

**Math 321 Homework 3**

(Including work made in collaboration with Arsam Najafian, Oscar Poitras, and Sushrut Tadwalkar.)

The goal of the next few problems is to understand which functions can be written as a difference of two increasing functions. We begin with several definitions.

Let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$ . Define

$$V(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|.$$

This is called the *variation of  $f$  with respect to the partition  $[a, b]$* . Define

$$TV|f| = \sup_P V(f, P),$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions of  $[a, b]$ . this is called the *total variation of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$* . We say that  $f$  has *bounded variation on  $[a, b]$*  if  $TV|f| < \infty$ . For  $c \in (a, b]$ , define  $TV|f|_{[a, c]}$  to be the total variation of  $f: [a, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (i.e.  $f$  is restricted to the interval  $[a, c] \subset [a, b]$ ). We define  $TV|f|_{[a, a]} = 0$ .

**Problem 1**

Let  $\alpha, \beta: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be (weakly) monotone increasing. Prove that  $f(x) = \alpha(x) - \beta(x)$  has bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ .

*Solution.* Let  $P$  be some partition,  $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ . Note that for any monotone increasing function  $\gamma$ , we have  $\gamma(x_i) - \gamma(x_{i-1}) > 0 \implies |\gamma(x_i) - \gamma(x_{i-1})| = \gamma(x_i) - \gamma(x_{i-1})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} V(f, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha(x_i) - \beta(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1}) + \beta(x_{i-1})| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (|\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})| + |\beta(x_{i-1}) - \beta(x_i)|) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\beta(x_i) - \beta(x_{i-1})| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\beta(x_i) - \beta(x_{i-1})) \\ &= \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) + \beta(b) - \beta(a) =: M \end{aligned}$$

where we take advantage that both are telescoping series. This is true for any partition of  $[a, b]$ , and so  $TV|f| = \sup_P V(f, P) = M < \infty$ . Hence,  $f$  has bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ .

**Problem 2**

Let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  have bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ .

(a). For  $x \in [a, b]$ , define

$$g(x) = TV|f|_{[a, x]}.$$

Prove that  $g$  is (weakly) monotone increasing.

(b). For  $x \in [a, b]$ , define

$$h(x) = f(x) + TV|f|_{[a, x]}.$$

Prove that  $h$  is (weakly) monotone increasing.

(c). Prove that  $f$  can be written as  $f(x) = \alpha(x) - \beta(x)$ , where  $\alpha, \beta: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are (weakly) monotone increasing.

- (a). *Solution.* Let  $b \geq x_2 > x_1 \geq a$ . If  $x_1 = a$ , then  $TV|f_{[a,x_1]}| = 0$ . Since  $x_2 > a$ , there is some partition of  $[a, x_2]$  so  $V(f, P)$  is defined, and this is the sum of nonzero elements, so  $TV|f_{[a,x_2]}| \geq 0$  as well. Then  $TV|f_{[a,x_2]}| \geq TV|f_{[a,x_1]}|$ .

Now assume that  $x_1 \neq a$ . So let  $P = \{a = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n = x_1\}$  be any partition of  $[a, x_1]$ . Note that  $P^+ = P \cup \{x_2\}$  is a partition of  $[a, x_2]$ . Then  $V(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n |f(y_i) - f(y_{i-1})| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |f(y_i) - f(y_{i-1})| + |f(x_2) - f(x_1)| = V(f, P^+) \leq TV|f_{[a,x_2]}|$ . This is true for any partition  $P$  of  $[a, x_1]$ , so  $TV|f_{[a,x_2]}|$  is an upper bound for all  $V(f, P)$ , and so must be greater than the supremum, namely  $TV|f_{[a,x_2]}| \geq TV|f_{[a,x_1]}|$ .

In either case, we have shown that  $x_2 > x_1 \implies g(x_2) \geq g(x_1)$ , which means that  $g$  is (weakly) monotone increasing.

- (b). *Solution.* We want to show  $|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq TV|f_{[a,x_2]}| - TV|f_{[a,x_1]}|$  for all  $a \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq b$ . We deal first with when  $a = x_1$ . Then  $TV|f_{[a,x_1]}| = 0$ . So if we have a partition  $P = \{a, x_2\}$ , we have  $|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| = V(P, f) \leq TV|f_{[a,x_2]}| = TV|f_{[a,x_2]}| - TV|f_{[a,x_1]}|$  as desired.

