





#### MODULE 4: STANDARDS/TECHNICAL REFERENCE FOR AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES

Nicholas Ho
Institute of System Science, NUS







- 1. Brief Introduction to Standards
- 2. Sharing and elaboration on selected standards topics (e.g. SAE-J3016, TR-68-1/2/3/4)
- 3. Pop Quiz



### **Introduction to Technical Standards**





- Definition: Standards are published documents that establish specifications and procedures designed to ensure the reliability of the materials, products, methods, and/or services people use every day. Standards address a range of issues, including but not limited to various protocols that help ensure product functionality and compatibility, facilitate interoperability and support consumer safety and public health
- Examples of well-known technical standards:
   ISO, SAE, IATF, GSMA, IEC, NIST, TR



### **Importance of Technical Standards**





A basis for mutual understanding

A basis for the introduction of new technologies and innovations

Ensure compatibility

Facilitate communication, measurement, commerce and manufacturing

Speeds time-to-market

Facilitate business interaction

Enable companies to comply with relevant laws and regulations

**Ensure interoperability** 







#### SHARING AND ELABORATION ON SELECTED STANDARDS TOPICS (E.G. SAE-J3016, TR-68)







# 1. SAE INTERNATIONAL – J3016

Taxonomy and Definitions for Terms Related to Driving Automation Systems for On-Road Motor Vehicles








- Freely available via SAE website
- Provides a classification (Level 0 to Level 5)
   describing the full range of levels of driving automation in
   on-road motor vehicles and includes functional
   definitions for advanced levels of driving automation
   and related terms and definitions
- <u>Does not provide specifications</u> for and <u>does not</u>
   <u>impose requirements</u> on driving automation systems



## The 5 Levels of Autonomous Driving









## **Dynamic Driving Task (DDT)**





- Includes all real-time operational and tactical functions
  required to operate a vehicle in on-road traffic, excluding the
  strategic functions such as trip scheduling and selection of
  destinations and waypoints, and including without limitation:
  - 1. Lateral vehicle motion control via **steering** (operational)
  - Longitudinal vehicle motion control via acceleration and deceleration (operational)
  - 3. Monitoring the driving environment via object and event detection, recognition, classification, and response preparation (operational and tactical)
  - 4. Object and event response execution (operational and tactical)
  - 5. Maneuver planning (tactical)
  - **6. Enhancing conspicuity** via lighting, signaling and gesturing, etc. (tactical)








#### Schematic (not a control diagram) view of driving task showing DDT portion

OEDR = object and event detection, recognition, classification, and response




Strategic functions







#### For Level 1




Strategic functions







#### For Level 2




Strategic functions







#### For Levels 3-5



Strategic functions







	Driving	DDT	
Types of effort involved	Strategic, Tactical, and Operational	Tactical and Operational only	

- Driving entails a variety of decisions and actions, which may or may not involve a vehicle being in motion, or even being in an active lane of traffic
- Strategic effort involves trip planning, such as deciding whether, when and where to go, how to travel, best routes to take, etc
- DDT is a subset of driving







- Define as the response by the user to either perform the DDT or achieve a minimal risk condition after occurrence of a DDT performance-relevant system failure(s) or upon operational design domain (ODD) exit, or the response by an ADS to achieve minimal risk condition (MRC), given the same circumstances
  - ODD is defined as operating conditions under which a given driving automation system or feature thereof is specifically designed to function, including, but not limited to, environmental, geographical, and time-of-day restrictions, and/or the requisite presence or absence of certain traffic or roadway characteristics
  - MRC is defined as a condition to which a user or an ADS may bring a vehicle after performing the DDT fallback in order to reduce the risk of a crash when a given trip cannot or should not be completed



#### DDT Fallback; E.g.






- 1. A level 1 adaptive cruise control (ACC) feature experiences a system failure that causes the feature to stop performing its intended function. The human driver performs the DDT fallback by resuming performance of the complete DDT
- 2. A level 3 ADS (Automated Driving System) feature that performs the entire DDT during traffic jams on freeways is not able to do so when it encounters a crash scene and therefore issues a request to intervene to the DDT fallback-ready user. S/he responds by taking over performance of the entire DDT in order to maneuver around the crash scene (Note that in this example, a minimal risk condition is not needed or achieved)
- 3. A level 4 ADS-dedicated vehicle (ADS-DV) that performs the entire DDT within a geo-fenced city center experiences a DDT performance-relevant system failure. In response, the ADS-DV performs the DDT fallback by turning on the hazard flashers, maneuvering the vehicle to the road shoulder and parking it, before automatically summoning emergency assistance (Note that in this example, the ADS-DV automatically achieves a minimal risk condition)










Sample use case sequence at Level 3 showing ADS engaged and occurrence of a vehicle system failure that prevents continued DDT performance. User performs fallback and achieves a minimal risk condition










Sample use case sequence at Level 3 showing ADS engaged and occurrence of an ADS system failure that does not prevent continued DDT performance. User performs the fallback and resumes DDT performance









Sample use case sequence at Level 3 showing ADS engaged and occurrence of exiting the ODD that does not prevent continued DDT performance.

