

Some Number Theory Results

In-class activity, Week 8

In this activity, we will consider three different topics: Euler ϕ -function, properties of the order of elements, and the Wilson's Theorem.

Euler ϕ -Function

We know that $\phi(p) = p - 1$ for a prime p by definition of the Euler ϕ -function, and $\phi(pq) = pq - p - q + 1 = (p - 1)(q - 1)$ for two different primes p, q , and $\phi(p^2) = p^2 - p$ from the pre-class activity. We will use some properties of the Euler ϕ -function to come up with a formula that gives $\phi(n)$ for any n .

First, note that we can write the result $\phi(pq) = pq - p - q + 1 = (p - 1)(q - 1)$ as $\phi(p \cdot q) = \phi(p)\phi(q)$. This property, more generally, is called the *multiplicative property*. We now prove this more general result.

Theorem 1: The Euler ϕ function is multiplicative, i.e. for n_1, n_2 with $\gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$, we have $\phi(n_1 n_2) = \phi(n_1)\phi(n_2)$.

proof: We know that $\phi(n_1 n_2)$ counts the numbers from 1 to $n_1 n_2$ which are relatively prime to $n_1 n_2$. So we can think of $\phi(n_1)\phi(n_2)$ counting the pairs of numbers where the first number is from 1 to n_1 and is relatively prime to n_1 , and where then second is from 1 to n_2 and is relatively prime to n_2 . To show that $\phi(n_1 n_2)$ is equal to $\phi(n_1)\phi(n_2)$ we will show that these two collections (the first being the collection of numbers from 1 to $n_1 n_2$ relatively prime to $n_1 n_2$ and the second being the pairs of numbers) have the same number of elements. To do so, we will establish a one-to-one pairing between the elements in these two collections.

Consider m with $1 \leq m < n_1 n_2$ and $\gcd(m, n_1 n_2) = 1$. Neither n_1 and n_2 can divide m , so there are remainders $1 \leq r_1 < n_1$ and $1 \leq r_2 < n_2$. This shows that for every m there corresponds a pair of numbers where the first number is from 1 to n_1 and is relatively prime to n_1 , and where then second is from 1 to n_2 and is relatively prime to n_2 . We should also show that for every pair of numbers there is an m which corresponds to this pair. Suppose (r_1, r_2) is a pair where the first number is from 1 to n_1 and is relatively prime to n_1 , and where then second is from 1 to n_2 and is relatively prime to n_2 . Then consider a solution x for the congruence equations

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv r_1 \pmod{n_1} \\ x &\equiv r_2 \pmod{n_2} \end{aligned}$$

A solution exists by the Chinese Remainder Theorem. If needed find $m \equiv x \pmod{n_1 n_2}$ such that $0 \leq m < n_1 n_2$. Note that $\gcd(m, n_1 n_2)$ has to be 1 since $\gcd(m, n_1) = \gcd(m, n_2) = 1$.

Now to finish the proof, we will show that the pairing is one-to-one, meaning that there is a unique m corresponding to a pair. This follows from the Chinese Remainder Theorem since $\gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$. This implies that any two solutions are congruent modulo $n_1 n_2$, both since $1 \leq m < n_1 n_2$, this implies a unique solution. \square

From Theorem 1 and the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, in order to calculate $\phi(n)$ for some n , it is enough to know how to calculate $\phi(p^k)$ where p is prime and k is a positive integer.

Proposition 1: For any prime p and positive integer k ,

$$\phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1} = p^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = p^{k-1}(p - 1).$$

proof: Among integers from 1 to p^k , those which are not relatively prime to p^k are _____ *powers of* _____, and there are _____ such integers. Hence $\phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}$. \square

Theorem 2: If $n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$ is the prime decomposition of n , then

$$\phi(n) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right).$$

proof: Using the multiplicative property, $\phi(n) = \frac{\phi(p_1^{k_1}) \phi(p_2^{k_2}) \cdots \phi(p_r^{k_r})}{1}$. Using the above

proposition, $\phi(p_i^{k_i}) = \frac{p_i^{k_i-1} (p_i - 1)}{1}$. Therefore,

$$\phi(n) = p_1^{k_1-1} (p_1 - 1) p_2^{k_2-1} (p_2 - 1) \cdots p_r^{k_r-1} (p_r - 1).$$

Factoring out all $p_i^{k_i}$'s and noting that $n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$, we find that

$$\phi(n) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right)$$

hence proving the theorem. □

1. Use Theorem 2 to find $\phi(1552500)$.

$$1552500 = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7$$

$$\phi(1552500) = 1552500 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{5}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{7}\right) = 396000$$

??