It now remains to show the inequality when  $a < x_1 < x_2 \leq b$ . For the sake of contradiction, assume that there exists  $c, d$  such that  $a < c < d \leq b$  but  $|f(d) - f(c)| > TV|f_{[a,d]}| - TV|f_{[a,c]}|$ . Then  $|f(d) - f(c)| + TV|f_{[a,c]}| - TV|f_{[a,d]}| > 0$  is some fixed positive value, which we'll denote with  $\eta > 0$ . So  $TV|f_{[a,d]}| + \eta = |f(d) - f(c)| + TV|f_{[a,c]}|$ .

Now, since  $TV|f_{[a,c]}| = \sup_P V(P, f)$  (which is well-defined, since  $c > a$ ), there exists some partition  $P$  such that  $TV|f_{[a,c]}| - \eta/2 \leq V(P, f)$ . Note that  $P^+ = P \cup \{d\}$  is a partition of  $[a, d]$ . We see now that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(d) - f(c)| + TV|f_{[a,c]}| &\leq |f(d) - f(c)| + V(P, f) + \eta/2 \\ &= V(P^+, f) + \eta/2 \\ &\leq TV|f_{[a,d]}| + \eta/2 \\ &< TV|f_{[a,d]}| + \eta \\ &= |f(d) - f(c)| + TV|f_{[a,c]}| \end{aligned}$$

But there is a strict inequality between values that are equal, thus a contradiction.

So if  $x_2 > x_1$ , we have  $|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq TV|f_{[a,x_2]}| - TV|f_{[a,x_1]}|$ . We have  $f(x_1) - f(x_2) \leq |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| = |f(x_2) - f(x_1)|$ , so  $f(x_1) + TV|f_{[a,x_1]}| \leq f(x_2) + TV|f_{[a,x_2]}|$ . Hence,  $x_2 > x_1 \implies h(x_2) \geq h(x_1)$ , which means that  $h$  is (weakly) monotone increasing.

- (c). *Solution.* Note that  $f(x) = h(x) - g(x)$  from above, and we have just shown that  $g, h: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are (weakly) monotone increasing.

### Problem 3

Suppose that  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are (weakly) monotone increasing, and  $\alpha_1(x) - \beta_1(x) = \alpha_2(x) - \beta_2(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Prove that for every continuous  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\int_a^b f d\alpha_1 - \int_a^b f d\beta_1 = \int_a^b f d\alpha_2 - \int_a^b f d\beta_2$$

Remark. You have just proven that if  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has bounded variation and  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then we can define

$$\int_a^b f d\gamma = \int_a^b f d\alpha - \int_a^b f d\beta,$$

where  $\gamma = \alpha - \beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta$  monotone increasing; the RHS of this expression does not depend on the specific decomposition  $\gamma = \alpha - \beta$  that is chosen.

*Solution.* Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , we have that  $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1}[a, b]$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_2}[a, b]$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\beta_1}[a, b]$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\beta_2}[a, b]$ , by Rudin Theorem 6.8. Hence,  $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1+\beta_2}[a, b]$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_2+\beta_1}[a, b]$ , and by Rudin Theorem 6.12 (e), we get

$$\int_a^b f d\alpha_1 + \int_a^b f d\beta_2 = \int_a^b f d(\alpha_1 + \beta_2) = \int_a^b f d(\alpha_2 + \beta_1) = \int_a^b f d\alpha_2 + \int_a^b f d\beta_1$$

where the equality in the middle is because  $\alpha_1(x) + \beta_2(x) = \alpha_2(x) + \beta_1(x)$ , and so they are the same integral. We then get

$$\int_a^b f d\alpha_1 - \int_a^b f d\beta_1 = \int_a^b f d\alpha_2 - \int_a^b f d\beta_2$$

as desired.

### Problem 4

- (a). Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $P_n$  be the partition with  $n+1$  equally spaced points in  $[a, b]$ , i.e. if  $b-a = d$ , then

$$P_n = \left\{ a, a + \frac{d}{n}, a + \frac{2d}{n}, \dots, a + \frac{nd}{n} = b \right\} \quad (1)$$

Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (U(P_n, f) - L(P_n, f)) = 0.$$

- (b). Let  $\alpha: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be monotone increasing, let  $f \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha[a, b]$ , and let  $P_n$  be as defined in (1). Must it be true that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (U(P_n, f, \alpha) - L(P_n, f, \alpha)) = 0? \quad (2)$$

If so, prove it. If not, give a counter-example (i.e. a choice of interval  $[a, b]$ , a choice of monotone increasing  $\alpha$ , and a choice of  $f \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha[a, b]$  for which (2) fails and show that your counter-example is correct.

