
Common Concurrency Problems

What Types Of Bugs Exist?

- **Non-deadlock and deadlock**
- **Study by Lu et al. [ASPLOS'08]**
 - Non-deadlock bugs make up a majority of concurrency bugs

Application	What it does	Non-Deadlock	Deadlock
MySQL	Database Server	14	9
Apache	Web Server	13	4
Mozilla	Web Browser	41	16
OpenOffice	Office Suite	6	2
Total		74	31

Figure 32.1: Bugs In Modern Applications


Non-Deadlock Bugs

- **Atomicity-Violation Bugs**

- The desired serializability among multiple memory accesses is violated
- i.e. a code region is intended to be atomic, but the atomicity is not enforced during execution

```
Thread 1::  
if (thd->proc_info) {  
    ...  
    fputs(thd->proc_info, ...);  
    ...  
}
```

```
Thread 2::  
thd->proc_info = NULL;
```



```
pthread_mutex_t proc_info_lock  
    = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
```

```
Thread 1::  
pthread_mutex_lock(&proc_info_lock);  
if (thd->proc_info) {  
    ...  
    fputs(thd->proc_info, ...);  
    ...  
}  
pthread_mutex_unlock(&proc_info_lock);
```

```
Thread 2::  
pthread_mutex_lock(&proc_info_lock);  
thd->proc_info = NULL;  
pthread_mutex_unlock(&proc_info_lock);
```

Non-Deadlock Bugs

- **Order-Violation Bugs**

- The desired order between two memory accesses is flipped

```
Thread 1::  
void init() {  
    ...  
    mThread = PR_CreateThread(mMain, ...);  
    ...  
}  
  
Thread 2::  
void mMain(...) {  
    ...  
    mState = mThread->State;  
    ...  
}
```



```
pthread_mutex_t mtLock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;  
pthread_cond_t mtCond = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;  
int mtInit = 0;
```

```
Thread 1::  
void init() {
```

```
    ...  
    mThread = PR_CreateThread(mMain, ...);
```

```
    // signal that the thread has been created...
```

```
    pthread_mutex_lock(&mtLock);
```

```
    mtInit = 1;
```

```
    pthread_cond_signal(&mtCond);
```

```
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&mtLock);
```

```
    ...
```

```
}
```

```
Thread 2::
```

```
void mMain(...) {
```

```
    ...
```

```
    // wait for the thread to be initialized...
```

```
    pthread_mutex_lock(&mtLock);
```

```
    while (mtInit == 0)
```

```
        pthread_cond_wait(&mtCond, &mtLock);
```

```
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&mtLock);
```

```
    mState = mThread->State;
```

```
    ...
```

```
}
```

Thread 2 seems to assume that the variable mThread has already been initialized (and is not NULL);

➔ To enforce ordering, use **condition variables**

Non-Deadlock Bugs: Summary

- A large fraction (97%) of non-deadlock bugs studied by Lu et al. are either atomicity or order violations.
 - By carefully thinking about these types of bug patterns, programmers can likely do a better job of avoiding them.
 - Automated code-checking tools will focus on these two types of bugs
- Unfortunately, not all bugs are as easily fixable as the examples
- Some require a deeper understanding of what the program is doing, or a larger amount of code or data structure reorganization to fix.

Deadlock Bugs


Thread 1:

```
pthread_mutex_lock(L1);  
pthread_mutex_lock(L2);
```

Thread 2:

```
pthread_mutex_lock(L2);  
pthread_mutex_lock(L1);
```

deadlock does not necessarily occur; rather, it may occur




Deadlock dependency graph

How about if Thread 1 and 2 both made sure to grab locks in the same order?

Why Do Deadlocks Occur?

- Complex dependencies between components



- Encapsulation
 - hide details of implementations and thus make software easier to build in a modular way
 - E.g., Java Vector class and its method AddAll().

```
Vector v1, v2;  
v1.AddAll(v2);
```

 - Internally, because the method needs to be multi-thread safe, locks for both v1 and v2 need to be acquired.
 - The routine acquires the locks in some arbitrary order
 - lock(v1) then lock(v2)
 - If some other thread calls v2.AddAll(v1) at nearly the same time, lock(v2) then lock(v1)
 - **Hidden from the calling application.**