User performs the fallback and resumes DDT performance










Sample use case sequence at Level 4 showing ADS engaged and occurrence of a vehicle system failure that prevents continued DDT performance. ADS performs the fallback and achieves a minimal risk condition










NOTE: Dotted lines represent optional conditions.

Sample use case sequence at Level 4 showing ADS engaged and occurrence of an ADS failure that does not prevent continued DDT performance by an available human user. The ADS feature may prompt a passenger seated in the driver's seat (if available) to resume DDT performance; if no driver's seat with receptive passenger, the ADS automatically achieves a minimal risk condition









Use case sequence at Level 4 showing ADS engaged with ODD exit, which does not prevent continued DDT performance by an available human user. The ADS feature may prompt a passenger seated in the driver's seat (if available) to resume DDT performance; if no driver's seat with receptive passenger, the ADS automatically achieves a minimal risk condition




#### Lateral and Longitudinal Vehicle Motion Control





#### **Lateral & Longitudinal Vehicle Motion Control:**

DDT subtask comprising the activities necessary for the real-time, sustained regulation of the **y-axis and x-axis** component of vehicle motion





### Taxonomy of Driving Automation





			DDT			
Level	Name	Narrative definition	Sustained lateral and longitudinal vehicle motion control	OEDR	DDT fallback	ODD
	er performs p	art or all of the <i>DDT</i>				
0	No Driving Automation	If the performance by the <i>driver</i> of the entire DDT even 1		Driver	Driver	n/a
1	Driver Assistance	The sustained and ODD-specific execution by a driving automation system of either the lateral or the longitudinal vehicle motion control subtask of the DDT (but not both simultaneously) with the expectation that the driver performs the remainder of the DDT.	Driver	Driver	Limited	
2	Partial Driving Automation	The sustained and ODD-specific execution by a driving automation system of both the lateral and longitudinal vehicle motion control subtasks of the DDT with the expectation that the driver completes the OEDR subtask and supervises the driving automation system.	System	Driver	Driver	Limited
ADS ("System") performs the entire DDT (while engaged)					Fallback- ready user	
3	Conditional Driving Automation	The sustained and ODD-specific performance by an ADS of the entire DDT with the expectation that the DDT fallback-ready user is receptive to ADS-issued requests to intervene, as well as to DDT performance-relevant system failures in other vehicle systems, and will respond appropriately.	System	System	(becomes the driver during fallback)	Limited
4	High Driving Automation	The sustained and ODD-specific performance by an ADS of the entire DDT and DDT fallback without any expectation that a user will respond to a request to intervene.	System	System	System	Limited
5	Full Driving Automation	The sustained and unconditional (i.e., not ODD-specific) performance by an ADS of the entire DDT and DDT fallback without any expectation that a user will respond to a request to intervene.	System	System	System	Unlimited

# Summary of Driving Automation Levels

Note that: Level 5 "full driving automation" is the inverse analog of level 0 "no driving automation"




#### **Taxonomy of Driving Automation**





#### Does the feature:



Simplified logic flow diagram for assigning driving automation level to a feature



#### **Taxonomy of Driving Automation**





	No Driving Automation	Engaged Level of Driving Automation					
_	0	1	2	3	4	5	
In-vehicle user	Driver			DDT fallback- ready user	Passenger		
Remote User	Remote D	te Driver		DDT fallback- ready user	Driverless operation dispatcher		

User roles while a driving automation system is engaged



## Taxonomy of Driving Automation; E.g.






- If the driving automation system performs the sustained longitudinal and/or lateral vehicle motion control subtasks of the DDT, the driver does not do so, although s/he is expected to complete the DDT. This division of roles corresponds to levels 1 and 2
- If the driving automation system performs the entire DDT, the user does not do so. However, if a DDT fallback-ready user is expected to take over the DDT when a DDT performance-relevant system failure occurs or when the driving automation system is about to leave its operational design domain (ODD), then that user is expected to be receptive and able to resume DDT performance when alerted to the need to do so. This division of roles corresponds to level 3
- Lastly, if a driving automation system can perform the entire DDT and DDT fallback either within a prescribed ODD or in all drivermanageable on-road driving situations (unlimited ODD), then any users present in the vehicle while the ADS is engaged are passengers. This division of roles corresponds to levels 4 and 5



## Operational Design Domain (ODD); E.g.







ODD relative to driving automation levels



#### Request to Intervene





- The situation where a system can no longer perform the DDT, SAE J3016 indicates the system should issue a "request to intervene"; defined as:
  - "Notification by an ADS to a fallback-ready user indicating that s/he should promptly perform the DDT fallback, which may entail resuming manual operation of the vehicle (i.e., becoming a driver again), or achieving a minimal risk condition if the vehicle is not drivable."
- Example: "A level 3 ADS experiences a DDT performance-relevant system failure in one of its radar sensors, which prevents it from reliably detecting objects in the vehicle's pathway. The ADS responds by issuing a request to intervene to the DDT fallback-ready user. The ADS continues to perform the DDT, while reducing vehicle speed, for several seconds to allow time for the DDT fallback-ready user to resume operation of the vehicle in an orderly manner."



