

ME 640 - Autonomous Mobile Robotics

Final Exam

April 10, 2018

Question 1

a) States


$$x_t = \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ y_t \\ \theta_t \\ \vdots \\ m_x \\ m_y \end{bmatrix} = x_{1,t}$$

Inputs

$$u_t = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,t} \\ u_{2,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

Motion Model

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{1,t} \\ x_{2,t} \\ x_{3,t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,t-1} + u_{1,t} \cos(x_{3,t-1}) dt \\ x_{2,t-1} + u_{1,t} \sin(x_{3,t-1}) dt \\ x_{3,t-1} + u_{2,t} dt \end{bmatrix} + e_t$$




Controller Design: Set v to a constant

Using a carrot controller + PD control

$$e = \theta - \theta_c$$

$$= \theta - \text{atan} \left[\frac{y_c - y}{x_c - x} \right]$$

$$\therefore \text{Let } w = K_p e + K_d (e - e_{last}) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$



Question 1-Continued]

- a) The robot motion will be updated with the motor model on the last page by inputting the velocity ($v = u_{x,t}$) and rotation rate ($\omega = u_{z,e}$) inputs.

For simplicity, the velocity input will be constant throughout. This is realistic for many retail robots such as floor cleaners as it makes sense for the user to be able to assign a constant velocity that they are comfortable with based on their end application.

The rotation rate will be inputted by the controller shown in eq. ① to ensure the robot follows the given path.

Assuming the robot has some sort of a contact or range sensor that tells it after it has hit a dynamic obstacle, the robot will spin clockwise by 90° and continue on by giving it a fixed rotation rate and time period.

For static obstacles, avoidance will be incorporated in the planning process. The map features can be dialated to account for the size of the robot plus a buffer for any deviations from the path by the robot controller.

The path will be tracked by searching for the closest path point given the ext pose estimate and the path vertices. (similar to assignment 2)


Question 1 - Continued

b) Measurement Model

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{1,t}^i \\ y_{2,t}^i \end{bmatrix} = h^i(x_t) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{x_{1,t}^2 + x_{2,t}^2} \\ \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{m_y^i - x_{2,t}}{m_x^i - x_{1,t}} \right] - x_{3,t} \end{bmatrix} + \delta_t$$

Initialization of the map features will be done by waiting until we have two measurements of the same feature, then triangulating to find the initial position

i.e.:



In reality, this triangulation will only work well with a large baseline, i.e. the robot needs to move a lot between each measurement. The best solution would be to only minimize once the covariance has dropped below an acceptable threshold.


$$m_x^i = x_i^r + \frac{\sin \phi}{\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} \sqrt{(x_2^r - x_1^r)^2 + (y_2^r - y_1^r)^2} \cos \theta_1$$

$$m_y^i = y_i^r + \frac{\sin \phi}{\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} \sqrt{(x_2^r - x_1^r)^2 + (y_2^r - y_1^r)^2} \sin \theta_1$$

$$\phi = 180 + \theta_2 - \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{y_2^r - y_1^r}{x_2^r - x_1^r} \right]$$

Question 1 (continued)

b)



$$\phi_2 = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1} \right], \quad \phi_1 = \phi_2 - \phi_1, \quad \phi_3 = 90 - \phi_2$$

$$\frac{d_1}{\sin \phi_4} = \frac{d_3}{\sin \phi_5}$$

$$\therefore d_1 = \frac{\sin \phi_4}{\sin \phi_5} \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2}$$

$$m_x = x_1 + d_1 \cos \phi_1$$

$$m_y = y_1 + d_1 \sin \phi_1$$

$$\phi_4 = (90 - \phi_1) + 90 + \phi_2 = 90 - (\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1} \right) - \phi_1) + 90 + \phi_2 = 180 + \phi_1 + \phi_2 - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_4 &= 90 + \phi_3 + \phi_2 \\ &= 90 + 90 - \phi_2 + \phi_2 \\ \phi_4 &= 180 - \tan^{-1} [\dots] + \phi_2 \\ \phi_5 &= 180 - \phi_4 - \phi_1 \\ &= \tan^{-1} [\dots] - \phi_2 - (\phi_2 - \phi_1) \\ &= -\phi_2 + \phi_1 \end{aligned}$$

