

# Transformations of multivariate distributions

We will concentrate here on the bivariate case, but the theory described extends to the more general case.

# The framework

We are interested in the situation where:

- we have two jointly distributed continuous random variables, say  $X$  and  $Y$  with joint p.d.f.  $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$
- we transform them into two new continuous random variables, say  $U$  and  $V$  with joint p.d.f.  $f_{U,V}(u, v)$ , given by say  $U = g(X, Y)$  and  $V = h(X, Y)$
- the whole transformation is continuous, differentiable and **one-to-one** in that there exist “inverse” functions  $G$  and  $H$  with  $X = G(U, V)$  and  $Y = H(U, V)$ .

# The Jacobian

If we take a small region around  $(x, y)$  then this is transformed into a small region around  $(u, v)$ , where  $u = g(x, y)$  and  $v = h(x, y)$ , and the area of this new region will be the area of the old region multiplied by the **Jacobian** of the transformation

$$\left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

evaluated at  $(x, y)$ .

# Change of variables

Bearing in mind that a probability density function measures probability per unit area, in order to evaluate the joint p.d.f. of  $U$  and  $V$  at  $(u, v)$  we need to take the joint p.d.f. of  $X$  and  $Y$  at  $(x, y)$  and **divide** it by this Jacobian.

# Change of variables

In fact, since the joint p.d.f. is to be expressed in terms of  $u$  and  $v$ , it is (in most cases) easier to multiply by the Jacobian of the inverse transformation  $x = G(u, v), y = H(u, v)$  where  $G$  and  $H$  are as defined above.

So we get

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = f_{X,Y}(G(u, v), H(u, v)) \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \end{pmatrix} \right|.$$

This formula generalises the one obtained in the univariate case for monotonic  $g$  in Chapter 1.

## Ranges for $U$ and $V$

It is important to identify the range of values taken by  $(U, V)$ , possibly with the aid of a graph.

In particular, if  $X$  and  $Y$  take values in a restricted range given by inequalities in  $x$  and  $y$ , then these must be translated into inequalities in  $u$  and  $v$  by substituting for  $x$  and  $y$  in terms of  $u$  and  $v$ .

# Examples

**Example 17:** Transforming bivariate random variables

**Example 18:** Application to simulation of normal random variables

## Only one new variable

Sometimes we are interested in only one transformed random variable,  $U = g(X, Y)$  say.

In this case one possibility is

- to choose  $V$  arbitrarily (but not identical to or functionally dependent on  $U$ , to ensure that the joint distribution of  $U$  and  $V$  is genuinely two-dimensional),
- to find the joint p.d.f. of  $U$  and  $V$ ,
- to eliminate the unwanted  $V$  by finding the marginal p.d.f. of  $U$ .

If there is no other obvious choice, choosing  $V = X$  or  $V = Y$  often works well.



# Example

**Example 19:** Finding the distribution of a sum of Gamma random variables

## Relationship to integration

Note that the method introduced in this section is closely related to the method used when changing variables in multiple integration.

# The Student $t$ distribution

The **Student  $t$  distribution** arises when we have independent random variables  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $W \sim \chi_n^2$ , and we consider the random variable

$$X = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{\frac{W}{n}}}.$$

We write  $X \sim t_n$ .

As with the chi squared distribution, the parameter  $n$  is referred to as the number of degrees of freedom.

## Some properties of the $t$ distribution

The probability density function of  $X$  can be derived using a bivariate transformation (in notes) and is

$$f(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{n\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{n}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}.$$

If  $n \geq 2$  the mean  $E(X) = 0$  and if  $n \geq 3$  the variance  $\text{Var}(X) = \frac{n}{n-2}$ .

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$   $f(x)$  converges to the p.d.f. of a standard normal distribution.

## The $t$ distribution and the $t$ test

You will have seen this distribution before, in MAS113 (sections 6 and 7), where the  $t$  test was introduced.

