

CLP 3 Multivariable Calculus Problem Solutions

Mufaro Machaya

May 9, 2025

Contents

1	Vectors and Geometry in Three Dimensions	2
1.1	Points	2
1.1.1	Stage 1	2
1.1.2	Stage 2	4
1.1.3	Stage 3	6
1.2	Vectors	7
1.2.1	Stage 1	7
1.2.2	Stage 2	17

Chapter 1

Vectors and Geometry in Three Dimensions

1.1 Points

1.1.1 Stage 1

1. Describe the set of all points (x, y, z) in \mathbb{R}^3 that satisfy

(a) $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 2x - 4y + 4$

Can be rearranged algebraically into $(x - 1)^2 + (y + 2)^2 + (z - 0)^2 = 1$, making a sphere located at $(1, -2, 0)$ with radius 1.

(b) $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 < 2x - 4y + 4$

See above. All valid points are within the sphere.

2. Describe and sketch the set of all points (x, y) in \mathbb{R}^2 that satisfy

(a) $x = y$

This is just a line of $y = x$.

(b) $x + y = 1$

This is a line $y = -x + 1$.

(c) $x^2 + y^2 = 4$

This is a circle centered at $(0, 0)$ with radius 2.

(d) $x^2 + y^2 = 2y$

This can be rearranged algebraically to $(x - 0)^2 + (y - 1)^2 = 1$, which is a circle with radius 1 centered at $(0, 1)$.

$$(e) x^2 + y^2 < 2y$$

See above. The correct points are those within the circle.

3. Describe the set of all points (x, y, z) in \mathbb{R}^3 that satisfy the following conditions. Sketch the part of the set that is in the first octant.

$$(a) z = x$$

This forms a “slanted” plane perfectly between the x and z planes.

$$(b) x + y + z = 1$$

First, we can know what kind of a geometric object to expect from the equation form. Squared variables will form objects that have a depth, but unsquared variables will form lines or planes. We can then understand what is occurring here by taking cross-sections. We can take the 1-dimensional cross section for $x = 0$, $y = 0$, and $z = 0$ respectfully, and each will form some inverse linear graph of form $z(x) = 1 - x$, $z(y) = 1 - y$, and $y(x) = 1 - x$ respectfully. Plotting these together, the solution form is a slanted plane formed across all of these with a triangular shape.

$$(c) x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 4$$

This forms a sphere centered at the origin with radius 2.

$$(d) x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 4, z = 1$$

The solution set is the intersection between a sphere centered at the origin with radius 2 and the $z = 1$ plane, producing the $z = 1$ cross-section of the sphere: a circle with radius $\sqrt{3}$ centred at the origin of the $z = 1$ plane.

The two individual shapes can be calculated independently, but determining the solution results from the intersection of the two individual shapes. The radii of this solution circle can be determined by calculating the radii by solving for the resulting circle from $z = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + y^2 + (1)^2 &= 4 \\ x^2 + y^2 &= 3, \end{aligned}$$

meaning that the radius is $\sqrt{3}$.

$$(e) x^2 + y^2 = 4$$

This produces a circle of radius 2 centred at the origin.

$$(f) z = x^2 + y^2$$

A good strategy for tackling this kind of problem is viewing the cross-sections in \mathbb{R}^2 . Both vertical cross-sections are parabolic and the overhead cross sections are circular with the center on the origin, so this produces a paraboloid.

4. Let A be the point $(2, 1, 3)$

(a) Find the distance from A to the xy -plane

This is just the z coordinate: 3 units.

(b) Find the distance from A to the xz -plane

This is just the y coordinate: 1 unit.

(c) Find the distance from A to the point $(x, 0, 0)$ on the x -axis

We can just use the \mathbb{R}^3 distance equation

$$d(A, B) = \sqrt{(A_x - B_x)^2 + (A_y - B_y)^2 + (A_z - B_z)^2}$$

for the coordinates $A = (2, 1, 3)$ and $B = (x, 0, 0)$, which becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= \sqrt{(2 - x)^2 + (1 - 0)^2 + (3 - 0)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{(2 - x)^2 + 10}. \end{aligned}$$

(d) Find the point on the x -axis that is closest to A .

The closest point on the x -axis should, naturally, have the same x -value as A , so it would be $(2, 0, 0)$.

(e) What is the distance from A to the x -axis?

This is equivalent to the distance between A and the closest point on the x -axis, which is equivalent to $d(2)$. This negates out the first term, making the final answer $\sqrt{10}$.

1.1.2 Stage 2

5. Consider any triangle. Pick a coordinate system so that one vertex is at the origin and a second vertex is on the positive x -axis. Call the coordinates of the second vertex $(a, 0)$ and those of the third vertex (b, c) . Find the circumscribing circle (the circle that goes through all three vertices).

