Observational studies pointing to the apparently superior performance of private schools are not the only reason that education reform advocates have voiced excitement about the potential of private schools; private schools also operate in fundamentally different ways from government schools. For that reason, it is important to examine not only whether the government-private performance differential varies with caste heterogeneity, but also whether other factors some suspect explain school differences can be ruled out as alternate explanations.

## 0.1 Differences in Teacher Incentives

Advocates of private schools argue that not only are observational studies able to control for many factors, but there is also evidence to explain *why* private schools outperform government schools. In particular, they point out that private schools appear to address the biggest problem in government schools: low effort. High absenteeism and low accountability in government schools has been well documented (??), but appears less prevalent in private schools. The reason, many argue, is that in private schools good teachers are better paid, and poor teachers are let go. This line of reasoning is also buoyed by a growing body of literature that suggests that what matters for success is not the availability of educational "inputs" (like qualified, well paid teachers or good facilities), but incentive schemes that reward effort on the behalf of teachers (???).

The conclusion of the LEAPS survey authors (full disclosure: this author was a research assistant on the LEAPS project, though not an author) is that this is the case in Pakistan. Private schools deliver better educational outcomes despite hiring only secondary-educated local women with no training and providing them with relatively low wages because private schools incentize good teaching by paying good teachers more, improving effort. This differentiates them from government schools, which offer salaries which are higher but unresponsive to performance. As a result, the authors argue, government school teachers exert less effort (?, p. 72-74).

Tables 1 and 2 reiterate these findings from the original LEAPS survey and adds a measure of caste heterogeneity. If it is the case that differences in incentive schemes are driving test score convergence, then we should see (a) the private-school salary penalty for absenteeism decline in fractionalization in Table 1, and (b) the compensation premium (compensation above what is otherwise predicted) for performance decline with fractionalization in Table 2. As shown in the tables, however, the absenteeism penalty actually *increases* in fractionalization, and no relationship exists between performance and compensation. In sum, variation in compensation schemes cannot explain the convergence in the test-score gap in heterogeneous villages.

## 0.2 Differences in School Inputs

A second potential explanation for variation in the government-private test-score gap is that the availability of school resources varies with village caste heterogeneity. To test this, this paper examines the relationship between village fragmentation and different school inputs.

Table 3 presents a series of teacher-level and school-level regressions in which various inputs are regressed against village fractionalization and controls. The table shows that there is little or no evidence that – at least in terms of measurable inputs – the decline in private school performance in fractionalized villages can be explained by differences in inputs. These results show no difference in school inputs across villages.

Table 1: Teacher Compensation and Absenteeism

	Governme	nt Teachers	Private '	Teachers
	(1) Log Salary	(2) Log Salary	(3) Log Salary	(4) Log Salary
Days Absent Last Month	0.017*** (0.0039)	0.014 (0.010)	-0.0060* (0.0033)	0.0094 (0.012)
Biraderi Fractionalization	, ,	-0.0028 (0.13)	, ,	0.30 (0.20)
Days Absent * Fractionalization		0.0055 (0.018)		-0.023 (0.017)
District Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	3685	3685	4638	4638

Standard errors in parentheses

Errors clustered at village-level

Table 2: Teacher Compensation and Value-Added

	Governme	nt Teachers	Private '	Teachers
	(1) Log Salary	(2) Log Salary	(3) Log Salary	(4) Log Salary
Average Value Added Score	0.025 (0.028)	0.18 (0.11)	0.14** (0.069)	-0.14 (0.24)
Biraderi Fractionalization	(1.1.1)	-0.24* (0.14)	(3.2.2.)	0.47 (0.30)
Value-Added * Fractionalization		-0.25 (0.15)		0.43 (0.34)
District Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	1232	1232	745	745

Standard errors in parentheses

Teacher value-added estimates control for student age, age squared, wealth index, parental education, and class. Teachers with less than 5 students dropped from analysis. Errors clustered at village-level

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.10, \*\* p < 0.05, \*\*\* p < 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.10, \*\* p < 0.05, \*\*\* p < 0.01

Table 3: Private Teacher Characteristics and Village Fractionalization

	(1) Days Absent Last Month	(2) Female	(3) Local Teacher	(4) More than Grade School Educ	(5) Teacher's English Score	(6) Basic School Facility Index
Biraderi Fractionalization	-0.13	-0.15	0.21 (0.16)	0.11 (0.080)	0.30 (0.19)	0.45
Median Village Expenditures	0.0000047	0.000032	0.0000038	960000000	0.000038	-0.000081
•	(0.000061)	(0.000021)	(0.000019)	(0.000016)	(0.000024)	(96000000)
Log Number of Households	0.061	-0.0067	-0.072*	-0.030	0.038	-0.24*
	(0.10)	(0.022)	(0.039)	(0.020)	(0.033)	(0.13)
District Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	4667	4675	4670	4675	1041	295

Standard errors in parentheses \*p < 0.10, \*\*p > 0.05, \*\*\*p > 0.01 All results clustered at the village level.

Table 4 repeats this exercise for government schools. It should be noted that government schools in Pakistan are administered at the state level, and are thus relatively insulated from village politics, making any such differences unlikely. Nevertheless, results are presented for thoroughness. If anything, government school teachers appear to be slightly *better* educated in fractionalized villages.

Table 4: Government Teacher Characteristics and Village Fractionalization

	(1) Days Absent	(2) Female	(3) Local	(4) More than Grade	(5) Teacher's Fractish Score	(6) Basic School
Biraderi Fractionalization	-0.028	0.035	0.18*	0.11	0.38**	-0.46
Median Village Expenditures	(0.42) 0.000013	(0.084) -0.00000071	0.0000068	0.000012	0.00008***	(0.46)
ı.	(0.000054)	(0.000013)	(0.000011)	(0.000010)	(0.000027)	(0.000081)
Log Number of Households	-0.15	-0.050***	-0.055**	0.0067	-0.012	-0.25
	(0.11)	(0.017)	(0.025)	(0.017)	(0.044)	(0.15)
District Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	3687	3691	3689	3691	1170	496

Standard errors in parentheses \*p < 0.10, \*\*p < 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.01 All results clustered at the village level.