

## Chapter 3

# Equality Logic (eL)

*Equality logic* (eL) is a very restrictive sublogic of *first-order logic* (FOL). We assume eL and FOL are over the same infinite set  $X$  of first-order variables. In eL, all atomic wff's are of the form  $(x_i \approx x_j)$  for some  $x_i, x_j \in X$ . Though quite drastic, the restriction of FOL to eL is still capable of expressing non-trivial properties of first-order models.

The set of all eL wff's is denoted  $\text{WFF}_{\text{eL}}(\{\approx\}, X)$ . Our first task is to translate any set  $\Gamma$  of wff's in eL, *i.e.*,  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{WFF}_{\text{eL}}(\{\approx\}, X)$ , to a set  $\Gamma' \subseteq \text{WFF}_{\text{PL}}(\mathcal{Q})$  where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a set of doubly-indexed propositional variables:

$$\mathcal{Q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{q_{i,j} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We do this in Lemma 49. Our propositional wff's here are not over the set  $\mathcal{P}$  of singly-indexed variables in Section 1. This is a convenience to make the translation in the lemma a little easier and more transparent.

The semantics of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{PL}}(\mathcal{Q})$  requires a truth assignment  $\sigma : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$ , the semantics of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{eL}}(\{\approx\}, X)$  requires a structure  $\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (A, =, \dots)$  together with a valuation  $\tau : X \rightarrow A$ . The details are in Appendix B.3. We use propositional variable  $q_{i,j}$  to represent the equality  $(x_i \approx x_j)$  between first-order variables  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ . Thus, we want that  $\sigma(q_{i,j}) = \text{true}$  iff  $\tau(x_i) = \tau(x_j)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . To that end, we define a set of propositional wff's  $\Delta(S)$  relative to a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  of indices as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(S) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\top \rightarrow q_{i,i}) \mid i \in S\} && \text{("equality is reflexive")} \\ &\cup \{(q_{i,j} \rightarrow q_{j,i}) \mid i, j \in S\} && \text{("equality is symmetric")} \\ &\cup \{(q_{i,j} \wedge q_{j,k} \rightarrow q_{i,k}) \mid i, j, k \in S\} && \text{("equality is transitive")} \end{aligned}$$

Note how we use indices to model the properties of equality: reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity.

**Lemma 49.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a subset, finite or infinite, of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{eL}}(\{\approx\}, X)$ . We can construct a set  $\Gamma'$  of propositional formulas in  $\text{WFF}_{\text{PL}}(\mathcal{Q})$  such that:*

1.  $\Gamma$  is finitely satisfiable iff  $\Gamma'$  is finitely satisfiable.
2.  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable iff  $\Gamma'$  is satisfiable.

*Proof.* Let  $S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_i \in \text{FV}(\Gamma)\}$ . In words,  $S$  collects all the indices of first-order variables occurring in  $\Gamma$ . The set  $S$  may be finite or infinite.

The translation from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Gamma'$  is in two parts. We first transform each member of  $\Gamma$  using a function named  $\boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}$ . The desired  $\Gamma'$  is  $\Delta(S) \cup \boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\Gamma)$ . The definition of  $\boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}$  is by structural induction, similar to that of  $\boxed{\text{QPL} \mapsto \text{PL}}$  in the proof of Lemma 36:

1.  $\boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(x_i \approx x_j) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q_{i,j} \quad (\text{for every } (x_i \approx x_j) \text{ in } \Gamma)$
2.  $\boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\neg\varphi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \neg \boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\varphi)$
3.  $\boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\varphi \wedge \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\varphi) \wedge \boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\psi)$
4.  $\boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\varphi \vee \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\varphi) \vee \boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\psi)$
5.  $\boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\varphi) \rightarrow \boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\psi)$

In words, all that  $\boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}$  does is to replace every atomic wff of the form  $(x_i \approx x_j)$  by the variable  $q_{i,j}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 50.** Define a small subset  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{WFF}_{\text{eL}}(\{\approx\}, X)$  – no more than two or three wff’s – such that:

1.  $\Gamma$  is not satisfiable, *i.e.*, for every interpretation  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  we have  $\mathcal{A}, \tau \not\models_{\text{eL}} \Gamma$ .
2. However,  $\boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\Gamma)$  is satisfiable, *i.e.*, there is a truth assignment  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \models_{\text{PL}} \boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\Gamma)$ .
3. But, as predicted by the preceding lemma,  $\Delta(S) \cup \boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\Gamma)$  is not satisfiable, *i.e.*, for every truth assignment  $\sigma$  we have  $\sigma \not\models_{\text{PL}} \Delta(S) \cup \boxed{\text{eL} \mapsto \text{PL}}(\Gamma)$ , where  $S$  is the set of variable indices occurring in  $\Gamma$ .

This shows that, in the proof of Lemma 49, we cannot omit  $\Delta(S)$  in the definition of  $\Gamma'$ .  $\square$

### 3.1 Compactness and Completeness in eL

We follow the same sequence as in Section 2.1.

