Solve in either order:

- (a). Construct, with justification, a subset A of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that every point of A is isolated and  $A' \neq \emptyset$ .
- (b). Rudin Chapter 2, problem 5, page 43: Construct a bounded set of real numbers with exactly three limit points.
- (a). Solution. We provide the subset  $A = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . First, if  $a \in A$ , denote this as  $a = \frac{1}{n'}$ . We show a is an isolated point: let  $m = \min \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n'} \frac{1}{n'+1} \right|, \left| \frac{1}{n'} \frac{1}{n'-1} \right| \right\}$ . Note that since for any  $\overline{n} \geq n'$ , we have that  $\left| \frac{1}{n'+1} \frac{1}{n'} \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{\overline{n}} \frac{1}{n'} \right|$ , thus any ball around  $\frac{1}{n'}$  that contains  $\frac{1}{\overline{n}}$  must also contain  $\frac{1}{n'+1}$ . Now for any  $\underline{n} \leq n'$ , we have that  $\left| \frac{1}{n'-1} \frac{1}{n'} \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{\underline{n}} \frac{1}{n'} \right|$ , thus any ball around  $\frac{1}{n'}$  that contains  $\frac{1}{\underline{n}}$  must also contain  $\frac{1}{n'-1}$ .

Consider the open set of R  $U = \mathbb{B}[\frac{1}{n'}; \frac{1}{2}m)$ . Note that  $\frac{1}{n'-1} \not\in U$  and  $\frac{1}{n'+1} \not\in U$ . Thus by the contrapositive of the claims we just said, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $n \neq n'$ , we have that  $\frac{1}{n} \not\in U$ . Thus,  $\frac{1}{n'}$  is isolated. Since this is true for arbitrary  $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ , every point in A is isolated.

Now, note that  $0 \in A'$  so  $A' \neq \emptyset$ . If U is be an arbitrary open set in the neighbourhood of 0. Note that we will always have an element of A in U. Assume otherwise, that there exists an open set U such that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ . Conside a ball in U, specifically  $\mathbb{B}[0,r) \subseteq U$ . Note by the Archimedean property of the reals, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \cdot 1 > r^{-1} > 0$ , thus  $0 < \frac{1}{n} < r$ . But then  $\frac{1}{n} \in \mathbb{B}[0,r)$ , thus a contradiction. Thus, since  $U \in \mathcal{N}(0)$  was arbitrary, we have that every open set in the neighbourhood of 0 has a non empty intersection with A, thus 0 is a limit piont of A. Thus,  $A' \neq \emptyset$ .

(b). Solution. We give the set  $A = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} + 10 : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} + 20 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . From part (a) of this problem, we note that no element in A is a limit point of A, since they are all isolated (and thus cannot be limit points); the argument is the same, since the additional term just makes it so that we have three subsets of A that do not intersect. Furthermore, we can make identical arguments as from part (a) to show that 10 and 20 are in A', as well as 0. Thus, A has exactly three limit points.

(a). Give an example of two sets A and B in some HTS satisfying

$$int(A \cup B) \neq int(A) \cup int(B)$$

(b). Give an example of two sets A and B in some HTS satisfying

$$\overline{A \cap B} \neq \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$$

- (c). Working  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with the usual topology, express the open ball  $\mathbb{B}[0;1)$  as a union of closed sets. Can  $\mathbb{B}[0;1)$  be expressed as an intersection of closed sets?
- (a). Solution. Let our HTS be  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let A = [0,1] and B = [1,2]. We have  $\operatorname{int}(A \cup B) = \operatorname{int}([0,2]) = (0,2)$  and  $\operatorname{int}(A) \cup \operatorname{int}(B) = (0,1) \cup (1,2) = (0,2) \setminus \{1\}$ . Hence we have shown  $\operatorname{int}(A) \cup \operatorname{int}(B) = (0,2) \setminus \{1\} \neq (0,2) = \operatorname{int}(A \cup B)$ , so we are done.
- (b). Solution. Let our HTS be  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let A=(0,1) and B=(1,2). We have  $\overline{A\cap B}=\overline{\emptyset}=(\mathbb{R}^o)^c$ , but since A is open if and only if  $A^0$  is open (from notes) and  $\mathbb{R}$  must be open, we have  $\overline{A\cap B}=\mathbb{R}^c=\emptyset$ . Now, see that  $\overline{A}\cap \overline{B}=[0,1]\cap [1,2]=\{1\}$ , where we have used the fact that in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\overline{(a,b)}=(((a,b)^c)^o)^c=(((-\infty,a]\cup [b,\infty))^o)^c$  but taking the largest open subset we get  $((-\infty,a)\cup (b,\infty))^c=[a,b]$ . Hence,  $\overline{A\cap B}=\emptyset\neq \{1\}=\overline{A}\cap \overline{B}$ .
- (c). Solution. We have