Conditions for Deadlock

- **Mutual exclusion**
 - Threads claim exclusive control of resources that they require (e.g., a thread grabs a lock)
 - **Hold-and-wait**
 - Threads hold resources allocated to them while waiting for additional resources
 - **No preemption**
 - Resources (e.g., locks) cannot be forcibly removed from threads that are holding them.
 - **Circular wait**
 - There exists a circular chain of threads such that each thread holds one or more resources (e.g., locks) that are being requested by the next thread in the chain.
-
- If any of these four conditions are not met, deadlock cannot occur.
 - To prevent deadlock, prevent one of the above conditions

Prevention - CircularWait

- **Total ordering** on lock acquisition.
 - For example, if there are only two locks in the system (L1 and L2), you can prevent deadlock by always acquiring L1 before L2.
- **Partial ordering** can be a useful in more complex systems
 - Linux File Memory Map Code
 - Ten different groups of lock acquisition orders

“i_mutex → i_mmap_mutex”
“i_mmap_mutex → private_lock → swap_lock → mapping->tree_lock”
- **Enforce Lock Ordering by Lock Address** (solve encapsulation problem)

```
if (m1 > m2) { // grab locks in high-to-low address order
    pthread_mutex_lock(m1);
    pthread_mutex_lock(m2);
} else {
    pthread_mutex_lock(m2);
    pthread_mutex_lock(m1);
}
```
- Ordering require careful design of locking strategies and must be constructed with great care.
- Ordering is just a convention, and a sloppy programmer can easily ignore the locking protocol and potentially cause deadlock

Partial lock ordering in /mm/filemap.c

```
/*
 * Lock ordering:
 *
 * ->i_mmap_rwsem      (truncate_pagecache)
 *   ->private_lock    (__free_pte->__set_page_dirty_buffers)
 *   ->swap_lock        (exclusive_swap_page, others)
 *   ->mapping->tree_lock
 *
 * ->i_mutex
 *   ->i_mmap_rwsem      (truncate->unmap_mapping_range)
 *
 * ->mmap_sem
 *   ->i_mmap_rwsem
 *     ->page_table_lock or pte_lock (various, mainly in memory.c)
 *     ->mapping->tree_lock (arch-dependent flush_dcache_mmap_lock)
 *
 * ->mmap_sem
 *   ->lock_page        (access_process_vm)
 *
 * ->i_mutex
 *   ->mmap_sem          (generic_perform_write)
 *                       (fault_in_pages_readable->do_page_fault)
 *
 * bdi->wb.list_lock
 * sb_lock              (fs/fs-writeback.c)
 * ->mapping->tree_lock (__sync_single_inode)
```

```
*
 * ->i_mmap_rwsem
 *   ->anon_vma.lock      (vma_adjust)
 *
 * ->anon_vma.lock
 *   ->page_table_lock or pte_lock (anon_vma_prepare and various)
 *
 * ->page_table_lock or pte_lock
 *   ->swap_lock          (try_to_unmap_one)
 *   ->private_lock       (try_to_unmap_one)
 *   ->tree_lock          (try_to_unmap_one)
 *   ->zone_lru_lock(zone) (follow_page->mark_page_accessed)
 *   ->zone_lru_lock(zone) (check_pte_range->isolate_lru_page)
 *   ->private_lock       (page_remove_rmap->set_page_dirty)
 *   ->tree_lock          (page_remove_rmap->set_page_dirty)
 *   bdi.wb->list_lock     (page_remove_rmap->set_page_dirty)
 *   ->inode->i_lock       (page_remove_rmap->set_page_dirty)
 *   ->memcg->move_lock    (page_remove_rmap->lock_page_memcg)
 *   bdi.wb->list_lock     (zap_pte_range->set_page_dirty)
 *   ->inode->i_lock       (zap_pte_range->set_page_dirty)
 *   ->private_lock       (zap_pte_range->__set_page_dirty_buffers)
 *
 * ->i_mmap_rwsem
 *   ->tasklist_lock      (memory_failure, collect_procs_ao)
 */
```

Prevention - Hold-and-wait

- Acquiring all locks at once, atomically

```
pthread_mutex_lock(prevention); // begin lock acquisition
pthread_mutex_lock(L1);
pthread_mutex_lock(L2);
...
pthread_mutex_unlock(prevention); // end
```

- Drawback
 - Must know exactly which locks must be held and acquire them ahead of time.
 - Decrease concurrency as all locks must be acquired early on (at once) instead of when they are truly needed.

