## Homework 3

#### PSTAT 131/231, Fall 2023

#### Due on Monday November 27, 2023 at 23:59

In this homework, you should expect the code for some problems to take a couple of minutes to complete. Re-knitting your file can take a long time so you should consider using cache=TRUE option in R chunks that involve code which takes a while to run. Please load the following packages for this homework:

```
library(tidyverse)
library(ISLR)
library(glmnet)
library(tree)
library(maptree)
library(randomForest)
library(gbm)
library(ROCR)
```

#### Predicting carseats sales using regularized regression methods

We will use the Carseats dataset in the ISLR package. The dataset contains 400 observations on 11 variables. In this question, we will apply regularized regression methods in order to predict Sales using all other predictors.

The following code randomly split Carseats into a training set consisting of only 30 observations (in order to make a small n case) and a test set consisting of the remaining observations. We use set.seed(123) in the beginning of the R code chunk to ensure reproducibility, and use model.matrix to construct the design matrix for appropriate dummy encoding for categorical predictors.

```
set.seed(123)

dat <- model.matrix(Sales~., Carseats)
train = sample(nrow(dat), 30)
x.train = dat[train, ]
y.train = Carseats[train, ]$Sales

# The rest as test data
x.test = dat[-train, ]
y.test = Carseats[-train, ]$Sales</pre>
```

(a). (2 pts) Fit a ridge regression model to the training set to predict Sales using all other variables as predictors. Use the built-in cross-validation in cv.glmnet to choose the optimal value of tuning parameter  $\lambda$  from the following list of  $\lambda$  values using a 5-fold CV. (2 pts) Report the ridge coefficient estimates corresponding to the selected value of  $\lambda$ .

```
lambda.list.ridge = 1000 * exp(seq(0, log(1e-5), length = 100))
```

- (b). (2 pts) What is the training MSE for the model corresponding to the optimal value of  $\lambda$  selected by the cross-validation above? (2 pts) What is the test MSE for that same model? (1 pts) Comment on your findings.
- (c). (2 pts) Fit a lasso model to the training set to predict Sales using all other variables as predictors. Use the built-in cross-validation in cv.glmnet to choose the optimal value of tuning parameter  $\lambda$  from the following list of  $\lambda$  values using a 10-fold CV. (2 pts) Report the lasso coefficient estimates corresponding to the selected value of  $\lambda$ .

(2 pts) Are there any coefficients set to zero in the model selected by cross-validation? What is the interpretation? Comment on your findings.

```
lambda.list.lasso = 2 * \exp(seq(0, log(1e-4), length = 100))
```

- (d). (2 pts) What is the training MSE for the lasso model corresponding to the optimal value of  $\lambda$  selected by cross-validation? (2 pts) What is the test MSE for that same model? (1 pts) Comment on your findings.
- (e). (2 pts) Comment on the comparison between ridge and lasso estimates in this application.

#### Analyzing drug use

In this homework, we will apply several classification methods that we have covered in this course in analyzing the drug use data (drug.csv file attached in the Homework Assignment 3 on Canvas). The dataset includes a total of 1885 observations on 32 variables. A detailed description of the data set can be found here. Each row of the data contains observations of the following predictors:

- ID: number of record in original database. Used for reference only.
- Age: Age of the participant
- Gender: Gender of the participant (M/F)
- Education: Level of education of the participant
- Country: Country of current residence of the participant
- Ethnicity: Ethnicity of the participant
- Nscore: NEO-FFI-R Neuroticism (Ranging from 12 to 60)
- Escore: NEO-FFI-R Extraversion (Ranging from 16 to 59)
- Oscore: NEO-FFI-R Openness (Ranging from 24 to 60)
- Ascore: NEO-FFI-R Agreeableness (Ranging from 12 to 60)
- Cscore: NEO-FFI-R Conscientiousness (Ranging from 17 to 59)
- Impulsive: Impulsiveness measured by BIS-11
- SS: Sensation Seeking measured by ImpSS

In addition to these predictors, participants were also questioned concerning their use of 18 legal and illegal drugs (alcohol, amphetamines, amylnitrite, benzodiazepine, cannabis, chocolate, cocaine, caffeine, crack, ecstasy, heroin, ketamine, legal highs, LSD, methadone, mushrooms, nicotine and volatile substance abuse) and one fictitious drug (Semeron) which was introduced to identify over-claimers. All of the drugs use the class system of CL0-CL6:

- CL0 = "Never Used"
- CL1 = "Used over a decade ago"
- CL2 = "Used in last decade"
- CL3 = "Used in last year"
- CL4 = "Used in last month"
- CL5 = "Used in last week"
- CL6 = "Used in last day".