First, let's describe the variables we're looking for by defining the circle itself. We know that this circle will follow the circle equation, letting (x_0, y_0) be the centerpoint of the circle and r be the radius of the circle

$$(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 = r^2,$$

and for any point to lie on the circle, this equation holds true. Therefore, for all three points $(a, 0)$, (b, c) , and $(0, 0)$, this circle equation must be true, so we can plug in these values for x and y to produce the following series of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^2 + y_0^2 &= r^2 \\ (b - x_0)^2 + (c - y_0)^2 &= r^2 \\ (a - x_0)^2 + y_0^2 &= r^2. \end{aligned}$$

This system can be solved in order to produce the values for our unknowns $(x_0, y_0, \text{ and } r)$ in terms of our known variables $(a, b, \text{ and } c)$. We can begin by substituting for r^2 to produce the equality

$$(a - x_0)^2 + y_0^2 = x_0^2 + y_0^2,$$

and this equality allows for y_0^2 to be eliminated and can then be solved algebraically to yield

$$x_0 = \frac{a}{2}.$$

From there, we can then solve for y_0 by substituting for r^2 again using the second equation to produce the equality

$$(b - a^2/2) + (c - y_0)^2 = (a/2)^2 + y_0^2,$$

and this can also be solved algebraically to produce

$$b^2 + c^2 - ab = 2cy_0,$$

yielding

$$y_0 = \frac{b^2 + c^2 - ab}{2c}.$$

Lastly, we can determine r by rewriting the first equality as

$$r = \sqrt{x_0^2 + y_0^2},$$

yielding

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}a^2 + \left(\frac{b^2 + c^2 - ab}{2c}\right)^2}$$

6. A certain surface consists of all points $P = (x, y, z)$ such that the distance from P to the point $(0, 0, 1)$ is equal to the distance from P to the plane $z+1 = 0$.

Find an equation for the surface, sketch and describe it verbally.

Let $A = (0, 0, 1)$. We need to find all $p \in P$ that satisfies

$$d(p, A) = d(p, Q)$$

where Q is the point closest to p in $\{z = -1\}$, which by definition, should be $Q = (p_x, p_y, -1)$. Using the distance formula squared, this equality becomes

$$\begin{aligned}(p_x - 0)^2 + (p_y - 0)^2 + (p_z - 1)^2 &= (p_x - p_x)^2 + (p_y - p_y)^2 + (p_z + 1)^2 \\ p_x^2 + p_y^2 &= (p_z + 1)^2 - (p_z - 1)^2 \\ p_x^2 + p_y^2 &= 4p_z,\end{aligned}$$

and this surface is an infinite paraboloid centred at $(0, 0, 1)$ (with a quadratic cross-section of $z = x^2/4$ on xz , $z = y^2/4$ on yz , and a circular cross-section at all $z \geq 0$).

7. Show that the set of all points P that are twice as far from $(3, -2, 3)$ as from $(\frac{3}{2}, 1, 0)$ is a sphere. Find its centre and radius.

Let $A = (3, -2, 3)$ and $B = (\frac{3}{2}, 1, 0)$. We need to find all $p \in P$ such that

$$d(p, A) = 2d(p, B).$$

Using the distance formula squared, this becomes

$$(p_x - 3)^2 + (p_y + 2)^2 + (p_z - 3)^2 = 4(p_x - 3/2)^2 + 4(p_y - 1)^2 + 4p_z^2,$$

which simplifies down to

$$0 = p_x^2 - 2p_x + p_y^2 - 4p_y + p_z^2 + 2p_z - 3,$$

and after completing the squares, we find that all $p \in S$ must satisfy the sphere equation

$$(x - 1)^2 + (y - 2)^2 + (z + 1)^2 = 3^2,$$

forming a sphere with radius 3 centred at $(1, 2, -1)$.

1.1.3 Stage 3

8. The pressure $p(x, y)$ at the point (x, y) is at least zero and is determined by the equation $x^2 - 2px + y^2 = 3p^2$. Sketch several isobars. An isobar is a curve with equation $p(x, y) = c$ for some constant $c \geq 0$.

We can complete the square to produce

$$(x - p)^2 - p^2 + y^2 = 3p^2,$$

which forms the overall equation

$$(x - p)^2 + y^2 = (2p)^2.$$

This means that all isobars $p(x, y) = c$ produce circles centred at $(c, 0)$ with radius $2c$. For example, let the set of isobars at $c = 3$ be $S(3)$. All isobars $I \in S(3)$ satisfy the circle equation

$$(x - 3)^2 + y^2 = 6^2.$$

1.2 Vectors

1.2.1 Stage 1

9. Let $\vec{a} = \langle 2, 0 \rangle$ and $\vec{b} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$. Evaluate and sketch $\vec{a} + \vec{b}$, $\vec{a} + 2\vec{b}$, and $2\vec{a} - \vec{b}$.

Diagrams not included.