#### Failure Mitigation Strategy (FMS)






- FMS: "strategy designed to bring the vehicle to a controlled stop wherever the vehicle happens to be, if the driver fails to supervise the feature's performance (level 2), or if the fallback-ready user fails to perform the fallback when prompted (level 3)."
  - E.g. if the fallback-ready user of a level 3 traffic jam feature fails to respond to a request to intervene after traffic clears (an out-of-ODD condition), the vehicle may have a failure mitigation strategy designed to bring the vehicle to a controlled stop in its present lane of travel and turn on the hazard lamps
- FMS is different from minimal risk condition achievement and is not part of the fallback function assigned to a level 4 or 5 ADS, because it occurs after the ADS has disengaged or been incapacitated by a rare, catastrophic event
  - E.g. loss of backup power after initial power failure or incapacitation of the ADS's computing capability, which render it incapable of performing the fallback and achieving a minimal risk condition



### DDT Fallback vs Failure Mitigation Strategy (FMS)








Use case sequence for a **Level 3** feature showing ADS engaged, **occurrence of a failure or out-of-ODD condition**, and the fallback-ready user performing the **fallback**, **or**, if the fallback-ready user fails to do so, **a failure mitigation strategy**, such as stop-in-lane (Note: Dotted lines represent failure mitigation strategy)



### DDT Fallback vs Failure Mitigation Strategy (FMS)







Use case sequence at Level 4 showing ADS engaged and will perform DDT fallback and achieve minimal risk condition as per normal. However, in the event of a catastrophic event (e.g. complete power failure), the system will adopt a FMS. (Note: Dotted lines represent failure mitigation strategy)



## Practical Use of SAE J3016 in Europe





#### AdaptIVe (Automated driving applications and technologies for Intelligent Vehicles) Project

- Co-funded by the European Commission as part of the Seventh Framework Programme with €14.3 million supported by the European Council for Automotive R&D, EUCAR
- 29 partners from 8 countries France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Spain, Sweden, The Netherlands, United Kingdom; including 11 original equipment manufacturers, 4 suppliers, 11 research institutes and universities, and 3 small/medium enterprises
- Objectives include human factors issues, evaluation methods, and legal aspects
- Deliverable D2.1 System Classification and Glossary
  - Describes harmonization of levels between BASt, VDA, and SAE
  - Applies these harmonized levels and SAE J3016 supporting terms



### Practical Use of SAE J3016 in US





#### CAMP AVR (Crash Avoidance Metrics Partnership Automated Vehicle Research) Project

- Cooperative Research Agreement with NHTSA (i.e. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration)
- <u>Consortium members:</u> Ford Motor Company, General Motors, Nissan, Mercedes-Benz, Toyota, and Volkswagen Group of America
- Objectives included: functional descriptions of automation levels, list of potential driving automation features, level-specific safety principles, potential objective test methods for evaluating driving automation systems
- CAMP AVR Consortium incorporated the SAE J3016 levels and supporting terms and embellished upon them
- Final report has been submitted to NHTSA







# 2. SINGAPORE STANDARDS COUNCIL – TR-68-1/2/3





#### **Disclaimer:**

The materials on the following slides are adapted from TR-68-1/2/3 documents, and are included for educational and informational purposes. Singapore Standards Council (SSC) still reserves the right to the content used. It must not be reproduced, copied or communicated to any third party. It is strictly not for advisory or distribution purposes. NUS-ISS accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for the content in these slides, in respect of any use of or reliance upon these slides by any other party.







### Purpose of TR-68-1 (Basic Behaviour):


- Describes required AV basic driving behaviours
  - DDT and behavior controlled by the ADS of an AV
  - Conduct of AV driving
  - Road signs, markings and traffic signals interpretation
- Definitions adapted from SAE J3016\_2018
- Applicable to the following parties:
  - a) AV-related companies (i.e. developers/operators, manufacturers, suppliers)
  - b) Relevant govt organizations (e.g. LTA, TP)
  - vehicle testing, inspection and certification organizations (e.g. VICOM, STA)
  - d) Motor insurance companies
  - e) Service and data providers (e.g. SingTel, Starhub)







