP).

The color-color criteria set are fairly simple (Figure 1):  $J - K_s > 1.1$ ,  $W2 - W3 > 0.3$ , and  $W3 - W4 < -0.83(W2 - W3) + 3.37$ . While we wish to maximize the completeness of our AGB star sample, we emphasize reliability of the sample and so minimize contamination below the 1% level for each contaminant population and in total. We note that in our selection criteria we exclude a substantial population of AGB stars with small or non-existent circumstellar shells. This is necessary in order to also prevent stars from the stellar locus, a far more populous sample, from contaminating our candidates.

## 2.2 Estimation of Distances to Candidates with GALFASTDUST

As a first step toward creating a 3D map of our Galaxy using candidate AGB stars, we set out to find reliable color-magnitude relationships for our stars. From our known sample, we select objects that fit within the narrow color-color criteria for O-rich and C-rich AGB stars

<sup>1</sup><http://ogledb.astrouw.edu.pl/~ogle/CVS/>

<sup>2</sup><http://simbad.u-strasbg.fr/simbad/>

<sup>3</sup><http://sdss.physics.nyu.edu/vagc/>




Figure 1: *Left*: SIMBAD, OGLE-III, and MACHO AGB stars (black, red, blue) matched to ALLWISE within  $1''$ . *Middle*: LRGs, starforming galaxies, AGN, QSO, and Locus Stars (green, red, orange, blue, black). *Right*: AGB stars passing ALLWISE color criteria.

set by [Nikutta et al. \(2014\)](#). We then choose stars in the direction of regions of a) known distance and b) high star concentration in order to derive our color-magnitude relationships for these stars: the Milky Way bulge and the Large Magellanic Cloud (Figure 2). For the LMC, we minimize foreground contamination by selecting stars with  $b < -20^\circ$  and also meeting the following criteria:

$$\left(\frac{\text{RA} - \text{RA}_{\text{LMC}}}{3.5}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\text{Dec} - \text{Dec}_{\text{LMC}}}{1.5}\right)^2 < 5 \text{ deg}^2.$$

With this selection of stars, we demonstrate that AGB stars possess an intrinsically-narrow magnitude distribution, as seen in [Habing et al. \(1985\)](#) and [Jackson et al. \(2002\)](#). We however do not use this sample further to define the color-magnitude relationships for AGB stars in the IR. The LSST dust extinction code that we use to estimate the dust extinction along the line of sight (hereon **GALFASTDUST**) doesn't include dust in or the shape of the LMC. We neglect to use the Small Magellanic Cloud entirely due to the low count of recovered objects from our initial color-color criteria. We instead solely use the sample in the direction of the Milky Way bulge for the necessary color-magnitude calibration (Figure 3). We minimize the contamination from foreground stars in the direction of the Galactic bulge by selecting stars with  $|l| < 10^\circ$ ,  $1^\circ < |b| < 5^\circ$ .

Color-magnitude relations are then found for each IR color with respect to  $M_{w1}$  as derived from the Milky Way bulge using linear regression. With  $W1$  directly obtained from ALLWISE and  $M_{w1}$  calculated with respect to the Milky Way bulge, we use **GALFASTDUST** to simultaneously estimate distance moduli ( $DM$ ) and extinction coefficients ( $A_\lambda$ ) for each object. **GALFASTDUST** returns the color excess  $E(B - V)$  for a given  $(l, b)$  and distance  $D$  in kpc by placing the object within the axisymmetric galaxy model used by [Amôres & Lépine](#)






Figure 2: Galactic plot of all AGB stars passing color criteria, highlighting stars in the direction of the bulge (red) and stars in the LMC (blue).

(2005), normalizing  $E(B - V)$  to the dust maps of Schlegel et al. (1998), and interpolating the dust column along the line of sight. We convert  $E(B - V)$  to  $A_\lambda$  using the relations in the appendix of Davenport et al. (2014). These estimated  $DM$  lie within a small degree of uncertainty ( $\sim 0.5$  mag), due to scatter about the initial color-magnitude relationship seen in Figure 3.