1. $\vec{a} + \vec{b} = \langle 2 + 1, 0 + 1 \rangle = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$
2. $\vec{a} + 2\vec{b} = \langle 2 + 2(1), 0 + 2(1) \rangle = \langle 4, 2 \rangle$
3. $2\vec{a} - \vec{b} = \langle 2(2) - 1, 2(0) - 1 \rangle = \langle 3, -1 \rangle$

10. Determine whether or not the given points are collinear (that is, lie on a common straight line):

For this kind of problem, we know that if the three points are collinear, they must all satisfy the same \mathbb{R}^3 parallel-vector line equation, which can be defined as

$$\langle x_1 - x_0, y_1 - y_0, z_1 - z_0 \rangle = t \langle x_2 - x_0, y_2 - y_0, z_2 - z_0 \rangle,$$

which essentially states that given a common point A that we assume lies on the line, the vector from A to B and A to C are merely scalar multiples of each other, which implies collinearity of A , B , and C .

- (a) $(1, 2, 3), (0, 3, 7), (3, 5, 11)$

Let's test out this process:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 - 1, 3 - 2, 7 - 3 \rangle &= t \langle 3 - 1, 5 - 2, 11 - 3 \rangle \\ \langle -1, 1, 4 \rangle &= t \langle 2, 3, 8 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

we can determine from this that these three points are not collinear as there is not a common scalar t such that $2t = -1$, $3t = 1$, and $8t = 4$.

- (b) $(0, 3, -5), (1, 2, -2), (3, 0, 4)$

Let's follow this process again:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 1-0, 2-3, -2+5 \rangle &= t\langle 3-0, 0-3, 4+5 \rangle \\ \langle 1, -1, 3 \rangle &= t\langle 3, -3, 9 \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

and we can determine that these points must be collinear as there is a common scalar $t = \frac{1}{3}$ such that $3t = 1$, $-3t = -1$, and $9t = 3$.

11. Determine whether the given pair of vectors is perpendicular

For this kind of problem, we can determine perpendicularity based on the dot product between two vectors, as $\vec{\mathbf{A}} \perp \vec{\mathbf{B}}$ if $\vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}} = 0$.

(a) $\langle 1, 3, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, -2, 2 \rangle$

As

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 1, 3, 2 \rangle \cdot \langle 2, -2, 2 \rangle &= (1 \times 2) + (3 \times -2) + (2 \times 2) \\ &= 2 - 6 + 4 = 0,\end{aligned}$$

the two vectors must be perpendicular.

(b) $\langle -3, 1, 7 \rangle, \langle 2, -1, 1 \rangle$

As

$$\begin{aligned}\langle -3, 1, 7 \rangle \cdot \langle 2, -1, 1 \rangle &= (-3 \times 2) + (1 \times -1) + (7 \times 1) \\ &= -6 - 1 + 7 = 0,\end{aligned}$$

the two vectors must be perpendicular.

(c) $\langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle, \langle -1, 4, 2 \rangle$

As

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle \cdot \langle -1, 4, 2 \rangle &= (2 \times -1) + (1 \times 4) + (1 \times 2) \\ &= -2 + 4 + 2 = 4 \neq 0,\end{aligned}$$

the two vectors are not perpendicular.

12. Consider the vector $\vec{\mathbf{a}} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle$

(a) Find a unit vector in the same direction as $\vec{\mathbf{a}}$.

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{\vec{\mathbf{a}}}{|\vec{\mathbf{a}}|} = \frac{\langle 3, 4 \rangle}{\sqrt{3^2 + 4^2}} = \frac{\langle 3, 4 \rangle}{\sqrt{25}} = \left\langle \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5} \right\rangle$$

(b) Find all unit vectors that are parallel to $\vec{\mathbf{a}}$.

There are only two unit vectors parallel to $\vec{\mathbf{a}}$, $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ and $-\hat{\mathbf{a}}$, so the other unit vector is

$$-\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \left\langle -\frac{3}{5}, -\frac{4}{5} \right\rangle.$$

(c) Find all vectors that are parallel to $\vec{\mathbf{a}}$ and have length 10.

The length of the original vector \vec{a} is already 5, so all vectors that are parallel with length ten will be $2\vec{a}$ and $-2\vec{a}$, which is

$$\begin{aligned} 2\vec{a} &= \langle 6, 8 \rangle \\ -2\vec{a} &= \langle -6, -8 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(d) Find all unit vectors that are perpendicular to \vec{a} .

All perpendicular unit vectors can be found by calculating the perpendicular vectors based on the dot product perpendicularity condition (vector \vec{v} is perpendicular to \vec{a} if $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a} = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{a} &= a_x v_x + a_y v_y = 0 \\ &= 3x + 4y = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we know intuitively that given \vec{a} in \mathbb{R}^2 , there can only be two perpendicular vectors, which will be something in the form of $\langle -v_x, v_y \rangle$ and $\langle v_x, -v_y \rangle$. We can define $v_y = 1$ to determine $|v_x| = \frac{4}{3}$ ^a.