$$\mathbb{B}[0;1) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{B}\left[0;1 - \frac{1}{n}\right]$$

To verify this equality, if  $x \in \mathbb{B}[0;1)$  and  $x \neq 0$  (the x=0 case is trivial, x is definitely in the RHS) note that  $x_k < 1$ , so then by Archimedean, there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 < \frac{1-x_k}{<}n \cdot 1 \implies 0 < x_k < 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ , thus  $x \in [0;1-\frac{1}{n}]$ , so x is in the RHS. Now if  $x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{B}[0;1-\frac{1}{n}]$ , there exists some n such that  $0 \leq x_k \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ . But then  $0 \leq x_k < 1$  for all k, so  $x \in \mathbb{B}[0;1)$ , as desired.

We cannot write  $\mathbb{B}[0;1)$  as an intersection of closed sets, since we know that for any HTS; the arbitrary intersection of closed sets is also closed, and  $\mathbb{B}[0;1)$  is open by definition.

Define a family  $\mathcal{T}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows:

A set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}$  if and only if for every x in G, there exists r > 0 such that  $[x, x + r) \subseteq G$ .

(a). Prove that  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T})$  is a HTS. (It is called the Sorgenfrey line.)

All our terminology – open set, closed set, boundary point, limit point, convergence – depends on what topology we use. Use the Sorgenfrey topology in parts (b)-(d):

- (b) Show that the interval [0,1) is open.
- (c) Find all boundary points of the interval (0,1).
- (d) Let  $s_n = -1/n$  and  $t_n = 1/n$ . Prove that one of these sequences converges to 0, and the other does not. Use the definition given in class, i.e.  $x_n \to \hat{x}$  means that for every open set U containing  $\hat{x}$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all n > N,  $x_n \in U$ .
- (a). Solution. First, note that  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\emptyset$  are both open. If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $[x, x+1) \in \mathbb{R}$  as well, so  $\mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{T}$ . Additionally,  $\emptyset$  vacuously satisfies our criteria, and so  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$  as well.

Now consider a collection  $\mathcal{G}$  of open sets in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let V denote the set  $\bigcup \mathcal{G}$ . If  $x \in V$ , then  $x \in G$  for some  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , and by assumption, since  $G \in \mathcal{T}$ , there exists some r > 0 such that  $[x, x + r) \in G$ , which means  $[x, x + r) \in V$  as well (by definition of union). Thus,  $V \in \mathcal{T}$  as well.

Now let  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_N \in \mathcal{T}$  (for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ), and let V denote the set  $U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \dots \cap U_N$ . If  $x \in V$ , then we must have that  $x \in U_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ . And since  $U_i \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is some  $r_i > 0$  such that  $[x, x + r_i) \in U_i$ . Let  $r = \min_i \{r_i\} > 0$ . Then surely  $[x, x + r) \subseteq [x, x + r_i)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , thus  $[x, x + r) \in U_i$  for all i, so  $[x, x + r) \in V$ . Thus, since r > 0,  $V \in \mathcal{T}$ .

