An evaluation of how class breaks impact on student medium and longer-term retention of language items covered in class

Aaron Dods and Jason Pratt

FACT Most classes at our university and many others are 90 minutes.

Over 50% of students we surveyed said they cannot concentrate for even one hour.

FACT Nonetheless, many universities are moving to 100 or 110-minute classes.

Researchers have said that <u>breaks</u> can <u>renew vigilance</u>.

Mackworth calls for 5-minute breaks, Krulewitz for 20-minutes*

Griffey suggests that breaks should involve physical movement, rehydration, and resting of the eyes**

Would a 5-minute break in the middle of class, aimed at renewing vigilance, affect retention of language items learned in class?

Method: Two parallel studies considered groups of roughly 30-member coed freshman English language courses over a semester.

Study A

A unique test each class. Breaks were provided in alternating classes. Midterm and final class tests contained previous test in a randomized order.

Study B

The same quiz was presented for 2 consecutive weeks, with a break provided only in one of the classes. This process was done 7 times.

^{*} Davies, D., & Parasuraman, R. (1982). The Psychology of Vigilance. London, England: Academic Press.

^{**}Griffey, H. (2010). The Art of Concentration. London, England: Rodale.

Results

Study A: 2 of 5 classes on average scored higher on tests in classes where no break was administered. However, 4 of 5 received higher marks in review tests for items taken from classes with breaks than those taken from classes without. Study B: 3 times students scored better when a break was given, 3 times students scored better when there was no break, and once the scores were the same.

	Weekly test resul	ts (up to 5 pts)	Review te	st results	Review test decrement		
Class day and time	Break	No Break	Break	No Break	Break	No Break	
Tuesday 1 st	4.2502	4.44318	3.801846192	3.707070707	0.448353808	0.736109293	
Tuesday 3 rd	4.50612	4.2113	3.621517028	3.641827485	0.884602972	0.569472515	
Tuesday 4 th	4.032941	4.206349	3.259117647	3.176126591	0.773823353	1.030222409	
Thurs. 4 th	4.188364	4.39899	3.559565217	3.503129117	0.629798783	0.895860883	
Friday 2 nd	4.434338	4.112392	3.689618382	3.317866588	0.744719618	0.794525412	

Figure 1: Study A results

			RAW STUDENT SCORES (out of 6)					
ıme, Quiz no., break [B], without break [NB], no. of s	[B], withou	([B], without	[B], without	[B], without	[B], withou	[B], withou	[B], without	6
G1 Q1 [NB] (22)	3.14		2	6	3	5	3	2
G1 Q1 [B] (18)	2.57	→	0	4	4	6	4	0
G1 Q2 [NB] (18)	2.57		0	2	3	4	8	1
G1 Q2 [B] (17)	2.43	₩	1	2	6	5	3	0
G1 Q3 [NB] (21)	3.00		0	1	4	4	7	5
G1 Q3 [B] (15)	2.14	₩	0	0	4	6	2	3
N1 Q1 [NB] (18)	2.57		2	4	5	5	0	2
N1 Q1 [B] (26)	3.71	1	3	6	2	1	5	0
N1 Q2 [NB] (24)	3.43		0	6	5	6	2	3
N1 Q2 [B] (20)	2.86	₩	2	4	3	1	4	3
N1 Q1 [NB] (23)	3.29		5	3	1	6	5	3
N1 Q2 [B] (23)	3.29	-	3	1	4	3	7	4
N4 Q1 [NB] (22)	3.14		3	4	5	4	3	2
N4 Q1 [B] (23)	3.29	1	5	4	3	5	3	1
N4 Q2 [NB] (20)	2.86		5	4	5	3	1	0
N4 Q2 [B] (26)	3.71	1	2	2	5	6	8	3
N4 Q3 [NB] (22)	3.14		0	5	1	6	5	3
N4 Q3 [B] (23)	3.29	1	0	4	3	1	10	3

Figure 2: Study B results

Conclusion

There is no clear evidence that breaks in the middle of a 90-minute university lesson enhance short-term memory of language items. Long-term vocabulary retention, on the other hand, shows more compelling results. Nevertheless, a number of limitations were identified and a more controlled study is merited.