


Chapter 8. Classification: Basic Concepts

- Classification: Basic Concepts
- Decision Tree Induction
- Bayes Classification Methods 
- Linear Classifier
- Model Evaluation and Selection
- Techniques to Improve Classification Accuracy: Ensemble Methods
- Additional Concepts on Classification
- Summary

27

What Is Bayesian Classification?

- A statistical classifier
 - Perform *probabilistic prediction* (i.e., predict class membership probabilities)
- Foundation—Based on Bayes' Theorem
- Performance นาอีฟ, เอาทฤษฏีมาจัดกลุ่ม Classifier
 - A simple Bayesian classifier, *naïve Bayesian classifier*, has comparable performance with decision tree and selected neural network classifiers
- Incremental
 - Each training example can incrementally increase/decrease the probability that a hypothesis is correct—prior knowledge can be combined with observed data
- Theoretical Standard
 - Even when Bayesian methods are computationally intractable, they can provide a standard of optimal decision making against which other methods can be measured

28

Bayes' Theorem: Basics

- Total probability Theorem:

$$p(B) = \sum_i p(B|A_i)p(A_i)$$

- Bayes' Theorem:

$$\boxed{p(H|X)} \overset{\text{Test data}}{=} \frac{p(X|H)P(H)}{p(X)} \propto \overset{\text{Training data}}{\boxed{p(X|H)}} \boxed{P(H)}$$

posteriori probability likelihood prior probability

What we should choose What we just see What we knew previously

- X**: a data sample ("evidence")
- H: X belongs to class C

Prediction can be done based on Bayes' Theorem:

Classification is to derive the maximum posteriori

29

Naïve Bayes Classifier: Making a Naïve Assumption

- Practical difficulty of Naïve Bayes inference: It requires initial knowledge of many probabilities, which may not be available or involving significant computational cost
- A Naïve Special Case
 - Make an additional **assumption** to simplify the model, but achieve comparable performance.

ต้องไม่มีความเกี่ยวข้อง/สัมพันธ์กัน attributes are conditionally independent
 จึงจะทำการคำนวณได้ง่าย (i.e., no dependence relation between attributes)

$$p(X|C_i) = \prod_k p(x_k|C_i) = p(x_1|C_i) \cdot p(x_2|C_i) \cdots p(x_n|C_i)$$

- Only need to count the class distribution w.r.t. features

30

Naïve Bayes Classifier: Categorical vs. Continuous Valued Features

- If feature x_k is categorical, $p(x_k = v_k|C_i)$ is the # of tuples in C_i with $x_k = v_k$, divided by $|C_i|$ (# of tuples of C_i in D)

$$p(X|C_i) = \prod_k p(x_k|C_i) = p(x_1|C_i) \cdot p(x_2|C_i) \cdots p(x_n|C_i)$$

- If feature x_k is continuous-valued, $p(x_k = v_k|C_i)$ is usually computed based on Gaussian distribution with a mean μ and standard deviation σ

$$p(x_k = v_k|C_i) = N(x_k|\mu_{C_i}, \sigma_{C_i}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{C_i}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_{C_i})^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

31

Naïve Bayes Classifier: Training Dataset

Class:

C1:buys_computer = 'yes'

C2:buys_computer = 'no'

Data to be classified:

X = (age <=30, Income = medium,
Student = yes, Credit_rating = Fair)

X				Y
age	income	student	credit_rating	buys_computer
<=30	high	no	fair	no
<=30	high	no	excellent	no
31...40	high	no	fair	yes
>40	medium	no	fair	yes
>40	low	yes	fair	yes
>40	low	yes	excellent	no
31...40	low	yes	excellent	yes
<=30	medium	no	fair	no
<=30	low	yes	fair	yes
>40	medium	yes	fair	yes
<=30	medium	yes	excellent	yes
31...40	medium	no	excellent	yes
31...40	high	yes	fair	yes
>40	medium	no	excellent	no

Training data

$P(H^Y|X) = ?$

$P(H^Y|X) = ?$

$= P(X|H^Y) P(H^Y)$ มีโอกาสเป็น yes 9/14

32

Naïve Bayes Classifier: An Example

□ $P(C_i)$: $P(\text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) = 9/14 = 0.643$

$P(\text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) = 5/14 = 0.357$

□ Compute $P(X|C_i)$ for each class

$P(\text{age} = \text{"<=30"} | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) = 2/9 = 0.222$

$P(\text{age} = \text{"<= 30"} | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) = 3/5 = 0.6$

$P(\text{income} = \text{"medium"} | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) = 4/9 = 0.444$

$P(\text{income} = \text{"medium"} | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) = 2/5 = 0.4$

$P(\text{student} = \text{"yes"} | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) = 6/9 = 0.667$

$P(\text{student} = \text{"yes"} | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) = 1/5 = 0.2$

$P(\text{credit_rating} = \text{"fair"} | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) = 6/9 = 0.667$

$P(\text{credit_rating} = \text{"fair"} | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) = 2/5 = 0.4$

□ $X = (\text{age} \leq 30, \text{income} = \text{medium}, \text{student} = \text{yes}, \text{credit_rating} = \text{fair})$

$P(X|C_i)$: $P(X | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) = 0.222 \times 0.444 \times 0.667 \times 0.667 = 0.044$

$P(X | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) = 0.6 \times 0.4 \times 0.2 \times 0.4 = 0.019$

0.044×0.643

$P(X|C_i) \cdot P(C_i)$: $P(X | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) \cdot P(\text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) = 0.028$

$P(X | \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) \cdot P(\text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) = 0.007$

Therefore, X belongs to class ("buys_computer = yes")

0.019×0.357

age	income	student	credit_rating	buys_computer
<=30	high	no	fair	no
<=30	high	no	excellent	no
31...40	high	no	fair	yes
>40	medium	no	fair	yes
>40	low	yes	fair	yes
>40	low	yes	excellent	no
31...40	low	yes	excellent	yes
<=30	medium	no	fair	no
<=30	low	yes	fair	yes
>40	medium	yes	fair	yes
<=30	medium	yes	excellent	yes
31...40	medium	no	excellent	yes
31...40	high	yes	fair	yes
>40	medium	no	excellent	no

33

$\hat{x} = \text{age} = 42, \text{student} = \text{yes?}$

$p(H|\hat{x}) = ?$

$3/9$

\times

$6/9$

\times

$9/14$

$P(H=Y_{\text{buy}} | (\text{age}=42, \text{student}=\text{yes})) = P(\text{age} = 42 | Y_{\text{buy}}) P(\text{student}=\text{yes} | Y_{\text{buy}}) P(Y_{\text{buy}})$

$P(H=N_{\text{buy}} | (\text{age}=42, \text{student}=\text{yes}))$

Lazy Learner: Instance-Based Methods

□ Instance-based learning:

□ Store training examples and delay the processing ("lazy evaluation") until a new instance must be classified

□ Typical approaches

□ k-nearest neighbor approach

□ Instances represented as points in a Euclidean space.

□ Locally weighted regression

□ Constructs local approximation

□ Case-based reasoning

□ Uses symbolic representations and knowledge-based inference

65

