Kecap xe Rd kernel purception $h(x) = sg_{1}\left(\sum_{i \in I} g_{i} \chi(x_{i},x)\right)$ incorrectly classified indices Polynomial bernel x(x,x1) = (c+x.x1) Last time: feature space associated finite-dimensional $\begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} x^{(1)}(x) \\ x^{(2)}(x) \end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} x^{(1)}(x) & x^{(2)}(x) \\ x^{(1)^2}(x) & x^{(2)}(x) \end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} x^{(2)}(x) & x^{(2)}(x) \\ \end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} x^{(2)}(x) & x^{(2)}(x) \\ \end{array}\right) \end{array}$ $\Phi(x) =$ $\Phi(x) \cdot \Phi(x')$ $\chi(x,x') =$ Euclidean dot product Dim of feature space = # of monomials of up to degree m. $x^{(1)^{m_1}}$ $x^{(2)^{m_2}}$ $x^{(d)^{m_d}}$ $m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_d \leq$ 00 ... 0 ... 0 1111 $\binom{m+d}{d}$

linear model on feature space Linear model on (finite space dimensions) facture space: inf. or finite dimension Nonlinear models caluated using kernels. Scalar Hernel: a function on XXX. l'oritire definite kernels A fund $X: xxx \rightarrow C$ is called PD bunnel if for any integer $P \in N$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i}c_{i}$; $\chi(\chi_{i},\chi_{j}) = \chi(\chi_{j},\chi_{i})$ (symmetric) Gram matrix: Given p data points x1,..., xp&X a gram matrix, G, arrowated with a kernel x: XXX > C is a pxp matrix with elemento $G_{ij} = \varkappa(\varkappa_i,\varkappa_i)$ $1 \le i \le P$

|j|=1 $= \langle \sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i \Phi(x_i), \sum_{j=1}^{p} c_j \Phi(x_j) \rangle$ $= \| \sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i \Phi(x_i) \|^2 \geqslant 0$

Support Vector Machine
Geometrie min
Margin: distance blue training points
& cepaneting hyppubliane Last time: Max mayin -> separting h(x) = sgn(w.x+b)max min (ω. χ; + b) θ; ω, b i ||ω|| + + + + Constitute form of hyperplane:

min $y_i(\omega, x_i + b) = 1$ $\min_{i} \left| \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \cdot x_{i} + \frac{b}{|\omega|} \right|$ vee5, b i | v.xi + b | Derivation of max-mayin dassifier $\forall i \in [n], \forall i (\omega, z_i + b) \gg 1$ y: (w·x;+b) > 1 $s \cdot t \cdot$ ie [n] $min \quad \frac{\|w\|^2}{2}$ $w, b \quad 2$ s.t. y; (w.x;+b) > 1 (SVM miginal formulation) quattratic program convex problem with affine constraints

Convex optimization

Convex function: line drawn between points on the impe lies above the function

Epi- of function is convex set

Epi of punction.

 $\alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha) f(8) \geqslant f(\alpha x)$

f(dx + (1-1)y) $\alpha \in (0,1)$ $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + (1-1)y \right)$

max concave or min convex optimization

KKT condition: necessary & Sufficient conditions for solution of convex program Constrained aptimization problem: Primal $p^* = \min_{\omega} f(\omega)$ problem s.t. $g_i(\omega) \leq 0$ i = 1, ..., p $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Convex problem: $f_i(\omega) \leq 0$ $f_i(\omega) \leq 0$ Lagrangian $d(\omega, \lambda) = f(\omega) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} g_{i}(\omega)$ $\lambda : \text{ dual variables} \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ Dual problem: $d^{*} = \max_{\lambda} \min_{\omega} d(\omega, \lambda)$ $d^* \leq P^*$ $d^* \leq P^*$ $d^* = p^*$ (strong duality) All local minima are global minima. KKT: f is convex, diff · gi are convex, diff • Slater's undition: $\exists \omega_0 \in dom(\omega)$ $s.t. q(\omega_0) < 0$ for every i $g_i(w_0) \leq 0$ for any i $g_i(w_0) \leq g_i(w_0)$ of fine. Then, $\exists \lambda$, $\lambda_i > 0$, sit.

at a minimum ω , the following hold: $\lambda = [\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_p] \in \mathbb{R}^p$ i) $\nabla_{\omega} d(\omega, \lambda) = 0$ (ii) V, L(w, 1) < 0 Ji (w) ≤ b + i (iii) Complementarity conditions $\lambda_i = 0$ or $g_i(\omega) = 0$. ligilw) = 0 + 1.

$$\frac{SVM}{\omega,b} = \frac{\|\omega\|^2}{2}$$
s.t. $y_i(\omega \cdot x_i + b) > 1 + i = ln$

$$\mathcal{L}([\omega, \lambda]) = \frac{\|\omega\|^2}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i (y_i(\omega \cdot x_i + b))$$

$$f(\omega) = \frac{\|\omega\|^2}{2}$$

$$g_i(\omega) = -y_i(\omega_i \cdot x + b) + 1$$

$$KKT conditions$$

$$V.L.((\omega, \lambda)) = \omega - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i \propto_i$$

 $\nabla_b \mathcal{L}(\omega, \lambda) = 0$

$$\nabla_{\omega} \mathcal{L}(\omega, b), \lambda) = \omega - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i} x_{i}$$

$$= \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i} x_{i}$$

Think of each data point is as exerting a force $\lambda_i y_i \omega$ on separating hyperplane.

Then, force belonce on hyperplane gives: $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i y_i \omega = 0 \quad (\nabla_b \lambda_i = 0)$

Torque belance goes:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \times f_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \times f_i y_i \omega$$
 $\lim_{i \neq i} x_i \times f_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \times f_i y_i \omega$
 $\lim_{i \neq i} x_i \times \omega$