MA378 Chapter 1: Polynomial Interpolation Assignment Questions with solutions!

Exercises 1.4, 2.3, 2.5, 4.4. Also: Presentation [10 Marks]

Exer 1.4 \star [30 Marks] For each of the following interpolation problems, determine (with explanation) if there is no solution, exactly one solution, or more than one solution. In all cases p_n denotes a polynomial of degree (at most) n. You are not required to determine p_n where it exists.

(a) Find $p_1(x)$ that interpolates (x_0,y_0) , (x_1,y_1) , and (x_2,y_2) , where $x_i=i-1$ and $y_0=0$, $y_1=-1$, $y_2=1$.

Answer: We want to interpolate the points (-1,0), (0,-1) and (1,1) with a polynomial of degree 1. That is, we want to find a single straight line through these points. Since they are not co-linear, that is not possible: no solution exists.

(b) Find $p_1(x)$ that interpolates (x_0,y_0) , (x_1,y_1) , and (x_2,y_2) , where $x_i=i-1$ and $y_0=0$, $y_1=-1$, $y_2=-2$.

Answer: We want to interpolate (-1,0), (0,-1) and (1,-2) with a polynomial of degree 1. These *are* co-linear: $y_i = -1 - x_i$. So exactly one solution exists.

(c) Find $p_2(x)$ that interpolates (x_0,y_0) , (x_1,y_1) , and (x_2,y_2) , where $x_i=i-1$ and $y_0=0$, $y_1=-1$, $y_2=1$.

Answer: Here n=2 and we have three distinct points: (-1,0), (0,-1) and (1,1). So standard theory applies (e.g., Theorems 2.3 and 2.7: there exists exactly one solution.

(d) Find $p_2(x)$ that interpolates (x_0,y_0) , (x_1,y_1) , and (x_2,y_2) , where $x_i=(-1)^{i+1}$ and $y_0=0$, $y_1=-1$, $y_2=1$.

Answer: Among the points we'd have to interpolate are $(x_0,y_0)=(-1,0)$ and $(x_2,y_2)=(-1,1)$. Since we can't have a polynomial with two different values at x=0, there is **no** solution to this problem.

(e) Find $p_2(x)$ that interpolates (x_0,y_0) and (x_1,y_1) where $x_i=(-1)^{i+1}$ and $y_0=0,\ y_1=-1.$

Answer: Since there are only two points, $(x_0,y_0) = (-1,0)$ and $(x_1,y_1) = (1,-1)$, and n=2, there is an infinite number of solutions. For example, let p_1 be the usual degree 1 interpolant of these points. Then any quadratic of the form $p_1(x) + C(x+1)(x-1)$, for any C also interpolates them.

Exer 2.3 * [15 MARKS] Show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} L_i(x) = 1 \quad \text{ for all } x.$$

Answer: One possible solution is: let

$$q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} L_i(x) - 1.$$

Since each of the L_i is a polynomial of degree n, so too is q. Furthermore, for $j=0,1,\ldots,n,$

$$\begin{split} q(x_j) &= \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(x_j) - 1 \\ &= L_j(x_j) - 1 \ \text{(since $L_i(x_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$)} \\ &= 1 - 1 \ \text{(since $L_i(x_j) = 1$)} = 0. \end{split}$$

That is q is a polynomial of degree n with n+1 zeros. By Theorem 2.2, it follows that $q(x)\equiv 0$. Thus

$$\sum_{i=0}^n L_i(x_j) - 1 = 0 \iff \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(x_j) = 1.$$

Note: If you didn't get full marks on this it is probably because you didn't appeal to the fact that the Lagrange interpolant to a function is unique, and consequently, every polynomial is its own interpolant.

Exer 2.5 \star [20 MARKS] Show that all the following represent the polynomial $T_3(x) = 4x^3 - 3x$ (often called the "Chebyshev Polynomial of Degree 3"),

(a) Horner form: $H_3(x) := ((4x+0)x-3)x+0$.

Answer: Multiply out the terms on the right of H_3 to get $H_3(x)=(4x)x^2-3x=4x^3-3x$.