### **DDT Process Flow Chart (TR-68 Version):**



Content and Graphics adapted from TR-68-1







### **Assumptions made for TR-68-1:**


- a) AVs will be deployed on existing infrastructure (i.e. public roads with current traffic facilities) together with other non-autonomous vehicles (i.e. Level 0 and 1), pedestrians, bicycles and PMDs
- b) Current driving rules and regulations will apply to all AVs (e.g. road traffic rules, Highway Code, traffic facilities)
- c) No on-board human operator will be involved in the AV operations; this TR does not consider the human-AV interface
- d) AVs are expected to only depend on on-vehicle systems to provide safe operation. In other words, if any critical events occur (e.g. malfunctions, power loss, cyberattacks), the AVs cannot rely on any offvehicle systems (e.g. wireless communications) to execute safety measures
- e) The responsibility for ensuring a safe vehicle deployment will be bore by the AV developer/operator. This includes validation and verification of the AV and other relevant systems







### Filtering Rules Applicable to AV Driving Behaviour:



E.g. rules that target undesirable human emotions/actions (like road rage, drink drive, tailgating, reckless overtaking), rules that describes how humans perform physical actions (like checking blind spots, turning the steering wheel)

BTD = Singapore's Basic Theory of Driving

FTD = Singapore's Final Theory of Driving







### What happens if AV faces dilemmas (common in current driving situations)? Examples of dilemmas:

- Preventing harm
- Maintaining traffic movements
- Conflicting rules (e.g. various driving rules result in different required actions to a given situation)

### **Two Directives for Automated Driving to resolve dilemmas:**

#### A. Prime Directive: To ensure safety

Able to violate driving rules to avoid any harmful events

#### B. Secondary Directive: To ensure free movement of traffic

Able to violate driving rules if not doing so will lead to unnecessary traffic obstruction, provided if Rule A still applies (i.e. no unreasonable safety risks taken)








## Examples of Application of Directives for Automated Driving to resolve dilemmas; E.g. 1:

- Violate driving rules by crossing the double white line to overtake an illegally parked car in order to ensure free movement of traffic.
- Prime directive still applies; no foreseen danger inflicted if action is carried out










## Examples of Application of Directives for Automated Driving to resolve dilemmas; E.g. 2:

- Violating a rule pertaining to lateral clearance requirement; able to proceed as long as physical clearance is sufficient. This ensure free movement of traffic
- Prime directive still applies; no foreseen danger inflicted if action is carried out










## Examples of Application of Directives for Automated Driving to resolve dilemmas; E.g. 3:

- If violating rules are necessary, it must be done minimally
- Violating more lateral clearance toward one actor (e.g. parked car) and less toward a more vulnerable actor (e.g. pedestrian)
- Prime directive still applies; no foreseen danger inflicted if action is carried out



**Content and Graphics adapted from TR-68-1** 







# Conduct of DDT by AVs Pertaining to the Interpretation of rules:

- Hand signals AVs must be able to detect and recognize hand signals by humans (e.g. police officers, other road users, construction/school traffic facilitators) and respond accordingly
- Vehicles on Emergency Calls Able to detect and respond to such vehicles (i.e. ambulances, police cars)
- Use of Mapping and Adherence to Traffic Signs and Road Markings – All relevant traffic signs and road markings can be found in the BTD







### Purpose of TR-68-2 (Safety):

- Describes a set of minimal safety requirements to be met by AV developers, manufacturers and/or operators
- Focuses on Quality and Safety Management Systems
- Applicable to the following parties:
  - a) AV developers/operators
  - b) Relevant govt organizations (e.g. LTA, TP)
  - vehicle testing, inspection and certification organizations (e.g. VICOM, STA)
  - d) Engineering and consulting companies (e.g. ST Engineering)




### TR-68 Part 2: Safety





### **Quality Management System Overview**



**Content and Graphics adapted from TR-68-2** 




### TR-68 Part 2: Safety





### Safety Management System Overview



Content and Graphics adapted from TR-68-2



### TR-68 Part 2: Safety





### Other Considerations in TR-68-2

- a) Human Machine Interface (HMI) within safety systems
  - > AVs of L4/5 (in SAE terms) should exclude safety roles for humans
  - Assume as though there are no human occupants in AVs for use cases relevant to TR, as passengers are occupied with non-driving activities
  - Hence, necessary for a remote takeover to be possible without involving the passengers pertaining to safety measures
- b) Artificial Intelligence (AI) within safety systems
  - > The application of AI has the potential to enhance safety. However......
  - Explicitly prohibit usage of Al during AV operations to influence the system safety and for other intended safety functions
  - Usage of Al allowed during development and testing phases as long as it does not compromise the safety aspect of the AV (e.g. collecting and transferring data from AV to a test platform located outside the AV. This test platform utilizes AI to optimize the AV performance)
  - Usage of Al allowed in non-safety related parts of the system; functional independence necessary