The following code loads in the data and give proper names to each of the predictors:

- (a). (2 pts) Define a new factor response variable recent\_nicotine\_use which is "Yes" if a person has used nicotine within a year, and "No" otherwise. This can be done by checking if the Nicotine variable is *greater than or equal* to CL3. When creating the new factor set levels argument to levels=c("No", "Yes") (in that order).
- (b). (2 pts) We will only consider a subset of all predictors in subsequent tasks. To do so, we will create a new dataset that includes a subset of the original predictors. In particular, we will focus on all variables between age and SS (inclusively) as well as the new factor recent nicotine use you obtained in part (a).

- (c). (2 pts) Split the dataset you obtained in part (b) into a training data set and a test data set. The training data should include 1000 randomly sampled observation and the test data should include the remaining observations. You will need the training and the test data for subsequent analysis.
- (d). (4 pts) As a benchmark method, fit a logistic regression to predict recent\_nicotine\_use using all other predictors in the training data you obtained in (c). Display the results by calling the summary function on the logistic regression object.
- (e). (2 pts) Construct a single decision tree to predict recent\_nicotine\_use using all other predictors in the training data.
- (f). (2 pts) Use 5-fold cross-validation to select the best size of a tree which minimizes the cross-validation estimate of the test error rate. Use the function cv.tree, and set the argument FUN=prune.misclass. If multiple trees have the same minimum cross validated error rate, set best\_size to the smallest tree size with that minimum rate. (2 pts) Report the best size you obtained.
- (g). (2 pts) Prune the tree to the best size selected in the previous part and plot the tree using the draw.tree function from the maptree package (see Lab 7). Set nodeinfo=TRUE. (2 pts) Which variable is split first in this decision tree?
- (h). (2 pts) Compute and print the confusion matrix for the *test* data using the function table. (Hint: Recall that the table function takes in two arguments: the first argument is the true classes, and the second argument is the predicted classes. To generate the predicted classes for the test data, set type="class" in the predict function.) (2 pts) Calculate the true positive rate (TPR) and false positive rate (FPR) for the confusion matrix. Show how you arrived at your answer.
- (i). (2 pts) Fit a boosting model to the training set with recent\_nicotine\_use as the response and the other variables as predictors. Use the gbm to fit a 1,000 tree boosted model and set the shrinkage value of 0.01. (2 pts) Which predictors appear to be the most important (Hint: use the summary function)?
- (j). (2 pts) Now fit a random forest model to the same training set from the previous problem. Set importance=TRUE but use the default parameter values for all other inputs to the randomForest function. Print the random forest object returned by the random forest function. (1 pts) What is the out-of-bag estimate of error? (1 pts) How many variables were randomly considered at each split in the trees? (1 pts) How many trees were used to fit the data? Look at the variable importance. (1 pts) Is the order of important variables similar for both boosting and random forest models?
- (k). (2 pts) Use both models to predict the response on the test data with a certain threshold. Predict that a person will have recent\_nicotine\_use = Yes if the predicted probability of recent\_nicotine\_use is greater than or equal to 20%. (2 pts) Print the confusion matrix for both the boosting and random forest models. (2 pts) In the random forest model, what fraction of the people predicted to use nicotine recently do in fact use nicotine recently? (Hint: use the predict function with type="prob" for random forests and type="resonpse" for the boosting algorithm. See Lab 8).

# Problems below for 231 students only

- (1). (4 pts) Plot the ROC curves for the logistic regression fit, the best pruned decision tree, the random forest, and the boosting trees that you obtained in previous parts. The ROC curves should be computed using the test data.
- (m). (4 pts) Compute the AUC for the four models and print them. Which model has larger AUC?

### Using bootstrap to compute uncertainty about a parameter of interest (8 pts)

So far in the 2023-2024 season (as of Nov 12, 2023), Stephen Curry, an NBA basketball player, had made 62 out of 139 three point shot attempts (45%). Use bootstrap resampling on a sequence of 62 1's (makes) and 77 0's (misses). For each bootstrap sample compute and save the sample mean (e.g. bootstrap FG% for the player). Use 1000 bootstrap samples to plot a histogram of those values. Compute the 99% bootstrap confidence interval for Stephen Curry's "true" end-of-season FG% using the quantile function in R. Print the endpoints of this interval. (Interesting practice: check back after the end of the season, and see if your bootstrap estimate is accurate!)