(b) Lagrange form: $\sum_{k=0}^{3} \bigg(\prod_{j=0, j \neq k}^{3} \frac{x - x_{j}}{x_{k} - x_{j}} \bigg) (-1)^{k+1} \text{, where }$ $x_{0} = -1, x_{1} = -1/2, x_{2} = 1/2, x_{3} = 1.$

Answer: This is the Lagrange form of the polynomial of degree 3 that interpolates the four points $(-1,-1),\ (-1/2,1),\ (1/2,-1)$ and (1,1). Check that $T_3(-1)=4(-1)-3(-1)=-1;\ T_3(-1/2)=4(-1/8)-3(-1/2)=-1/2+3/2=1;\ T_3(1/2)=4(1/8)-3(1/2)=1/2-3/2=-1;$ and $T_4(1)=4-3=1.$ Since both these polynomials are of degree n=3, and interpolate the same n+1=4 points, they are the same polynomials.

Note: Most people got this right, but use a much more complicated approach involving writing down, explicitly, the formula above, and then simplifying.

(c) Recurrence relation: $T_0=1$, $T_1=x$, and $T_n=2xT_{n-1}-T_{n-2}$ for n=2,3,...

Answer: Note that $T_2=2xT_1-T_0=2x^2-1$. Then $T_3=2xT_2-T_1=2x(2x^2-1)^2-x=4x^3-2x-x=4x^3-3x$.

Exer 4.4 \star [25 MARKS] Write down that formula for q_3 , the *Hermite* polynomial that interpolates $f(x) = \sin(x/2)$, and its derivative, at the points $x_0 = 0$ and $x_1 = 1$. Give an upper bound for $|f(1/2) - q_3(1/2)|$.

Answer: $L_0(x) = 1 - x$ and $L_1(x) = x$. Using the formulae from Exer 4.3, we have that

$$\begin{split} H_0 &= (L_0(x))^2 (1 - 2L_0'(x))(x - x_0) \\ &= (1 - x)^2 (1 + 2x) = 2x^3 - 3x^2 + 1. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H_1 &= (L_1(x))^2 (1 - 2L_1'(x))(x - x_1) \\ &= x^2 (1 - 2(x - 1)) = -2x^3 + 3x^2. \end{split}$$

$$K_0 = (L_0(x))^2(x-x_0) = (1-x)^2x = x^3 - 2x^2 + x.$$

$$K_1 = (L_1(x))^2(x - x_1) = x^2(x - 1) = x^3 - x^2.$$

Also f(0) = 0, f(1) = $\sin(1/2) \approx 0.4794$, f'(0) = 1/2 and f'(1) = $\cos(1/2)/2 \approx 0.4388$. So Then

$$q_3 = (0.4794)H_1(x) + (1/2)K_0(x) + (0.4388)K_2(x).$$

If one wants to expand this, it can be written as

$$q_3(x) = -0.0201x^3 - 0.0005x^2 + x/2.$$

To give an upper bound for $|f(1/2)-q_3(1/2)|...$ This is, perhaps, not a very sensible question. There are two valid approaches. First, one can calculate f(1/2)=0.2474039593 and $q_3(x)=0.2473638592.$ Then we calculate $|f(1/2)-q_3(1/2)|\approx 4.01\times 10^{-5}.$

The second is to use Thm 4.3, from which we can deduce that

$$|f(x) - q(x)| \leqslant \frac{f^{(i\nu)}(\tau)}{(4)!}[(x)(x-1)]^2,$$

where $\tau \in [0,1]$. In this case $f^{(i\nu)}(x)=(1/16)\sin(x/2)$, so $|f^{(i\nu)}(\tau)|\leqslant |f^{(i\nu)}(1)|\leqslant 0.03$. Then

$$\begin{split} |f(\frac{1}{2}) - q(\frac{1}{2})| &\leqslant \frac{0.03}{24} \left[(\frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2} - 1) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{12800} = 7.8125 \times 10^{-5}. \end{split}$$

NOTE: Most people did not get this fully correct. The main issue was finding the maximum of $|f^{(i\nu)}(\tau)|$. In particular, $\max_{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1} |f^{(i\nu)}(x)| = (1/16)\sin(1/2) = 0.029964 \approx 0.03$. However, many instead wrote $\max_{0,1} |f^{(i\nu)}(x)| \leqslant 1/16$. While that is correct, since $\sin(x/2) \leqslant 1$ for any x, it is not sharp, and over estimates the error by a factor of 2.