### Purpose of TR-68-3 (Cybersecurity):

- TR for enhanced cybersecurity framework for AVs
  - Sg does not manufacture vehicles; dependent on AV developer/operator for security-by-design processes
  - Independent approach required to ensure foolproof cybersecurity (i.e. conducting cybersecurity assessment of AVs before deploying them on the roads)
- 2 tiers of cybersecurity safeguards:
  - A. Cybersecurity Principles
  - B. Cybersecurity Assessment Framework








### **AV Security Zone**

### (includes area of assessment covered in this TR)



RSU = roadside units, OBU = On-Board Unit, V2X = Vehicle to everything, ADS = Automated Driving System, CAN = Controller Area Network








### **AV Security Zone**

### (includes area of assessment covered in this TR)



RSU = roadside units, OBU = On-Board Unit, V2X = Vehicle to everything, ADS = Automated Driving System, CAN = Controller Area Network







### Cybersecurity Key Principles:

### Security-bydesign

- Cybersecurity considered from early development stages and integrated into the design
- Security practices should be built on established standards and proven methods
- Evaluated and certified products must be used at all times
- Design safeguards must be considered to account for potentially untrusted components

### Defence-indepth

- Apply a holistic approach for security on the system architecture: this ensures a more complete and comprehensive protection
- E.g. compartmentalisation, multi-layered defence, multifactor authentication. multitier access control
- Do not rely on security by obscurity as a solution (i.e. design/implementation secrecy)

#### **Continuous Operational Management & Oversight**

- Cybersecurity operations cycle includes: **Prevent/Predict** (threat anticipation), **Detect** (threat discovery), Respond (mitigation and containment measures), and Recover
- Protection must be proactive; not static
- Mandatory continuous security updates and vulnerability management

#### Resiliency


- Cybersecurity attack is a matter of when
- Hence, AV operations must be resilient to cybersecurity attacks
- About ensuring the preparedness and readiness of cybersecurity
- E.g. periodic design review, penetration test. consequence management







### **Cybersecurity Assessment Framework:**




TRA = Threat Risk Assessment SAO = System Architecture Overview







### **Various Methods for TARA:**



Graphics from On the Alignment of Safety and Security for Autonomous Vehicles by Cui, et al.


TARA = Threat Analysis & Risk Assessment, OCTAVE = Operationally Critical Threat, Asset, and Vulnerability Evaluation, EVITA = Esafety Vehicle Intrusion proTected Applications, HEAVENS = HEAling Vulnerabilities to Enhance Software Security and Safety







# Classification of HARA (for Safety) and TARA (for Security) methods:



Graphics from Enhancement of Automotive Penetration Testing with Threat Analyses Results by Dürrwang, et al.







### Purpose of TR-68-4 (Data):

- TR for standardized services and data exchange formats for AVs
  - Emphasizes a common communication protocol for data exchange
  - Aims to facilitate efficiency in communication processes that involve multiple parties, enabling interoperability among parties in an AV ecosystem
  - Only relevant to LvI 4 and 5 AVs in mixed-use traffic and on public roads
- Applicable to the following parties:
  - a) AV developers/operators
  - b) Vehicle testing, inspection and certification organizations (e.g. VICOM, STA)







### Key Specifications; Defines vehicular data types & formats for:

- Automated driving data recording
- Safe and effective use of AV data
- Dynamic content management (e.g. HD maps, traffic info)
- Accident investigation and claim disputes
- V2X (Vehicle-to-Everything) communication for safety and efficiency

#### **Exclusions:**

- Over-the-air software updates
- AV fleet management systems
- Interfaces between AVs and human operators/drivers
- Data privacy and ownership concerns







#### TR-68-4 adopts the following assumptions:

- Deployment Environment: AVs will operate on public roads with mixed traffic, including interaction with various road users (e.g. other vehicles, pedestrians, bicycles).
- 2. Regulatory Stability: No changes to existing traffic rules, road signs, or signals to accommodate AVs.
- 3. No Human Operator: AVs will operate without an in-vehicle fallback human operator; the TR does not address AV-human operator interfaces.
- 4. Independence from Off-Vehicle Systems: AVs must operate safely without reliance on external systems. If external communication is disrupted, AVs should still function safely.
- 5. Developer/Operator Responsibility: AV developers and operators are responsible for safe deployment, validation, and verification of AV systems, not transferring safety responsibilities to assessors.
- 6. Electronic Identification: AVs must be identifiable electronically.
- 7. **Programming Flexibility:** The TR does not specify a programming language for data exchange; parties can choose their preferred language.












Figure 1 – An AV ecosystem







#### **AV Ecosystem:**

- This framework helps define the data sets required for different use cases and supports the safe and efficient operation of AVs in a connected environment.
- Includes various stakeholders interacting with Autonomous Vehicles (AVs)
   through a vehicle gateway, which manages communication between the AV and external entities.