Once a candidate sample has been retrieved from the ALLWISE-2MASS database matching our broad color criteria, we narrow them into high-photometric-quality O-rich and C-rich populations using the same criteria from Nikutta et al. (2014). Assuming absolute magnitudes based upon the aforementioned color-magnitude relationships, we simultaneously estimate the distance and coefficient for dust extinction along the line of sight for each star using GALFASTDUST, which includes the dust maps of .

## 2.3 The Milky Way in 3D and Disk Measurements

With distance information and position on the sky, we construct an X-Y-Z map of the Milky Way (Figure 4). We note that the overwhelming majority of AGB candidates in the Milky Way are O-rich, with the population C-rich AGB candidates of high photometric quality being essentially nonexistent, so we move forward solely with an analysis of candidates classified as such. We also note, as is clear in Figure 4, that this is by no means a complete picture of the Milky Way. The sample is heavily affected by dust extinction and source confusion within 0.5 kpc of the Galactic disk, and entirely beyond the bulge within  $|b| < 1^\circ$  of the disk. Additionally, because we exclude sources that are beyond the saturation limits of WISE, we lose a large fraction of AGB candidates near the Sun (more toward the Galactic anticenter due to a lack of interstellar dust to attenuate the source’s intrinsic brightness).

Despite the loss of sources beyond the bulge and near the Sun, we are still able to make measurements of some common disk properties. We focus on the +X half of the Galaxy due to the higher completeness of sources, and exclude the wedge of the Milky Way containing




Figure 3: Absolute W1 magnitude vs 2MASS-ALLWISE colors for stars in the Milky Way bulge (top) and LMC (bottom). Stars are separated into O-rich (blue) and C-rich (red).

that solar circle. We then examine the radial and vertical distribution of AGB candidates, and derive measurements of the scale height as a function of radius, as well as the scale length as a function of height (Figure 5).

### 3 Work to be Completed

With the initial groundwork completed for the clean selection of the AGB candidate sample, dust extinction along the line of sight, and distance measurements throughout the Milky Way, we can proceed to convolve that data with spectroscopic observations from the SDSS *APO Galactic Evolution Experiment* (APOGEE) as well as high quality models of AGB star evolution and the distribution of stars throughout the Milky Way from TRILEGAL. We can also investigate those objects not fitting the pre-defined O-rich and C-rich classification schemes.

#### 3.1 Investigate Unclassified AGB Candidates

Nikutta et al. (2014) developed criteria to separate O-rich and C-rich AGB stars based on results from simulations with DUSTY, demonstrating that each population should fall along fairly narrow tracks in WISE color-color space. As previously mentioned, we use these classification schemes to derive color-magnitude relationships for our candidates and produce our Galactic maps.

However, as we have shown in Figure 1, we can explore wide regions of WISE color-color space and still eliminate the vast majority of contaminants down to the  $<1\%$  level. While this initial effort yields  $\sim 215,000$  objects qualifying as AGB candidates, the final sample used for the mapping the galaxy numbered only  $\sim 69,000$  objects. This begs the question, what were the other  $\sim 150,000$  objects, and why did they manage to pass the AGB color-color




Figure 4: X-Y-Z distributions of the ALLWISE AGB candidate sample’s O-rich stars. The Sun is set as the yellow dot with  $(X,Y,Z) = (8.0 \text{ kpc}, 0 \text{ kpc}, 0 \text{ kpc})$ . The gray dashed lines mark  $(0,0)$  in each plot, and the contours are spaced by 0.75 logarithmically, starting at 0.

criteria without explicitly falling in the pre-defined O-rich and C-rich tracks? We seek to answer this by exploring other possible contaminants such as R Cor Borealis stars, young stellar objects, and planetary nebulae (Jackson et al., 2002) through positional matches to the SIMBAD database, and verification of AGB candidacy with data from SDSS APOGEE (see section 3.3).

