

## MASx52: Assignment 1

Solutions and discussion are written in blue. A sample mark scheme, with a total of 20 marks, is given in red, with each mark placed after the statement/deduction for which the mark would be given. As usual, mathematically correct solutions that follow a different method would be marked analogously.

1. Recall the one-period market, and its parameters  $r, u, d, p_u, p_d$  and  $s$ . We assume that  $d < 1 + r < u$ .
  - (a) At time  $t = 0$  our portfolio contains 2 unit of cash and 3 units of stock. What is the value of our portfolio at time  $t = 0$ ? If we hold this portfolio until time  $t = 1$ , what is its new value?
  - (b) A rival investor holds a portfolio containing 3 units of cash and 2 unit of stock. Under what condition (on the parameters) can we be *certain* that our own portfolio will have a strictly greater value at time  $t = 1$ ?

*Solution.*

- (a) At time  $t = 0$ , our portfolio has value  $2 + 3s$ . [1] At time  $t = 1$ , our portfolio has value  $2(1 + r) + 3S_1$  [1] where  $S_1$  is a random variable with  $\mathbb{P}[S_1 = su] = p_u$  and  $\mathbb{P}[S_1 = sd] = p_d$ . [1]

*Pitfall:* You are asked for the value, and not the expected value.

- (b) The value of the rival investors portfolio at time  $t = 1$  is  $3(1 + r) + 2S_1$ . [1] This means that our own portfolio is worth strictly more when

$$2(1 + r) + 3S_1 > 3(1 + r) + 2S_1$$

or, equivalently, when

$$S_1 > 1 + r.$$

[1] To be certain that this inequality holds occurs, we must consider a ‘worst case scenario’ for the value of  $S_1$ . That is, we are certain that our own portfolio will have greater value if and only if

$$sd > 1 + r.$$

[1] (In words, this equation says that stock is certain to outperform cash.)

*Pitfall:* There is only one type of stock in the one-period model, so we own the same type of stock as our rival (i.e. if his goes up/down, so does ours).

2. Let  $\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$ , representing two coin tosses each of which may show either  $H$  (head) or  $T$  (tail). Let  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the toss in which the first head occurred, or zero if no heads occurred:

$$X = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \omega = TT \\ 1 & \text{if } \omega = HT \text{ or } \omega = HH \\ 2 & \text{if } \omega = TH. \end{cases}$$

Let  $Y$  be the total number of heads that occurred in both tosses.

- (a) Write down the sets  $X^{-1}(0)$ ,  $X^{-1}(1)$  and  $X^{-1}(2)$ .
- (b) State the definition of  $\sigma(X)$ , and list its elements.
- (c) Is  $Y$  measurable with respect to  $\sigma(X)$ ? Why, or why not?

*Solution.*

- (a) The pre-images are  $X^{-1}(0) = \{TT\}$ ,  $X^{-1}(1) = \{HT, HH\}$  and  $X^{-1}(2) = \{TH\}$ . [2]
- (b) The  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma(X)$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field with respect to which  $X$  is measurable. [1]  
To construct  $\sigma(X)$ , we start by adding in the pre-images from (a), along with  $\emptyset$  and  $\Omega$ , and then include all the unions and complements that we can make from currently added subset of  $\Omega$ , until we have added them all. This gives

$$\left\{ \emptyset, \Omega, \{TT\}, \{HT, HH\}, \{TH\}, \{TT, HT, HH\}, \{TT, TH\}, \{HT, HH, TH\} \right\}.$$

[2]. We conclude that this is a  $\sigma$ -field and hence also equal to  $\sigma(X)$ . [1]

- (c) Intuitively, we expect  $Y$  not to be measurable with respect to  $\sigma(X)$ , because the value of  $Y$  is different for  $HT$  and  $HH$ , whereas the value of  $X$  for these two outcomes is the same - so  $Y$  depends on information that  $X$  doesn't see.

To answer the question: we note that (for example)  $Y^{-1}(1) = \{HT, TH\}$  [1] which is not an element of  $\sigma(X)$ . Hence  $Y$  is not  $\sigma(X)$ -measurable. [1]

3. Let  $X$  be a random variable.

- (a) Show that  $Y = \cos X$  is also a random variable.
- (b) For which  $p \in [1, \infty)$  do we have  $Y \in L^p$ ?

*Solution.*

- (a) By definition,

$$\cos x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i x^{2i}}{(2i)!},$$

which is an infinite series that converges for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Recall that adding together random variables gives random variables, that multiplying together random variables gives random variables [2]. Using these facts repeatedly, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n(\omega) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-1)^i (X_n(\omega))^{2i}}{(2i)!} \\ &= 1 - \frac{X_n(\omega)^2}{2} + \frac{X_n(\omega)^4}{24} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^n (X_n(\omega))^{2n}}{(2n)!} \end{aligned}$$

is a random variable, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . [1] By definition of  $\cos$ , we have  $Y(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Since limits of random variables (when they exist) are also random variables,  $Y$  is a random variable. [1]

*Pitfall:* The  $\sum_0^\infty \dots$  is an infinite sum – a limit of the finite sums.

- (b) Recall that  $|\cos x| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $|Y| \leq 1$ , and hence by monotonicity of  $\mathbb{E}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y|^p] \leq \mathbb{E}[1^p] = 1.$$

[1] Therefore,  $Y \in L^p$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . [1]