Titanic Survival

Nicholas Morris

Machine Learning

Wrangling

Feature Engineering

Modeling

Text Manipulation

Extracting the title from passenger's names.
Extracting the first character from ticket and cabin. Filling in missing values with k-Nearest Neighbors.

Binary Data


Converting Pclass, Title, Sex, SibSp, Parch, Ticket, Cabin, and Embarked to binary data points.

Attempting other feature transformations.

Predictions

Training logistic regression, XGBoost, and deep learning neural network models.
Evaluating performance.
Computing feature drift to signal retraining.

Wrangling



Below is the first two passengers in
the data. There are 891 total
passengers. The target we are
predicting is Survived. [Link to the
<u>dataset]</u>

	Da	atas	set		predicting is Survived. [Link to the dataset]									
Passengerld	Survived	Pclass	Name	Sex	Age	SibSp	Parch	Ticket	Fare	Cabin	Emb			
1	0	3	Braund, Mr. Owen Harris	male	22.0	1	0	A/5 21171	7.2500	NaN	;			

Passengerld	Survived	Pclass	Name	Sex	Age	SibSp	Parch	Ticket	Fare	Cabin	Embarked
1	0	3	Braund, Mr. Owen Harris	male	22.0	1	0	A/5 21171	7.2500	NaN	S
2	1	1	Cumings, Mrs. John Bradley (Florence Briggs Th	female	38.0	1	0	PC 17599	71.2833	C85	С

Missing Values

Missing values in Cabin and Embarked were replaced with a value of None. Missing values in Age were imputed by k-Nearest Neighbors.

Title

Survived	Title
0	Mr.
1	Mrs.
1	Miss.
1	Mrs.

The title in each passenger's name was extracted.

Ticket

Survived	Ticket
0	Α
1	Р
1	S
1	1


The first character in Ticket was extracted.

Cabin

Survived	Cabin
0	N
1	С
1	N
1	С

The first character in Cabin was extracted.

Feature Engineering



Binary Data	Pclass, Title, Sex, SibSp, Parch, Ticket, Cabin, and Embarked were converted to binary variables.
J	

		a .,	,		.					
Pclas s_1	 Sex_male	SibSp _1		Parch_0		Ticket_A	 Cabin_N	 Embarke d_S	 Title_Miss.	 Surviv

Pclas s_1	 Sex_male	SibSp _1	 Parch_0	 Ticket_A	 Cabin_N	 Embarke d_S	 Title_Miss.	 Survive
0	 1	1	 1	 1	 1	 1	 0	 0

... 0 1 ... 1 ... 0 ... 0 ... 0 ... 1

... 0 0 ... 1 ... 0 ... 1 ... 1 ... 1 ... 1

 $1 \quad ... \quad 0 \qquad 1 \quad ... \qquad 1 \quad ... \qquad 0 \quad ... \qquad 0 \quad ... \qquad 1 \quad ... \qquad 0 \quad ... \qquad 1$

0

Atwood Numbers

An Atwood Number is a calculation that shows the relative change between two variables. The formula for two variables x and y is: (x - y) / (x + y)

This calculation was done on all pairs of non-binary variables; but did not improve model performance, so, it was left out of the final model.

Binning

Binning is when a non-binary variable is grouped into histogram bins, and represented as binary variables.

Binning did not improve model performance, so, it was left out of the final model.

Reciprocals

A reciprocal is when a non-binary variable x is calculated as 1/x.

Reciprocals did not improve model performance, so, it was left out of the final model.


Interactions

An interaction is when two variables x and y are calculated as x * y.


Reciprocals were fed into this calculation to generate x / y as well.

Interactions did not improve model performance, so, it was left out of the final model.

Data Exploration




Fare vs. Survived




We can see that passengers who paid a higher fair are slightly more likely to survive. Survivors paid a median fare of \$26. Deceased paid a median fare of \$10.5.

Survived vs. Cabin




We can see that passengers who didn't have a cabin (N) were much more likely not to survive. 54% of the passengers were deceased and without a cabin.

Survived vs. Embarked




We can see that passengers who embarked from port S were much more likely not to survive. 48% of the passengers were deceased and from port S.