### 3.2 Comparison with TRILEGAL

TRILEGAL (Girardi et al., 2005; Girardi & Marigo, 2007) provides us with the possibility of testing several quantities produced from the work done thus far. GALFASTDUST provided us with an estimation of the dust column along the line of sight to our candidate AGB stars, but with a set Galactic model. TRILEGAL allows us to test the validity of that model, alongside several other models of the Milky Way, with respect to our now-derived 3D distribution of AGB stars by providing stellar number densities along lines of sight.






Figure 5: Radial (left) and vertical (right) distributions of O-rich AGB candidates in the Milky Way. The top plots show the distributions for all recovered stars, and the bottom plots show the distributions for various heights (left) and radii (right). The radial distributions are in 1 kpc bins, and vertical in 0.2 kpc bins. Uncertainties in each bin are  $\sqrt{N}$ .

With the simulated photometry output by **TRILEGAL**, along with various choices of dust chemistry used as input for these simulations, we can use our color-color information as proxies for measurements of photospheric temperature and mass-loss rate, measurements unobtainable from the photometry of **ALLWISE**. **TRILEGAL** also has the added benefit of producing photometry for any given photometric set. Thus, we would be able to simulate photometry from the **GLIMPSE** survey, correlate that with photometry from **ALLWISE**, and use **GLIMPSE** photometry to fill in the population of AGB stars missing from our current sample due to source confusion and dust extinction.

Finally, **TRILEGAL** also produces estimations of stellar age, metallicity,  $[C/O]$ , and periodicity. Convolved with our existing **ALLWISE** results and potential **GLIMPSE** results, we may be able to produce a 3D picture of chemical enrichment and age of the Milky Way, while also being able to see the chemical contribution of AGB stars to their local Galactic neighborhoods, giving us an even fuller picture of the evolution of our Galaxy.

### 3.3 ALLWISE AGB Candidates and APOGEE

The SDSS APOGEE survey observed  $10^5$  giant stars down to magnitude  $H = 12.2$  with IR spectroscopy (Nidever et al., 2012). We have matched the **ALLWISE** AGB candidate sample

to the latest results from the APOGEE survey, retrieving  $\sim 8,000$  objects positionally matching our cleanest sample. This provides us with precise measurements of stellar parameters that we can use to characterize our sample, provide measurable checks as to the reliability of our sample, as well as improve upon the existing capabilities and outputs of TRILEGAL.

### MAYBE FIGURE SHOWING DISTRIBUTION OF MATCHES?

With the input from APOGEE, we will correlate various stellar properties (e.g.  $T_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $[Fe/H]$ ) with ALLWISE-2MASS colors for our AGB candidate sample. ALLWISE-2MASS IR colors, particularly  $W2 - W3$  and  $W3 - W4$ , are sensitive to the composition and size of the AGB circumstellar shell, making chemical differences separable in ALLWISE color-color space as demonstrated by [Nikutta et al. \(2014\)](#). We should then be able to use standard machine learning techniques (e.g. those found in [Ivezić et al., 2013](#)) to extend estimates of these same stellar parameters to stars that were not initially matched to APOGEE due to lying outside either the photometric or spatial range of APOGEE.

Comparison of the candidate sample to APOGEE will also provide us with a test against the axisymmetric Galactic model used by GALFASTDUST. Obviously the Milky Way is not a simple axisymmetric system, containing large structures such as spiral arms, as well as the various overdensities observed by [Jurić et al. \(2008\)](#) and others that have followed. With measurements from APOGEE we will be able to comment on the accuracy of our previous 3D distribution and improve upon observations of substructure in the Milky Way.

## 3.4 Conclusions about Galactic Evolution with respect to SDSS and TRILEGAL

With both sets of confirmed and estimated stellar properties, we will be able to create an even more informative 3D map of AGB characteristics (and by proxy, Galactic characteristics).

