

**Instructions: Same as before. We don't have enough questions for everyone in this pre-class, so feel free to add a comment/thought on other question if you don't get your own question.**

**I filled in all the missing questions since some of these ideas will be used in the class activity. Just a reminder that the class activity work is due Monday 12 pm.**

1. A student, Leslie, did the long division of 123755417 by 9 by hand. She found the quotient to be 1375600 with the remainder being 17. How would you convince Leslie that the answer needs further modification? (Note Let's avoid using a calculator. You can use smaller numbers in place of the given 123755417 in your explanation if that will help.)

Since there are a total of 9 digits in the number 123755417 we can rewrite the number using modular addition and multiplication as

$$\begin{aligned}
 [123755417] &= [(1 \cdot 10^8) + (2 \cdot 10^7) + (3 \cdot 10^6) + (7 \cdot 10^5) + (5 \cdot 10^4) + (5 \cdot 10^3) + (4 \cdot 10^2) + (1 \cdot 10^1) + (7 \cdot 10^0)] \\
 &= [(1] \odot [1]) \oplus ([2] \odot [1]) \oplus ([3] \odot [1]) \oplus ([7] \odot [1]) \oplus ([5] \odot [1]) \oplus ([5] \odot [1]) \oplus ([4] \odot [1]) \oplus ([1] \odot [1]) \oplus ([7] \odot [1]) \\
 &= [1] \oplus [2] \oplus [3] \oplus [7] \oplus [5] \oplus [5] \oplus [4] \oplus [1] \oplus [7] \\
 &= [1 + 2 + 3 + 7 + 5 + 5 + 4 + 1 + 7].
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This means that both the number 123755417 and the sum of its 9 digits, 35, in equation (1)  $\equiv 8 \pmod{9}$ .

A simpler explanation which points out the problem without fixing it is that 17 is greater than 9 so 17 cannot be the remainder after division by 9. -Nick

Thanks, Nick. I was in such a hurry to employ a modular approach, I walked right by it! -Cy

But also, sometimes a longer approach could be something useful in another problem.

$$\gcd(660, 270) = 30$$

$$660 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$$

$$270 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$$

The intersection of these lists is  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 30$

Hehe... I have a meme for this (coming up in Week 3)! :) But could this process be shortened in some other way?

**Euclids algorithm!**

I was thinking more about: 10 is already a common factor clearly, so we can focus on finding the common factor of 66 and 27. Basically: Theorem 6 ii) in the class activity.

$$\gcd(1234 \cdot 3, 1234 \cdot 5) = 1234$$

1234 is a factor of both while 3 and 5 share no factors so they contribute no common divisors.

$$\gcd(30, p) = 1 \text{ for prime } p > 100$$

Any prime  $p$  will have no positive divisors other than itself and 1. So no positive divisors other than 1 will be shared with 30.

**-Nick**

**Since 3 and 19 both divide the number, and they are relatively prime,  $3 \cdot 19$  should also divide the number and is a larger factor. So 19 cannot be the gcd.**

**The gcd is 2. Because both numbers differ by 2, anything that divides both should also divide their difference, 2. So gcd is 1 or 2. Since both numbers are even, 2 divides both, which makes it the gcd.**

If  $\gcd(a, b) = 15$ , then  $\gcd(a/15, b/15) = 1$ . We know this because since 15 is the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , when  $a$  and  $b$  are divided by 15 they have no common divisors left except for one.

**660, 270:** They both have 10 as a common factor, so let's put that aside.  $660=66*10$ , and  $270=27*10$ . They also have 3 as common,  $66=3*22$ ,  $27=3*9$ . So  $\text{lcm} = 3*10*9*22$ .

**12345\*3, 12345\*5:** Since both have 12345 as a factor, we need to have that in the lcm. But we also need 3 and 5. So  $\text{lcm}=12345*3*5$ .

**30, p:** There are no common factors in 30 and p, so  $\text{lcm} = 30*p$ .