## MAS350: Assignment 2

Solutions and discussion are written in blue. A sample mark scheme, with a total of 30 marks, is given in red, with each mark placed after the statement/deduction for which the mark would be given. As usual, mathematically correct solutions that follow a different method would be marked analogously.

- 1. (a) Let  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  be open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $O_1 \cup O_2$  and  $O_1 \cap O_2$  are also open.
  - (b) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $O_n$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider the following claims:
    - i.  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} O_n$  is open.
    - ii.  $B = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} O_n$  is open.

Which of these claims are true? Give a proof or a counterexample in each case.

(c) A set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *closed* if  $\mathbb{R} \setminus C$  is open. Which of your results from parts (a) and (b) hold for closed sets?

Solution.

- (a) Let  $x \in O_1 \cup O_2$ . Consider if  $x \in O_1$ , then there is an open interval  $I_1$  containing x. Thus  $I_1$  is an open interval within  $O_1 \cup O_2$  containing x. We can do the same for  $x \in O_2$ , then with  $x \in I_2 \subseteq O_2$ , hence  $O_1 \cup O_2$  is open. [1]

  Now let  $x \in O_1 \cap O_2$ . Then for each i = 1, 2 we have an open interval  $I_i \subseteq O_i$  containing x. [1] Let us write  $I_1 = (a_1, b_1), I_2 = (a_2, b_2)$ , and  $c_1 = \max(a_1, b_1), c_2 = \min(a_2, b_2)$ . Then  $(c_1, c_2) = I_1 \cap I_2$ , and since  $x \in I_1 \cap I_2$  we have  $x \in (c_1, c_2)$ . In particular this means  $c_1 < c_2$ , so  $I_1 \cap I_2$  is an open interval. [1] Also  $I_1 \cap I_2 \subseteq O_1 \cap O_2$ , so  $O_1 \cap O_2$  is open.
- (b) i. This is true. We can use exactly the same method as in part (a): let  $x \in \bigcup_n O_n$ , and the assume  $x \in O_1$  (or use  $O_i$  in place of  $O_1$ ), then we have an open interval  $I_1 \subseteq O_1$  containing x, then  $I_1 \subseteq \bigcup_n O_n$ , and we are done. [1]
  - ii. This is false. A counterexample is given by  $O_n = (\frac{-1}{n}, 1 + \frac{1}{n})$ , for which  $\cap_n O_n = [0, 1]$ . [1]
- (c) Let  $(C_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of closed sets. Then  $\mathbb{R}\setminus C_n$  is open, for each n. Using set operations we have

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus (C_1 \cup C_2) = (R \setminus C_1) \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus C_2)$$

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus (C_1 \cap C_2) = (R \setminus C_1) \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus C_2)$$

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \left(\bigcup_n C_n\right) = \bigcap_n (\mathbb{R} \setminus C_n)$$

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \left(\bigcap_n C_n\right) = \bigcup_n (\mathbb{R} \setminus C_n)$$

The first two equations combined with part (a) tell us that both the results of part (a) carry over to closed sets: both  $C_1 \cap C_2$  and  $C_1 \cup C_2$  are closed. [1]

From the fourth equation, since  $\mathbb{R} \setminus C_n$  is open (for all n), using (b)(i) we see that  $\mathbb{R} \setminus (\bigcup_n C_n)$  is also open, hence  $\bigcap_n C_n$  is closed. [1]

1

However, we can't do the same for the third equation, because (b)(ii) was false. [1] Instead, we can take complements of our counterexample in (b)(ii) to find a counterexample here, giving  $C_n = \mathbb{R} \setminus (\frac{-1}{n}, 1 + \frac{1}{n}) = (-\infty, \frac{-1}{n}] \cup [1 + \frac{1}{n}, \infty)$ . Then  $\bigcup_n C_n = (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$  which is not closed (because its complement [0, 1] is not open). [1]

- 2. In each of the following cases, show that the given function is measurable, from  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with the Borel  $\sigma$ -field. State clearly any results from lectures that you make use of.
  - (a) f(x) = x
  - (b)  $g(x) = \cos x$

(c) 
$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0 \\ x + 1 & \text{for } x \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

Solution.

- (a) We'll use the (original) definition of a measurable function. [1] With f(x) = x,  $f^{-1}((c,\infty)) = (c,\infty)$ , which is a measurable set, so f is a measurable function. [1]
- (b) From lectures, every continuous function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is measurable. [1] Since cos is continuous, it is measurable. [1]
- (c) Let  $g_1(x) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}(x)$  be the indicator function of  $[0,\infty)$ , which is measurable because it is the indicator function of a measurable set. [1] Let

$$g_2(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

which is measurable because it is continuous. Then  $g(x) = g_1(x) + g_2(x)$  is measurable, because the sum of measurable functions is measurable. [1]

Pitfall: Make sure to specify which results (from lectures) you use to make your deductions.