Survived vs. Parch




We can see that passengers who had no parents or children on board (Parch = 0) were much more likely not to survive. 50% of the passengers were deceased and had no parents or children on board.

Survived vs. Pclass




We can see that passengers who had the lowest class ticket (Pclass = 3) were much more likely not to survive. 42% of the passengers were deceased and had the lowest class ticket.

Survived vs. Sex




We can see that male passengers were much more likely not to survive. 52% of the passengers were deceased and male. We can also see that female passengers were much more likely to survive. 26% of the passengers survived and were female.

Survived vs. SibSp




We can see that passengers who had no siblings or spouses on board (SibSp = 0) were much more likely not to survive. 44% of the passengers were deceased and had no siblings or spouses on board.

Survived vs. Ticket




We can see that passengers who had a ticket number starting with 3 were much more likely not to survive. 26% of the passengers were deceased and had a ticket number starting with 3.

Survived vs. Title



We can see that passengers who had a title Mr. were much more likely not to survive. 49% of the passengers were deceased and had a title Mr.

Modeling



Model Parameters

Logistic Regression

Library: scikit-learn

Penalty: L1

Number Of Alphas: 16

Cross Validation Folds: 3

Tolerance: 1e-4

Max Iterations: 100

XGBoost

Library: xgboost

Boosting Rounds: 100

Learning Rate:

0.001, 0.01, 0.1

Max Depth:

5, 7, 10, 14, 18

Min Child Weight: 1

Column Sampling: 0.8

Row Sampling: 0.8

Cross Validation Folds: 3

Neural Network

Library: Tensorflow

Epochs: 500

Learning Rate:

0.0001, 0.001, 0.01

Batch Size: 16

Layers: 10

Nodes Per Layer:

32, 64, 128, 256, 512

Solver: Adam

Cross Validation Folds: 3

Model Comparison

Logistic Regression

Accuracy: 0.86

F1: 0.86

In Control: 100%

Model Indicators:

- 1. Title_Master.
- 2. SibSp_2
- 3. SibSp_0
- 4. Age
- 5. SibSp_1

XGBoost

Accuracy: 0.82

F1: 0.81

In Control: 100%

Model Indicators:

- 1. Title_Mr.
- 2. Sex_female
- 3. Sex_male
- 4. Pclass_3
- 5. Cabin_N

Neural Network

Accuracy: 0.54


F1: 0.35

In Control: 100%

Model Indicators:


- 1. Sex female
- 2. Title_Dr.
- 3. Title_Jonkheer.
- 4. Title_Lady.
- 5. Title_Major.

Confusion Matrix




These predictions come from the logistic regression model. These predictions are done on 20% of the data that the model did not see during training. Only 13.4% (5.6% + 7.8%) of the predictions are wrong, and 86.6% of the predictions are correct. There's a slightly stronger tendency to predict survivors (1) as deceased (0) compared to predicting deceased as survived.

Predictions Over Time



A snapshot of the predictions show that most of them are on target. There's a couple where a deceased was predicted as a survivor and a survivor was predicted as deceased.


Histogram For Errors, 100.0% In Control



The errors are the fraction of 10 predictions that were wrong.

The errors are most likely to be 10%. Control limits were computed on the errors and we can see that the prediction error is completely under control.


Histogram For Accuracy



The prediction error was resampled 1000 times at a 50% sampling rate with replacement. Then Accuracy was computed on each sample to get a distribution.

Accuracy has a wide range between 0.75 and 0.95, which isn't good. Accuracy has a bell shape, which is good, and a slight skew to the left.


Histogram For F1



The prediction error was resampled as previously mentioned to get a distribution for F1. F1 is a combination of Precision and Recall. Precision tells us how well the model doesn't label the deceased as survived. Recall tells us how well the model finds all survivors.


F1 has a wide range between 0.7 and 0.95, which isn't good.

Feature Importance




We can see that the model leverages many features to make predictions.

Feature Importance




The top 10 important features are shown to the left. There isn't a large difference in importance between these features, so, they all contribute much to the model.


Feature Drift, Drift Detected If pvalue < 0.05



A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed for each column in the data to see if the distribution of the testing data is the same as the training data. If the testing data does not share the same distribution as the training data, then there is a drift, which signals for model retraining. All of the columns do not experience a drift, which is good.

Deployment





Thank You