$$N(x) = \lambda; \qquad 2C \in V_{2} \setminus V_{2},$$

$$|S(t,x)| \leq C |x|^{1+\alpha}$$

$$|S(t,x)|$$

 $\overline{\Phi}(t) = P(t) e^{tR}$ $A(t) = \frac{dP(t)}{dt} e^{tR} + P(t) e^{tR}$

Sign-up sheet: 2 days for parentation -> 10 mins Participators presentation

-> broad in tro duction

-> computational algorithm/analysis

-> interpretation Note: doest have to be new research report Check Rebric on convos. HW4 Suday HWZ, HW3 grades Recap Introduction to ergodic theory

F: M->M [M, E, P, F)

Corollary of Birkhoff's ergodic theorem: For any $g \in L^1$, and measure-presuming dynamial system F, $\frac{1}{T} \geq g \circ F(x) \xrightarrow{T\to\infty} \mathbb{E} g(x)$ $f \in L^1$, and measure-presuming dynamial $f \in L^1$, and $f \in L^1$, a = <9,4> for x μ -a.e. · (F, n) is expodie Ergodie de compantion theorem: any invarient measure can be decomposed into expodie components M (viewed as a lebesgue space) Sgdu = SSgdudd invoniont a Mac grandic ergodic > partitioned indo {Mx}x measured or Supported or Me. Espodie measures one extremel points on (convex) est of invariant measures. l'asequences stifts · For any continuous map F: M>M on compact M, I at least one invariant expolic measure. · Chaotie systems: μ may not be

"closervalole".

(may beare (supp(μ))

= 0). Volume messure

(M,
$$\Sigma$$
, R , F) (F, K) is expected S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , are a sequence of vandom variables (S_i : $M \to R$) and $S_i \in L^1$ K :

(S_i

Kingmans subadditive exposice theorem

Oseledets multiplicative expodic theorem (OMET) (M, Σ, P, F) RDS A: (x) & R $A_{m+n}(x)$ log / An 11(2):= max {0, lg / An (2) // } lg+1An11 € 21 The sequence {An} is regular for μ -a-e. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(x) R_i(x) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \log \det \left\| \frac{\Phi(x,t)}{\Phi(x,t)} \right\|$ $\underline{\Phi}(x,t) = A_{\xi_1}(x) \qquad \dots A_{\delta}(x)$ if (F, M) is eyodie, $\lambda_i(x) = \lambda_i$ m are. P(x) = Pµ a.e. $k_i(x) = k_i$ Lyapunov exponent, subspaces. Oseledets Subspaces OMET_says 11 Fle, t) v// lim llog taot $:= \lambda(x, v) = \lambda(v)$ $(F, \mu) = \lambda(v)$ Fillration V_6) C V_A= IRd · V/4)C V/4)C Vi = {v ∈ Rd: Xx, v) ≤ li} · $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \dots > \lambda_p$ are distinct LEs. · Backward regular $\frac{\Phi(x,t)}{A(x)} \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}$ $A(y) = A(F^{-1})$, ガスなつ… > な OMET: $\lambda_{i}^{-} = -\lambda_{p+1-i}$ V26) C V9= R 830 / (3) C "Vp+1 (2) E(2)= V(2)(1) V(2) Oseledete
Subsucces $E_i(F_n) = \Phi(x, n) E_i(x)$ $E_i(x) = \begin{cases} e \in \mathbb{R}^d : \lambda(x,e) \\ = \pm \lambda_i \end{cases}$ Proof_idea · lim 1 log det | \$\varPlo(t)|

exist $\frac{1}{t}\log \|\underline{\mathcal{F}}(x,t)\|$ log || Φ(x, t+w) || ≤ log || Φ(F'(x), t) || + log 11 \$ (x, u) 11 Apply Kirgman's subadditive expodie
Theorem