

### Math 321 Homework 8

For the next problem, we will need the following definition. Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $s, t$  be integers. We define the half-open square

$$S_{s,t;N} = \left[ \frac{s}{N}, \frac{s+1}{N} \right) \times \left[ \frac{t}{N}, \frac{t+1}{N} \right)$$

Observe that for each fixed  $N$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a disjoint union of the half-open squares  $\{S_{s,t;N} : s, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . We say a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is “constant on half-open squares at resolution  $N$ ” if  $f$  is constant on each  $S_{s,t;N}$ , i.e.  $f$  can be written as

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{s,t \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{s,t} \chi_{S_{s,t;N}}(x, y)$$

#### Problem 1

- (a). Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  have compact support and be constant on half-open squares at resolution  $N$ . For each  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dy, \quad h(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dx,$$

Prove that  $g$  and  $h$  are integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(y) dy$$

(and that both integrals converge).

- (b). Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and have compact support. For each  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dy, \quad h(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dx,$$

Prove that  $g$  and  $h$  are integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(y) dy$$

*Remark 1.* You have just proved that for  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous with compact support, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dx \right) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dy \right) dx$$

- (a). *Solution.* Since  $f$  has compact support, there exists some  $s', t'$  such that  $a_{s,t} = 0$  when  $|s| > s'$  or  $|t| > t'$ . Alternatively,  $\text{supp}(f) \subset [-M, M] \times [-M, M]$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, using Rudin Theorem 6.12(a) (our sum

is finite, so there are no problems with using the theorem),

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dy \\
 &= \int_{-M}^M \sum_{\substack{s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} a_{s, t} \chi_{s, t; N}(x, y) dy \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} \int_{t/N}^{(t+1)/N} a_{s, t} \chi_{s, t; N}(x, y) dy \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} a_{s, t} \int_{t/N}^{(t+1)/N} \chi_{s, t; N}(x, y) dy \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} \frac{a_{s, t}}{N} \chi_{s, t; N}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

And the integral converges, via 6.12(a) as well. Similarly, we can do the same for  $h(y)$  to get

$$h(y) = \sum_{\substack{s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} \frac{a_{s, t}}{N} \chi_{s, t; N}(y)$$

Since our  $s', t'$  are the same as before, we still have  $\text{supp}(g) \subset [-M, M]$  and  $\text{supp}(h) \subset [-M, M]$ . Hence, using Rudin Theorem 6.12(a) again, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx &= \int_{-M}^M \sum_{\substack{s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} \frac{a_{s, t}}{N} \chi_{s, t; N}(x) dx \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} \int_{s/N}^{(s+1)/N} \frac{a_{s, t}}{N} \chi_{s, t; N}(x) dx \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} \frac{a_{s, t}}{N} \int_{s/N}^{(s+1)/N} \chi_{s, t; N}(x) dx \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} \frac{a_{s, t}}{N^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

And again, the integral converges 6.12(a). Similarly,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(y) dy = \sum_{\substack{s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} \frac{a_{s, t}}{N^2}$$

These are equal, hence we have shown

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(y) dy$$

- (b). *Solution.* We first show that  $g(x)$  is integrable. Since  $f(x, y)$  has compact support, there is some  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{supp}(f) \subset [-M, M], [-M, M]$ . Since  $f(x, y)$  is continuous in a compact set, it is uniformly continuous on its support. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for  $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/(4M)$ , we have that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $(x_1, y), (x_2, y) \in [-M, M] \times [-M, M]$  where  $d((x_1, y), (x_2, y)) < \delta$  (the typical metric  $d$ ), we have  $|f(x_1, y) - f(x_2, y)| < \varepsilon'$ . Thus by Theorem 6.13

$$|g(x_1) - g(x_2)| = \left| \int_{-M}^M f(x_1, y) - f(x_2, y) dy \right| \leq \int_{-M}^M |f(x_1, y) - f(x_2, y)| dy \leq \varepsilon' \int_{-M}^M dy = 2M\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon$$

Therefore,  $g(x)$  is continuous. Furthermore, when  $x \notin [-M, M]$ , we have  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 0 dy = 0$  and so  $\text{supp}(g) \subset [-M, M]$ , so  $g(x)$  has compact support as well. Thus,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = \int_{-M}^M g(x) dx$  exists. In an identical manner, one can show that  $h(y)$  is integrable by showing that it is continuous with compact support.

