Locality and The Fast File System

First File System

"old UNIX file system" by Ken Thompson

S	Inodes	Data
---	--------	------

- simple
- supported files and the directory hierarchy



Kirk McKusick

- The problem: performance was terrible.
 - Performance started off bad and got worse over time, to the point where the file system was delivering only 2% of overall disk bandwidth! [Kirk McKusick '84]
- Treated the disk like it was a random-access memory; data was spread and thus had real and expensive positioning costs.
 - For example, the data blocks of a file were often very far away from its inode

First File System


- The file system would end up getting quite fragmented
 - free space was not carefully managed.
 - a logically contiguous file would be accessed by going back and forth across the disk, thus reducing performance dramatically.



- defragmentation tools can help
 - reorganize on-disk data to place files contiguously and make free space for one or a few contiguous regions, moving data around and then rewriting inodes and such to reflect the changes.
- One other problem: the original block size was too small (512 bytes).
 - Smaller blocks minimized internal fragmentation (waste within the block),
 - but bad for transfer as each block might require a positioning overhead to reach it.


FFS: Disk Awareness Is The Solution

- Fast File System (FFS)
 - Design the file system structures and allocation policies to be "disk aware" and thus improve performance
 - Same APIs, but advanced internal implementation
- FFS divides the disk into a number of cylinder groups.
 - A single cylinder is a set of tracks on different surfaces of a hard drive that are the same distance from the center of the drive
 - aggregates each N consecutive cylinders into group



FFS: Disk Awareness Is The Solution

- Modern drives do not export enough information for the file system to truly understand whether a particular cylinder is in use
- Modern file systems (such as Linux ext2, ext3, and ext4) instead organize the drive into block groups
 - A block group is just a consecutive portion of the disk's address space.
- By placing two files within the same group, FFS can ensure that accessing one after the other will not result in long seeks across the disk.




per-group inode bitmap (ib) and data bitmap (db)

Linux File System

ext2/ext3/ext4

- Block group
 - Kernel tries to keep the data blocks belonging to a file in the same block group to reduce file fragmentation
 - All the block groups have the same size and are stored sequentially




Policies: How To Allocate Files and Directories

- Keep related stuff together (keep unrelated stuff far apart)!!!
- FFS has to decide what is "related" and place it within the same block group
- Simple placement heuristics
 - Placement of a directory
 - find the cylinder group with
 - a low number of allocated directories (to balance directories across groups)
 - a high number of free inodes (to subsequently be able to allocate a bunch of files)
 - other heuristics could be used here (e.g., a high number of free data blocks)
 - File
 - allocate the data blocks of a file in the same group as its inode
 - places all files that are in the same directory in the cylinder group of the directory they are in.
 - if a user creates four files, /a/b, /a/c, /a/d, and b/f, FFS would try to place the first three in the same group and the fourth in some other group.

Policies: How To Allocate Files and Directories

FFS policies

- (1) The data blocks of each file are near each file's inode
- (2) The files in the same directory are near one another



directory hierarchy

Are these policies still helpful for SSD-based systems?

```
group inodes data

0 /----- /-----

1 acde----- accddee---

2 bf----- bff-----

3 -----

4 -----

5 -----

6 -----

7 -----
```

(1) & (2) (namespace-based locality)

```
group inodes data

0 /----- /-----

1 a----- a-----

2 b----- b-----

3 c----- cc-----

4 d----- dd-----

5 e----- ee-----

6 f----- ff-----


7 -----
```

Only (1)

8

Measuring File Locality

- Let's see if indeed there is namespace locality
- Path difference
 - how "far away" file accesses were
 from one another in the directory tree
 - Same file accesses: 0
 - Different file in the same directory: 1
- SEER traces
 - about 7% of file accesses were to the file that was opened previously
 - nearly 40% of file accesses were to either the same file or to one in the same directory (distance ≤ 1)



- 25% of file accesses were to files that had a distance of two.
 - a set of related directories and consistently jumps between them (e.g., /proj/src/foo.c and proc/obj/foo.o)
 - FFS does not capture this type of locality

The Large-File Exception

- Problem of FFS policies
 - If a large file would entirely fill the block group it is first placed within, it prevents subsequent "related" files from being placed within this block group, and thus may hurt file-access locality.

- Large-File Exception
 - The first twelve direct blocks are allocated into the first block group (48KB)
 - The next "large" chunk of the file in another block group.
 - Each subsequent indirect block, and all the blocks it pointed to. (1024 blocks = 4 MB)
 - If the chunk size is large enough, little seeking overhead

10

A Few Other Things About FFS

- Small files < 4KB
 - Internal fragmentation
 - sub-block allocation (512B)
 - if the file grows and requires a full 4KB of data, copy the subblocks into a 4KB block, and free the sub-blocks for future use.
 - To avoid a lot of extra I/O to perform the copy, the libc library would buffer writes and then issue them in 4KB chunks to the file system.
- Made the system more usable
 - Long file name (only 8 characters in the traditional fixed-size approach)
 - Symbolic link
 - Atomic rename()
- Many recent file systems take cues from FFS

Homework

Homework in Chap 41 (FFS)