From this approach, we obtain the following perpendicular vector $\vec{v}_\perp = \langle 4/3, -1 \rangle$ and $-\vec{v}_\perp$. The perpendicular unit vectors we are looking for, in turn, are $\pm \hat{v}_\perp$.

$$\hat{v}_\perp = \frac{\vec{v}_\perp}{|\vec{v}_\perp|} = \frac{\langle 4/3, -1 \rangle}{\sqrt{(4/3)^2 + (-1)^2}} = \frac{\langle 4/3, -1 \rangle}{\sqrt{25/9}} = \left\langle \frac{4}{3} \times \frac{3}{5}, -1 \times \frac{3}{5} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{4}{5}, -\frac{3}{5} \right\rangle,$$

so the unit vectors we are looking for are

$$\left\langle \frac{4}{5}, -\frac{3}{5} \right\rangle, \left\langle -\frac{4}{5}, \frac{3}{5} \right\rangle.$$

^aNote that we could also have defined $v_x = 1$ instead to obtain $|v_y| = \frac{3}{4}$, and this would result in the same unit vectors.

13. Consider the vector $\vec{b} = \langle 3, 4, 0 \rangle$

(a) Find a unit vector in the same direction as \vec{b} .

$$\hat{b} = \frac{\vec{b}}{|\vec{b}|} = \frac{\langle 3, 4, 0 \rangle}{\sqrt{3^2 + 4^2 + 0^2}} = \frac{\langle 3, 4, 0 \rangle}{\sqrt{25}} = \left\langle \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, 0 \right\rangle$$

(b) Find all unit vectors that are parallel to \vec{b} .

The parallel unit vectors are \hat{b} and $-\hat{b}$:

$$\left\langle \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, 0 \right\rangle, \left\langle -\frac{3}{5}, -\frac{4}{5}, 0 \right\rangle$$

(c) Find four different unit vectors that are perpendicular to \vec{b} .

Four perpendicular unit vectors will satisfy

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{b}} = 0.$$

This calculates out to

$$\begin{aligned} v_x b_x + v_y b_y + v_z b_z &= 0 \\ 3x + 4y &= 0, z \in \infty, \end{aligned}$$

therefore all vectors that lie on the plane defined by $3x+4y=0$ are perpendicular to $\vec{\mathbf{b}}$. Four possible options for this are

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. $\langle \frac{4}{5}, -\frac{3}{5}, 0 \rangle$ | 3. $\langle \frac{4}{5}, -\frac{3}{5}, 2 \rangle$ |
| 2. $\langle \frac{4}{5}, -\frac{3}{5}, 1 \rangle$ | 4. $\langle \frac{4}{5}, -\frac{3}{5}, 3 \rangle$ |

14. Let $\vec{\mathbf{a}} = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$. Compute the projection of $\vec{\mathbf{a}}$ on $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{j}}$

$$\text{proj}_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}} \hat{\mathbf{i}} = (\vec{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{i}}) \hat{\mathbf{i}} = (a_1 \times 1 + a_2 \times 0) \hat{\mathbf{i}} = \langle a_1, 0 \rangle$$

15. Does the triangle with vertices $(1, 2, 3)$, $(4, 0, 5)$, and $(3, 6, 4)$ have a right angle?

Let $A = (1, 2, 3)$, $B = (4, 0, 5)$, and $C = (3, 6, 4)$. Let $\vec{\alpha}$ be the vector from A to B ^a, $\vec{\beta}$ be the vector from A to C , and $\vec{\delta}$ be the vector from B to C .

Firstly, let's calculate the values of these vectors:

- $\vec{\alpha} = A - B = \langle 1 - 4, 2 - 0, 3 - 5 \rangle = \langle -3, 2, -2 \rangle$
- $\vec{\beta} = A - C = \langle 1 - 3, 2 - 6, 3 - 4 \rangle = \langle -2, -4, -1 \rangle$
- $\vec{\delta} = B - C = \langle 4 - 3, 0 - 6, 5 - 4 \rangle = \langle 1, -6, 1 \rangle$

Now, for the triangle formed by these points to contain a right angle, two of the above vectors must be perpendicular. Therefore, if the dot product between any of the above two vectors is 0, there is a right angle in the triangle. We can start systematically with $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\beta}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\beta} &= \langle -3, 2, -2 \rangle \cdot \langle -2, -4, -1 \rangle \\ &= (-3 \times -2) + (2 \times -4) + (-2 \times -1) \\ &= 6 - 8 + 2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, without even needing to try the other combinations, we know that as $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\beta} = 0$, $\vec{\alpha} \perp \vec{\beta}$, indicating that there is a right angle within this triangle.

^aFor consistency sake, we define this to have a non-commutative mathematical meaning. The vector from A to B is defined as $A - B$.

16. Show that the area of the parallelogram determined by the vectors $\vec{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{b}}$ is $|\vec{\mathbf{a}} \times \vec{\mathbf{b}}|$.

Realign the parallelogram such that \vec{a} is aligned to the x-axis ($\vec{a} = \langle a_x, 0 \rangle$), where $a_x = |\vec{a}|$. The area of the parallelogram is therefore equal to $a_x b_y$. For a two-dimensional cross-product, the resultant vector is defined as

$$|\vec{a} \times \vec{b}| = \det \begin{bmatrix} a_x & a_y \\ b_x & b_y \end{bmatrix} = a_x b_y - a_y b_x = a_x b_y.$$

Therefore, the area of the parallelogram is the magnitude of the cross product of the two vectors.

