

**Math 321 Homework 3**

(Including work made in collaboration with Tighe McAsey.)

**Problem 1**

Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ . Define

$$\|f\|_p = \left( \int_a^b |f|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$

(a). Prove that for  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $|f|^p \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  (and hence the above definition makes sense).

(b). If  $f$  is continuous, prove that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}.$$

(c). For  $f$  fixed, define  $\phi(p) = \|f\|_p^p$ . Using Rudin Problem 6.10, prove that  $p \mapsto \log \phi(p)$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$  (recall Rudin problem 4.23 for the definition of convexity, and its consequences). Do not submit the proof of Rudin Problem 6.10 (but I encourage you to do it; it is a good exercise).

*Remark 1.* Since convex functions are continuous (see Rudin Problem 4.23), you have just shown that  $\phi$  and hence  $p \mapsto \|f\|_p$  are continuous.

(a). *Solution.* By Rudin Theorem 6.13(b), since  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ , we have  $|f| \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ . Then, since  $p$  is finite, by applying Rudin Theorem 6.13(a)  $p$  times, we get that  $|f|^p \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  as well.

(b). *Solution.* Note that since  $f$  is continuous, so is  $|f|$  since composition of continuous functions is also continuous. Similarly,  $|f|^p$  is also continuous. Furthermore, the function is defined on the closed and bounded set  $[a, b]$ , which in  $\mathbb{R}$  is compact, so  $|f|$  attains its maximum value  $M$  on  $[a, b]$ , say at point  $e \in [a, b]$ . So  $|f| \leq M$  on  $[a, b]$ . Since  $f(x) = x^p$  is a monotonically (strictly) increasing function on  $[0, \infty)$ , and  $0 \leq |f|$ , our inequality is preserved if we raise it to  $p$ , so  $|f|^p \leq M^p$  on  $[a, b]$ . We then have  $\int_a^b |f|^p dx \leq M^p(b-a)$  by Rudin Theorem 6.12(d) (and part (a), which says  $|f|^p \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ ). Since  $f(x) = x^{1/p}$  is a monotonically (strictly) increasing function on  $[0, \infty)$ , and  $0 \leq |f|^p \implies 0 \leq \int_a^b |f|^p dx$ , our inequality is preserved if we raise it to  $1/p$ , so

$$\int_a^b |f|^p dx \leq M^p(b-a)$$

Now when we take the limit  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , since limits preserve non-strict inequalities, we get

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b |f|^p dx \leq M \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (b-a)^{1/p} = M$$

where we use the fact  $b-a > 0$  (otherwise integral is 0 and this fact actually fails) and Rudin Theorem 3.20(b):  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{p} = 1$  when  $p > 0$ . So  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p$  has an upper bound, specifically,  $M = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$ . So either  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p = M$ , or there exists some  $L < M$  such that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p = L$ . We proceed with showing such an  $L$  cannot exist.

We prove that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $p \geq N$ , we have  $\|f\|_p \geq M - \varepsilon$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We may assume that  $M - \varepsilon > 0$ , otherwise  $\|f\|_p > 0 \geq M - \varepsilon$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and we are done. Let  $c, d$  be the points  $a \leq c < d \leq b$  defined as follows:

- $c$ : If  $f(x) \geq M - \varepsilon/2$  for all  $x \in [a, e]$ , then let  $c = a$ . Otherwise, there is some  $y \in [a, e]$  such that  $f(y) < M - \varepsilon/2$ , and so by intermediate value theorem, since  $f$  is continuous, there is some point  $c' \in (y, e)$  such that  $f(c') = M - \varepsilon/2$ . We let  $c$  be the rightmost point, i.e.,  $f(x) \in (M - \varepsilon/2, M)$  when  $x \in (c, e)$  (and we can pick such a  $c$ , otherwise, if  $f(x) = M - \varepsilon/2$  arbitrarily close to  $e$ , we would break continuity since  $f(e) = M$ ).

- $d$ : Identical as above, i.e.  $d = b$  when  $f(x) \geq M - \varepsilon/2$  for all  $x \in [e, b]$ ; otherwise,  $d$  is the leftmost point so that  $f(d) = M - \varepsilon/2$ .

Note that we get the strict inequality on account of  $c < e < d$ .