2. Determine for which n , $\phi(n)$ has exactly three 0's at the end.

$$1000 \mid \phi(n) \quad 6 \nmid \phi(n) \quad 10000 \nmid \phi(n). \quad \phi(n) = p_1^{k_1-1} (p_1 - 1) p_2^{k_2-1} (p_2 - 1) \cdots p_r^{k_r-1} (p_r - 1)$$

$$n = 2^2 \cdot 5^4 \cdot m$$

$$= 2500m$$

6 not also other conditions

$$= 2^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot k \quad 10 \nmid k$$

$$= 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 4 \cdot k$$

$$2 \mid p_i - 1 \quad \text{so} \quad 5 \nmid p_i - 1 \quad \text{for any } i$$

$$5 \nmid k$$

The Properties of the Order of Elements Modulo n

When finding the order of an element $a \pmod{n}$, it seems like we need to check all the powers a^k to see when it is equal to 1. But, by Fermat's Little Theorem, which says that $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for prime p , and Euler-Fermat Theorem, which says that $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for a general n , we know that we do not need to try too many numbers for k when determining the order of an element. We will see shortly that the order is even easier to find than checking all the powers k up to $\phi(n)$.

Theorem 3: Suppose $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ and order of a modulo n is d . Then

$$a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{n}$$

if and only if d divides $i - j$.

proof: Using the division algorithm $i - j = dq + r$ with $0 \leq r < d$. Note that $a^i = a^j a^{i-j} = a^j \cdot a^r \cdot (a^d)^q$. Using the fact that $a^d \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, we find that

$$a^i \equiv \frac{a^j a^r}{1} \pmod{n}.$$

Since $\gcd(a^i, n) = 1$ and $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{n}$, we can cancel a^i from both sides of the congruence above to get the equivalent congruence

$$1 \equiv a^r \pmod{n}.$$

But d is the order of a and $r < d$. Therefore $r = 0$ for otherwise we will have a contradiction. Since each step in the proof is reversible, we have proven the 'if and only if'. □

Corollary 1: If p is prime and d is the order of a modulo p , then d divides $p - 1$.

proof: This follows from Theorem 3 because $a^{p-1} \equiv a^0 \pmod{p}$ due to Fermat's Little Theorem. \square

Corollary 2: If d is the order of a modulo n , then d divides $\phi(n)$.

Corollary 3: If d is the order of a modulo n and $a^m \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, then $d|m$.

These last three corollaries make calculation of the order of an element easier because we only need to check divisors of $\phi(n)$ to find the order of an element modulo n . We can be strategic in checking the divisors to minimize the work in general as well. (How?) *slowly break down the powers of the order's factors*

3. Find the order of the following elements modulo the given n . Explain your work.

a. 3 modulo 46 $46 = 2 \cdot 23$, $\phi(46) = 46(1 - \frac{1}{2})(1 - \frac{1}{23}) = 22 = 2 \cdot 11$

$$3^2 \not\equiv 1, 3^{11} \equiv 1 \pmod{46} \text{ so order is } 11$$

b. 5 modulo 357 $357 = 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$, $\phi(357) = 357(1 - \frac{1}{3})(1 - \frac{1}{7})(1 - \frac{1}{17}) = 192 = 2^6 \cdot 3$

$$5^{96} \equiv 1, 5^{64} \not\equiv 1, 5^{48} \equiv 1, 5^{24} \not\equiv 1$$

so we need the 3

order is 48

Note that if a is an element of order d modulo n , then $(a^k)^d \equiv (a^d)^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for any integer k . Therefore, the order of a^k is a factor of d by Corollary 3. We now make this connection more explicit.