17. Show that the volume of the parallelepiped determined by vectors \vec{a} , \vec{b} , and \vec{c} is $|\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} \times \vec{c})|$.

We've already established that $\vec{b} \times \vec{c}$ will produce an area vector for the base, \vec{B} , and that the full volume of the parallelepiped will be Bh , where h is the height of the parallelepiped. If we assume c to be aligned perfectly to the y -axis, that being that the vectors are redefined as

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{a} &= \langle a_x, a_y, a_z \rangle \\ \vec{b} &= \langle b_x, b_y, 0 \rangle \\ \vec{c} &= \langle 0, c_y, 0 \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

we find that the three-dimensional cross product of \vec{b} and \vec{c} produces

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{b} \times \vec{c} &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ b_x & b_y & b_z \\ c_x & c_y & c_z \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \hat{i} \det \begin{bmatrix} b_y & b_z \\ c_y & c_z \end{bmatrix} - \hat{j} \det \begin{bmatrix} b_x & b_z \\ c_x & c_z \end{bmatrix} + \hat{k} \det \begin{bmatrix} b_x & b_y \\ c_x & c_y \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \hat{i}(b_y c_z - b_z c_y) - \hat{j}(b_x c_z - b_z c_x) + \hat{k}(b_x c_y - b_y c_x) \\ &= \langle b_y c_z - b_z c_y, b_z c_x - b_x c_z, b_x c_y - b_y c_x \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Now, plugging in for the actual values of \vec{b} and \vec{c} , we get

$$\vec{b} \times \vec{c} = \langle 0, 0, b_x c_y \rangle.$$

Remember that, intuitively, we know that the area of the parallelepiped should be $a_z b_x c_y$ assuming \vec{c} is aligned with the y -axis. Now, we just need to find the dot product of \vec{a} and $(\vec{b} \times \vec{c})$:

$$\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) = a_x(0) + a_y(0) + a_z(b_x c_y) = a_z b_x c_y.$$

Therefore, the area of the parallelepiped is equal to $\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} \times \vec{c})$.

18. Verify by direct computation that

$$(a) \hat{i} \times \hat{j} = \hat{k}, \hat{j} \times \hat{k} = \hat{i}, \hat{k} \times \hat{i} = \hat{j}$$

- $\hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}} = \hat{\mathbf{k}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}} &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{i}} & \hat{\mathbf{j}} & \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \hat{\mathbf{i}} \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \hat{\mathbf{j}} \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \hat{\mathbf{k}} \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \hat{\mathbf{i}}(0) - \hat{\mathbf{j}}(0) + \hat{\mathbf{k}}(1) \\
 &= \hat{\mathbf{k}}
 \end{aligned}$$

- $\hat{\mathbf{j}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{\mathbf{i}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mathbf{j}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}} &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{i}} & \hat{\mathbf{j}} & \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \hat{\mathbf{i}} \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \hat{\mathbf{j}} \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \hat{\mathbf{k}} \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \hat{\mathbf{i}}(1) - \hat{\mathbf{j}}(0) + \hat{\mathbf{k}}(0) \\
 &= \hat{\mathbf{i}}
 \end{aligned}$$

- $\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \hat{\mathbf{i}} = \hat{\mathbf{j}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \hat{\mathbf{i}} &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{i}} & \hat{\mathbf{j}} & \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \hat{\mathbf{i}} \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \hat{\mathbf{j}} \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \hat{\mathbf{k}} \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \hat{\mathbf{i}}(0) - \hat{\mathbf{j}}(-1) + \hat{\mathbf{k}}(0) \\
 &= \hat{\mathbf{j}}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) $\vec{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\vec{\mathbf{a}} \times \vec{\mathbf{b}}) = \vec{\mathbf{b}} \cdot (\vec{\mathbf{a}} \times \vec{\mathbf{b}}) = 0$

Firstly, let's solve for $\vec{a} \times \vec{b}$ assuming $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{a} \times \vec{b} &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \hat{i} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ b_2 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} - \hat{j} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} + \hat{k} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \hat{i}(a_2b_3 - a_3b_2) - \hat{j}(a_1b_3 - a_3b_1) + \hat{k}(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1) \\ &= \langle a_2b_3 - a_3b_2, a_3b_1 - a_1b_3, a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we can solve for both dot products:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) &= a_1(a_2b_3 - a_3b_2) + a_2(a_3b_1 - a_1b_3) + a_3(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1) \\ &= a_1a_2b_3 - a_1a_3b_2 + a_2a_3b_1 - a_1a_2b_3 + a_1a_3b_2 - a_2a_3b_1 \\ &= (a_1a_2b_3 - a_1a_2b_3) + (a_1a_3b_2 - a_1a_3b_2) + (a_2a_3b_1 - a_2a_3b_1) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{b} \cdot (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) &= b_1(a_2b_3 - a_3b_2) + b_2(a_3b_1 - a_1b_3) + b_3(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1) \\ &= a_2b_1b_3 - a_3b_1b_2 + a_3b_1b_2 - a_1b_2b_3 + a_1b_2b_3 - a_2b_1b_3 \\ &= (a_2b_1b_3 - a_2b_1b_3) + (a_3b_1b_2 - a_3b_1b_2) + (a_1b_2b_3 - a_1b_2b_3) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

19. Consider the following statement: "If $\vec{a} \neq 0$ and if $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}$ then $\vec{b} = \vec{c}$." If the statement is true, prove it. If the statement is false, give a counterexample.