Prevention - No Preemption

- `pthread_mutex_trylock()`
 - either grabs the lock (if it is available) and returns success
 - or returns an error code indicating the lock is held
 - Can try again later if you want to grab that lock.

```
1 top:
2     pthread_mutex_lock(L1);
3     if (pthread_mutex_trylock(L2) != 0) {
4         pthread_mutex_unlock(L1);
5         goto top;
6     }
```

- **Problems**
 - Livelock
 - two threads could both be repeatedly attempting this sequence and repeatedly failing to acquire both locks
 - add a random delay before looping back and trying the entire thing over again, thus decreasing the odds of repeated interference among competing threads
 - Encapsulation
 - How to release the resource allocated before calling the routine?

Prevention - Mutual Exclusion

- In general, this is difficult, because the code we wish to run does indeed have critical sections
- **lock-free** (and related **wait-free**) approaches
 - using powerful hardware instructions such as compare&swap


```
int CompareAndSwap(int *address, int expected, int new) {  
    if (*address == expected) {  
        *address = new;  
        return 1; // success  
    }  
    return 0; // failure  
}
```

```
void AtomicIncrement(int *value, int amount) {  
    do {  
        int old = *value;  
    } while (CompareAndSwap(value, old, old + amount) == 0);  
}
```

Prevention - Mutual Exclusion

```
1 void insert(int value) {  
2     node_t *n = malloc(sizeof(node_t));  
3     assert(n != NULL);  
4     n->value = value;  
5     pthread_mutex_lock(listlock); // begin critical section  
6     n->next = head;  
7     head = n;  
8     pthread_mutex_unlock(listlock); // end critical section  
9 }
```

Why did we grab the lock so late,
instead of right when entering
insert()?




```
1 void insert(int value) {  
2     node_t *n = malloc(sizeof(node_t));  
3     assert(n != NULL);  
4     n->value = value;  
5     do {  
6         n->next = head;  
7     } while (CompareAndSwap(&head, n->next, n) == 0);  
8 }
```

This will fail if some other thread
successfully swapped in a new
head in the meanwhile; then retry!

Deadlock Avoidance

- **Deadlock avoidance method**

- Monitor system states continuously
 - Dynamically examines the resource allocation state
- Let the system always be in states that can allocate resources to each process in some order and still avoid a deadlock
- Always keep the system in **safe states**





If a system is in safe state \Rightarrow no deadlocks

If a system is in unsafe state \Rightarrow possibility of deadlock

Avoidance \Rightarrow ensure that a system will never enter an unsafe state.


Deadlock Avoidance via Scheduling

- Schedules threads in a way as to guarantee no deadlock can occur
- A smart scheduler could make two threads are not run at the same time if they might cause a deadlock
- e.g., [Dijkstra's Banker's Algorithm](#)
- Conservative approach
 - it may have been possible to run these tasks concurrently
 - Limit concurrency → High cost
- Useful in very limited environments, Not widely used
 - Need full knowledge of the entire set of tasks




Detect and Recover

- Allow deadlocks to occasionally occur, and then take some action once such a deadlock has been detected
- If deadlocks are rare, it is better to use a pragmatic solution
 - “Not everything worth doing is worth doing well” - Tom West
 - If an OS froze once a year, you would just reboot it
 - Many database systems employ a deadlock detector, which runs periodically, building a resource graph and checking it for cycles. In the event of a cycle (deadlock), the system needs to be restarted.




Deadlock Detection

Graph Reduction Example (1)




(a) Initial state

Non-deadlock
State




(b) Reduction by process P1



(c) Reduction by process P2

Deadlock Detection

Graph Reduction Example (2)



- Process P1 and P2 are blocked state in State-(b)
- Reduction is not possible any more
 - Initial state is deadlocked

Deadlock Recovery

- **Process termination**

- Terminates (abnormally) one or more processes to break the circular wait
 - Terminated processes are restarted or rolled back afterwards

- **Resource preemption**

- Preempts some resources from processes that currently owns them and gives these resources to other processes until the deadlock cycle is broken
 - Election of the resources to be preempted to eliminate the deadlock
 - Preemption and reassignment of the resources

Summary

- Deadlock prevention
 - Deny a necessary condition for deadlocks
 - Deadlock cannot occur in the system
 - Serious resource waste
 - Not practical
- Deadlock avoidance
 - Need full knowledge of the entire set of tasks
 - Considers worst case
- Deadlock detection & recovery
 - Checks whether current state has deadlocked processes or not

Homework

- Homework in Chap 32 (Deadlock)