- (a). *Solution.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ , there exists some partition  $P$  such that  $U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \varepsilon/2$ . Let  $K$  be the number of points in  $P$ . Let  $A = \min_i \{x_i - x_{i-1}\}$ , the smallest distance between points in  $P$ . By the Archimedean property, there exists some  $N_1$  such that we have  $\frac{1}{N_1} < \frac{A}{4}$ , and so when  $n \geq N_1$ , we have  $\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{N_1} < \frac{A}{4}$ . Now let  $n \geq N_1$ . Consider  $P_n = \{a, a + \frac{d}{n}, \dots, a + d = b\} = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ . Then every element in  $P$  is contained in either one or two intervals of the form  $[y_{j-1}, y_j]$  (the two interval case is when  $x_i$  falls on some  $y_j$ ). Furthermore, since  $y_j - y_{j-2} = \frac{2}{N_1} < \frac{A}{2} < A \leq x_i - x_{i-1}$  for any  $j, i$ , we have that any contiguous pairs of intervals  $[y_{j-2}, y_{j-1}], [y_{j-1}, y_j]$  that contain some  $x_i$  do not contain any other  $x_{i'}$  (otherwise we would violate the inequality).

Let  $B = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x) - \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$  (which is a real number, since  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  only when  $f$  is bounded, by definition). By the Archimedean property, there exists some  $N_2$  such that  $\frac{1}{N_2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \frac{1}{KdB}$  and so when  $n \geq N_2$ , we have  $\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{N_2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \frac{1}{KdB} \implies \frac{2KdB}{n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Now let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . For all  $n \geq N$ , fix our  $P_n$ . Let  $S = \{j: j \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r}, [y_{j-1}, y_j] \cap P \neq \emptyset\}$ . We have  $\#S \leq 2K$ , by what we mentioned earlier about how each element of  $P$  is in at most 2 intervals  $[y_{j-1}, y_j]$ . Now, note that  $P^* = \{y_j: j \notin S\} \cup P$  is a refinement of  $P$ , and denote its elements  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$ . So

$$\sum_{j \notin S} (M_j - m_j) \Delta y_j \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\#P^*-1} (M_k - m_k) \Delta z_k = U(P^*, f) - L(P^*, f) \leq U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

where the first inequality is true, because we are only adding terms (all of which are positive), and the second inequality is true by Rudin Theorem 6.4 since  $P^*$  is a refinement of  $P$ .

We now make our final push:

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(P_n, f) - L(P_n, f) &= \sum_j (M_j - m_j) \Delta y_j \\
 &\leq \sum_{j \notin S} (M_j - m_j) \Delta y_j + \sum_{j \in S} (M_j - m_j) \Delta y_j \\
 &\leq \sum_{j \notin S} (M_j - m_j) \Delta y_j + \sum_{j \in S} B \Delta y_j \\
 &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{j \in S} B \frac{d}{n} \\
 &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2K \frac{dB}{n} \\
 &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

- (b). *Solution.* We claim that this is not true. Let  $[a, b] = [1, 2]$ ,  $\alpha: [1, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a variant of the Heaviside step function:

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [1, \sqrt{2}] \\ 1 & x \in (\sqrt{2}, 2] \end{cases}$$

And we define  $f: [1, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  below:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [1, \sqrt{2}) \\ 1 & x \in [\sqrt{2}, 2] \end{cases}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We give the partition  $P = \{1, \sqrt{2}, 2\}$ . Then  $U(P, f, \alpha) = M_1 \Delta \alpha_1 + M_2 \Delta \alpha_2 = 1 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 1 = 1$  and  $L(P, f, \alpha) = m_1 \Delta \alpha_1 + m_2 \Delta \alpha_2 = 0 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ . Hence,  $U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) = 1 - 1 = 0 < \varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $f \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha[a, b]$ .

However, consider  $P_n$  for any  $n$  (as defined in (1)). Denote its elements  $1 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 2$ . Note that  $\sqrt{2} \notin P_n$  since all elements of  $P_n$  are rational and  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus, there exists some  $k$  such that  $x_{k-1} < \sqrt{2} < x_k$ . Note that for  $[a, x_{k-1}]$ ,  $\alpha$  is constant, and for  $[x_k, b]$ ,  $\alpha$  is constant, so  $\Delta \alpha_i = 0$  whenever  $i \neq k$ . We can then compute

$$U(P_n, f, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i (\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})) = M_k (\alpha(x_k) - \alpha(x_{k-1})) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$$

$$L(P_n, f, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i (\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})) = m_k (\alpha(x_k) - \alpha(x_{k-1})) = 0 \cdot 1 = 0$$

Since this is true for all  $P_n$ , no matter how large  $n$  is, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (U(P_n, f, \alpha) - L(P_n, f, \alpha)) = 1$ , which obviously shows (2) fails.