Let  $a_{s,t;N}$  be the value of  $f(x, y)$  at the center of  $S_{s,t;N}$ . Define

$$f_N(x, y) = \sum_{s,t \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{s,t} \chi_{S_{s,t;N}}(x, y)$$

$f(x, y)$  is uniformly continuous, so for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we get a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in [-M, M] \times [-M, M]$  where  $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) < \delta$ . Note that we can choose  $N$  large enough so that all points in  $S_{s,t;N}$  are within  $\delta$  of the center (the furthest points at the corners are  $\sqrt{2}/(2N)$  from the center, which we can obviously make arbitrarily small). Let all  $N$  written below be this  $N$ , or larger.

Using the definitions we provided in part (a) (for  $s', t'$ ), we have (with appropriate triangle inequality and Rudin 6.13):

$$\begin{aligned} \left| g(x) - \int_{-M}^M f_N(x, y) dy \right| &= \left| \int_{-M}^M f(x, y) dy - \int_{-M}^M f_N(x, y) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-M}^M f(x, y) - f_N(x, y) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} \int_{t/N}^{(t+1)/N} f(x, y) - a_{s,t;N} dy \right| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} \int_{t/N}^{(t+1)/N} |f(x, y) - a_{s,t;N}| dy \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |s| \leq s', |t| \leq t'}} \varepsilon \int_{t/N}^{(t+1)/N} dy \\ &< \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq t'}} \frac{\varepsilon}{N} = \frac{T}{N} \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where  $T$  is the number of  $T = 2MN$ , the number of squares along the  $y$  direction that is inside  $[-M, M]$ .  $T$  is also the number of squares along the  $x$  direction inside  $[-M, M]$ , by symmetry.

In the same method, we can get

$$\left| h(y) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_N(x, y) dx \right| < \frac{T}{N} \varepsilon$$

Since  $f_N(x, y)$  is defined from part (a), we can interchange the order of integration to get equal integrals, which gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(y) dy \right| &= \left| \int_{-M}^M g(x) dx - \int_{-M}^M h(y) dy \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \int_{-M}^M g(x) dx - \int_{-M}^M \int_{-M}^M f_N(x, y) dy dx \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| \int_{-M}^M h(y) dy - \int_{-M}^M \int_{-M}^M f_N(x, y) dx dy \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \int_{-M}^M \left( g(x) dx - \int_{-M}^M f_N(x, y) dy \right) dx \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| \int_{-M}^M \left( h(y) dy - \int_{-M}^M f_N(x, y) dx \right) dy \right| \\
 &< \left| \int_{-M}^M \frac{T}{N} \varepsilon dx \right| + \left| \int_{-M}^M \frac{T}{N} \varepsilon dy \right| \\
 &= 4M \frac{2MN}{N} \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, and the rest are constants determined before, we can make this bound arbitrarily small. Thus, the integrals are equal, i.e.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(y) dy$$

## Problem 2

Let  $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and have compact support. Prove that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f * g(x)| dx \leq \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| dx \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)| dx \right)$$

Hint: Problem 1 might be useful.

*Solution.* (Disclaimer: sorry for the  $f', g'$  notation below, I originally proved it for  $f, g$ , but realized I needed it for  $|f|, |g|$ , and so I wanted to minimize the amount of extra typing I'd need.)

Let  $|f| = f'$  and  $|g| = g'$ . Since  $f, g$  are continuous with compact support,  $f', g'$  both also have compact support and are continuous. We now show that  $f' * g'(x)$  is continuous and has compact support as well. Note that  $f' * g'$  has compact support. We have compact sets  $K_f, K_g$  such that  $\text{supp}(f') \subset K_f$  and  $\text{supp}(g') \subset K_g$ . Heine-Borel says that both of these sets are bounded, and so there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $K_f, K_g \subset [-M, M]$ . See  $f' * g'(x)$  is defined for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $f' * g'(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(t)g'(x-t)dt = \int_{-M}^M f'(t)g'(x-t)dt$  (since  $f'(t) = 0$  when  $t \notin [-M, M]$ ), and  $f'(t)g'(x-t)$  is the product of two continuous functions, and so is integrable and exists on  $[-M, M]$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, when  $x \notin [-2M, 2M]$ , we have that  $g'(x-t) = 0$  since  $t \in [-M, M]$ , and so  $\int_{-M}^M f'(t)g'(x-t)dt = 0$  as well (since  $f'(t)$  is bounded since it is continuous). Thus,  $f' * g'(x)$  has support contained in  $[-2M, 2M]$ , and so has compact support.

