Lsn 15

Clark

Admin

Let's reconsider the Salary Discrimination dataset

```
salary<-read.table("http://www.isi-stats.com/isi2/data/Wages.txt",header=T,stringsAsFactors = T)
salary.dat<-salary%>%mutate(wage=wage/100)
```

Instead of looking at College educated vs not college educated, we now consider the full dataset.

```
levels(salary.dat$educ)

## [1] "belowHS" "beyondCollege" "college" "HS"

gr.means=salary.dat%>%group_by(educ,race)%>%summarize(mean.salary=mean(wage))

## `summarise()` has grouped output by 'educ'. You can override using the

## `.groups` argument.

What do we see?

gr.means$educ<-factor(gr.means$educ,levels=c("belowHS","HS","college","beyondCollege"))

gr.means %>% ggplot(aes(x=educ,y=mean.salary,color=race))+
    geom_line(aes(group=race),lwd=2)+geom_point()
```

A statistical model:

Shell ANOVA table:

To fit the model we use:

```
contrasts(salary.dat$race)=contr.sum
contrasts(salary.dat$educ)=contr.sum
inter.lm<-lm(wage~race*educ,data=salary.dat)
coef(inter.lm)

## (Intercept) race1 educ1 educ2 educ3 race1:educ1
## 6.05387773 -0.59514079 -2.12189614 3.03256089 0.23755534 0.33916246
## race1:educ2 race1:educ3
## -0.02381393 -0.25316463</pre>
```

Getting the fits is a bit of a pain but we can do it:

To fit the ANOVA model we note that we are now interested in Type III Sums of squares. Why?

```
library(car)

## Loading required package: carData

## Attaching package: 'car'

## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':

## recode

## The following object is masked from 'package:purrr':

## some

Anova(inter.lm,type=3)

## Anova Table (Type III tests)

## Response: wage
```

```
Sum Sq
##
                         Df
                              F value
                                         Pr(>F)
## (Intercept) 121306
                          1 6926.7761 < 2.2e-16 ***
## race
                 1172
                          1
                              66.9427 2.924e-16 ***
## educ
                 9718
                          3
                             184.9630 < 2.2e-16 ***
## race:educ
                  164
                          3
                               3.1247
                                        0.02473 *
## Residuals
               448727 25623
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Why are there 3 df for education and the interaction?

Consider the ANOVA table without the interaction

3

51397

0

448891 25626

educ

Residuals

Signif. codes:

```
no.inter.lm<-lm(wage~race+educ,data=salary.dat)
Anova(no.inter.lm,type=3)
## Anova Table (Type III tests)
##
## Response: wage
##
               Sum Sq
                             F value
                                         Pr(>F)
                          Df
## (Intercept) 205837
                           1 11750.66 < 2.2e-16 ***
                               193.10 < 2.2e-16 ***
## race
                 3383
                           1
```

978.03 < 2.2e-16 ***

If we look at the Residuals line the Sum of Squares went from 448727 to 448891, or a difference in 164 which might make sense, but look at what happens to SS race and ss education. This suggests that the interaction term is confounded with race and education. Does this make sense?

'***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Ultimately, what we wanted to know though is that controlling for education is there a difference in wages. To get at this we can look at the pairwise comparisons. Or in otherwords, recall that the model with an interaction term is the same as the multiple means model:

This allows us to answer questions such as: For individuals with a College degree is there a difference in mean weekly wages for blacks and nonblacks.

```
pair.diff<-TukeyHSD(aov(wage~race*educ,data=salary.dat))
pair.diff$`race:educ`[20:25,]</pre>
```

```
##
                                                 diff
                                                             lwr
                                                                         upr
## nonblack:college-nonblack:beyondCollege -2.5656549 -2.8419467 -2.2893630
## black:HS-nonblack:beyondCollege
                                           -5.4570604 -5.9014109 -5.0127099
## nonblack: HS-nonblack: beyondCollege
                                           -4.1424110 -4.4102142 -3.8746079
## nonblack:college-black:college
                                           1.6966109 1.1498656 2.2433561
## black:HS-black:college
                                           -1.1947947 -1.8429000 -0.5466894
## nonblack:HS-black:college
                                            0.1198547 -0.4226503 0.6623597
                                                  p adj
## nonblack:college-nonblack:beyondCollege 0.000000e+00
## black:HS-nonblack:beyondCollege
                                           0.000000e+00
## nonblack: HS-nonblack: beyondCollege
                                           0.000000e+00
## nonblack:college-black:college
                                           7.427392e-14
## black:HS-black:college
                                           6.415845e-07
## nonblack:HS-black:college
                                           9.977491e-01
```

Note if we fit the model without an interaction term we cannot address this question directly. We see if we run the pairwise comparisons we get:

```
pair.diff2<-TukeyHSD(aov(wage~race+educ,data=salary.dat))
pair.diff2</pre>
```

```
Tukey multiple comparisons of means
##
##
       95% family-wise confidence level
##
## Fit: aov(formula = wage ~ race + educ, data = salary.dat)
##
## $race
##
                      diff
                                lwr
                                         upr p adj
## nonblack-black 1.750093 1.558534 1.941651
##
## $educ
##
                              diff
                                          lwr
                                                    upr p adj
## beyondCollege-belowHS 5.410688 5.0698957
                                              5.751480
## college-belowHS
                          2.828847
                                    2.5296934 3.128001
## HS-belowHS
                          1.289537 0.9961514 1.582923
                                                             0
## college-beyondCollege -2.581841 -2.8107596 -2.352922
                                                             0
## HS-beyondCollege
                        -4.121151 -4.3424787 -3.899823
                                                             0
## HS-college
                         -1.539310 -1.6887737 -1.389846
                                                             0
```