Question 1 - Continued

b) Linearization

$$G_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -u_{1,t} \sin x_{3,t-1} dt \\ 0 & 1 & u_{1,t} \sin x_{3,t-1} dt \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx_1} [x_1^2 + x_2^2]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2x_1}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}} - \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx_2} [x_1^2 + x_2^2]^{1/2} = \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx_1} \left[\tan^{-1} \left[\frac{m_y - x_2}{m_x - x_1} \right] - x_3 \right] = \frac{dy}{r^2}$$

$$H_t^i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_{1,t}}{\sqrt{x_{1,t}^2 + x_{2,t}^2}} & \frac{x_{2,t}}{\sqrt{x_{1,t}^2 + x_{2,t}^2}} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{dx_t^i}{r^2} & -\frac{dx_t^i}{r^2} & -1 & \dots & -\frac{dy_t^i}{r^2} & \frac{dx_t^i}{r^2} & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } r_t^i = \sqrt{(dx_t^i)^2 + (dy_t^i)^2}$$

$$dx_t^i = m_x^i - x_{1,t}$$

$$dy_t^i = m_y^i - x_{2,t}$$

[Question 1 - Continued]

c) EKF

The EKF has 3 steps:

1. Motion Update (Prediction Step)

$$\bar{\mu}_t = g(\mu_{t-1}, u_t)$$

$$\bar{\Sigma}_t = G_t \Sigma_{t-1} G_t^T + R_t$$

where G_t was defined before

2. Measurement Update I (beacon)

$$h = \sqrt{x_{1,t}^2 + x_{2,t}^2} + s_t$$

$$H_t = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_{1,t}}{\sqrt{x_{1,t}^2 + x_{2,t}^2}} & \frac{x_{2,t}}{\sqrt{x_{1,t}^2 + x_{2,t}^2}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t H_t^T (H_t \bar{\Sigma}_t H_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$$

$$\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (y_t - h(\bar{\mu}_t))$$

$$\Sigma_t = (I - K_t H_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t$$

3. Measurement Update for ad features in view

$$h^i = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{m_y^i - x_{2,t}}{m_x^i - x_{1,t}} \right] - x_{3,t} + s_t$$

$$H_t^i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dy_t}{r^{i2}} & -\frac{dx_t}{r^{i2}} & -1 & \dots & -\frac{dy_t}{r^2} \frac{dx_t}{r^2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K_t^i = \bar{\Sigma}_t (H_t^i)^T (H_t^i \bar{\Sigma}_t (H_t^i)^T + Q_t)^{-1}$$

$$\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t^i (y_t - h(\bar{\mu}_t))$$

$$\Sigma_t = (I - K_t H_t^i) \bar{\Sigma}_t$$

Question 1 - Continued

d) No time to implement.

If there were correspondence errors, I would implement RANGAC as an outlier rejection method.

A feature measurement would be used for SLAM if the estimated pose from that measurement corresponds with the other measurements at that timestep. Good practice for SLAM would be to select a given number of strongest measurements. The "strength" measure would be how well that measurement corresponds with a sample of measurements.

Nicholas Charron
204400122

Question 2 - Bicycle State Estimation

$$\text{a) } f = 2 \text{ Hz}, dt = 0.5 \text{ s}, T_L = 100 \text{ s}$$

$$L = 1 \text{ m}$$

$$v = 8 \text{ m/s}$$


$$S_t = 0.15 \sin\left(\frac{t}{10}\right) + 0.05 \cos\left(\frac{t}{12}\right) + \frac{t}{16}$$

Plot every 10 steps
(every 5 seconds)

Motion Model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ y_t \\ \theta_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,t-1} \\ x_{2,t-1} \\ x_{3,t-1} \end{bmatrix} + u_{1,t} \begin{bmatrix} \cos x_{3,t-1} \\ \sin x_{3,t-1} \\ \tan(x_{2,t-1}) \end{bmatrix} dt + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_x^t \\ \epsilon_y^t \\ \epsilon_\theta^t \end{bmatrix} \text{ for now}$$

See Figure 2a-1



Question 2 - Continued

b)

$$X_t = \begin{bmatrix} X_{1,t} \\ X_{2,t} \\ X_{3,t} \\ X_{4,t} \\ X_{5,t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ y_t \\ \theta_t \\ v_t \\ s_t \end{bmatrix}$$