- 3. Let  $(S, \Sigma, m)$  be a measure space, and suppose that m is a probability measure.
  - (a) Let  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$  be a non-negative simple function. Show that  $f^2$  is also a non-negative simple function.
  - (b) Let  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$  be a simple function. Write  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$  where the  $A_i$  are pairwise disjoint and measurable and  $c_i \geq 0$ . Show that

$$\left(\int_{S} f \, dm\right)^{2} \le \int_{S} f^{2} \, dm. \tag{*}$$

Hint: You may use Titu's lemma, which states that for  $u_i \geq 0$  and  $v_i > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{u_{i}^{2}}{v_{i}}.$$

(c) In this question you should give two different proofs that equation  $(\star)$  holds when f is any non-negative measurable function.

- i. Give a proof based on the definition of the Lebesgue integral for non-negative measurable functions.
- ii. Give a proof using the monotone convergence theorem.
- (d) Does  $(\star)$  remain true if m is not necessarily a probability measure?

Solution.

(a) We have

$$f^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{i} c_{j} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i}} \mathbb{1}_{A_{j}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i}}$$

where the second inequality follows by disjointness – all the cross terms are zero. [1] We have thus expressed  $f^2$  as a simple function, and since  $c_i^2$  are non-negative,  $f^2$  is also non-negative. [1]

(b) We have

$$\left(\int f \, dm\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i m(A_i)\right)^2,$$
$$\int f^2 \, dm = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 m(A_i).$$

[2] The required inequality follows from the above and Titu's lemma, taking  $v_i = m(A_i)$  and  $u_i = c_i m(A_i)$ . [1] Note that, because m is a probability measure,  $\sum_i m(A_i) = 1$  and we may assume  $m(A_i) > 0$  (because any  $A_i$  with zero measure have no effect on the value of the integral).

Follow-up exercise: See if you can derive Titu's lemma from the real version of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

(c) Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be non-negative and measurable.

**First proof:** Recall that the definition of the Lebesgue integral, for non-negative measurable functions, is

$$\int f \, dm = \sup \left\{ \int s \, dm : s \text{ is simple and } 0 \le s \le f \right\}.$$

Hence

$$\left(\int f \, dm\right)^2 = \left(\sup\left\{\int s \, dm \ : \ s \text{ is simple and } 0 \le s \le f\right\}\right)^2$$

$$= \sup\left\{\left(\int s \, dm\right)^2 \ : \ s \text{ is simple and } 0 \le s \le f\right\}$$

$$\le \sup\left\{\int s^2 \, dm \ : \ s \text{ is simple and } 0 \le s \le f\right\}$$

$$= \sup\left\{\int r \, dm \ : \ r \text{ is simple and } 0 \le r \le f^2\right\}$$

$$= \int f^2 \, dm$$

Here, the second line follows because  $\int s \, dm \ge 0$ , so the square can pass inside of the sup. [1] The third line then follows by part (b). [1] Let us now justify the fourth line.

We have shown in (a) that if s is a non-negative simple function then so is  $r=s^2$ , and clearly if  $s \leq f$  then  $s^2 \leq f^2$  (i.e. pointwise). [1] Also, if r is a non-negative simple function such that  $0 \leq r \leq f^2$ , then if we define  $s=\sqrt{r}$ , we can show (in similar style to part (a)) that s is a non-negative simple function such that  $0 \leq s \leq f$ . Here, if  $r=\sum_i c_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$  we would have  $s=\sum_i \sqrt{c_i} \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$ . So, the two sups in the third and fourth lines are equal using the correspondence  $r=s^2$ . [1]

**Second proof:** Now we will allow ourselves to use the monotone convergence theorem. From lectures (see the section on simple functions) there exists a sequence  $(s_n)$  of nonnegative simple functions such that  $0 \le s_n \le s_{n+1} \le f$  such that  $s_n \to f$  pointwise. [1] Thus, by the monotone convergence theorem, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\int s_n \, dm \to \int f \, dm.$$

[1] By part (a),  $(s_n^2)$  is also a sequence of simple functions. [1] We have  $0 \le s_n^2 \le s_{n+1}^2 \le f^2$ , also  $s_n^2 \to f^2$  pointwise. So by another application of the monotone convergence theorem we have

$$\int s_n^2 \, dm \to \int f^2 \, dm.$$

[1] From part (b) we have

$$\left(\int s_n \, dm\right)^2 \le \int s_n^2 \, dm$$

for all n. Since limits preserve weak inequalities, [1] we have that

$$\left(\int f\,dm\right)^2 \le \int f^2\,dm$$

as required.

(d) In general ( $\star$ ) fails when m is not a probability measure. For example, take f(x) = x and let m be Lebesgue measure on [0,2]. Then  $\int_0^2 x \, dx = 2$  and  $\int_0^2 x^2 \, dx = \frac{8}{3}$ , but  $2^2 > \frac{8}{3}$ . [1]