#### Key components and interactions

- Vehicle Gateway: Central communication hub within the AV that connects in-vehicle devices, sensors, actuators, and the AV operating system with external entities.
- o **In-Vehicle Devices and DSSAD:** Devices within the AV, including the Data Storage System for Automated Driving (DSSAD), that gather and exchange data.
- External Interactions: AVs interact with other AVs, infrastructure equipment, nomadic devices (brought into the vehicle by users), and servers that provide or consume data.
- Stakeholders: Include OEMs, system providers, private service and data provider, government agencies, regulatory bodies, insurance companies, AV operators, AV owners/passengers, and vulnerable road users. These entities are involved in various use cases and data exchanges.







### **Examples of use cases**

### 1. Sharing of Sensor Data with Local Authorities

- Goal: Ensure safety and reliability by sharing sensor data with authorities for certification, monitoring, and investigation.
- Data Classes: AVInfo, AV (Navigation, Perception, Controls, Monitoring)
- Data Flow: Data sharing

### 2. AV to AV Data Sharing for Enhanced Navigation Safety

- Goal: Improve navigation safety by allowing AVs to share data and communicate with each other.
- Data Classes: AV (Navigation, Perception)
- Data Flow: Data exchange and/or data sharing







#### **Examples of use cases (Cont)**

#### 3. AV Data to Private Service and Data Providers and vice versa

- Goal: Enhance road safety and efficiency by sharing real-time data with private service and data providers to update environmental changes.
- Data Classes: AV (Navigation, Perception, Controls, Monitoring), Infrastructure (Static, Dynamic)
- Data Flow: Data exchange and/or data sharing

#### 4. AV to Driver/Passenger Data Sharing

- Goal: Communicate critical information to passengers using in-vehicle or handheld devices. E.g. AV making an unexpected stop or terminating a service.
- Data Classes: AV (Navigation, Perception)
- Data Flow: Data sharing

#### 5. AV to OEM/System Provider

- Goal: Facilitate servicing, repair, and preventive maintenance through communication between AV and manufacturers.
- Data Classes: AVInfo, AV (Perception, Controls, Monitoring)
- Data Flow: Data exchange and/or data sharing







### **Examples of use cases (Cont)**

#### 6. AV Sending/Receiving Data to/from Road Infrastructure

- Goal: Enhance safety and efficiency by sharing data with road infrastructure (e.g. traffic lights, smart signs) for better navigation and maneuvering.
- Data Classes: AV (Navigation, Perception, Monitoring), Infrastructure (Static, Dynamic)
- Data Flow: Data exchange and/or data sharing

### 7. AV Exchanging Priority Information with Traffic Control Infrastructure [focuses on priority vehicles!]

- Goal: Support priority vehicles by exchanging data to ensure safe navigation.
- Data Classes: AV (Navigation, Perception, Monitoring), Infrastructure (Static, Dynamic)
- Data Flow: Data sharing and/or data exchange

#### 8. AV Exchanging Data with Vulnerable Road Users

- Goal: Improve safety by sharing situational data (e.g. location, intention) with vulnerable road users to avoid accidents, and vice versa.
- Data Classes: AV (Navigation, Perception)
- Data Flow: Data sharing and/or data exchange







#### **Examples of use cases (Cont)**

#### 9. AV Data Exchange During Medical/Safety Emergencies

- Goal: Release emergency alerts through AV monitoring systems.
- Data Classes: AV (Monitoring)
- Data Flow: Data sharing and/or data exchange

#### 10. AV Data Sharing with Insurance Companies

- **Goal:** Provide data about vehicle performance and health status for insurance assessment purposes in event of claims or incidents.
- Data Classes: AVInfo, AV (Navigation, Perception, Monitoring, Controls), Infrastructure (Dynamic, Static)
- Data Flow: Data sharing

#### 11. Government Agencies Providing Information to AVs

- **Goal:** Facilitate AV operations by providing transport-related data (from government agencies) to AV operators [www.mytransport.sg]
- Data Classes: Infrastructure (Static, Dynamic)
- Data Flow: Data sharing







### **Examples of use cases (Cont)**

### 12. Stations Exchanging Information with AVs for Service Provisioning Purposes

- Goal: Optimize AV charging and service provisioning by sharing information with charging networks. E.g. physical location, operational availability, efficiency and type of charging stations
- Data Classes: AVInfo, AV (Navigation, Perception, Monitoring, Controls), Infrastructure (Dynamic, Static)
- Data Flow: Data sharing and/or data exchange

### 13. AV Exchanging Data with Transit Stops for operational needs

- **Goal:** Enhance operational efficiency for AV buses and taxis by interacting with transit stops and commuters waiting there. E.g. transit stops can broadcast to nearby AVs their status, the demand for a specific service, etc
- Data Classes: AVInfo, AV (Navigation), Infrastructure (Static)
- Data Flow: Data sharing and/or data exchange










Figure 2 – Class relationship diagram for AVs







Class Relationship Diagram for AVs; Illustrates the key components and their interrelationships within an AV system; main modules:

#### 1. Navigation Module:

- Responsible for local/global path planning and decision-making.
- Interacts with perception module to perceive the surroundings and commands the control module to carry out mission activities.

