Consider the generalized linear regression problem defined by the following model:

$$y = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x + \theta_2 x^2 + \theta_3 x^3 + \theta_5 x^5 + \eta$$
 (1)

where η corresponds to white Gaussian noise and the components of the weight vector assume the following values:

$$\theta_0 = 0.2$$
, $\theta_1 = -1$, $\theta_2 = 0.9$, $\theta_3 = 0.7$, $\theta_5 = -0.2$. (2)

In every case below, we consider N equidistant points $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ in the interval [0,2] and use them to create samples for our training set:

$$y_n = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_n + \theta_2 x_n^2 + \theta_3 x_n^3 + \theta_5 x_n^5 + \eta_n, \quad n = 1, 2, ..., N$$
 (3)

where η_n are i.i.d. noise samples originating from a Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and variance σ_n^2 .

- 1) Using N=20, $\sigma_{\eta}^2=0.1$ and the structure of the correct model (5th degree polynomial with the coefficient of the 4th power equal to zero), apply the Least Squares method to estimate the parameter vector. Calculate the Mean Square Error of y over the training set and over a test set comprising of 1000 points randomly selected in the interval [0,2].
- 2) For N=20 and σ_{η}^2 =0.1 apply regression using the Least Squares method and a 2nd degree polynomial. Perform 100 experiments using different noise samples for each experiment. For each point of the training set, calculate the mean and variance of y over the 100 experiments and plot these quantities on the (x,y) plane along with the curve obtained by the true model. Repeat using a 10th degree polynomial. Compare your results obtained for the 2
 - Repeat using a 10th degree polynomial. Compare your results obtained for the 2 different cases (2nd versus 10th degree polynomial) making special reference to the bias-variance dilemma.
- 3) Repeat experiment (1) above, implementing the Ridge Regression method with various values of λ (instead of the Least Squares Method). Report whether you have observed an improvement of the Mean Square Error for some of the values of λ .
- 4) We encode our prior knowledge for the unknown parameter vector via a Gaussian distribution G($\boldsymbol{\theta}$) with mean $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ equal to the true parameter vector in equation (1) and covariance matrix $\Sigma_{\theta} = \sigma_{\theta}^2 I$, $\sigma_{\theta}^2 = 0.1$. Use the structure of the true model and perform full Bayesian Inference in order to evaluate y for 20 randomly selected test set points belonging to the interval [0,2] and for two different values of σ_{η}^2 (0.05 and 0.15). Plot your estimates and their errors on the (x,y) plane.
- 5) Repeat experiment (4) using the following mean vector for $G(\theta)$: $\theta_0 = [-10.54, 0.465, 0.0087, -0.093, -0.004]^T$

With σ_{η}^2 =0.05, perform the experiment four times, using two different values for σ_{θ}^2 (0.1 and 2) and two different values for N (20 and 500). Comment on your results.

6) Try to recover the true variance of the noise using the Expectation-Maximization method. Construct a training set with N=500 and σ_{η}^2 =0.05. Initialize the algorithm with $\alpha=\sigma_{\theta}^{-2}=1$, $\beta=\sigma_{\eta}^{-2}=1$. After convergence, estimate the y's and their errors over a test set of 20 points randomly selected in the interval [0,2]. Plot these quantities on the (x,y) plane, along with the true model curve.