

Theorem (Azuma's Inequality)

Proof:

Let $Z_i = X_i - X_{i-1}$. WLOG we may assume $X_0 = 0$.

Then, $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$.

By assumption (Lipschitz condition):

$$-c_i \leq Z_i \leq c_i \quad \forall i$$

Since $E(X_i | Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}) = X_{i-1}$.

$$\text{and } E(Z_i | Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}) = E(X_i | Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}) - X_{i-1} = 0$$

For $\alpha > 0$,

$$P(X_n \geq t) = P(e^{\alpha X_n} \geq e^{\alpha t})$$

$$\leq e^{-\alpha t} E\left(\prod_{i=1}^n e^{\alpha Z_i}\right)$$

Markov.

Here we used independence in

the Chernoff Bound proof now

we use the Martingale property,

$$= e^{-\alpha t} E\left(E\left(\prod_{i=1}^n e^{\alpha Z_i} \mid Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1}\right)\right)$$

Tower Property

$$= e^{-\alpha t} E\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{\alpha Z_i} E(e^{\alpha Z_n} \mid Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1})\right)$$

We use the following inequalities:

$$e^x \leq \frac{\sinh(c)}{c} x + \cosh(c) \quad \forall x \in [-c, c], c > 0$$

$$\cosh(x) \leq e^{x^2/2} \quad \forall x > 0$$

Now.

$E(Z_n | Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1}) = 0$.

$$P(X_n \geq t) \leq e^{-\alpha t} E\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{\alpha Z_i} E\left(\frac{\sinh(\alpha c_n)}{\alpha c_n} \cdot \alpha Z_n + \cosh(\alpha c_n) \mid Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1}\right)\right)$$

$$\leq e^{-\alpha t} E\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{\alpha Z_i}\right) \cdot e^{\alpha^2 c_n^2 / 2}$$

$$\leq e^{-\alpha t + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2} \quad (\text{Induction})$$

\Rightarrow

Proof (cont)

And putting $\alpha = \frac{t}{\sum_{k=1}^n C_k^2}$ above gives $P(X_n \geq t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2 \sum_{k=1}^n C_k^2}\right)$

The same argument works for $P(X_n \leq -t)$ by considering $(-X_n)_{t \geq 0}$. This gives Azuma's inequality as desired. \square

Exercise: Deduce Chernoff Bound type result using Azuma's Inequality.

Theorem: Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a ^{Super-Martingale} ~~submartingale~~ w.r.t $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ s.t.
 $|X_t - X_{t-1}| \leq C_t \quad \forall t \geq 1$.

Then,

$$P(X_n - X_0 \geq t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2 \sum_{k=1}^n C_k^2}\right)$$

(and we get the other tail with submartingale)

Proof: Same as above!

A graph function f is said to satisfy the edge Lipschitz condition with constant c if $|f(H) - f(H')| \leq c$ whenever H and H' differ by only one edge.

We say f satisfies the vertex Lipschitz condition with constant c if $|f(H) - f(H')| \leq c$ whenever $H(H)$ & $H(H')$ are identical with only one vertex.



Theorem:

If f satisfies the edge (vertex) Lipschitz condition with constant c , then the corresponding edge (vertex) exposure Martingale satisfies $|X_{t+1} - X_t| \leq c \quad \forall t$.

Proof:

$$X_{t+1} = \mathbb{E}(f \mid \mathcal{F}_{t+1})$$

Let $\vec{y}(w) = (y_1, \dots, y_t)(w)$ (w is a specific graph)

$$X_{t+1}(w) = \mathbb{E}(f \mid \mathcal{F}_{t+1}, (y_1, \dots, y_{t+1}) = (y_1(w), \dots, y_t(w), y_{t+1}(w))) = \mathbb{E}(f \mid \vec{y}(w), y_{t+1}(w))$$

$$X_t(w) = \mathbb{E}(f \mid (y_1, \dots, y_t) = (y_1(w), \dots, y_t(w))) = \mathbb{E}(f \mid \vec{y}(w))$$

$$X_{t+1}(w) - X_t(w) = \sum_{\vec{z} \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,t}^{(2)}(w) - (t+1)} f(\vec{y}(w), y_{t+1}(w), \vec{z}) P(\vec{z} \mid \mathcal{F}_t(y_1, \dots, y_t) = \vec{z})$$

$$= \sum_{\vec{z} \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,t}^{(2)}(w) - (t+1)} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{0,t}} f(\vec{y}(w), y, \vec{z}) P(\vec{z} \mid \mathcal{F}_t(y_1, \dots, y_t) = y)$$