Assume $\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c} \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} &= \vec{a} \cdot \vec{c} \\ a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + a_3b_3 &= a_1c_1 + a_2c_2 + a_3c_3,\end{aligned}$$

now if we assume $\vec{a} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$, this becomes

$$b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = c_1 + c_2 + c_3,$$

so the all that is required for this to be true is that the sums of each of the components for each vector is the same, but the individual vectors do not need to be equal. Altogether, that means that a disproving counterexample to the point would be

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{a} &= \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle, \\ \vec{b} &= \langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle, \\ \vec{c} &= \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

20. Consider the following statement: “The vector $\vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c})$ is of the form $\alpha \vec{b} + \beta \vec{c}$ for some real numbers α and β .” If the statement is true, prove it. If the statement is false, give a counterexample.

Lets just directly compute the first cross product

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{b} \times \vec{c} &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \hat{i} \det \begin{bmatrix} b_2 & b_3 \\ c_2 & c_3 \end{bmatrix} - \hat{j} \det \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_3 \end{bmatrix} + \hat{k} \det \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \hat{i}(b_2c_3 - b_3c_2) - \hat{j}(b_1c_3 - b_3c_1) + \hat{k}(b_1c_2 - b_2c_1) \\ &= \langle b_2c_3 - b_3c_2, b_3c_1 - b_1c_3, b_1c_2 - b_2c_1 \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

and now the second, outer cross product

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_2c_3 - b_3c_2 & b_3c_1 - b_1c_3 & b_1c_2 - b_2c_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \hat{i} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ b_3c_1 - b_1c_3 & b_1c_2 - b_2c_1 \end{bmatrix} - \hat{j} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_3 \\ b_2c_3 - b_3c_2 & b_1c_2 - b_2c_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + \hat{k} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_2c_3 - b_3c_2 & b_3c_1 - b_1c_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \hat{i} [a_2(b_1c_2 - b_2c_1) - a_3(b_3c_1 - b_1c_3)] - \hat{j} [a_1(b_1c_2 - b_2c_1) - a_3(b_2c_3 - b_3c_2)] \\ &\quad + \hat{k} [a_1(b_3c_1 - b_1c_3) - a_2(b_2c_3 - b_3c_2)] \\ &= \hat{i} [a_2(b_1c_2 - b_2c_1) - a_3(b_3c_1 - b_1c_3)] + \hat{j} [a_3(b_2c_3 - b_3c_2) - a_1(b_1c_2 - b_2c_1)] \\ &\quad + \hat{k} [a_1(b_3c_1 - b_1c_3) - a_2(b_2c_3 - b_3c_2)] \\ &= \hat{i} [a_2b_1c_2 - a_2b_2c_1 - a_3b_3c_1 + a_3b_1c_3] + \hat{j} [a_3b_2c_3 - a_3b_3c_2 - a_1b_1c_2 + a_1b_2c_1] \\ &\quad + \hat{k} [a_1b_3c_1 - a_1b_1c_3 - a_2b_2c_3 + a_2b_3c_2],\end{aligned}$$

so altogether, the overall vector can be written (in column form) as

$$\vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) = \begin{bmatrix} a_2b_1c_2 - a_2b_2c_1 - a_3b_3c_1 + a_3b_1c_3 \\ a_3b_2c_3 - a_3b_3c_2 - a_1b_1c_2 + a_1b_2c_1 \\ a_1b_3c_1 - a_1b_1c_3 - a_2b_2c_3 + a_2b_3c_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and this can be simplified by arranging and factoring out the variables for $\vec{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{c}}$ at each dimension:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\mathbf{a}} \times (\vec{\mathbf{b}} \times \vec{\mathbf{c}}) &= \begin{pmatrix} a_2b_1c_2 + a_3b_1c_3 - (a_2b_2c_1 + a_3b_3c_1) \\ a_3b_2c_3 + a_1b_2c_1 - (a_3b_3c_2 + a_1b_1c_2) \\ a_1b_3c_1 + a_2b_3c_2 - (a_1b_1c_3 + a_2b_2c_3) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_2b_1c_2 + a_3b_1c_3 \\ a_3b_2c_3 + a_1b_2c_1 \\ a_1b_3c_1 + a_2b_3c_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a_2b_2c_1 + a_3b_3c_1 \\ a_3b_3c_2 + a_1b_1c_2 \\ a_1b_1c_3 + a_2b_2c_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} b_1(a_2c_2 + a_3c_3) \\ b_2(a_3c_3 + a_1c_1) \\ b_3(a_1c_1 + a_2c_2) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} c_1(a_2b_2 + a_3b_3) \\ c_2(a_3b_3 + a_1b_1) \\ c_3(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2) \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

and this disproves the original assertion as the scalar multiples for each dimension on $\vec{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{c}}$ are completely different in all cases other than those where $\vec{\mathbf{a}} = \vec{\mathbf{b}} = \vec{\mathbf{c}}$ and all three are completely uniform (i.e. $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$).