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \neq y$ . WLOG assume x < y. Clearly  $x \in [x, y)$  and  $y \in [y, y + 1)$ . These are clearly distinct subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We now show that both are open. If  $z \in [x, y)$ , let  $y - z = \delta > 0$ . We have that  $[z, z + \delta) = [z, y) \in [x, z)$ . Thus, [x, y) is an open set. If  $w \in [y, y + 1)$ , let  $y + 1 - w = \delta > 0$ . We have that  $[w, w + \delta) = [w, y + 1) \in [y, y + 1)$ . Thus, [y, y + 1) is an open set. Thus, we have shown  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T})$  is a HTS, as desired.

- (b). Solution. Let  $x \in [0,1)$ . Then let  $1-x=\delta > 0$ . We have that  $[x,x+\delta) = [x,1) \in [0,1)$ . Thus,  $[0,1) \in \mathcal{T}$  and so is an open set.
- (c). Solution. We claim the only boundary point of (0,1) is 0. If U is an open set containing 0, it is of the form  $[a,b) \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $0 \in [a,b)$   $(b=a+r, r>0 \implies b>a)$ . In order to have 0 fall in it, we must have  $a \leq 0$  and b>0. Since  $0 \in U$  and  $0 \notin (0,1)$ , then  $U \cap (0,1)^c \neq \emptyset$ . Now, either  $b \geq 1$  or b < 1. If it is the former, then we have that  $(0,1) \subset [a,b)$  and so  $U \cap (0,1) \neq \emptyset$ . If it is the latter, then we have  $b/2 \in [a,b)$  and  $b/2 \in (0,1)$ , thus  $U \cap (0,1) \neq \emptyset$  again. This is sufficient to show that 0 is a boundary point.

We now show that there are no more boundary points of the set. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \neq 0$ . If x < 0, the open set [x,0) contains x but does not intersect (0,1), so cannot be a boundary point for it. If  $x \in (0,1)$ , then [x,1) contains x but does not intersect  $(0,1)^c$ , so cannot be a boundary point for (0,1). And if  $x \geq 1$ , then [x,x+1) contains x but does not intersect (0,1) so cannot be a boundary point for it. Thus, since x was arbitrary,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^*$  cannot be a boundary point for (0,1).

(d). Solution. We claim that  $s_n$  does not converge to 0. If U = [0, 1), then we have that U is open from part (b) of this problem and it contains 0, however, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that -1/n < 0 and so for no  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  do we have  $s_n \in U$ . Thus,  $s_n \notin U$  for all n, thus  $s_n$  does not converge to 0.

We claim that  $t_n$  does converge to 0. Consider an open set U that contains 0. That is, U is of the form [a,b) where b>a (since b=a+r and r>0 thus b>a) such that  $0\in U$ . In order for this to happen, it is necessary that  $a\leq 0$  and b>0. Thus, it is sufficient to show that  $0< t_n < b$  for large enough n to show  $t_n\in U$ . Clearly,  $t_n>0$  for all  $n\in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, by Archimedean, there exists  $N\in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N\cdot 1>b^{-1}>0$ , thus  $0<\frac{1}{N}=t_N< b$ . Furthermore,  $\frac{1}{n}\leq \frac{1}{N}$  for  $n\geq N$  (this is obvious, but if really desired, this can be shown with induction), thus for  $n\geq N$ , we have  $0< t_n< b$ , thus  $t_n\in U$ . Since U was an arbitrary open set that contained 0, this is sufficient to show convergence of  $t_n\to 0$ .

Let A be a subset of a HTS  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ . The **boundary of** A is a set denoted  $\partial A$ : we say  $z \in \partial A$  if and only if every open U containing z satisfies both  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $U \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$ . Prove:

- (a).  $\partial A = \overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c}$ .
- (b). A is closed if and only if  $\partial A \subseteq A$ .
- (c). A is open if and only if  $A \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ .
- (a). Solution. Let  $x \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c}$ . Let  $G \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ . We first prove that  $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$ . If  $x \in A$ , we are done, since  $\{x\} \in A \cap G$ . Now, assume that  $x \notin A$ . For the sake of contradiction, assume that  $A \cap G = \emptyset$ . This means that  $G \subseteq A^c$  Thus, A is closed (by the lemma from class). Then  $A = \overline{A}$ . But recall that  $x \in \overline{A} = A$ , thus a contradiction. We now prove that  $A^c \cap G \neq \emptyset$ . If  $x \in A^c$ , we are done, since  $\{x\} \in A^c \cap G$ . Now, assume that  $x \notin A^c$ . For the sake of contradiction, assume that  $A^c \cap G = \emptyset$ . This means that  $G \subseteq A$  Thus,  $A^c$  is closed (by the lemma from class). Then  $A^c = \overline{A^c}$ . But recall that  $x \in \overline{A^c} = A^c$ , thus a contradiction. Thus, since G was arbitrary, we have both  $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$  and  $A^c \cap G \neq 0$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , which by definition, means that  $x \in \partial A$ . Thus,  $\overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c} \subseteq \partial A$ .

Now let  $x \in \partial A$ . We now prove that  $x \in \overline{A}$ . If  $x \in A$ , we are done, since  $A \subset \overline{A}$ . If  $x \notin A$ , since  $\overline{A}$  is closed, we have that there exists some neighbourhood  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $U \subseteq A^c$ , and since  $A^c \cap A = \emptyset$ , this implies that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ . But recall that since  $x \in \partial A$ , we have that  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , thus, a contradiction. Hence,  $x \in \overline{A}$ . Now we prove that  $x \in \overline{A^c}$ . If  $x \in A^c$ , we are done, since  $A^c \subset \overline{A^c}$ . If  $x \notin A^c$ , since  $\overline{A^c}$  is closed, we have that there exists some neighbourhood  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $U \subseteq (A^c)^c = A$ , and since  $A^c \cap A = \emptyset$ , this implies that  $U \cap A^c = \emptyset$ . But recall that since  $x \in \partial A$ , we have that  $A^c \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , thus, a contradiction. Hence,  $x \in \overline{A^c}$ . Thus,  $x \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c}$ , so  $\overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c} \subseteq \partial A$ .

We have shown set inclusion in both directions, so  $\partial A = \overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c}$ .

(b). Solution. Assume that A is closed. Let  $x \in \partial A$ . From part (a) of this problem, we have  $\partial A = \overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c}$ , thus  $z \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c} \implies z \in \overline{A}$ . But since A is closed,  $A = \overline{A}$ , thus  $z \in A$ . Since z was arbitrary, this implies that  $\partial A \subseteq A$ .

Now assume that  $\partial A \subseteq A$ . From part (a) of this problem, we have  $\partial A = \overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c} = \overline{A} \cap (((A^c)^c)^o)^c = \overline{A} \cap (A^o)^c = \overline{A} \setminus A^o$ , thus  $\partial A \cup A^o = \overline{A}$ . Thus, since  $\partial A \subseteq A$ , by assumption, and  $A^o \subseteq A$ , we have  $\overline{A} \subseteq A$ . But  $A \subseteq \overline{A}$  by definition, so  $A = \overline{A}$ . But this is true only A is closed.

(c). Solution. Assume that A is open. Then  $A^c$  is closed, and thus  $A^c = \overline{A^c}$ . Invoking part (a) of this problem, we have

$$A \cap \partial A = A \cap (\overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c})$$
$$= (A \cap A^c) \cap \overline{A}$$
$$= \emptyset \cap \overline{A}$$
$$= \emptyset$$

Now assume that  $A \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ . But then  $A \cap \overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c} = \emptyset$ . Since  $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ , we have  $A \cap \overline{A} = A$ , thus we have  $A \cap \overline{A^c} = \emptyset$ . Writing out the full definition for the closure of  $A^c$ , we have  $\overline{A^c} = (((A^c)^c)^o)^c = (A^o)^c$ , thus we have  $A \cap (A^o)^c = \emptyset$ . For the sake of contradiction, assume that A is not open. Then, there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $x \notin A^o$  (since  $A^o \subset A$  and  $A^o \ne A$ ). Then,  $x \in (A^o)^c$ . But then  $x \in A \cap (A^o)^c = \emptyset$ , thus a contradiction. Hence A must be open.

