

- a. Using a KNN with  $N=1$  on our point  $X=3$ , our model would find the nearest neighbor,  $X=2$ , and predict  $y_3$  to be equal to  $y(x=2)$ . This would result in a prediction of 2 from the KNN.
- b. Using a linear regression model, our model would see the points  $[1,1]$ , and  $[2,2]$  and learn that the appropriate weights are  $[0,1]$  for this particular dataset. It would then predict that  $y_3=0+x_3$  which will result in a prediction of 100 for  $y_3$ .
- c. The inductive bias of the KNN model is that the  $y$  value for a given data point is likely similar to the  $y$  value of the closest data point. This assumption is probably not a very good one here, as  $x_3$  is very far from  $x_2$  and  $y_2$  may not give a very good indication of  $y_3$ . The inductive bias of the linear regression model is that the  $x$  and  $y$  data follow some linear relationship between  $y$  and  $x$  ( $y=ax+b$ ). This assumption may be more appropriate for this situation, as the data we have do appear to follow a straight line (obviously new data could render this untrue).