$u = []$: no inputs

Motion model

$$X_t = X_{3,t-1} + \begin{bmatrix} X_{4,t-1} \cos(X_{3,t-1})dt \\ X_{4,t-1} \sin(X_{3,t-1})dt \\ X_{4,t-1} \tan(X_{3,t-1})dt/L \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{nt} \sim N(0, \Sigma_n)$

$$\Sigma_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0.001 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.001 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.0005 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.001 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0004 \end{bmatrix}$$

Constraints: $-25^\circ \leq X_{5,t} \leq 25^\circ$

Question 2 - Continued

c) GPS Measurement Model

$y_1 = x = x_1$
 $y_2 = y = x_2$
 $y_3 = v_x = \dot{x}_1$
 $y_4 = v_y = \dot{x}_2$

listed of other state to our model, let's convert \dot{x}_1 & \dot{x}_2 to a heading measurement, θ , and velocity measurement, v .

$$y_{4,t} = v_b = \sqrt{v_{x,t}^2 + v_{y,t}^2} = \sqrt{y_{3,t}^2 + y_{4,t}^2}$$

$$y_{3,t} = \theta_t = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{v_{y,t}}{v_{x,t}} \right] = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{y_{4,t}}{y_{3,t}} \right]$$

$$x_4^2 = y_3^2 + y_4^2 - 0, \quad y_3 \tan \theta_3 = y_4, -0 \quad (\text{dropping } t \text{ subscript})$$

② into ① : $x_4^2 = y_3^2 + y_3^2 \tan^2 \theta_3 \therefore y_3 = \frac{y_4}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \theta_3}}$

$$y_{1,t} = y_{2,t} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{1,t} \\ y_{2,t} \\ y_{3,t} \\ y_{4,t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{1,t} \\ y_{2,t} \\ 1 + \tan^2(\theta_{3,t})^{-1/2} \\ \tan(\theta_{3,t}) \cdot [1 + \tan^2(\theta_{3,t})]^{-1/2} \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon_g$$

Steering Measurement Model

$$y_{5,t} = x_{5,t} + \varepsilon_{s,t}, \quad \text{where } x_{5,t} \sim N(0, \Sigma_s)$$

$$\Sigma_s = 4 \deg^2 \times \frac{\left(\frac{\pi}{180}\right)^2 \text{rad}^2}{1 \text{ deg}^2}$$

$$= 0.0012 \text{ rad}^2$$

c) Measurement Model for GPS & Screening

$$Y_1 = x$$

$$Y_2 = y$$

$$Y_3 = z$$

$$Y_4 = \dot{x}$$

$$Y_5 = \dot{y}$$

$$Y_6 = X_{1,t}$$

$$Y_7 = X_{2,t}$$

$$Y_8 = X_{4,t} \cos(X_{3,t}) + \epsilon_t$$

$$Y_9 = X_{4,t} \sin(X_{3,t})$$

$$Y_{10} = X_{5,t}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{1,t} \\ X_{2,t} \\ \vdots \\ X_{4,t} \cos(X_{3,t}) + \epsilon_t \\ X_{4,t} \sin(X_{3,t}) \\ X_{5,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \Sigma_t)$, $\Sigma_t = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (1\pi/6)^2 \end{bmatrix}$

Question 2 - Continued

d) $f = 1 \text{ Hz}$: freq. of measurements
 $T = 15$: time between each measurement
 $C = 2.1\text{m}$: circumference of wheel

$$P_{\text{miss}} = 1/100 = 0.01$$

Let n = number of pulses outputted by microcontroller in $1T = 15$

Assume NO slip.

$$v = \frac{\text{distance}}{\text{time}} = \frac{n \cdot C}{T} = 2.1n \quad , \quad n = \frac{v}{2.1} = \frac{1}{2.1} \cdot X_4$$

Measurement Model

$$Y_{w,t} = n_t = \frac{1}{2.1} X_{4,t} - \epsilon_w$$

$$\text{or } Y_{w,t} = \frac{T}{C} X_{4,t} - \epsilon_w$$

where ϵ_w is drawn from a poisson distribution

$$\epsilon_w \sim P(\mu)$$

μ : mean number of events per time period, T

$$\mu = 0.01 \frac{\text{miss}}{\text{rev}} \cdot \frac{k \text{ rev}}{\text{s}} \times 15, \quad k = \frac{v T}{C}$$

$$\therefore \mu = \frac{0.01}{2.1} v$$

\rightarrow Come back!

