## MASx52: Assignment 1

Solutions and discussion are written in blue. A sample mark scheme, with a total of 20 marks, is given in red, with each mark placed after the statement/deduction for which the mark would be given. As usual, mathematically correct solutions that follow a different method would be marked analogously.

- 1. Recall the one-period market, and its parameters  $r, u, d, p_u, p_d$  and s. We assume that d < 1 + r < u.
  - (a) At time t = 0 our portfolio contains 2 unit of cash and 3 units of stock. What is the value of our portfolio at time t = 0? If we hold this portfolio until time t = 1, what is its new value?
  - (b) A rival investor holds a portfolio containing 3 units of cash and 2 unit of stock. Under what condition (on the parameters) can we be *certain* that our own portfolio will have a strictly greater value at time t = 1?

Solution.

- (a) At time t=0, our portfolio has value 2+3s. [1] At time t=1, our portfolio has value  $2(1+r)+3S_1$  [1] where  $S_1$  is a random variable with  $\mathbb{P}[S_1=su]=p_u$  and  $\mathbb{P}[S_1=sd]=p_d$ . [1]
- (b) The value of the rival investors portfolio at time t = 1 is  $3(1+r) + 2S_1$ . [1] This means that our own portfolio is worth strictly more when

$$2(1+r) + 3S_1 > 3(1+r) + 2S_1$$

or, equivalently, when

$$S_1 > 1 + r$$
.

[1] To be certain that this inequality holds occurs, we must consider a 'worst case scenario' for the value of  $S_1$ . That is, we are certain that our own portfolio will have greater value if and only if

$$sd > 1 + r$$
.

- [1] (In words, this equation says that stock is certain to outperform cash.)
- 2. Let  $\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$ , representing two coin tosses each of which may show either H (head) or T (tail). Let  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be the toss in which the first head occurred, or zero if no heads occurred:

$$X = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \omega = TT \\ 1 & \text{if } \omega = HT \text{ or } \omega = HH \\ 2 & \text{if } \omega = TH. \end{cases}$$

1

Let Y be the total number of heads that occurred in both tosses.

- (a) Write down the sets  $X^{-1}(0)$ ,  $X^{-1}(1)$  and  $X^{-1}(2)$ .
- (b) State the definition of  $\sigma(X)$ , and list its elements.

(c) Is Y measurable with respect to  $\sigma(X)$ ? Why, or why not?

Solution.

- (a) The pre-images are  $X^{-1}(0) = \{TT\}, X^{-1}(1) = \{HT, HH\} \text{ and } X^{-1}(2) = \{TH\}.$  [2]
- (b) The  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma(X)$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field with respect to which X is measurable. [1] To construct  $\sigma(X)$ , we start by adding in the pre-images from (a), along with  $\emptyset$  and  $\Omega$ , and then include all the unions and complements that we can make from currently added subset of  $\Omega$ , until we have added them all. This gives

$$\Big\{\emptyset, \Omega, \{TT\}, \{HT, HH\}, \{TH\}, \{TT, HT, HH\}, \{TT, TH\}, \{HT, HH, TH\}\Big\}.$$

- [2]. We conclude that this is a  $\sigma$ -field and hence also equal to  $\sigma(X)$ . [1]
- (c) Intuitively, we expect Y not to be measurable with respect to  $\sigma(X)$ , because the value of Y is different for HT and HH, whereas the value of X for these two outcomes is the same so Y depends on information that X doesn't see.

To answer the question: we note that (for example)  $Y^{-1}(1) = \{HT, TH\}$  [1] which is not an element of  $\sigma(X)$ . Hence Y is not  $\sigma(X)$ -measurable. [1]

- 3. Let X be a random variable.
  - (a) Show that  $Y = \cos X$  is also a random variable.
  - (b) For which  $p \in [1, \infty)$  do we have  $Y \in L^p$ ?

Solution.

(a) By definition,

$$\cos x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i x^{2i}}{(2i)!},$$

which is an infinite series that converges for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Recall that adding together random variables gives random variables, that multiplying together random variables gives random variables [2]. Using these facts repeatedly, we have that

$$Y_n(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-1)^i (X_n(\omega))^{2i}}{(2i)!}$$
$$= 1 - \frac{X_n(\omega)^2}{2} + \frac{X_n(\omega)^4}{24} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^n (X_n(\omega))^{2n}}{(2n)!}$$

is a random variable, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . [1] By definition of cos, we have  $Y(\omega) = \lim_{n\to\infty} Y_n(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Since limits of random variables (when they exist) are also random variables, Y is a random variable. [1]

(b) Recall that  $|\cos x| \le 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $|Y| \le 1$ , and hence by monotonicity of  $\mathbb{E}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y|^p] \le \mathbb{E}[1^p] = 1.$$

[1] Therefore,  $Y \in L^p$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . [1]