We also note that  $f' * g'(x)$  is continuous. Since  $g'$  is continuous on a compact set  $[-2M, 2M]$ , it is uniformly continuous on it. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon \left( 2 \int_{-2M}^{2M} f'(t) dt \right)^{-1}$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|g'(x) - g'(y)| < \varepsilon'$

when  $|x - y| < \delta$ . So if  $|x - y| < \delta$  (and so  $|x - t - (y - t)| < \delta$ , using Rudin Theorem 6.12 and 6.13 gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f' * g'(x) - f' * g'(y)| &\leq \left| \int_{-2M}^{2M} f'(t)g'(x-t)dt - \int_{-2M}^{2M} f'(t)g'(y-t)dt \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_{-2M}^{2M} f'(t)(g'(x-t) - g'(y-t))dt \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{-2M}^{2M} |f'(t)||g'(x-t) - g'(y-t)|dt \\
 &\leq \varepsilon' \int_{-2M}^{2M} |f'(t)|dt \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f' * g'(x)$  is continuous.

Note that since  $f * g(x)$ , is continuous, it is Riemann integrable, particularly since  $f * g(x)$  is compactly supported, we have  $f * g(x) \in \mathcal{R}[-2M, 2M]$ . Furthermore, clearly this means  $\text{supp}(|f * g(x)|) = \text{supp}(f * g(x)) \subset [-2M, 2M]$  as well. Hence, Rudin Theorem 6.13 gives us

$$|f * g(x)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)g(x-t)dt \right| = \left| \int_{-2M}^{2M} f(t)g(x-t)dt \right| \leq \int_{-2M}^{2M} |f(t)g(x-t)|dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)||g(x-t)|dt = f' * g'(x)$$

Now, we can invoke Rudin Theorem 6.12(b) with the inequality from above, and then use the result proven in Problem 1(b), since  $f'g'(x)$  is continuous and has compact support:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f * g(x)|dx &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f' * g'(x)dx \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)||g(x-t)|dxdt \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x-t)|dxdt \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)|dxdt \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)|dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)|dt
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Note that above, we have used the fact that for, fixed  $t$ , we have  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x-t)|dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)|dx$ : since  $\text{supp}(|g(x)|) \subset [-M, M]$ , we have  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x-t)|dx = \int_{-M+t}^{M+t} |g(x-t)|dx = \int_{-M}^M |g(x)|dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)|dx$  (this technically uses Rudin Theorem 6.19, where  $\phi(y) = y + t$ , but this is also trivial to see as redefining  $x = x - t$ , and the integrator function  $x - t$  acts the same as  $x$ ).  $\square$

### Problem 3

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and suppose  $f$  can be uniformly approximated by polynomials. Prove that  $f$  must be a polynomial.  
Hint: If  $P_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly, consider the sequence  $P_{n+1} - P_n$ .

*Solution.* By the Cauchy Criterion (Rudin Theorem 7.8), we have that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $N$  such that for all  $m, n \geq N$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|P_m(x) - P_n(x)| < \varepsilon$ . Note that  $P_m - P_n$  must be a constant. To see this, note that the difference of polynomials is also a polynomial, and if the difference were not a constant, then our polynomial has some degree  $l \geq 1$ , and so there is some  $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$  such that  $P_m(x) - P_n(x) = ax^l + q(x)$  where  $q(x)$  is the rest of the polynomial, and has degree less than  $l$ ; then as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we know that  $ax^l + q(x) \rightarrow \pm\infty$  (the sign is the same as  $a$ ). (This is a standard result, if you really want a proof: let  $A$  be the max of the coefficients of  $q(x)$ , then for

$x > 1$ ,  $ax^l + q(x) \geq ax^l - lAx^{l-1} = (ax^l - lA)x^{l-1} \geq ax^l - nA$ , which very clearly diverges to  $\pm\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , so the original polynomial does as well.) But this contradicts that  $|P_m(x) - P_n(x)| = |ax^l + q(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus,  $P_m(x) - P_n(x)$  is a constant.