The reason it arises there is that it can be shown (see exercise 37) that if  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are independent  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  random variables, the sample mean  $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$  and the sample variance  $S^2$  satisfies  $(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ , and that  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are independent.

Hence the  $t$  statistic

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} / \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2}{n-1}} \sim t_{n-1}.$$

# The Cauchy distribution

The special case of the  $t$  distribution where  $n = 1$  is the **Cauchy distribution**, seen earlier in the course.

# Covariance matrices

Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k)^T$  be a random (column) vector with **mean vector**

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k)^T = (E(X_1), E(X_2), \dots, E(X_k))^T = E(\mathbf{X}).$$

Then the  $k \times k$  matrix  $\Sigma$  with elements given by

$$\sigma_{ij} = \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = E((X_i - \mu_i)(X_j - \mu_j))$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  is called the **covariance matrix** of  $\mathbf{X}$ , denoted by  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{X})$ .

# Entries of the covariance matrix

- This matrix has the variances  $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \dots, \sigma_k^2$  of the random variables down the diagonal
- The matrix is **symmetric**, because  $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \text{Cov}(X_j, X_i)$ .
- From the definition of correlation coefficient we may also write  $\sigma_{ij} = \rho_{ij}\sigma_i\sigma_j$  where  $\rho_{ij}$  is the correlation coefficient between  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ .



## More on the covariance matrix

We may also write

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}) = E((\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T)$$

where the expectation is taken componentwise.

If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$  are **independent** (or merely uncorrelated) then  $\Sigma$  is a **diagonal** matrix (having zero off-diagonal elements).

# Example

**Example 20:** Example of a covariance matrix

# Linear transformations of random vectors

Matrix notation is useful when we consider linear transformations of  $\mathbf{X}$ .

Let  $A$  be a fixed  $m \times k$  matrix and  $\mathbf{b}$  be a fixed  $m$ -vector, and write

$$\mathbf{Y} = A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{b}$$

so that  $\mathbf{Y}$  has  $m$  components.

## Transforming the mean

Then since pre-multiplying by a matrix is a linear operation we get

$$E(\mathbf{Y}) = A E(\mathbf{X}) + \mathbf{b}$$

$$E(\mathbf{Y}) = A\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b}.$$

# Transforming the covariance matrix

Also

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y}) = A \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}) A^T = A \Sigma A^T.$$

(derivation in notes)

# Example

**Example 21:** Linear transformation of a random vector

## Variance of linear combinations

Aim: find a formula for the variance of a linear combination of the random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$ , say

$$Y = a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 + \dots + a_kX_k + b.$$

We do this by choosing  $m = 1$  and letting  $A$  be a row vector with appropriate entries,  $\mathbf{a}^T = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ , so that  $A\mathbf{X} + b$  is the scalar  $Y = a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 + \dots + a_kX_k + b$ .

Using the previous theory, we get

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \mathbf{a}^T \Sigma \mathbf{a}.$$

# Positive definite matrices

Since this is always non-negative, we have shown that  $\Sigma$  is a **positive semi-definite** matrix,

(I.e. one for which  $\mathbf{a}^T \Sigma \mathbf{a} \geq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{a}$ .)

(A **positive definite** matrix is one where the inequality is strict for all non-zero  $\mathbf{a}$ .)

A positive semi-definite matrix has all its eigenvalues non-negative (which can be seen by letting  $\mathbf{a}$  be an eigenvector in the definition).



## Variance of a sum

A particular special case is the general formula for variance of a sum  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_k$ , covering cases where the variables in the sum are not necessarily independent.

To do this, let each element of  $\mathbf{a}$  be 1 and let  $b = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var} \sum_{i=1}^k X_i &= (1, 1, \dots, 1) \Sigma (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Var}(X_i) + 2 \sum_{i,j: 1 \leq i < j \leq k} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j).\end{aligned}$$

# Example

**Example 22:** Variance of a sum