**Theorem 51** (Compactness for Equality Logic, Version I). *Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{WFF}_{\text{eL}}(\{\approx\}, X)$ . It then holds that  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable iff  $\Gamma$  is finitely satisfiable.*

*Proof.* This proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 40, after replacing Lemma 36 by Lemma 49.  $\square$

For the next lemma and its corollary, review the formal semantics of *equality logic* in Appendix B.

**Lemma 52.** *Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{WFF}_{\text{eL}}(\{\approx\}, X)$  and  $\varphi \in \text{WFF}_{\text{eL}}(\{\approx\}, X)$ , both arbitrary. It then holds that  $\Gamma \models \varphi$  iff  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\varphi\}$  is unsatisfiable – or, equivalently,  $\Gamma \not\models \varphi$  iff  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\varphi\}$  is satisfiable.*

*Proof.* Identical to the proof of Lemma 6, except that here  $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\}$  is a set of wff’s in *equality logic*.  $\square$

**Corollary 53** (Compactness for Equality Logic, Version II). *Let  $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{WFF}_{\text{eL}}(\{\approx\}, X)$  with  $\Gamma$  being possibly infinite. It then holds that  $\Gamma \models \varphi$  iff there is a finite subset  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma_0 \models \varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Identical to the proof of Corollary 7, except that here  $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\}$  is a set of wff’s in *equality logic*. Moreover, here we invoke Lemma 52 instead of Lemma 6, and Theorem 51 instead of Theorem 2.  $\square$

The statements of Lemma 10 (the *Deduction Theorem*) and Lemma 12, as well as their respective proofs, hold verbatim for *equality logic* – except that “ $\text{WFF}_{\text{pl}}(\mathcal{P})$ ” has to be replaced by “ $\text{WFF}_{\text{el}}(\{\approx\}, X)$ ” throughout.

**Theorem 54** (Completeness for Equality Logic). *Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{WFF}_{\text{el}}(\{\approx\}, X)$  and  $\psi \in \text{WFF}_{\text{el}}(\{\approx\}, X)$ , both arbitrary, with  $\Gamma$  being possibly infinite. If  $\Gamma \models \psi$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ .*

*Proof.* Identical to the proof of Theorem 13, except that all the wff’s are now wff’s of *equality logic*.  $\square$

## 3.2 Applications and Exercises

In many ways, **eL** is a very weak logic. Nonetheless, it can still express non-trivial properties of its models.

**Example 55** (*Infiniteness is eL-Expressible*). Can we write a set  $\Gamma$  of wff’s in **eL** such that every interpretation  $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$  satisfying  $\Gamma$  is infinite? The following  $\Gamma$  will do:

$$\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \neg(x_i \approx x_j) \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } i \neq j \}.$$

The justification is very simple. Take an arbitrary  $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$  where the universe of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a set  $A$ . If  $\mathcal{A}, \sigma \models \Gamma$ , then the valuation  $\sigma : X \rightarrow A$  must assign a distinct element of  $A$  to every variable in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is infinite, we necessarily have that  $\sigma(x_i) \neq \sigma(x_j)$  for all  $i \neq j$ , and the desired conclusion follows.

**Remark 56.** We have not invoked Compactness in Example 55, because it does not give us as much as we want. It is possible to invoke it by stating: *Every finite subset of  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable and therefore  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable*. But the conclusion that  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable only means that *there exists* an interpretation  $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$  for  $\Gamma$ , not that *every* interpretation  $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$  satisfies  $\Gamma$ . So, if we want to show that  $\Gamma$  is a formal specification of *all* infinite models, it does not help to invoke Compactness.

Can  $\Gamma$  in Example 55 distinguish between different infinite models? For example, can  $\Gamma$  distinguish between a model whose universe is  $\mathbb{N}$  and another model whose universe is  $\mathbb{R}$ ? No, it cannot. For our  $\Gamma$  here, all infinite cardinalities are the same. We consider this question again in later sections of these notes.  $\square$

**Exercise 57** (*Finiteness is eL-Ineffable*). In contrast to Example 55, we have the following facts:

1. There does not exist a set  $\Delta$  of wff’s in **eL** such that, for every interpretation  $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}, \sigma \models \Delta$  iff  $\mathcal{A}$  is finite.  
*Hint:* Assume otherwise and invoke Compactness to get a contradiction. You may want to use  $\Gamma$  from Example 55.
2. Let  $n \geq 1$ , a fixed positive integer. Show there exists a set  $\Delta_n$  of wff’s in **eL** such that, for every interpretation  $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$ , if we have  $\mathcal{A}, \sigma \models \Delta_n$  then the universe  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $n$  elements. Conversely, show that if the universe  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $n$  elements, then there is a valuation  $\sigma : X \rightarrow A$  such that  $\mathcal{A}, \sigma \models \Delta_n$ .

Give a precise argument for each of the two preceding facts. Thus, while finiteness in general is inexpressible in **eL**, finiteness of a fixed cardinality  $n$  is.  $\square$

**(MORE TO COME)**