Let  $c_n = P_n(x) - P_N(x)$  where  $n > N$  from before. We then have for  $m > N$ , and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  that

$$|c_m - c_n| = |P_m - P_N - P_n + P_N| = |P_m - P_n| < \varepsilon$$

thus  $\{c_n\}$  is a real valued sequence that is Cauchy. Hence, since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, we get that  $\{c_n\}$  converges, say to some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some  $N' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_1$ , we have  $|c_n - c| < \varepsilon/2$ . Also, since  $P_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly, we have that there exists some  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_2$ , we have  $|f(x) - P_n(x)| < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . So when  $n \geq \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ , we have that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|f(x) - P_N(x) - c| \leq |f(x) - P_n(x)| + |P_n(x) - P_N(x) - c| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + |c_n - c| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

Hence, we get that  $f(x) - P_N(x) - c = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , thus  $f(x) = P_N(x) + c$ , which is a polynomial, so  $f(x)$  is a polynomial.  $\square$

For the next problem, we need the following definition. Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $\alpha > 0$ . We say that a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  is “Hölder’s continuous of exponent  $\alpha$ ” if the quantity

$$N_\alpha(f) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)^\alpha}$$

is finite.

#### Problem 4

- (a). Prove that if  $X$  is compact then  $\{f \in \mathcal{C}(X) : \|f\| \leq 1 \text{ and } N_\alpha(f) \leq 1\}$  is a compact subset of  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ .
- (b). Prove that  $\{f \in C([0, 1]) : \|f\| \leq 1\}$  is not a compact subset of  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ .

- (a). *Solution.* Recall from Problem 3 of Homework 7 that it is sufficient to show that  $\mathcal{F} = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(X) : \|f\| \leq 1 \text{ and } N_\alpha(f) \leq 1\}$  is closed, bounded, and equicontinuous.

We have that  $\mathcal{F}$  is bounded, since  $0 \in \mathcal{F}$  and for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $d(0, f) \leq 1 < 2$ , since  $\|f\| \leq 1$ .

We show now that  $\mathcal{F}$  is equicontinuous. Note that for  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , since  $N_\alpha(f) \leq 1$ ,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq N_\alpha(f)d(x, y)^\alpha \leq d(x, y)^\alpha$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then we can choose  $\delta = \varepsilon^{1/\alpha}$  to get that for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  that when  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \delta^\alpha = \varepsilon$$

hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is equicontinuous.

We now show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed. That is, every limit point of  $\mathcal{F}$  is also in  $\mathcal{F}$ . That is, for any  $f$  such that there is some sequence  $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$  where  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly (the metric of  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ ), then  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  as well. Since  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  with this metric is a complete metric space (Rudin Theorem 7.15), we have  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ . Also, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_1$ , we have  $\|f - f_n\| < \varepsilon$ . Thus

$$\|f\| \leq \|f - f_n\| + \|f_n\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

But since  $\varepsilon$  can be made arbitrarily small, we get the nonstrict inequality  $\|f\| \leq 1$  as well. Finally, there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_2$ , we have  $\|f - f_n\| < \varepsilon/2$ , and for any  $x, y \in X$  where  $x \neq y$ , we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| + |f_n(y) - f(y)| \leq 2\|f - f_n\| + d(x, y)^\alpha \leq \varepsilon + d(x, y)^\alpha$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  can be made arbitrarily small, we get the nonstrict inequality  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq d(x, y)^\alpha$ .  $d(x, y) \neq 0$ , so we get  $N_\alpha(f) = \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \leq 1$ . Thus, this verifies all the conditions on  $\mathcal{F}$ , thus  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , and so  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed.

Finally, this shows that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a compact subset of  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ .

(b). *Solution.* Define for  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

$$f_a = \begin{cases} ax & x \in [0, 1/a] \\ 1 & x \in (1/a, 1] \end{cases}$$

Note that for any  $a$ ,  $f_a \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ . When  $x \in [0, 1/a)$  and  $x \in (1/a, 1]$ ,  $f_a$  are functions which are known to be continuous. If  $x = 1/a$ , then  $\lim_{x \nearrow \frac{1}{a}} f_a(x) = 1 = \lim_{x \searrow \frac{1}{a}} f_a(x)$ , so it is continuous at  $\frac{1}{a}$ , and so continuous everywhere. Also, clearly  $\|f_a\| = 1$ , hence  $f_a \in \{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]): \|f\| \leq 1\}$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

We now show that  $\{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]): \|f\| \leq 1\}$  is not compact. We do this by showing that the set is not equicontinuous, and so by Problem 3 of Homework 7, the set is not compact in  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ . Let  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Then for all  $\delta > 0$ , let  $x = \delta/2, y = 0$  so  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , and  $f = f_{2/\delta}$ , so we get

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |f_{2/\delta}(\delta/2)| = 1 \geq \varepsilon$$

This shows that  $\{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]): \|f\| \leq 1\}$  is not equicontinuous, and hence not compact.