Since  $|f|^p$  is positive,  $|f|^p \geq |f_{[c,d]}|^p$  where  $f_{[c,d]} = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in [c, d] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ , and  $\int_c^d |f|^p dx = \int_a^b |f_{[c,d]}|^p dx$ , so we have

$$\int_a^b |f|^p dx \geq \int_a^b |f_{[c,d]}|^p dx = \int_c^d |f|^p dx \geq (M - \varepsilon)^p (d - c) > 0$$

Raising it to  $1/p$  (which doesn't change inequalities) gives

$$\|f\|_p \geq (M - \varepsilon)(d - c)^{1/p}$$

If  $(d - c) \geq 1$ , then we have  $\|f\|_p \geq M - \varepsilon$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  (since  $(d - c)^{1/p} \geq 1$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ), and we are done. Now, assume  $(d - c) < 1$ . Since  $(d - c) > 0$ , Rudin 3.20(b) gives the limit  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[p]{d - c} = 1$ . Thus, there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $p \geq N$ , we have  $0 < 1 - (d - c)^{1/p} < \varepsilon/(2M)$ . Rearranging gives  $(d - c)^{1/p} > 1 - \varepsilon/(2M)$ . Then for all  $p \geq N$ ,

$$\|f\|_p > (M - \varepsilon/2)(1 - \varepsilon/(2M)) = M - M(\varepsilon/(2M)) - \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon^2/(4M) \geq M - \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2/(4M) > M - \varepsilon$$

which is what we wanted to show.

Hence, if  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p = L < M$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $p \geq N$ ,  $\|f\|_p > M - (M - L)/2 > M - (M - L) = L$ , which is a contradiction. This only leaves  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p = M = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$ .

- (c). *Solution.* Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and let  $p, p' \in (0, \infty)$ . Since  $\lambda + (1 - \lambda) = 1$ , and  $|f|^{\lambda p}, |f|^{(1-\lambda)p'} \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  from part (a), we can use Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \phi(\lambda p + (1 - \lambda)p') &= \log \left( \int_a^b |f|^{\lambda p + (1-\lambda)p'} dx \right) \\ &= \log \left( \int_a^b (|f|^p)^\lambda (|f|^{p'})^{1-\lambda} dx \right) \\ &\leq \log \left| \int_a^b (|f|^p)^\lambda (|f|^{p'})^{1-\lambda} dx \right| \\ &\leq \log \left( \left( \int_a^b (|f|^p)^\lambda dx \right)^\lambda \left( \int_a^b (|f|^{p'})^{1-\lambda} dx \right)^{1-\lambda} \right) \\ &= \log \left( \left( \int_a^b |f|^p dx \right)^\lambda \left( \int_a^b |f|^{p'} dx \right)^{1-\lambda} \right) \\ &= \lambda \log \left( \int_a^b |f|^p dx \right) + (1 - \lambda) \log \left( \int_a^b |f|^{p'} dx \right) \\ &= \lambda \log \phi(p) + (1 - \lambda) \log \phi(p') \end{aligned}$$

where we are using the fact that since  $\log$  is a monotone increasing function, it preserves inequalities (and also eliminating some  $|\cdot|$  since  $|f| > 0 \implies |f|^x > 0$ ).

## Problem 2

Let  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{g_n\}$  be sequences of functions from  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that converge pointwise. Must it be true that  $\{f_n \circ g_n\}$  converges pointwise? If so, prove it. If not, give a counter-example and prove that your counter-example is correct.

*Solution.* It can be false. We provide the counter-example: define  $g_n(x)$  on  $0 < x \leq \frac{1}{n}$  as  $g_n(x) = x$ , and we periodically extend this function off of  $(0, \frac{1}{n}]$  so that  $g_n(x+k) = g_n(x)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; now let

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} n, & 0 < x \leq \frac{1}{n} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

as well.

Clearly, both of these are functions from  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, we get that both of these sequences of functions converge pointwise to the 0 function. To see this for  $g$ , note that  $g_n$  attains its maximum value at  $x = \frac{1}{n}$ , since on  $x \in (0, \frac{1}{n}]$ ,  $g_n$  is monotone increasing and this is the right most value, and since  $g_n$  is periodic, the largest value this function attains is the same as the largest value it attains on this interval. Furthermore,  $g_n(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{n}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed, then Archimedean gives us some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$ , and so for any  $n \geq N$ , we have  $|g_n(x) - 0| = g_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$ , which actually uniformly bounds  $g_n$ , and so we must have  $f_n$  is pointwise convergent to 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To see this for  $f$ , fix some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Archimedean gives us some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{N} < x$ . By the definition of  $f_n$ , when  $n \geq N$ , we have that  $|f_n(x) - 0| = f_n(x) = 0 < \varepsilon$  (since  $x > \frac{1}{N} \geq \frac{1}{n}$ ). Hence,  $f_n$  is pointwise convergent to 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now let us consider the composition,  $f_n \circ g_n$ . If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $g_n(x)$  maps  $x$  to some value in  $(0, \frac{1}{n})$ , which then  $f_n(x)$  would map to  $n$ . Hence,  $f_n \circ g_n = n$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it is clear that for every  $x$ , this function diverges to infinity, and so obviously does not converge pointwise.

