************* Date: 27.08,20

Mid Semester Examination Spring 2020
Name: Mahnaz Rafia Islam
Registration No: 17101007
Course Code: CSE-427
Course Title: Machine Learning.
Semester: 1st
Year: 4th

10-10|E1-11I

Answer to the question no: 1(a)

My ID -17101007
$$\theta_0 = 0$$
 $\theta_1 = 7$

Given, $\alpha = 0.1$

1 Dataset:

x	y	
A	10	4
6	16	
8	19	

1) Hypothesis function:

$$h_{\Theta}(x) = O_0 + O_1 \times x$$

(ii) Parameter Initialization!

$$\theta_0 = 0$$
 $\theta_1 = 7$
 $h_0^{(1)}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 * x^{(1)}$
 $= 0 + 7 * 4 = 28$
 $h_0^{(1)}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 * x^{(2)}$
 $= 0 + 7 * 6 = 42$

$$h_0(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 + x^{(3)}$$

$$= 0 + 7 + 8 = 56$$

@ cost function:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(h_{\theta}^{(i)}(x) - y^{(i)} \right)^{n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2*3} \left\{ (28-10)^{n} + (41-16)^{n} + (56-19)^{n} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} \left(324 + 676 + 1369 \right)$$

$$= 394.83 \text{ error/cost}.$$

Obradient Descent:

repeat untill convergence

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{j} = \theta_{j} - \frac{\alpha}{m} \frac{d}{d\theta_{j}} \left\{ J(\theta) \right\} \\ \theta_{o} := \theta_{o} - \frac{\alpha}{m} \frac{m}{|\xi|} \left(h_{\theta}^{(i)} - \psi^{(i)} \right) \\ = 0 - \frac{0.1}{3} \left\{ (28 - 10) + (42 - 16) + (56 - 19) \right\} \\ = -2.7 \end{cases}$$

$$\Theta_{1} := \Theta_{1} - \frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_{0} - y_{i}) \cdot \chi$$

$$= 7 - \frac{0.1}{3} \left\{ (28 - 10) \cdot 4 + (42 - 16) \cdot 6 + (56 - 19) \cdot 8 \right\}$$

$$= 7 - 17 \cdot 46$$

$$= -10 \cdot 46$$

 $-.0_0 = -2.7$ and $0_1 = -10.46$.

FodulFI 1

Answer to the question no: 1(b)

I do at agree, because logistic repression is a classification model. Logistic Repression is one type of binary classification, whore the output maybe only two types such as 0/1, res/No, True/false etc., which indicates a classification model.

In Regression, output value is a continious value, such as - age of different people,

temperature of today etc. But in logistic repression output value is/can be only two types, that's why it's not a repression algorithm. Lagistic repression has repression in algorithm. Lagistic repression technique is quiete-the it's name because the underlying technique is quiete-the same as linear repression. The term Logistic is taken from the Logit function, but it's not a repression from the Logit function, but it's not a repression problem, it's a binary classification made.

10010.14 de

Answer to the question no! 2(a)

$$a = 17101007 \% 5 = 2$$

$$b = 17101007 \% 7 = 0$$

$$c = 17101007 \% 9 = 8$$
In the given dataset,
$$M_{i}(x_{1}) = \frac{2+3+6}{3} = 3.67$$

$$\chi_{i}(x_{1}) = \frac{x_{1}(x_{1}) - M_{i}(x_{1})}{S_{i}(x_{1})} = \frac{2-3.67}{4} = -0.4175$$

$$\chi_{i}(x_{1}) = \frac{3-3.67}{4} = -0.1675$$

$$\pi_{1}^{(3)} = \frac{6 - 3.67}{4} = 0.5825$$

Now,

$$\mathcal{H}_{i}(\chi_{2}) = \frac{0+10+50}{3} = 20$$
 and $\frac{1}{3}$

$$\chi_{2}^{(1)} = \frac{\chi_{2}^{(1)} - \chi_{1}(\chi_{2})}{5i(\chi_{2})} = \frac{0-20}{50} = -0.4$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{2}^{(0)} = \frac{10 - 20}{50} = -0.2$$

$$\chi_{2}^{(0)} = \frac{10 - 20}{50} = -0.2$$

$$\chi_{2}^{(3)} = \frac{50 - 20}{50} = 0.6$$

Again,

$$M_1(x_3) = \frac{8+150+540}{3} = 232.67$$

$$S_1(N_3) = 540 - 8 = 532$$

$$\chi_3^{(1)} = \frac{\chi_3^{(1)} - \chi_1(\chi_3)}{Si(\chi_3)}$$

$$=\frac{8-232.67}{532}=-0.42$$

SELLY BANDOLD

$$\chi_{3}^{(a)} = \frac{150 - 232.67}{532} = -0.155$$

$$\chi_{3}^{(a)} = \frac{540 - 232.67}{532} = 0.577$$

The dataset is now normalized.

AU-34212

E4010161 7E

Answer to the question no: 2(b)

Yes I agree with the given statement. I can get a balance point between overfitting and underfitting by determining the difference between them. And this can be done by any fitted models to training doda and testing dota. K-fold cross validation is one of the best best to do this task. Here, k is the number of groups that a given data sample is to be split into.

over filling can be prevented by getting more training example and trying smaller set of features. Underfitting can be prevented by adding more features and by adding polynomial feature:
In K-fold the procedure is like following:

if K=5,

iteration 1 \Rightarrow Testing Training i=2 \Rightarrow Training Testing Training i=3 \Rightarrow Training Testing Training i=3 \Rightarrow Training Testing Training i=4 \Rightarrow Training Testing Training i=4 \Rightarrow Training Testing Training i=4 \Rightarrow Training Testing Training i=60%.

Training Testing Training i=5 \Rightarrow Training Testing Training i=5 \Rightarrow Training Testing Training

So this is an example of K-fold cross validation where the dataset is randomly postitioned into K-equal size subsamples. This significantly reduces overfitting and underfitting as well as tigh Bian and High Variance.

ma souther word out the

small.

Answer to the question no! A(a)

Frequency Table	2:
	Yes No
5(Small)	(V) 1 ~ (V (3)
M(Medium)	2 (3)
L (Large)	3 0
	6 5

Likelihood Table:

	Yes	No
5(5mall)	1/6	2/5
M (Medium)	2/6	3/5
L (Large)	3/6	0/5

lade dy re fr fail of &

Griven, let of the witing to al

Mutation Rate 'medium' → B

Probability of new species emergence is

(Yes' → A

· (Wina)a

[([((g c))

(Down))

(median) in

$$P(B) = \frac{5}{11}, P(A) = \frac{6}{11}$$

$$P(B|A) = \frac{2}{6}$$

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A) *P(A)}{P(B)}$$

$$= \frac{2 \times 11}{5}$$

$$=\frac{2}{5}$$
(Am)

Here,
$$P_{+} = \frac{3}{3}$$
 (Fraction of positive label)

PART OF AL

Entropy,

$$H(5) = -P(+)\log_2(P+) - P(-)\log_2(P-)$$

 $= -(\frac{3}{3})\log_2(\frac{3}{3}) - (\frac{6}{3})\log_2(\frac{9}{3})$
 $= 0$.

This is the entropy of the given dataset.