Question 2- Continued

e) See Fig 2e-1 to 2e-3

I selected 100 particles which turned out to be an appropriate number to get decent accuracy without particle degradation and still running at a good rate.

Particle degradation was not an issue for me, but if it were, I would increase my noise in the propagation step.

Question 3 - Drone racing

a) States:

$$X_t = \begin{bmatrix} X_{1,t} \\ X_{2,t} \\ X_{3,t} \\ X_{4,t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \\ y \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix}$$



Inputs:

$$u_t = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,t} \\ u_{2,t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_x \\ a_y \end{bmatrix}$$

$m = 1 \text{ kg}$, $T_{\max} = 2mg \Leftarrow$ Assuming this means $\angle x$ mass x acc. of grav.

$$T_{\max} = 2 \times 1 \times 9.81 = 19.6 \text{ N}$$

FBD



$$\sum F_x = -m\alpha + T_{\max} \sin \theta_{\max} = 0$$

$$\therefore \alpha_{\max} = \frac{T_{\max} \sin \theta_{\max}}{m}$$

$$\sum F_y = 0 = -mg + T_{\max} \cos \theta_{\max}$$

$$\therefore \theta_{\max} = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{mg}{T_{\max}}\right) = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 60^\circ$$

$$\alpha_{\max} = \frac{2 \times 9.81 \sin 60}{17 \times 9.81^2} = 17 \text{ m/s}^2$$

The max bank angle is 60° , and the max lateral acceleration is 17 m/s^2 .

[Question 3 - Continued]

a) Motion Model

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{1,t} \\ X_{2,t} \\ X_{3,t} \\ X_{4,t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & dt & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & dt \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{1,t-1} \\ X_{2,t-1} \\ X_{3,t-1} \\ X_{4,t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,t} \\ u_{2,t} \\ u_{3,t} \\ u_{4,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$-17m/s^2 \leq u_{1,t} \leq 17m/s^2$$

$$-17m/s^2 \leq u_{2,t} \leq 17m/s^2$$

Maximum Velocity


We know that there is a maximum velocity that the UAV can travel based on the drag forces, but since we have no information on the UAV size/shapes, assume a maximum velocity, v_{max}

To simplify, assume that we can accelerate at a_{max} in any direction until reaching v_{max} at which case our velocity peaks (despite the acceleration inputs)

$$\text{let } r_{max} = 10m/s$$

the max turn radius we can make is:

$$r_{max} = \frac{v^2}{a_{max}}$$



Question 3 - Continued

- * Note: Tried with PRM and kept getting stuck inside 'W'.
- b) The proposed motion planner will have 2 steps:

1) Generate optimal path without considering motion constraints

2) Use trajectory planner with a given set of desired inputs and selecting pre inputs that result in minimizing the distance to the path & distance to the goal


Step 1 has been completed using the movement algorithm with a dianted version of the maps to add distance from obstacles. See Fig. 36/

Step 2, we will use the motion model, Vmax & max constraints. Since this is fast racing domain, we want max speed, therefore the following will be the inputs.

$$[u_1, u_2] = \begin{bmatrix} -17 & 0 & 17 & 0 & -8.5 & +8.5 \\ 0 & -17 & 0 & 17 & 0.5 & -8.5 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

* Assume the max accelerations we can give in both directions at a time are $\text{array}/2 = 8.5$ m/s²

Let Cost, $C = K_c e_c + K_g e_g$



$$e_g = \sqrt{(x_g - x_1)^2 + (y_g - y_1)^2}$$

$e_c = \sqrt{(x_1 - x)^2 + (y_1 - y)^2}$

→ Probably a good LQR Problem?

Question 3 - Continued

c) See Fig. 3c-1 to Fig. 3c-4

Fig 3c-1 shows the path from the wavefront algorithm. In Fig. 3c-2 I tried to implement the trajectory collout but had some bugs that I couldn't fix in time. (Maybe in the autumn mode). As you can see from Fig. 3c-3 & Fig. 3c-4, the velocity & acceleration constraints were met.

d) My planner was designed with an optimal route in mind. The wavefront path is an optimal path and the trajectory collout was designed to stay as close as possible to the path by minimizing a cost function.

e) Implemented in C