21. What geometric conclusions can you draw from $\vec{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\vec{\mathbf{b}} \times \vec{\mathbf{c}}) = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$?

Flagged Problem

Revisit Me: I don't think you can make any, actually. $\vec{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\vec{\mathbf{b}} \times \vec{\mathbf{c}})$ should produce a scalar, *not* a vector, so this problem should be wrong.

22. What geometric conclusions can you draw from $\vec{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\vec{\mathbf{b}} \times \vec{\mathbf{c}}) = 0$?

We can conclude that $\vec{\mathbf{a}} \perp \vec{\mathbf{b}} \times \vec{\mathbf{c}}$.

23. Consider three points $O = (0, 0)$, $A = (a, 0)$, and $B = (b, c)$.

(a) Sketch, in a single figure,

- the triangle with vertices O , A , and B , and
- the circumscribing circle for the triangle (i.e. the circle that goes through all three vertices), and
- the vectors
 - \vec{OA} , from O to A ,
 - \vec{OB} , from O to B ,
 - \vec{OC} , from O to C , where C is the centre of the circumscribing circle.

Then, add to the sketch and evaluate, from the sketch,

- the projection of the vector \vec{OC} on the vector \vec{OA} , and
- the projection of the vector \vec{OC} on the vector \vec{OB} .

Sketch not included.

(b) Determine C .

The circumscribing circle, as previously solved for in question 5 of stage 2 of 1.1, will be centered at

$$C = \left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{b^2 + c^2 - ab}{2c} \right)$$

with radius

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{4} + \left(\frac{b^2 + c^2 - ab}{2c} \right)^2}.$$

(c) Evaluate, using the formula (1.2.14) in the CLP-3 text,

- the projection of the vector \overrightarrow{OC} on the vector \overrightarrow{OA} , and
- the projection of the vector \overrightarrow{OC} on the vector \overrightarrow{OB} .

First, let's define vectors \overrightarrow{OA} , \overrightarrow{OB} , and \overrightarrow{OC} . Using our previous definition for point-based vectors, $\overrightarrow{OA} = \langle a, 0 \rangle$, $\overrightarrow{OB} = \langle b, c \rangle$, and

$$\overrightarrow{OC} = \left\langle \frac{a}{2}, \frac{b^2 + c^2 - ab}{2c} \right\rangle.$$

Now, using formula (1.2.14),

$$\text{proj}_{\vec{a}} \vec{b} = \left(\frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}}{|\vec{b}|} \right) \hat{b},$$

we can calculate the first projection,

$$\text{proj}_{\overrightarrow{OC}} \overrightarrow{OA} = \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{OC} \cdot \overrightarrow{OA}}{|\overrightarrow{OA}|} \right) \hat{OA}$$

step-by-step, beginning with the dot product

$$\overrightarrow{OC} \cdot \overrightarrow{OA} = \left(\frac{a}{2} \times a \right) + \left(\frac{b^2 + c^2 - ab}{2c} \times 0 \right) = \frac{a^2}{2},$$

then the magnitude of \overrightarrow{OA} is obviously, by visual inspection, just a , and lastly, the unit vector for \overrightarrow{OA} is

$$\hat{OA} = \frac{\overrightarrow{OA}}{|\overrightarrow{OA}|} = \frac{\langle a, 0 \rangle}{a} = \langle 1, 0 \rangle = \hat{i}.$$

Altogether, this becomes

$$\text{proj}_{\overrightarrow{OC}} \overrightarrow{OA} = \left(\frac{a^2/2}{a} \right) \hat{i} = \left(\frac{1}{2}a \right) \hat{i} = \langle \frac{1}{2}a, 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\overrightarrow{OA}.$$

Similarly, we can follow the same process for the next projection,

$$\text{proj}_{\overrightarrow{OC}} \overrightarrow{OB} = \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{OC} \cdot \overrightarrow{OB}}{|\overrightarrow{OB}|} \right) \hat{\mathbf{OB}},$$

beginning with the dot product:

$$\overrightarrow{OC} \cdot \overrightarrow{OB} = \frac{ab}{2} + \frac{b^2 + c^2 - ab}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(b^2 + c^2),$$

then the magnitude:

$$|\overrightarrow{OB}| = \sqrt{b^2 + c^2},$$

and lastly, the unit vector:

$$\hat{\mathbf{OB}} = \frac{\overrightarrow{OB}}{|\overrightarrow{OB}|} = \frac{\langle b, c \rangle}{\sqrt{b^2 + c^2}}.$$

Altogether, this becomes

$$\text{proj}_{\overrightarrow{OC}} \overrightarrow{OB} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{b^2 + c^2}{b^2 + c^2} \right) \langle b, c \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle b, c \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \overrightarrow{OB}.$$

1.2.2 Stage 2

24. Find the equation of a sphere if one of its diameters has end points $(2, 1, 4)$ and $(4, 3, 10)$.

25. Use vectors to prove that the line joining the midpoints of two sides of a triangle is parallel to the third side and half its length.