### NEED TO ADD STUFF

## 4 Timeline

1. Publish current results of AGB candidate catalog and Galactic distribution discussed in section 2, with publicly accessible data – Q1 2015.
2. Investigating unclassified AGB candidates – Q1 2015
3. Comparison with TRILEGAL – Q2-Q3 2015.
4. Estimates of stellar parameters from APOGEE – Q1 2016.
5. Publish results of comparisons and estimates from TRILEGAL and APOGEE – Q2-Q3 2016
6. Defense – Q3-Q4 2016

## References

- Amôres, E. B., & Lépine, J. R. D. 2005, *A. J.*, 130, 659
- Bahcall, J. N., & Soneira, R. M. 1980, *Ap. J. Suppl.*, 44, 73
- Belokurov, V., et al. 2006, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, 642, L137
- Berry, M., et al. 2012, *Ap. J.*, 757, 166
- Blanton, M. R., et al. 2005, *A. J.*, 129, 2562
- Bond, N. A., et al. 2010, *Ap. J.*, 716, 1
- Cutri, R. M., et al. 2012, Explanatory Supplement to the WISE All-Sky Data Release Products, Tech. rep.
- Davenport, J. R. A., et al. 2014, *M.N.R.A.S.*, 440, 3430
- Fraser, O. J., Hawley, S. L., & Cook, K. H. 2008, *A. J.*, 136, 1242
- Gilmore, G., Wyse, R. F. G., & Kuijken, K. 1989, *Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap.*, 27, 555
- Girardi, L., Groenewegen, M. A. T., Hatziminaoglou, E., & da Costa, L. 2005, *Astr. Ap.*, 436, 895
- Girardi, L., & Marigo, P. 2007, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 378, Why Galaxies Care About AGB Stars: Their Importance as Actors and Probes, ed. F. Kerschbaum, C. Charbonnel, & R. F. Wing, 20
- Grillmair, C. J. 2006, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, 651, L29
- Habing, H. J., Olton, F. M., Chester, T., Gillett, F., & Rowan-Robinson, M. 1985, *Astr. Ap.*, 152, L1
- Iben, Jr., I., & Renzini, A. 1983, *Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap.*, 21, 271
- Ishihara, D., Kaneda, H., Onaka, T., Ita, Y., Matsuura, M., & Matsunaga, N. 2011, *Astr. Ap.*, 534, A79
- Ivezić, Ž., Beers, T. C., & Jurić, M. 2012, *Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap.*, 50, 251
- Ivezić, Ž., Connolly, A., VanderPlas, J., & Gray, A. 2013, Statistics, Data Mining, and Machine Learning in Astronomy
- Ivezić, Ž., et al. 2000, *A. J.*, 120, 963
- . 2008, *Ap. J.*, 684, 287
- Jackson, T., Ivezić, Ž., & Knapp, G. R. 2002, *M.N.R.A.S.*, 337, 749
- Jurić, M., et al. 2008, *Ap. J.*, 673, 864

- Kazin, E. A., et al. 2010, *Ap. J.*, 710, 1444
- Kovalevsky, J. 1984, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 39, 1
- Majewski, S. R. 1993, *Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap.*, 31, 575
- Majewski, S. R., Skrutskie, M. F., Weinberg, M. D., & Ostheimer, J. C. 2003, *Ap. J.*, 599, 1082
- Newberg, H. J., et al. 2002, *Ap. J.*, 569, 245
- Nidever, D. L., et al. 2012, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, 755, L25
- Nikutta, R., Hunt-Walker, N., Nenkova, M., Ivezić, Ž., & Elitzur, M. 2014, *M.N.R.A.S.*, 442, 3361
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, *Ap. J.*, 500, 525
- Tu, X., & Wang, Z.-X. 2013, *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 13, 323
- Vivas, A. K., & Zinn, R. 2006, *A. J.*, 132, 714
- Vivas, A. K., et al. 2001, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, 554, L33
- Yanny, B., et al. 2000, *Ap. J.*, 540, 825
- York, D. G., et al. 2000, *A. J.*, 120, 1579