### Problem 3

Let  $E$  be a set and let  $(M_1, d_1), (M_2, d_2)$  be metric spaces with the discrete metric (i.e.  $d(x, y) = 0$  if  $x = y$ , and  $d(x, y) = 1$  if  $x \neq y$ ). Let  $\{g_n\}$  be a sequence of functions from  $E \rightarrow M_1$ , and let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions from  $M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ . Suppose that  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{g_n\}$  converge pointwise. Must it be true that  $\{f_n \circ g_n\}$  converges pointwise? If so, prove it. If not, give a counter-example and prove that your counter-example is correct.

*Solution.* We claim that this is true, specifically if  $g: E \rightarrow M_1$  and  $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  are functions such that  $g_n \rightarrow g$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise,  $f_n \circ g_n \rightarrow f \circ g$  pointwise as well.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in E$ . Since  $g_n \rightarrow g$ , for all  $\varepsilon' > 0$ , there exists some  $N_1$  such that  $d(g_n(x), g(x)) < \varepsilon'$  for all  $n > N_1$ . If we let  $\varepsilon' = \frac{1}{2}$ , since this is the discrete metric so  $d(g_n(x), g(x))$  can only either be 1 or 0, this tells us that for all  $n \geq N_1$ ,  $d(g_n(x), g(x)) = 0$ , i.e.  $g_n(x) = g(x)$ .

Note  $g(x) \in M_1$ . Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$ , for all  $\varepsilon' > 0$ , there exists some  $N_2$  such that  $d(f_n(g(x)), f(g(x))) < \varepsilon'$  for all  $n > N_2$ . If we let  $\varepsilon' = \frac{1}{2}$ , since this is the discrete metric so  $d(f_n(g(x)), f(g(x)))$  can only either be 1 or 0, this tells us that for all  $n \geq N_2$ ,  $d(f_n(g(x)), f(g(x))) = 0$ , i.e.  $f_n(g(x)) = f(g(x))$ .

We now consider  $\{f_n \circ g_n\}$ . Let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . Then for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $f_n(g_n(x)) = f_n(g(x)) = f(g(x))$ . Thus,  $d(f_n(g_n(x)), f(g(x))) = d(f(g(x)), f(g(x))) = 0 < \varepsilon$ .

$\varepsilon, x$  were arbitrary, hence  $\{f_n \circ g_n\}$  converges pointwise.

### Problem 4

Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $\mathcal{R}[a, b]$ , let  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ , let  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise, and suppose that  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is monotone increasing for each  $x \in [a, b]$ . Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

*Solution.* First, some definitions. If  $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  is a partition, define  $D(P) = \max_i \{x_i - x_{i-1}\}$ . Secondly,  $|I|$  will denote the length of an interval (either open or closed or neither, e.g.  $|(a, b)| = b - a$ ).

We now collect some useful facts.

**Lemma 1.** If  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $P$  is some partition of  $[a, b]$  where  $D(P) < \delta$  then  $U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. There must exist a partition, call it  $P^*$  such that  $U(P^*, f) - L(P^*, f) < \varepsilon/3$ . We claim  $\delta = \min_i \{x_i - x_{i-1}\}$  is the value we want. Let  $P$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$  such that  $D(P) < \delta$ . Then an interval in  $P$  is in at most two intervals in  $P^*$ . For all of the intervals of  $P$  entirely contained within one interval of  $P^*$ , call it  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ , their upper Riemann sum is bounded above by  $M_i(x_i - x_{i-1})$  (and likewise with their lower). For the intervals  $[y_{i-1}, y_i]$  of  $P$  that are between two intervals of  $P^*$  (and there are at most  $\#P^*$  of them, since there are only those many boundaries between intervals), say  $[x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}], [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ , then  $\sup\{f(x) : x \in [y_{i-1}, y_i]\}(y_i - y_{i-1})$  is less than  $M_{i-1}(x_{i-1} - x_{i-2}) + M_i(x_i - x_{i-1})$ , since  $\sup\{f(x) : x \in [y_{i-1}, y_i]\} \leq \max\{M_{i-1}, M_i\}$ , and  $y_i - y_{i-1} < \delta \leq (x_{i-1} - x_{i-2}), (x_i - x_{i-1})$  (and likewise with the lower sum and infimum). Since there are  $\#P^*$  of these points, an upper bound on the upper Riemann sum of these points is twice  $U(P^*, f)$ . Hence,

$$U(P, f) - L(P, f) \leq 3U(P^*, f) - 3L(P^*, f) = 3(U(P^*, f) - L(P^*, f)) < \varepsilon$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  be nonnegative,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\delta$  given by Lemma 1 from  $f$  and  $\varepsilon$ . For any  $a \leq u < v \leq b$  where  $0 < (v - u) < \delta$  and  $s \in [u, v]$ , we have

