CS319: Scientific Computing (with MATLAB)

Lab 5: Solving Linear Systems, Part 1

Week 9, 2023

Niall Madden (Niall.Madden@UniversityOfGalway.ie)

- What to do: adapt a MATLAB live script to investigate an iterative method for solving linear systems of equations.
- What has this got to do with Scientific Computing? The solution of linear systems is absolutely central to scientific computing.
- What to upload: Nothing this week. But we'll develop this more next week.

1: An example

We'll start by choosing a problem to solve:

$$6x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = -7$$

$$x_1 + 7x_2 - 2x_3 + x_4 = -9$$

$$-x_1 + 2x_2 + 8x_3 - 2x_4 = 4$$

$$-x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 9x_4 = 20$$

We'll write this as a matrix-vector equation, Ax = b, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 7 & -2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 8 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and } b = \begin{bmatrix} -7 \\ -9 \\ 4 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix}$$

Section 1 of the live script, $Lab5_JacobiVO1.mlx$ defines the matrix A and vector b, and solves for X using the mldivide ("backslash") operator. You should find that X = [-2, -1, 1, 2].

2: Jacobi's method: Motivation

For "reasons" (that I will explain in class), the algorithm used by mldivide isn't always suitable. One of the simplest alternatives is Jacobi's method. It is an example of an iterative method: we make some initial guess, and then continually try to improve it until it is "good enough".

We'll start by writing down the general linear system: of N equations in N unknowns: find x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N , such that

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1N}x_N = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2N}x_N = b_2$$
(2)
$$\vdots$$

$$a_{N1}x_1 + a_{N2}x_2 + \dots + a_{NN}x_N = b_N.$$

We expressed this as a matrix-vector equation: Find X such that

(3)
$$AX = b$$
,

where A is a N \times N matrix, and b and X are (column) vector with N entries.

2: Jacobi's method: Motivation

We can motivate Jacobi's method as follows. Suppose I happen to know that values of x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_N , but not x_1 . Then we could compute x_1 using, for example, the first equation in (2)

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1N}x_N = b_1$$

rearranged as

(4)
$$x_1 = \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{12}x_2 - a_{13}x_3 - \cdots - a_{1N}x_N)$$

The idea is that if we have **estimates** for x_2 , x_3 , ..., x_N , then we can use (4) to get an estimate for x_1 .

Similarly, we can use the second equation in (2), rearranged as

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{a_{21}}(b_2 - a_{21}x_1 - a_{23}x_3 - \cdots - a_{2N}x_N)$$

to get an **improved** estimate for x_2 .

The process can be repeated for x_3, x_4, \ldots, x_N . This is implemented in Section 2 of the live script.

3: Jacobi's method: in general

In its general form, the method can be stated as follows:

- ightharpoonup Choose any vector $x^{(1)}$.
- ► For k = 2, 3, ..., set

$$\begin{split} x_1^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{a_{11}} \big(b_1 - a_{12} x_2^{(k-1)} - a_{13} x_3^{(k-1)} - \dots - a_{1N} x_N^{(k-1)} \big) \\ x_2^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{a_{22}} \big(b_2 - a_{21} x_1^{(k-1)} - a_{23} x_3^{(k-1)} - \dots - a_{2N} x_N^{(k-1)} \big) \\ &\vdots \\ x_N^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{a_{NN}} \big(b_N - a_{N,1} x_1^{(k-1)} - a_{N,2} x_1^{(k-1)} - \dots - a_{N,N-1} x_{N-1}^{(k-1)} \big) \end{split}$$

3: Jacobi's method: in general

This can be written more succinctly as: for k = 2, 3, ..., set...

(5)
$$x_i^{(k)} = (b_i - \sum_{j=1:(i-1),(i+1:N)} a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)}) / a_{ii}, \qquad i = 1,\ldots,N.$$

This is implemented in the live script, using three nested for loops:

- ▶ The outer loop iterates over k = 2: 6. Solution vectors are stored in a cell array.
- ▶ The middle loop iterates over i = 1: N. Solution vectors are stored in a cell array.
- ► The inner loop computes the sum over *j*.

Q1. The first improvement you will make to the live script is to test it for a larger problem, e.g., with N=10. It transpires that the Jacobi's method will work exactly when A is diagonally dominant. This means that, in each row, the magnitude of the diagonally entry, $|a_{ii}|$, must be greater than the sum of the other terms: i.e.,

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|.$$

Modify Section 1 of the Live Script so that it constructs a random matrix that has this property. Hint: if you use the rand() function to create the matrix A, then all then entries of A will be between 0 and 1. So the off-diagonal terms can't sum to more than N-1. Setting the diagonal entries to sufficiently large will ensure that A is diagonally dominant.

- Q2. The choose a solution vector e.g., $X = (1,0,1,0,1,\dots)$, and set b = AX to get the right-hand side. Verify that Jacobi's method appears to converge. That is: $\|X x^{(k)}\|$ decreases as k increases.
- Q3. The iteration version of Jacobi's method, given in Section 3, uses a for loop to compute the first 6 iterations. Rewrite this using a while loop, so that it will continue to iterate until some user-chosen tolerance is reached, or until some specific maximum number of iterations is exceeded. Hint: you did something like this in Lab 2.

- Q4. Jacobi's method can be expressed even more efficiently, in a matrix format.
 - Let D be the diagonal matrix whose entries are the diagonal entries of A. That is, $d_{ii} = a_{ii}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., N. In MATLAB this can be done in one, slightly obscure, line: D = diag(diag(A)). Review the notes from Week 5 to see why this works.
 - ightharpoonup Set T = D A.
 - Now Jacobi's method can be written as: choose x⁽⁰⁾ and set

(6)
$$x^{(k)} = D^{-1}(b + Tx^{(k-1)}).$$

This eliminates two for-loops from the implementation, and makes the code shorted and easier to read.

- (a) Can you convince yourself that (6) is the same as (5)?
- (b) Since D is diagonal, it is actually OK to compute its inverse using the inv() function. But can you construct D^{-1} without even constructing D?

Read up about it.

Q5. Next week, we will be interested in how quickly Jacobi's method converges, and what influences convergence. Modify the code to record the error for each *k* in an array, and plot it. Try plotting using the *semilogy()* function. If it works, you should see a straight line. Can you explain why?

We'll also implement a similar scheme: the Gauss-Seidel method.