#### MACSI One Day Graduate Course: Numerical Solution to Differential Equations using Matlab

#### Part 4: Verification of the rates of convergence

#### Niall Madden and Meghan Stephens Department of Mathematics



3rd of April 2007.

Part 4 — Code verification

1/12

# A simple problem

Consider the problem:

$$-u''(x) + u(x) = 1 + x$$
 on  $(0, 1)$ ,  $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ .

The solution to this is

$$u(x) = 1 + x - (e^{-x}(e^2 - 2e) + e^x(2e - 1))/(e^2 - 1).$$

We'll use this to test the code.

We want to verify that our program and numerical method yield the expect result:

There is a constant C that does not depend on N such that

$$||u-U|| \leq CN^{-2}.$$

Part 4 — Code verification

### A simple problem

TestError

2/12

Download and run the program TestError.m

Two new Matlab functions:

■ fprintf works very similarly to printf in C.

fprintf(' %5d | %10.3e | %7.2e \n', N, Error, C);

- Display the integer N, padding up to 5 spaces,
- Display the double Error in exponential notation (using a lowercase e as in 3.1415e+00) filling up to 10 spaces, and with 3 digits to the right of the decimal point.
- \n prints a new line.
- **subplot(A, B, C)** plot the next figure in the Cth position of an array of  $A \times B$  figures.

 Part 4 — Code verification
 3/12
 Part 4 — Code verification
 4/12

### Computing rates of convergence

To verify that we get the correct rate of convergence, use the following calculation:

- denote by U<sup>N</sup> the solution computed on a mesh with N intervals.
- Let  $\mathcal{E}_N = ||u U^N||$
- Suppose that  $\mathcal{E} \sim CN^{-\gamma}$ . Then

$$\frac{E_N}{E_{2N}} \approx 2^{\gamma}$$
, and so  $\gamma \approx \log_2(E_N/E_{2N})$ 

Download and try TestRates.m

Part 4 — Code verification 5/12

## For harder problems

Generally, we don't have the exact solution to the problem we want to solve.

If we did, we wouldn't need a numerical method!

In this case, take one of two approaches:

- Compute the solution on the finest mesh (i.e., largest N) that your computer can handle. Verify that the solutions for smaller N converge towards this one.
- Compare a computed solution on N intervals, with the solution computed on 2N intervals.
   With a little work, one can show (mathematically) that the estimates for the rate of convergence are reasonable.

### Computing rates of convergence if statements

As you'll notice from the code, we can't compute the rate of convergence for the first value of  ${\tt N}$ .

So our script behaves differently in certain cases. This is achieved using an **if** block:

```
if (k>2)
   Rate(k) = log2( Error(k-1)/Error(k));
   fprintf(' %5d | %9.3e | %7.2e | %5.2f \n', ...
        N, Error(k), C(k), Rate(k));
else
   fprintf(' %5d | %9.3e | %7.2e | \n', ...
        N, Error(k), C(k));
end
```

See doc if for more information.

Part 4 — Code verification 6/12

## For harder problems

The first of these approaches is taken in TestRates2.m

Note the use of the **interp1** function. This evaluates the piecewise linear interpolant to the best approximation of u on the coarser mesh.

This is useful, particularly for nonuniform meshes.

Other interpolants could be used, e.g, cubic splines, polynomials, etc. But use these with caution: they may given spurious results.

 Part 4 — Code verification
 7/12
 Part 4 — Code verification
 8/12

## Why we need to verify the code

We'll now develop code for the more general problem

$$-\varepsilon u''(x) + qu'(x) + ru(x) = f(x).$$

To *discretize*  $u' = \frac{du}{dx}$  we'll use the 2nd order central difference operator

$$D^{c}u_{i}:=\frac{1}{2h}(u_{i+1}-u_{i-1}).$$

Download the programs Central\_Diff\_BVP.m and Test\_Central\_Diff.m

Note that we use the variable name **epsilon**. **Never** use the variable name **eps** – it is used to store the *machine epsilon*: the distance from 1.0 to the next largest double-precision number.

Part 4 — Code verification 9/12

## Why we need to verify the code

There are various ways of explaining this phenomenon. One way is by observing that the operator:

$$Lu(x) := -\varepsilon u''(x) + q(x)u'(x) + r(x)u(x)$$

obeys as maximum principle, the discrete one

$$L^h U_i := -\varepsilon \delta^2 U_i + q(x_i) D^c U_i + r(x_i) U_i.$$

does not, unless  $h \leq 2\varepsilon$ , which is very restrictive.

### Why we need to verify the code

Download the files Central\_Diff\_BVP.m and Test\_Central\_Diff.m

Run the test. All should appear well; we get the expected rate of convergence.

But observe what happens when we take  $\varepsilon$  smaller, e.g., epsilon=1e-2 Note that there are oscillations present in the computed solution and that, for small N the rates of convergence are less than one would expect.

Now reduce  $\epsilon$  further: the problem becomes more dramatic.

Part 4 — Code verification 10/12

## Why we need to verify the code

One way to circumvent this problem is to use a different discretization of u'(x):

$$D^-U_i:=\frac{1}{h}\big(U_i-U_{i-1}\big).$$

Now the associated difference operator will satisfy a max prin.

But the price we pay for accuracy is in the rate of convergence; with this method

$$||u - U|| \le CN^{-1}$$

#### Exercise

Implement this method, and verify that, for small  $\varepsilon$  it is only 1st-order accurate.

Part 4 — Code verification 11/12 Part 4 — Code verification 12/12