$$\int_u^v f dx < \varepsilon + f(s)(v - u)$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1, we have that  $U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $P^* = P \cup \{u, v\}$ , a refinement of  $P$ . Hence,  $U(P^*, f) - L(P^*, f) < \varepsilon$  by Rudin Theorem 6.7. If  $u$  and  $v$  are entirely contained within the same interval of  $P$ , i.e. some  $i$  such that  $x_{i-1} \leq u < v \leq x_i$ , then we have  $\int_u^v f dx$  is less than some value in the upper sum, namely  $M_{[u, v]}(v - u)$ , and likewise,  $f(s)(v - u)$  is greater than some value in the lower sum, namely  $m_{[u, v]}(v - u)$ . Hence, since all the terms are nonnegative since  $f$  is nonnegative

$$\int_u^v f dx - f(s)(v - u) \leq U(P^*, f) - L(P^*, f) < \varepsilon$$

The case where there is a point from  $P$  in between is handled identically by just removing that point: since we still have  $D(P^*) < \delta$ , we still keep our  $\varepsilon$  bound.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and define  $\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{4(b-a)+1}$ . Define  $g_n = f - f_n$ . Clearly,  $g_n$  is a nonnegative, monotone decreasing in  $n$ , and  $g_n \rightarrow 0$  pointwise, by the properties of  $f_n$ . We seek to show that there exists some  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq K$ ,  $\int_a^b g_n(x) dx < \varepsilon$ .

Invoking Lemma 1, we define  $\delta_n > 0$  such that for any partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$  where  $D(P) < \delta_n$ , we have  $U(P, g_n) - L(P, g_n) < 2^{-n}\varepsilon'$ . Define  $k(s)$  be the least  $k$  such that  $g_k(s) < \varepsilon'$  for any  $s \in [a, b]$ , which must exist since  $g_n \rightarrow g$  pointwise. Now define  $I_s = (s - \delta_{k(s)}/2, s + \delta_{k(s)}/2)$ . For all  $s \in [a, b]$ ,  $s \in I_s$ , so  $\{I_s\}_{s \in [a, b]}$  is an open cover of  $[a, b]$ . Since this interval is closed and bounded, it is compact in  $\mathbb{R}$ , so we can extract a finite subcover  $I_{s_1}, \dots, I_{s_N}$ , particularly one that does not have any redundancy (i.e.  $I_{s_i} \not\subset I_{s_j}$  when  $i \neq j$ ), which we can do because removing a redundant open set doesn't change the union of the sets. Define  $J_{s_i} = \overline{I_{s_i}} \cap [a, b]$ , hence  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N J_{s_i} = [a, b]$ .

Finally, for all  $n \geq K = \max\{k(s_1), \dots, k(s_N)\}$ , we have

$$\int_a^b g_n(x) dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{J_{s_i}} g_n(x) dx \tag{1}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{J_{s_i}} g_K(x) dx \tag{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{J_{s_i}} g_{k(s_i)}(x) dx \tag{3}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \varepsilon' 2^{-k(s_i)} + g_{k(s_i)}(s_i) |J_{s_i}| \right) \tag{4}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon' \sum_{i=1}^N \left( 2^{-k(s_i)} + |J_{s_i}| \right) \tag{5}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon' (1 + 2(b - a)) = \varepsilon \tag{6}$$

Where (1) is done by splitting up  $[a, b]$  centered at the  $s_i$  by Rudin Theorem 6.12(c), and then expanding the surrounding interval so that we are integrating over all of  $J_{s_i}$  only increases the value since  $g_n$  is nonnegative; (2) is because  $g_n$  is monotone decreasing; (3) is by definition of  $K$  and monotone decreasing; (4) is by Lemma 2; (5) is by definition of  $k(s_i)$  so that  $g_{k(s_i)}(s_i) < \varepsilon'$ ; and (6) since at any point in  $[a, b]$ , at most two intervals  $J_{s_i}$  overlap, otherwise we would have a nested interval which we constructed to avoid, and so since the  $J_{s_i}$  cover  $[a, b]$ , at most each  $[a, b]$  is inside two intervals, so  $\sum_{i=1}^N |J_{s_i}| \leq 2(b-a)$ ; the dyadic terms are bounded above by 1 by just taking our  $k$  large enough, which we were allowed to do.

Therefore, we have that for all  $n \geq K$ ,  $\int_a^b g_n(x)dx = \int_a^b (f(x) - f_n(x))dx = \int_a^b f(x)dx - \int_a^b f_n(x)dx < \varepsilon$ , hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n(x)dx = \int_a^b f(x)dx$ .