MASx50: Assignment 2

Solutions and discussion are written in blue. A sample mark scheme, with a total of 28 marks, is given in red, with each mark placed after the statement/deduction for which the mark would be given. As usual, mathematically correct solutions that follow a different method would be marked analogously.

1. Determine if the following functions are Lebesgue integrable. Use the monotone convergence theorem to justify your answers.

   (a) $f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = 1/x^2$.

   (b) $g : (0, 1) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = \log x$

   Solution.

   (a) Note that $x^{-2} > 0$ for $x \in (0, \infty)$. By Riemann integration, we have

   $$\int_{1/n}^{n} x^{-2}\, dx = \left[-x^{-1}\right]_{1/n}^{n} = -\frac{1}{n} + n.$$

   [1] Note that $f_n(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\{x \in (1/n, n)\}} x^{-2}$ is a monotone increasing sequence of non-negative functions, with pointwise convergence to $f(x) = x^{-2}$ for $x \in (0, \infty)$. [1] Hence, by the monotone convergence theorem [1] we have

   $$\int_0^{\infty} x^{-2}\, dx = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{n} + n\right) = +\infty.$$

   Thus x^{-2} is not integrable on $(0, \infty)$. [1]

   (b) By Riemann integration, we have

   $$\int_{1/n}^{1} \log x\, dx = [x \log x - x]_{1/n}^{1} = (-1) - \left(\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{1 + \log n}{n} - 1.$$

   Noting that $\log x \in (-\infty, 0)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, multiplying the above by -1 gives

   $$\int_{1/n}^{1} |\log x|\, dx = 1 - \frac{1 + \log n}{n}.$$

   [1] We have that $g_n(x) = |\log x|\mathbb{1}_{x\in(1/n,1)}$ is a monotone increasing sequence of non-negative functions, with pointwise convergence to $g(x) = |\log x|$ for $x \in (0, 1)$. [1] Hence, by the monotone convergence theorem,

   $$\int_0^1 |\log x|\, dx = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \log n}{n}\right) = 1.$$

   Thus $\log x$ is integrable on $(0, 1)$. [1]

2. The following text describes the key steps of defining the Lebesgue integral on a measure space (S, Σ, m). It contains *three* mistakes.

For indicator functions $\mathbb{1}_A$ where $A \in \Sigma$, set

$$\cancel{\int_0^\infty} \int_S \mathbb{1}_A \, dm = m(A). \qquad (\star)$$

For simple functions $s = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$, where $c_i \geq 0$ and $A_i \in \Sigma$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, extend equation $(\star)$ by linearity to give

$$\int_S s \, dm = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i m(A_i).$$

For non-negative measurable functions $f : S \to [0, \infty)$, define

$$\int_S f \, dm = \sup \left\{ \int_S s \, dm \; : \; s \text{ is a } \cancel{\text{continuous}} \text{ measurable function and } 0 \leq s \leq f \right\}.$$

We therefore have that $\int_S f \, dm \in [0, \infty]$ for non-negative measurable functions f. For an arbitrary measurable function $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$, write $f = f_+ - f_-$, where $f_+ = 0 \vee f$ and $f_- = -(f \wedge 0)$. Then f_+ and f_- are non-negative measurable functions. If one or both of $\int_S f_+ \, dm$ and $\int_S f_- \, dm$ is not equal to $+\infty$ then we define

$$\int_S f \, dm = \int_S f_+ \, dm - \int_S f_- \, dm.$$

If both $\int_S f_+ \, dm$ and $\int_S f_- \, dm$ are equal to $+\infty$ then $\int_S f \, dm$ is ~~equal to $+\infty$~~ undefined.

Each mistake is on a distinct line. Line numbers are included for convenience and to help you reference the text.

List the line numbers containing mistakes and, for each mistake, give a corrected version.

Solution.

(a) 2, 7, 14. [3]

(b) As indicated above. [3]

3. Let (S, Σ, m) be a measure space, and suppose that m is a probability measure.

   (a) Let $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative simple function. Show that f^2 is also a non-negative simple function.