Proposition 2: Suppose $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ and d the order of a modulo n . Then the order of a^k modulo n is $\frac{d}{\gcd(d, k)}$.

proof: Let r be the order of a^k . By definition, r is the smallest power of a^k so that $(a^k)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (a^k)^{\frac{d}{\gcd(d, k)}} &\equiv (a^d)^{\frac{k}{\gcd(d, k)}} \pmod{n} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{n} \end{aligned}$$

because $\frac{k}{\gcd(d, k)}$ is an integer and $a^d \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Hence, by Corollary 3, the order r of a^k divides $\frac{d}{\gcd(d, k)}$.

Also note that if $a^{kr} \equiv (a^k)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, then by Corollary 3, d divides kr . Therefore, $\frac{d}{\gcd(d, k)}$ divides r . This finishes the proof. \square

4. Suppose the order of 5 modulo 94 is 46. Find the orders of: $5^2, 5^{23}, 5^3, 5^5$.
23, 2, 46, 46

$$5^{46} \equiv 1$$

Wilson's Theorem

As you discovered in the pre-class, $(n-1)! \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for a composite $n > 4$ value. To prove this, note that here are $1 < a, b < n$ for which $a \cdot b = n$. If $a \neq b$, then $n|(n-1)!$ since $ab|(n-1)!$. If $a = b$, then $a > 2$ and $n = a^2 > 2a$. This means that both $a, 2a$ appear in $(n-1)!$ and hence $n|(n-1)!$. It turns out that when n is prime, $(n-1)!$ not only does not equal 0 modulo n , but it equals a special number.

Theorem 4: (Wilson's Theorem) If p is prime, then

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

proof: We need to find what the product of all the numbers $1, 2, \dots, (p-2), (p-1)$ are modulo p . We will consider the numbers $2, 3, \dots, (p-2)$ separately from 1 and -1 .

If x is one of $2, 3, \dots, (p-2)$, then there is a unique y among $2, 3, \dots, (p-2)$ such that $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ because all nonzero $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ are invertible. Furthermore, for each such x, y pair, $y \neq x$ because $x^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for any $x \neq 1, -1 \pmod{p}$. Therefore, each x among $2, 3, \dots, (p-2)$ can be paired with a y so that their product is 1.

When we consider $(p-1)!$ modulo n , most of the numbers can be paired to give 1. The only numbers which are not paired will be 1, -1, so the whole product will be congruent to -1 modulo p , finishing the proof. □

5 a. Now suppose $p = 41$. According to Theorem 1, $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{41}$. Note that $(p-1)! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots 39 \cdot 40$ and that $40 \equiv -1 \pmod{41}$, $39 \equiv -2 \pmod{41}$. Using similar congruences and simplifying, rewrite $(p-1)!$ as a square and hence finding a solution to $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{41}$.

$$-1 \equiv 40! \equiv (20!)^2 \cdot (-1)^{20} \equiv (20!)^2 \pmod{41}$$

$$20! \equiv 9 \pmod{41}$$

$$9^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{41}$$

b. For which primes would your work for part **a** generalize to give a similar result?

all primes p where $4 \mid p-1$ or $p=2$

The others yield $x^2 \equiv 1$

Theorem 5: Let p be a prime. The congruence $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ has a solution if and only if $p = 2$ or $p = 4k + 1$ for some k .

proof: Suppose $p = 2$ or $p = 4k + 1$, and we will show that there is a solution for $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. If $p = 2$, $x = 1$ is a solution. If $p = 4k + 1$, then $x = 1 \cdot 2 \cdots \frac{p-1}{2}$ is a solution from your previous work.

In order to prove the other direction, we will prove the contrapositive, that if $p = 4k + 3$, then there is no solution for $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. To prove the contrapositive, we will use contradiction. Suppose $p = 4k + 3$ and suppose there is a solution for $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Consider $x^{p-1} = (x^2)^{2k+1}$. Since $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and $2k + 1$ is odd, $x^{p-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. But this contradicts Fermat's Little Theorem. \square

We will revisit solving $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ in the activity on Quadratic Residues.

Recall that we have seen that there are infinitely many primes of the form $4k + 3$ earlier. We now prove the counterpart to this result using Theorem 5.

Corollary 4: There exists infinitely many primes of the form $4k + 3$.

proof: Suppose there are only finitely many such primes and let N be their product. Consider now $M = 4N^2 + 1$. M cannot be prime and any prime factor p of M has to be of the form $4k + 3$. But $(2N)^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. This means that $x = 2N$ is a solution for $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, which is contradiction because $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, not 1. \square