26. Compute the areas of the parallelograms determined by the following vectors.

(a) $\langle -3, 1 \rangle, \langle 4, 3 \rangle$

(b) $\langle 4, 2 \rangle, \langle 6, 8 \rangle$

27. Consider the plane W defined by

$$W : -x + 3y + 3z = 6,$$

find the area of the parallelogram defined on W by $0 \leq x \leq 3, 0 \leq y \leq 2$.

28. Compute the volumes of the parallelepipeds determined by the following vectors.

(a) $\langle 4, 1, -1 \rangle, \langle -1, 5, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 6 \rangle$

(b) $\langle -2, 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 3, 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 5 \rangle$

29. Compute the dot product of the vectors $\vec{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{b}}$. Find the angle between them.

- (a) $\vec{a} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \vec{b} = \langle -2, 3 \rangle$
 (b) $\vec{a} = \langle -1, 1 \rangle, \vec{b} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$
 (c) $\vec{a} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \vec{b} = \langle 2, 2 \rangle$
 (d) $\vec{a} = \langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle, \vec{b} = \langle -1, 1, 1 \rangle$
 (e) $\vec{a} = \langle -1, 2, 3 \rangle, \vec{b} = \langle 3, 0, 1 \rangle$
30. Determine the angle between vectors \vec{a} and \vec{b} if
- (a) $\vec{a} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \vec{b} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle$
 (b) $\vec{a} = \langle 2, 1, 4 \rangle, \vec{b} = \langle 4, -2, 1 \rangle$
 (c) $\vec{a} = \langle 1, -2, 1 \rangle, \vec{b} = \langle 3, 1, 0 \rangle$
31. Determine all values of y for which the given vectors are perpendicular.
- (a) $\langle 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 2, y \rangle$
 (b) $\langle 4, -1 \rangle, \langle y, y^2 \rangle$
 (c) $\langle 3, 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 5y, y^2 \rangle$
32. Let $\vec{u} = -2\hat{i} + y\hat{j}$ and $\vec{v} = \alpha\hat{i} - 2\hat{j}$. Find α so that
- (a) $\vec{u} \perp \vec{v}$
 (b) $\vec{u} \parallel \vec{v}$
 (c) The angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} is 60 deg.
33. Define $\vec{a} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$ and $\vec{b} = \langle 4, 10, 6 \rangle$.
- (a) Find the component of \vec{b} in the direction of \vec{a} .
 (b) Find the projection of \vec{b} on \vec{a} .
 (c) Find the projection of \vec{b} perpendicular to \vec{a} .
34. Compute $\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle \times \langle 4, 5, 6 \rangle$.
35. Calculate the following cross products.
- (a) $\langle 1, -5, 2 \rangle \times \langle -2, 1, 5 \rangle$
 (b) $\langle 2, -3, -5 \rangle \times \langle 4, -2, 7 \rangle$
 (c) $\langle -1, 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 0, 4, 5 \rangle$
36. Let $\vec{p} = \langle -1, 4, 2 \rangle, \vec{q} = \langle 3, 1, -1 \rangle, \vec{r} = \langle 2, -3, -1 \rangle$. Check, by direct comparison, that
- (a) $\vec{p} \times \vec{p} = 0$
 (b) $\vec{p} \times \vec{q} = -\vec{q} \times \vec{p}$

$$(c) \vec{p} \times (3\vec{r}) = 3(\vec{p} \times \vec{r})$$

$$(d) \vec{p} \times (\vec{q} + \vec{r}) = \vec{p} \times \vec{q} + \vec{p} \times \vec{r}$$

$$(e) \vec{p} \times (\vec{q} + \vec{r}) = \vec{p} \times \vec{q} + \vec{p} \times \vec{r}$$

$$(f) \vec{p} \times (\vec{q} \times \vec{r}) \neq (\vec{p} \times \vec{q}) \times \vec{r}$$

37. Calculate the area of the triangle with vertices $(0, 0, 0)$, $(1, 2, 3)$, and $(3, 2, 1)$.

38. A particle P of unit mass whose position in space at time t is $\vec{r}(t)$ has angular momentum $L(t) = \vec{r}(t) \times \vec{r}'(t)$. If $\vec{r}''(t) = \rho(t)\vec{r}(t)$ for a scalar function ρ , show that L is constant, i.e. does not change with time. Here, $'$ denotes $\frac{d}{dt}$.