Prove: For every set A in a HTS  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , A' is closed.

Solution. It is sufficient to show that  $\partial A' \subseteq A'$ , by problem 4(b). Let  $x \in \partial A'$ . By definition, this means that for all open sets  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , we have  $U \cap A' \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, let  $y \in U \cap A'$ . Since U is an open set and  $y \in U$ , there exists  $U' \in \mathcal{N}(y)$  such that  $U' \subseteq U$ . Now define  $V = U' \setminus x$ . Note that  $y \in V$  still, and V is open, since  $V = U' \setminus x = U' \cap \{x\}^c$  which is a finite intersection of open sets  $(\{x\}^c)$  is open since  $\{x\}$  is closed), so is also open, thus  $V \in \mathcal{N}(y)$  still. Now, since  $y \in A'$ , then for all open  $W \in \mathcal{N}(y)$ , we have  $W \setminus \{y\} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , so  $V \setminus \{y\} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, there exists  $z \in V \setminus \{y\} \cap A \Rightarrow z \in U$  since  $V \setminus \{y\} \subseteq U$ . Thus, we se that there exists  $z \in U \cap A$  where  $z \neq x$ , or  $U \setminus \{x\} \cap A \neq \emptyset \implies x \in A'$ . Hence, we have that  $\partial A' \subseteq A'$ , which shows that A' is closed.

Recall the sequence space  $\ell^2$  from HW07 Q3. Given a specific  $M = (M_1, M_2, ...)$  in  $\ell^2$ , let

$$S = \{ x \in \ell^2 \colon \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, |x_n| \le M_n \}.$$

Prove: every sequence  $(x^{(n)})$  in S has a convergent subsequence, whose limit lies in S.

Solution. Write out the sequence of the first components of our sequence, namely

$$(x_1^{(n)}) = x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, x_1^{(3)}, \dots$$

Each term of  $\left(x_1^{(n)}\right)$  is bounded by  $M_1$ , thus, by Bolzano-Weierstrass (which we can use since this is just a real-valued sequence), there exists a subsequence  $(n_{k_1})$  such that  $\left(x_1^{(n_{k_1})}\right)$  converges. This is a convergent sequence, so denote  $\lim_{k_1} x_1^{(n_{k_1})} = s_1$ ; since  $|x_1^{(i)}| \leq M_1$  for all  $i \in n_k$ , the limit is at most  $M_1$ , thus  $|s_1| \leq M_1$  as well. We will now use the notation  $k_1(i)$  to be the ith integer of  $n_{k_1}$ . Thus our new subsequence of elements in S is  $\left(x^{(n_{k_1})}\right) = x^{(k_1(1))}, x^{(k_1(2))}, x^{(k_1(3))}, \ldots$ 

Now consider the second components of our subsequence  $(x^{(n_{k_1})})$ :

$$(x_2^{(n_{k_1})}) = x_2^{(k_1(1))}, x_2^{(k_1(2))}, x_2^{(k_1(3))}, \dots$$

Again, by Bolzano Weierstrass from boundedness by  $M_2$ , there exists a subsequence of  $n_{k_1}$ , call it  $n_{k_2}$  such that  $\left(x_2^{(n_{k_2})}\right)$  converges. Again,  $k_2(i)$  is the *i*th integer of  $n_{k_2}$ .

Now, for any j, we can iteratively acquire a subsequence  $n_{k_j}$ . We write it them all out below:

$$(x^{(n_{k_1})}) = x^{(k_1(1))}, x^{(k_1(2))}, x^{(k_1(3))}, \dots$$

$$(x^{(n_{k_2})}) = x^{(k_2(1))}, x^{(k_2(2))}, x^{(k_2(3))}, \dots$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(x^{(n_{k_j})}) = x^{(k_j(1))}, x^{(k_j(2))}, x^{(k_j(3))}, \dots$$

Some remarks to note:

- (a).  $(x^{(n_{k_j})})$  is a subsequence of  $(x^{(n_{k_{j-1}})})$  for all  $j=2,3,4,\ldots$
- (b).  $(x_j^{(n_{k_j})})$  converges as  $k_j \to \infty$  (since each step, Bolzano Weierstrass lets us pick a convergent subsequence), whose value we denote  $s_j$  and  $|s_j| \le M_j$  (by the argument we provided when j = 1).
- (c). By the definition of a subsequence, if  $x^{(m)}$  comes before  $x^{(m')}$  in  $\left(x^{(n_{k_j})}\right)$ , we must have that  $x^{(m)}$  comes before  $x^{(m')}$  in  $\left(x^{(n_{k_i})}\right)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq j$  by the definition of a subsequence.

We now pick entries from our diagonal to form a new subsequence of  $(x^{(n)})$ , which we will call  $(x^{(n_k)})$ :

$$(x^{(n_k)}) = x^{(k_1(1))}, x^{(k_2(2))}, x^{(k_3(3))}, \dots$$

Define  $\hat{s} = s_{k_1(1)}, s_{k_2(2)}, s_{k_3(3)}, \ldots$  Recall that  $0 < |s_n| \le M_n \implies 0 < |s_n|^2 \le M_n^2$ . Note since  $\sum_n M_n^2$  converges by definition of being in  $\ell^2$ , we also have that  $\sum_n |s_n|^2$  converges, thus  $\hat{s} \in \ell^2$  as well. Hence,  $\hat{s} \in S$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to show now that  $(x^{(n_k)}) \to \hat{s}$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

From our previous homework, our notion of distance is

$$d(x,y) = ||x - y|| = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n - y_n)^2}$$

Thus to say that  $(x^{(n_k)}) \to \hat{s}$  as  $k \to \infty$ , we would need to show that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for all k > K, we have  $\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i)^2} < \varepsilon$ .

Thus let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Since  $(M_n) \in \ell^2$ ,  $\sum_n M_n^2$  converges; denote the value it converges to by L. Note that  $(\sum_n M_{n_k})$  is a subsequence, and subsequences converge to the same limit. Then there exists  $K - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k \geq K - 1$ , we have

$$\left| L - \sum_{i=1}^{k} M_i^2 \right| < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$$

This applies when k = K - 1, thus

$$\left|L - \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} M_i^2 \right| < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \implies \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} M_i^2 < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$$

where the implication is because  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} M_i^2 + \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} M_i^2$ , and we remove the absolute value, because  $M_i^2 > 0$  always, so the sum is > 0 always.

Now, note that for any k,  $0 < |x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i| \le |x_i^{(n_k)}| + |\hat{s}_i| \le 2M_i$  for all i. Since  $0 < |x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i|^2 \le 4M_i^2$ , and we know that  $\sum_n 4M_i^2$  converges, we know that  $\sum_n |x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i|^2$  converges too. Thus, writing an infinite series means something, and so for any  $p \in P$ , we have  $\sum_{i=p}^{\infty} |x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i|^2 \le \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} M_i^2$ . Thus, if we let  $P_K = \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} |x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i|^2$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i|^2 = P_K + \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} |x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i|^2 \le P_K + 4\sum_{i=K}^{\infty} M_i^2$$

Taking the lim sup of both sides, we get

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i|^2 \le \limsup_{k \to \infty} \left( P_K + 4 \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} M_i^2 \right) \le \limsup_{k \to \infty} P_K + 4 \limsup_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} M_i^2$$

On the right hand side, note that  $\limsup P_K = 0$ , since  $x_i^{(n_k)} \to \hat{s}_i$  as  $k \to \infty$  by construction (note (b) from above); additionally  $\sum_{i=K}^{\infty} M_i^2$  does not vary with k. Thus, we have

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i|^2 \le 4 \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} M_i^2 < \varepsilon^2$$

By the definition of  $\limsup$ , this gives us

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i|^2 < \varepsilon^2$$

hence

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i^{(n_k)} - \hat{s}_i|^2} < \varepsilon$$

for all  $k \geq K$ , as desired.