

CPSC 470 – Artificial Intelligence
Problem Set #6 – Deep Neural Network
35 points
Due Monday April 12th, 10:30am

Some reminders:

- **Grading contact:** Debasmita Ghose (debasmita.ghose@yale.edu) is the point of contact for initial questions about grading for this problem set.
- **Late assignments** are not accepted without a Dean's excuse.
- **Collaboration policy:** You are encouraged to discuss assignments with the course staff and with other students. However, you are required to implement and write any assignment on your own. This includes both pencil-and-paper and coding exercises. You are not permitted to copy, in whole or in part, any written assignment or program as part of this course. You are not to take code from any online repository or web source. You will not allow your own work to be copied. Homework assignments are your individual responsibility, and plagiarism will not be tolerated.
- **Students taking CPSC570:** There is no extra section for this assignment. Your assignment is the same as CPSC470.

In this exercise, you will implement part of a deep neural network and apply it to the task of hand-written digit recognition. This assignment is adapted from Andrew Ng's machine learning class on Coursera.

We encourage to type in your answers directly on this handout. Please only put answers in the designated areas, as we will ignore anything outside those designated areas.

Linear Algebra and Numpy

Neural networks rely upon linear algebra. For the purpose of this assignment, you don't need to know a lot about matrices to finish this assignment as most of the code has been implemented for you. However, if you find it challenging, here are some basics of linear algebra that may help: https://minireference.com/static/tutorials/linear_algebra_in_4_pages.pdf
<https://math.boisestate.edu/~wright/courses/m365/LAIntroSlides.pdf>


To represent matrices in python, we will use the **numpy** library. Most of the code is already implemented, but you may find the documentation for numpy (<http://www.numpy.org/>) or these other references useful:

<http://cs231n.github.io/python-numpy-tutorial/#numpy>
<https://www.numpy.org/devdocs/user/quickstart.html>

All of the libraries needed of this assignment has been installed on zoo. As before, we unfortunately won't be able to help with library installation problems on personal machines.


Dataset

The dataset you will use is taken and modified from the MNIST digit dataset (<http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/>). The dataset consists of 5000 handwritten digit images and the corresponding labels. Each image is 28 by 28 pixels. Each pixel is represented by a floating point number indicating the grayscale intensity at that location. The figure below shows some examples from the dataset:



Neural Networks


We will use a typical model of an individual neuron:



A neuron computes a weighted sum of its inputs: $z = w_1 * x_1 + w_2 * x_2 + w_3 * x_3$ where w_1 , w_2 , and w_3 are the weights correspondingly. The output is $a = g(z)$ where g is a non-linear activation function. In this assignment, we use the sigmoid function which is defined by:

$$\sigma(z) \equiv \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}.$$


A sigmoid function looks like this:



In the basic sigmoid shown above, the transition point is at $x=0$. To shift the threshold to the left or to the right, we can add an additional term into the sum. (For example, if we add -4 into the sum, this is the same as having the sigmoid function transition at $x=4$.) This shift is called a **bias**. To simplify the computation of the bias term, we will add one additional neuron to each layer (except the output layer) and always assign an input value of 1 to that neuron. The weight on that constant input thus determines the bias and can be adjusted automatically by any algorithm that adjusts the network weights.

The neural network is composed of a connected set of individual neurons. There are many units in each layer, and there are multiple layers. In this assignment, we will first use a 3-layer neural net: an input layer, a hidden layer and an output layer. The input layer will have one input neuron for each pixel in the image, plus one additional neuron for the bias term, giving a total of 401 input layer neurons.

The training data will be loaded into the variables `train_x` and `train_y` by the function `load_data(training_percentage)`. We will soon vary the `training_percentage`, but for now let's leave it as 1 (using the entire data set for training). The variable `train_x` contains 5000 vectorized input images, and the variable `train_y` stores the corresponding correct output labels (such as 6, 1, 2, etc.) To visualize a sample from the training examples, you can use the function `display_digit_image(...)`. One example output from this display function is shown below:



We will first use a hidden layer with 25 neurons, and an output layer of 10 neurons.

Please answer the following question:

Q1. Why there are 10 units in the output layer? Please choose one (1 point):

B


A. Because 10 can be divided by $400 * 25$;

B. Because there are 10 labels;

C. The number 10 is generated randomly, and it can be any number here.

D. Because 9 is a perfect square and then we add one for the bias neuron.

The first version of our neural network will look like this:



The vectorized image will be provided to the input layer, with each pixel corresponding to a single input neuron. The output of the first layer is simply these pixel values (along with the fixed +1 bias neuron.). These values will be weighted and then passed as inputs to the hidden layer. The hidden layer will compute the weighted sum, pass the values through a sigmoid activation function, and then pass along its output to the third layer. These are again weighted, summed, and then passed through an activation function. The output layer neuron with the largest output value will be considered the winner and the label representing that node will be the image's label. (This is the **feed-forward** process which computes the output of the system, given an input image.)

