If we denote g(x.wl) = np.errey([[1,7,2,]]), then g(g(X.wl),wa) can be written: $g(vl\cdot 1 + va\cdot z) + va\cdot za)$ Let g(x) be a linear activation for s.z., g(x) = x

α. g(u1.1 103.21 103.23) = g(g(x.w1), ω2) x.w1 = [ω,ω] + [ω3x1, ω4x1]+[ω5,ω6] x θ Χ.ω1= [ω1+ x1ω3 + x3ω5, ωθ+ x1ω4 + xου6]

(01.1+ 03.2)=9(9([v1+x1w3+x2w5, w3+x1w4+x2w6]), w2)

b. Assuming g(x) = K, we can simplify our expression from above:

Start with: g(g([v+x|w+xaw5, w+x|w+xaw6]). wa)

79([w,, w,] |+ [w3, w4] x | + [w5, w6] x 2). W2)

7 9 (VI[W, W2]. 1 + V2[W3, W4]. X1 + V3[W5, W6]. X2) Clearly we have written g(VI.1+ V3.21+ V3.22) as
g(m.1+ n.x1 + 0.x2) where m= VI[W, W2],
n= V3[W3, W4], 0= V3[W5, W6]

Since the result of this MLP using linear activation functions can be written in terms of the original input (only with modified weights), our MLP isn't able to learn anything more complicated than a single perceptron (which would do the exact same thing of assigning weights to each of the input fortunal)

Tollerly the exponential term cannot be written as a linear combination of 1, x1, and x2 (due to the sigmoid function being manlinear itself), so we cannot write its dot product with wa as allnear combination of 1, x1, and x2 either.

Since a combination of sigmoid perceptrons cannot be reduced to a single sigmoid perceptron with
altered weights, a MLP using sigmoid activation functions can learn more complicated decision
boundaries than a single sigmoid perceptron.

An activation must be nonlinear and differentiable in order to be useful in a neural network.

Nonlinearity allows the network to learn arbitrarily complicated decision boundaries, while differentiability allows backpropagation through the network to work properly by allowing the use of gradient descent to update the weights of each node.