HW3 Suday 1- page proposal (14th) Sord me an email (18th) also 14th -> dynamical system -> computational quation · perturbation stability · dimension reduction · learning / feature extraction · data assimilation · inverse problems

Recap

Tyaquinov function method

$$\frac{df^{\dagger}x}{dt} = V(f^{\dagger}x, t)$$

$$V(0,t) = 0$$

$$2 \rightarrow V(n) , \text{ the def }, \frac{dV \circ f^{\dagger}(n)}{dt} \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ asymptotic shirted at origin}$$

$$V(x) = x^{T} K \times \text{ (ansatz)}$$

$$K \neq 0$$

Find $K \Rightarrow t$.

$$AK + KA^{T} = -C$$

$$C \neq 0 \quad \text{(Rook: } AK + KB = C$$

$$Gheat V \Rightarrow ba$$

$$d \quad V \circ f^{\dagger}x \leq 0 \quad \text{for } V \Rightarrow ba$$

$$d \quad V \circ f^{\dagger}x \leq 0 \quad \text{for } V \Rightarrow ba$$

$$d \quad (f^{\dagger}x)^{T} K(f^{\dagger}x)$$

$$d \quad (f^{\dagger}x)^{T} K(f^{\dagger}x) + (f^{\dagger}x)^{T} KA(f^{\dagger}x)$$

$$= (f^{\dagger}x)^{T} (A^{T}K + KA)(f^{\dagger}x)$$

$$= (f^{\dagger}x)^{T} (A^{T}K + KA)$$

 $\frac{dx}{dt} = -x + x^3 + \alpha$ $V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}$ By looking at a lyapunor function we can obtain estimates of "invariant sets". Barrier function B(bad) = 1B(o) = oif $x \in good rejum$, $f^{\dagger}x \notin bad for any t$ as long as d Bo F(x) < 0 dt in the good region. if $x \in good rejum, ie B(x) < 0$

 $\frac{dz(t)}{dz(t)} = Az(t) + h(z(t))$ h(0) = 0, dh(0) = 0Bren if one againstue of A >0, then, no Lyapunor for exists. Optimization for judig Lyap fors V(n) 70 V(0) = 0and $\frac{d}{dt}V \cdot F_{x}^{t} < 0$ # x e Polynomial in x. $V(x) = a_0 + a_1 x^{(1)} + a_2 x^{(2)} + \cdots$ $+ a_{d+1} x^{(1)} x^{(2)} + \cdots$ $x = [x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, ..., x^{(d)}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ Find a making K such that p(2) T K p(2) > 0 and $\frac{d}{dt}((p(F^t_x))^T K p(F^t_x)) < 0$ and $p(0)^T K p(0) = 0$ $P(x) = \left[P_1(x) P_2(x) \cdots P_n(x) \right]$ tasible region cone of SPD makines K. p(z) K p(z) is GOS $-\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\rho(F_{x}^{t})^{T}K\rho(F_{x}^{t})}{\rho(0)^{T}K\rho(0)} = 0$ > need not take polynomials in x

> change of variables

x > [e wix e warx

e iwarx

e iwarx $T \Rightarrow T(x)$ P(T(x7) $V(z) = P(T(z))^{T} \times \rho(T(z))$ Convex optimiention (global minimum) $\frac{\text{Primal min } f(x)}{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ $.9: (x) \leq 0$ $g_i(\pi) \leq 0$ i = 1, 2, ..., madd (-9,9 € 0 to make it an equality conshib $f^{(x_1)} df(x_1) + (1-\lambda)f(x_2)$ (> strictly convex) $\geq f(x_1 + (1-1)x_3)$ $\forall x \in [91]$ $\exists x \in int(K)$ and $\forall x_1, x_2 \in X$. $\exists i(x) < 0$ i=1,3,...,m coavex KKT condition: at x (unique and 1st (unique global maxinize) debal minimum) $\mathcal{L}\left(x,\lambda\right):=f(z)+\overset{\sim}{\xi}\lambda ig_i(x)$ Lagrangian $\nabla_{x} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda^{*}) = 0$ V/2 (2", 1") = 9; (xx) < 0 ¥ i $g(k_i^*)\lambda_i^* = 0$ Dual max min $L(x, \lambda)$ λ x 170 $\frac{\text{Th}_{m}}{x}: \min_{x} f(x) \geqslant \max_{\lambda} \min_{x} \mathcal{L}(x,\lambda)$ equality when convex

min p(x) K p(x)
x E X J Dual Semi-definite program (convex optimization) over cone of SPD matrices. Optimal control $\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = v(z(t), u(t))$ V(x(b), n)= min \(\(\(\times(t), u(t) \) dt cost-to-go function Hamiltonian - Jacobi- Bellman equation anic programing: $V(z + t) = \min_{u} \left(V(z_1, t+\Delta t) + \int_{t} L(z, u(t)) dt \right)$ Dynamic programing: $\frac{d}{u} \times (t) = v(z(t), u(t))$ V(F(x,u), t+ Ot) $= V(x, t) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(x(t), t)$ + dV(z(t),t)v(z(t), ((t)) $\begin{pmatrix} lim \\ Ot \rightarrow O \end{pmatrix}$ $\frac{\partial V(z_{i}, t) + \min_{u \in V} (l(z_{i}, u(t)))}{\partial t} + dV(z_{i}, t)$ $= \frac{\partial V(z_{i}, u(t))}{\partial t}$ = 0 (HJB) (Reinforcement learning) Steady state $L(z,u^*) = -dV(x,t)$ ν (x, 4) $= -\frac{d}{dt} V(F^{t}(x, u^{e}))$ if lister, HJB soln is a lyapunor function

Oseledets multiplicative
ergodic <u>Hubran</u> (OMET)

Motivation 1

dz(t) = A(t)z(t)

dt

 $\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = A(t) \times (t) + h(t, 2t)$ $h(t, 0) = 0 \quad \forall \quad t$ $\|h(t, z)\| \sim O(\|x\|^{k})$ $(k \in N)$ Motivation 3

Infinite-dimensional non-autonomos

Motivation 2

Take a sequence:

Ao, A₁, ..., A_T

for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ $\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \frac{\log ||A_T A_{T-1} ... A_{\sigma}||}{||V||}$