### 2. Perception Module:

- Gathers, interprets, and fuses external data from sensors and external infrastructure system to localize the AV and make sense of its surroundings; the sensed data is fused with a prior digital map to build a real-time representation of the surroundings.
- Detects traffic signs, obstacles, road users, road features (e.g. lanes, arrows) and environmental and weather conditions.







#### 3. Control Module:

- Related to the advanced system that coordinates chassis control systems to optimize safety, comfort, and fuel efficiency.
- The vehicle dynamics class is linked to the control module; provides info on the dynamic states, e.g. speeds, accelerations, actuator values, etc

#### 4. Monitoring Module:

- Collects, fuses and interprets internal sensor data relating to the AV's health and vehicle status.
- Reports faults of the vehicle subsystem, or component failures via the exceptional event class.

#### 5. AVInfo Class:

 Provides static information about the AV, including capabilities and dimensions.












Figure 3 – Class relationship diagram for infrastructure







Class Relationship Diagram for Infrastructure; Outlines the key data components and their interactions with AVs; infrastructure data can be broadly categorized into the following 4 main areas:

#### 1. Information Guidance:

- •Provides **global data to assist AVs in decision-making**, such as **maps**, **traffic information**, **events**, **and electronic toll details**.
- Critical for navigation and strategic planning within AV systems.

### 2. Assisted Perception:

- •Contains data related to environmental perception, including road features, obstacles, road users, and vehicle detection.
- •Supports AVs in understanding their surroundings through sensor data processing and roadside devices like traffic lights.







#### 3. AV/EV Charging Network:

- •Focuses on data classes relevant to EV and AV charging infrastructure, such as charging stations, slots, and service request information.
- Facilitates energy management and operational planning for AVs.

#### 4. Transit:

•Covers data related to transit stops, parking lots, and service requests, providing operational information crucial for AV integration with public transport systems.

The V2XMessage class represents the data exchange and communication between AVs and infrastructure, leveraging standards like SAE J2735 for traffic light data and ISO 14819-2 or SAE J2540 for traffic information.










Figure 5 – General message structure







### **Data Message Format:**

- Designed to efficiently communicate data within the AV ecosystem, considering bandwidth constraints and storage limitations.
- The message structure is layered to ensure flexible and compact data transmission:

### 1. Message Structure:

- Header: Each message starts with a header containing essential information such as location and timestamp.
- AVData Frames: Following the header, a configurable number of AVData frames (N x AVData) are included, representing different AV sub-system data.

### 2. Encoding Layers:

- Data Elements: The smallest unit of information, forming the building blocks of a data frame.
- Data Frames: Collections of data elements and potentially other sub-data frames, representing more complex structures.







### 3. Flexibility and Efficiency:

- The design allows multiple data frames of the same type, such as position estimates from different sensors, to be encoded within a single message.
- This approach helps manage bandwidth and storage resources, optimizing data transmission across various communication channels.

#### 4. Contextual Information:

 Messages are tagged with essential contextual data to support various AV operations, ensuring that each message is relevant and actionable within the AV ecosystem.











### **POP Quiz at Kahoot!**





- Go to <u>www.kahoot.it</u> or download the Kahoot! App
- Key in the given Game PIN on the screen
- Answer the questions as instructed on screen







### **End of Module 4**





# THANK YOU for your kind attention!







# APPENDIX FOR TR-68-4 (DATA FRAMES)









### Data Elements in Data Frame for VulnerableRoadUser:

Item	Data element	Description	Mandatory	Element type	Unit	Precision	Values/range	Notes
1	timeStampUTC	Timestamp for this collected data in the following format YYYYMMDDHH[MM[SS[.fff]]]Z.	Yes	UTCTime		1ms		
2	sensorType	The technology used to detect and/or communicate with the VRU.	No	IA5String				
3	vruObjectType	Classification of the VRU object.	No	VRUTypeEnum				
4	detectedObjectID	ID assigned by the AV's sensor/Infrastructure sensor.	No	Integer				
5	positionOffset_m	Position offset from the vehicle/Infrastructure.	No	PositionOffset	Metre	0.01	[-1000;1000]	
6	movingVector_mps	Velocity of the object; axis need to be defined (e.g. aligned to latitude, longitude, etc.)	No	Vector3D	Metre/second	0.001	[-300;300]	
7	objectSize_m	Size of the detected object.	No	VectorSize3D	Metre	0.01	[-10;10]	
8	objectSizeAccuracy_m	Accuracy provided by the sensor.	No	VectorAccuracy3D	Percentage	0.001	[0;100]	
9	mediaID	ID used to tag a media content.	No	Integer				