Weights are initialized randomly, so our initial output will likely be very different from the true label. A **cost function** is used to evaluate how different it is between the true label and the predicted label. The **backpropagation** algorithm (as presented in class) is then used to iteratively update the weights in the network to (hopefully) bring the actual output of the network to be closer to the desired output.

Most of the code has already been implemented. Your task is to complete the deep_NN function by choosing the correct functions. You will implement about 4 lines of code.

Feedforward

The function `initialize_parameters` returns a dictionary `parameters`, with the keywords “W1”, “b1”, “W2” and “b2”. The “W*” represents the weight matrix, and the “b” is the bias vector. “1” means the parameters from the input layer to hidden layer, and “2” represents the parameters from the hidden layer to the output layer. By examine the output of the `input_parameters` function, please fill in the dimensions of the parameters in the table below (you may need to write a few lines of code to call the `initialize_parameters`, however, you don’t need to submit any code you wrote you this part. You can use the `np.shape()` function).

For example, for the array which has 2 rows and 3 columns:

```
1 2 3
4 5 6
```

It will be initialized as `a = np.array([[1, 2, 3], [4, 5, 6]])` and `a.shape` is: (2, 3)

Then you will fill in the form as

a	2	3
---	---	---

Q2. Please fill in the dimension (2 points)

W1	25	400
b1	25	1
W2	10	25
b2	10	1

Now let’s examine the dimensions of each layer. The feedforward function returns a dictionary of caches, with the keyword “a1, z2, a2, z3, a3”. “z*” represents the weighted result at the corresponding layer. “a*” represents the value of `sigmoid(“z”)` at the layer. “1” refers to input layer, “2” refers to the hidden layer, and “3” refers to the output layer. Please note that the overall output of the neural net is also represented as `AL/a1`, which should share the same value as `a3`.

Please answer the following questions

Q3. Why there is no “z1”? Please choose one (1 point):

A

- A. Because 1 is the input layer, the output of layer 1 is the pixel values themselves;
- B. Because the TA made a mistake, there should be a “z1”;
- C. “z1” should exist, but it is just not used in the calculation later, so this value is excluded.

Q4. Please fill in the dimensions below (4 points):

X (input, which is train_x)	400	5000
y (true label, which is train_y)	1	5000
Y (converted label, which is reshape_Y(train_y))	10	5000
a1	400	5000
z2	25	5000
a2	25	5000
z3	10	5000
a3	10	5000

Here you can see that the dimension of Y and y is different. This is because the raw data provided 1, 2, 3, 4 as labels, and the output of the neural net is a vector of length 10, with index 0 corresponds to the probability of digit 0, index 1 corresponds to the probability of digit 1, etc. (We will describe column vectors using “.T” to indicate the transpose.)

Please answer the following questions.

Q5. Given a column of y : [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0].T . From 0 to 9, which digit is this label corresponding to (1 point):

Q6. Given a column of $a3$ from a trained neural network with accuracy 99.4%: [0.02, 0.06, 0.1, 0.0004, 0.9, 0.3, 0.1, 0.025, 0.062, 0.12].T . From 0 to 9, which digit does this image mostly likely represents (1 point):

That’s all for feedforward. You don’t need to implement any part of the feedforward algorithm. We won’t go into the details in this assignment, but if you are curious about how the difference between the prediction and the true label is calculated, here is the formula:

$$J(w, b) = \frac{1}{m} \sum (-Y \log(1 - a3) - (1 - Y) \log(1 - a3))$$

where m is the number of samples

Backpropagation

As we described in lecture, the backpropagation algorithm iteratively adjusts the weights in the network so that the output of the network is a closer match to the desired output. We will start at the output layer and move backward through the network to assign “blame” and change the weights to better match our data. We denote the differences at each layer dA^* , where $*$ is the layer, and the updated weights (denoted as dW^*) and bias terms (denoted as db^*).

At the output layer, $dA3$ is calculated as:

$$dA3 = a3 - Y$$

At the hidden layer, the $dA2$, $dW2$ and $db2$ are calculated as ($*$ is matrix multiplication, and $.*$ is element-wise multiplication):

$$\begin{aligned} dA2 &= (W2.T * dA3). * sigmoid_{gradient}(z2) \\ dW2 &= dA3 * a2.T / m \\ db2 &= dA3 * vector\ of\ 1s\ with\ the\ same\ size\ as\ a2.T / m \end{aligned}$$

At the output layer, $dW1$ and $db1$ is calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} dW1 &= dA2 * a1.T / m \\ db1 &= dA2 * vector\ of\ 1s\ with\ the\ same\ size\ as\ a1.T / m \end{aligned}$$

Please answer the following question.