   (b) Let $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$ be a simple function. Write $f = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$ where the A_i are pairwise disjoint and measurable and $c_i \geq 0$. Show that

$$\left(\int_S f \, dm \right)^2 \leq \int_S f^2 \, dm. \tag{$\star$}$$

   Hint: You may use Titu's lemma, which states that for $u_i \geq 0$ and $v_i > 0$,

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i\right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n v_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{u_i^2}{v_i}.$$

   (c) In this question you should give *two* different proofs that equation $(\star)$ holds when f is any non-negative measurable function. You may use your results from part (b) in both proofs.

      i. Give a proof using the monotone convergence theorem.

      ii. Give a proof based on the definition of the Lebesgue integral for non-negative measurable functions.

   (d) Does $(\star)$ remain true if m is not necessarily a probability measure?

Solution.

(a) We have

$$f^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m c_i c_j \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \mathbb{1}_{A_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$$

where the second inequality follows by disjointness – all the cross terms (when $i \neq j$) are zero. [1] We have thus expressed f^2 as a simple function, and since c_i^2 are non-negative, f^2 is also non-negative. [1]

(b) We have

$$\left(\int f \, dm \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i m(A_i) \right)^2,$$
$$\int f^2 \, dm = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 m(A_i).$$

[2] The required inequality follows from the above and Titu's lemma, taking $v_i = m(A_i)$ and $u_i = c_i m(A_i)$. [1] Note that, because m is a probability measure, $\sum_i m(A_i) = 1$ and we may assume $m(A_i) > 0$ (because any A_i with zero measure will have no effect on the value of the integral).

Follow-up challenge exercise: See if you can derive Titu's lemma from the real version of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

(c) Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be non-negative and measurable.

First proof (using the monotone convergence theorem): From lectures (see the section on simple functions) there exists a sequence (s_n) of non-negative simple functions such that $0 \le s_n \le s_{n+1} \le f$ such that $s_n \to f$ pointwise. [1] Thus, by the monotone convergence theorem, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\int s_n \, dm \to \int f \, dm.$$

[1] By part (a), (s_n^2) is also a sequence of simple functions. [1] We have $0 \le s_n^2 \le s_{n+1}^2 \le f^2$, also $s_n^2 \to f^2$ pointwise. So by another application of the monotone convergence theorem we have

$$\int s_n^2 \, dm \to \int f^2 \, dm.$$

[1] From part (b) we have

$$\left(\int s_n \, dm \right)^2 \le \int s_n^2 \, dm$$

for all n. Since limits preserve weak inequalities, [1] we have that

$$\left(\int f \, dm \right)^2 \le \int f^2 \, dm$$

as required.

Second proof (using the definition of the integral): Recall that the definition of the Lebesgue integral, for non-negative measurable functions, is

$$\int f \, dm = \sup \left\{ \int s \, dm \ : \ s \text{ is simple and } 0 \le s \le f \right\}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int f \, dm \right)^2 &= \left(\sup \left\{ \int s \, dm \ : \ s \text{ is simple and } 0 \le s \le f \right\} \right)^2 \\
&= \sup \left\{ \left(\int s \, dm \right)^2 \ : \ s \text{ is simple and } 0 \le s \le f \right\} \\
&\le \sup \left\{ \int s^2 \, dm \ : \ s \text{ is simple and } 0 \le s \le f \right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{ \int r \, dm \ : \ r \text{ is simple and } 0 \le r \le f^2 \right\} \\
&= \int f^2 \, dm
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the second line follows because $\int s \, dm \ge 0$, so the square can pass inside of the sup. [1] The third line then follows by part (b). [1] Let us now justify the fourth line. We have shown in (a) that if s is a non-negative simple function then so is $r = s^2$, and clearly if $s \le f$ then $s^2 \le f^2$ (i.e. pointwise). [1] Also, if r is a non-negative simple function such that $0 \le r \le f^2$, then if we define $s = \sqrt{r}$, we can show (in similar style to part (a)) that s is a non-negative simple function such that $0 \le s \le f$. Here, if $r = \sum_i c_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$ we would have $s = \sum_i \sqrt{c_i} \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$. So, the two sups in the third and fourth lines are equal using the correspondence $r = s^2$. [1]

(d) In general $(\star)$ fails when m is not a probability measure. For example, take $f(x) = x$ and let m be Lebesgue measure on $[0, 2]$. Then $\int_0^2 x \, dx = 2$ and $\int_0^2 x^2 \, dx = \frac{8}{3}$, but $2^2 > \frac{8}{3}$. [1]