### **Data Elements in Data Frame for Detected Vehicles** Collected by the Perception Module:

Item	Data element	Description	Mandatory	Floment type	Unit	Precision	Values/range	Notes
item				Element type	Ollit		values/range	Notes
1	timeStampUTC	Timestamp for this collected data in	Yes	UTCTime		1ms		
		the following format						
		YYYYMMDDHH[MM[SS[.fff]]]Z.						
2	sensorType	The sensor technology used to	No	IA5String				
		detect and/or communicate with the						
		vehicle.						
3	vehObjectType	Classification of the vehicle object	No	VehTypeEnum				
4	detectedObjectID	ID assigned by the AV's	No	Integer				
	•	sensor/infrastructure sensor.						
5	positionOffset m	Position offset from the vehicle/	No	PositionOffset	Metre	0.01	[-1000;1000]	
		infrastructure.	_					
6	movingVector_mps	Velocity of the object; axis need to	No	Vector3D	Metre/second	0.001	[-300;300]	
		be defined (e.g. aligned to latitude,					-	
		longitude, etc.)						
7	objectSize_m	Size of the detected object.	No	VectorSize3D	Metre	0.01	[-10;10]	
8	objectSizeAccuracy_m	Accuracy provided by the sensor.	No	VectorAccuracy3D	Percentage	0.001	[0;100]	
9	mediaID	ID used to tag a media content.	No	Integer				









### Data Elements in Data Frame for Autonomous VehicleInfo:

Item	Data element	Description	Mandatory	Element type	Unit	Precision	Values/range	Notes
1	timeStampUTC	Timestamp for this collected data in the following format YYYYMMDDHH[MM[SS[.fff]]] Z.	Yes	UTCTime		1ms		
2	proprietaryInfo	Is used to send non- standardized data elements from AV to infra or vice versa. This could be proprietary information related to an OEM.	No	KeyValuePairString				
3	avSoftwareName	To define software name to main AV.	No	IA5String				
4	avSoftwareVersion	To define software version to main AV.	No	IA5String				
5	vehicleID	Unique ID to identify a vehicle	Yes	IA5String				
6	vehicleClassType	For describing the vehicle class type.	No	VehClassTypeEnum				
7	vehicleFunctionalTy pe	Defines the functional use of the vehicle.	No	VehFunctionalTypeE num				
8	vehicleReferencePoi ntDeltaAboveGroun d_m	Vertical reference point of the vehicle which is defined by the OEM. Used for datum of the vehicle.	No	Real	Metre	0.001	[0;20]	
9	vehicleReferencePoi ntDeltaFromFront_m	Longitudinal reference point of the vehicle which is defined by the OEM. Used for datum of the vehicle.	No	Real	Metre	0.001	[0;20]	
10	vehicleReferencePoi ntDeltaFromLeft_m	Lateral reference point of the vehicle which is defined by the OEM. Used for datum of the vehicle.	No	Real	Metre	0.001	[0;20]	
11	vehicleLength_m	Length of the vehicle.	No	Real	Metre	0.001	[0;100]	
12	vehicleWidth_m	Width of the vehicle.	No	Real	Metre	0.001	[0;100]	

**Content adapted from TR-68-4** 









### Data Elements in Data Frame for Autonomous VehicleInfo (Cont):

Item	Data element	Description	Mandatory	Element type	Unit	Precision	Values/range	Notes
13	vehicleHeight_m	Height of the vehicle.	No	Real	Metre	0.001	[0;100]	
14	primaryFuelType	Main fuel type used for this vehicle.	No	FuelTypeEnum				
15	primaryFuelTankVol ume	Corresponding volume of the fuel tank depending on the type of fuel used. The volume indicated is the max capacity.	No	Real	Depending on fuel type; can be specified as volume (I), mass (kg), or energy (Ah)	0.01	[0;1000]	
16	primaryFuelTypeVol umeOthers	Corresponding measurement of the fuel tank if undefined fuel type is used. To specify the measurement and value using a key-value string. The volume indicated is the max capacity.	No	KeyValuePairString				
17	secondaryFuelType	Main fuel type used for this vehicle.	No	Real	Depending on fuel type, can be specified as volume (I), mass (kg), or energy (Ah).	0.01	[0;1000]	
18	secondaryFuelTank Volume	Corresponding volume of the fuel tank depending on the type of fuel used. The volume indicated is the max capacity.	No	Real	Depending on fuel type; can be specified as volume (I), mass (kg), or energy (Ah).	0.01	[0;1000]	
19	secondaryFuelTank VolumeOthers	Corresponding measurement of the fuel tank if undefined fuel type is used. To specify the measurement and value using a key-value string. The volume indicated is the max capacity.	No	KeyValuePairString				
20	vehicleCapabilityLev el	For defining the level of driving automation based on SAE defined "levels".	No	CapabilityLevelEnu m				

Content adapted from TR-68-4