Q7. Why there is no $dA1$? Please choose one (1 point):

A. $dA1$ should exist, but it is just not used in the calculation later, so this value is excluded;

B. If we are going to calculate $dA1$, that will be the difference between the desired value and the actual value. The actual value is the image, and we cannot modify the input, so there is no need to compute $dA1$.

C. Because the TA made a mistake, there should be $dA1$.

The formulas provided above are specific to a 3-layer neural network. Please think about how to generalize it to an n -layer neural network and answer the following questions.

Q8. For the output layer of an n -layer neural network, dA_n is calculated the same way, which is (1 point):

A. $dA_n = Y + a_n$

B. $dA_n = Y - a_n$

C. $dA_n = a_n - Y$

Q9. For a hidden layer i of an n -layer neural network, the dA_i is calculated as (1 point):

A. $dA_i = (W_i.T * dA(i+1)).* sigmoid_{gradient}(z_i)$

B. $dA_i = (W(i+1).T * dA(i+1)).* sigmoid_{gradient}(z(i+1))$

C. $dA_i = (W_i.T * dA(i)).* sigmoid_{gradient}(z_i)$

Q10. For any layer other than the output layer of an n -layer neural network, the dW_i is calculated as (1 point):

A. $dW_i = dA_i * a(i+1).T/m$

B. $dW_i = dA(i+1) * a_i.T/m$

C. $dW_i = dA(i+1) * a_i.T$

Q11. For any layer other than the output layer of an n -layer neural network, the dbi is calculated as (1 point):

A. $dbi = dA_i * \text{vector of 1s with the same size as } a_i.T/m$

B. $dbi = dA(i+2) * \text{vector of 1s with the same size as } a_i.T/m$

C. $dbi = dA(i+1) * \text{vector of 1s with the same size as } a_i.T/m$

Now that you understand how the weights are updated, please implement the corresponding part in the function *backpropagation*. You will write about 5 lines of code.

Now let's take a look at how the weights and biases are updated. The differences dW_i and db_i , could be used to directly update these terms:

$$\begin{aligned} W_i &= W_i - dW_i \\ b_i &= b_i - db_i \end{aligned}$$

However, we would like to have some control of how the parameters are updated, so we add a scalar coefficient here called learning rate. As the name indicates, the learning rate describes how quickly the model learns or update itself. A higher learning rate will learn faster, but also be more sensitive to noise in the inputs and desired outputs. Our final update rules are:

$$\begin{aligned} W_i &= W_i - \text{learning_rate} * dW_i \\ b_i &= b_i - \text{learning_rate} * db_i \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula above, please complete the *update_parameters* function. You will implement about 3 lines of code.

Train the neural network

Congratulations! Now you have a completed fully-connected neural network. Let's train the neural network by running the existing code in the main section under "section 1". Please do not modify any of the hyper-parameters here like *training_percentage*, *learning_rate*, *num_iterations*, etc. It will take a few minutes to run and you will see the cost of every iteration printed to screen. At the end, it will print the accuracy of this model on the training set and a figure with the cost of each iteration.

Q12. Please fill in the accuracy (4 points):

Q13. Please copy and paste your figure below (2 points)

My plot is attached in the "programming" submission as an image. I could not get it to copy/paste into this document

Training Set and Test Set

Currently all of the dataset is used to train the model. To evaluate a neural network, we usually separate the dataset into two parts, with the majority of data set allocated as the **training set** and the rest being the **test set**. This ensures the test sets shares some commonality with the training set (e.g., samples from similar situation, guidelines, etc.) while ensuring that the test samples have never been used in the training.

To separate the dataset to training set and test set, we will change the *training_percentage* to 0.8, meaning 80% of the dataset is randomly selected as the training set and the remaining 20% make up the test set. Please comment out section 1 and uncomment section 2 and run the code. You will see the training set accuracy is 0.959 and the testing set accuracy is 0.932. Please note that if you hard code the total sample size to be 5000 anywhere in the code, you might not get the correct result. Please go back and fix it.


Q14. Why is the testing set accuracy lower than the training set accuracy? Is it by chance? (2 points)

This is not by chance. Error on the training data is lower because the model is familiar with these data, able to better approximate their underlying pattern. When exposed to new data in the test set, however, the model is forced to be generalizable and usually is less accurate, given that it has never encountered these data before. Parameters are only updated and optimized when run on the training data — this is the point of 'training' the model. There is no optimization on the test data, resulting in more error.


Learning Rate

Now let's play around with the learning rate and set it to a large number, 10. Theoretically, this neural net should learn very fast. To see whether this is true, please comment section 1 and 2, and uncomment section 3 and run the code. You will see that the cost stays at 3.250830, and the accuracy is 0.0934, which is at chance level. This is actually not surprising, and let's take a look at why.