$$= \sum_{\vec{z} \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,t}^{(2)}(w) - (t+1)} P(\vec{z}) \left(f(\vec{y}(w), y_{t+1}(w), \vec{z}) - \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{0,t}} P(y) f(\vec{y}(w), y, \vec{z}) \right)$$

$$|X_{t+1}(w) - X_t(w)| = \sum_{\vec{z} \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,t}^{(2)}(w) - (t+1)} P(\vec{z}) \left| f(\vec{y}(w), y_{t+1}(w), \vec{z}) - \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{0,t}} f(\vec{y}(w), y, \vec{z}) P(y) \right|$$

$$\leq c \quad \text{for every } w.$$

QED

Theorem (Shamir & Spencer, 1987)

$$P(|X(G_{n,p}) - \mathbb{E}(X(G_{n,p}))| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-t^2/n}.$$

$\Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists c > 0$

$$P(|X(G_{n,p}) - \mathbb{E}(X(G_{n,p}))| \leq c\sqrt{n}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

Proof:

Consider the vector space martingale w.r.t \mathcal{F} being the chromatic #. Obviously, \mathcal{F} is 1-Lipschitz since modifying a set of edges incident with only one vertex can change \mathcal{F} by ≤ 1 . So,

$$P(|X(G_{n,p}) - \mathbb{E}X(G_{n,p})| \geq t) \\ = P(|X_n - \mathbb{E}_0| \geq t) \leq e^{-t^2/n}.$$

by Azuma's Inequality. \square

Theorem (Bollobás, 1985)

$$A.a.s \quad X(G_{n,1/2}) \sim \frac{n}{2 \log n}.$$

Proof:

Note: Since we are in $G_{n,1/2}$,
cliques and independent sets are the same
since G and \bar{G} both in $G_{n,1/2}$.

We first establish a lower bound. Let $\omega(G)$ denote the size of a maximum clique (or ind. set) of G . Obviously $X(G) \geq \omega(G)$. Consider $G_{n,1/2}$.

The expected # of k -cliques in $G_{n,1/2}$ is $f(k) = \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\binom{k}{2}}$.
Let $k_0 = \min \{k \geq 2 : f(k) \leq 1\}$ (This is well defined since $f(k)$ is decreasing on $k \geq 2$).

(Exercise: $k_0 \sim \log_2 n$, $f(k_0+1) = o(1)$ and $f(k_0-1) = \omega(n^2)$).

Proof: (a.4)

However, a.c.s $\omega(C_n) \leq k+1$ (and since $\omega(C_n) = \omega(\bar{C}_n)$ and $\bar{C}_n \sim g(n, 1/2)$, we know a.c.s $\omega(G) \leq k+1$).

As $X(G) \cdot \omega(C_n) \geq n$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{a.c.s } X(g(n, 1/2)) \geq (1 + o(1)) \frac{n}{2^{k+1}}$$

Now, we establish an upper bound:

Set $k = k_0 - 1$. We know $f(k) = \omega(n^3)$ and we want to prove that a.c.s $\omega(g(n, 1/2)) \geq k$. We want a concentration on the # of k -cliques.

(Bollobás ^{size} and the following proof:)

Consider a random variable Y that denotes the maximal size of a family of edge disjoint cliques of size k . We will prove that $\mathbb{E}Y$ is large.

Key Lemma: $\mathbb{E}Y \geq (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{n^k}{2^{k+1}} \right)$ ← Will show next class.

Let Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_m be the edge exposure martingale on $g(n, 1/2)$ with $Y_0 = \mathbb{E}Y$.

It is easy to see that $|Y_m - Y_{m-1}| \leq 1$ because every edge is contained in at most 1 k -clique in the maximal family of edge disjoint k -cliques.

By Azuma's Inequality



Proof Contd)

$$\Pr(|Y_{G_1} - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\binom{n}{2}}\right)$$

Choosing $t = \frac{n^2}{2k+4}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\alpha(G_{G_1}, k) \leq k) &= \Pr(Y_{G_1} \geq t) \\ &\leq \Pr(|Y_{G_1} - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t) \\ &\leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{n^2}{2\binom{n}{2}}\right) \quad \leftarrow \text{Use Chernoff lemma}\end{aligned}$$

Set $m = \lfloor n^2 / \log^2 n \rfloor$. For every m -set $S \subseteq [n]$, $G_{G_1}[S]$ is distributed as $g(m, 1/2)$. Set $k_0 = k_0(m)$ and let $k = k_0 + 1$. Then,

$$k \sim \log_2 m \sim 2 \log_2 n$$

Same argument as above applied to $g(m, 1/2)$ shows

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\alpha(G_{G_1}[S]) \leq k) &= \exp\left(-\frac{m^2}{2\binom{m}{2}}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{n^2}{2\log^2 n}\right).\end{aligned}$$