In backpropagation, we attempt to find the weights that minimize the cost. Imagine for a moment that our cost function was a quadratic curve where the x-axis represents one of our weights and the y-axis represents the associated cost function for that particular weight:



Backpropagation uses a process called *gradient descent* to find the x-value that results in the smallest y value. Suppose the black dot is where we are, then gradient descent attempts to move down the slope (in the direction of the gradient) toward the nearest function minima. If our learning rate is small, we take small steps down toward the minima. If we slightly increase our steps, we will get to the minima faster. However, if the steps we take are too large, we might “overshoot” the minima and end up with a value that creates worse and worse cost values as the function “explodes”:



This is what happens when we set the learning rate to the thoroughly unreasonable value of 10. However, different from the situation above, the cost we obtained stayed at 3.25 and didn’t “explode” like the diagram shown above.

Q15. Why when we set the learning rate to 10 did the cost stay at 3.25 instead of increasing much more significantly? (2 points)

The learning rate of 10 overshoots the minimum because it is so large. It's not, however, large enough to make cost explode. This does happen for larger learning rates (e.g. 100, 500). It seems that 10 is a value in limbo: parameter updates don't decrease the cost, and the parameters are prevented from further updates, meaning the cost does not explode or oscillate.

Another possibility is that the NN is stuck in the local minimum: 10, perhaps, is a large enough learning rate to get the model into the minimum, but not large enough to get it out.

Number of Iterations and More layers

Now let's change the number of iterations to a large number, 30000, and train a neural network with 4 layers. Please comment out section 1, 2 and 3, and uncomment section 4 and run the code. It will take longer to complete compared to the previous few sections. Be patient! You will see that the training set accuracy has improved to 1.0. But the test set accuracy is worse than before (now 0.931). This is called overfitting.

Q16. Based on your reading, explain why overfitting happens. (2 points)

Overfitting occurs when the model is too complicated and has too many parameters relative to the data. This means the model learns the noise of the data rather than the underlying distribution and pattern. An overfit model will perform very well (too well) on training data and, when presented with new test data that doesn't conform to the strict pattern with which it's familiar, fail to generalize that good performance. The result of overfitting is that the model has high variance and low bias.

Your own experiment with the hyperparameters

You may have more questions about how the hyperparameters influence the neural network, and now is the time for you to experiment with the hyperparameters on your own. Please comment out sections 1 to 4, and uncomment section 5. Please feel free to experiment with the *training_percentage*, *learning_rate*, *layers_dims* which defines the architecture of the neural network, and *num_iterations*. Please document your experiment below.

Q17. What is the purpose of your experiment, that is, what question are you trying to address? (2 points)

The purpose of the experiment is to tune hyperparameter values like training percentage, learning rate, iterations, and depth layers to optimize the model and improve its accuracy as much as possible. We also hope to investigate and optimize how tweaking these parameters affects the time required for the model to converge.

Q18. What are the values of the hyperparameters in your experiment? (2 points)

training_percentage	0.8
learning_rate	[0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 5, 10]
layers_dims	[400, 25, 10]
num_iterations	2000

Q19. Please describe the empirical results of your experiment. Only list empirical facts, not your conclusions or explanation... that comes next. (2 points)

- learning rate 0.01, train accuracy 0.107, test accuracy 0.072
- learning rate 0.1, train accuracy 0.883, test accuracy 0.892
- learning rate 0.5, train accuracy 0.959, test accuracy 0.932
- learning rate 5, train accuracy 0.107, test accuracy 0.072
- learning rate 10, train accuracy 0.107, test accuracy 0.072

Q20. What conclusion do you draw from these results? Why do these results happen, and are there any limitations to your results? (2 point)

We first find that too small a learning rate (like 0.01) fails to update the parameters enough for the NN to learn much, resulting in low train and test accuracy. When the learning rate is too high, however, with values like 5 and 10, the model is overfit, once more resulting in poor train and test results. Learning rates of 0.1 and 0.5 seem to fall into the optimal range: we achieve relatively good results with a rate of 0.1, and even better results with a rate of 0.5. The model converges to the local minimum faster.

A limitation of this experiment is that it is not too effective for finding the optimal learning rate based on convergence speed. Here, learning rates within a certain range will be pretty equally effective for the number of iterations run (2000, in this case). In situations where speed of convergence matters, however, learning rate will have a greater importance in identifying the solution that is both fast and accurate. We might be better off using grid search than this type of experiment.

Submission

We encourage to type in your answers directly on this handout which is a fill-able PDF . Please only put answers in the designated areas, as we will ignore anything outside those designated areas.

Also please do not alter the format of this file, otherwise we may not be able to find your answer at the right place and you may lose points.

Please submit this file to Problem Set 6 on GradeScope.

And please submit your code to Problem Set 6 - Programming.