## **Project Background**

Deltas is a file where the last two fields are urls to the two sources. The first col is the difference in days between the two sources. It looks like the two sources come out on the same day in many cases. When one is first, WHO tends to come out before promed, but both orders are observed. The large cosines (col 3) indicate that the two URLs are similar to one another (in a sense that makes sense to deep nets like BERT).

These links talk about outbreaks of contagious diseases around the world over the last 30 years. The WHO is an official organization with a mandate to do this. Promed is a crowd-sourced alternative that issues more announcements. There have been some rumors that WHO may be slow to make announcements if they are politically inconvenient. It is possible that crowd-sourced alternatives could scoop official sources. We would like to compare and contrast these two sources to address such rumors.

One concern is threading. There are lots of updates over time as the same issue is reported again and again. This makes it hard to address rumors like the one again because it is very likely that the same issue is being reported many times by both sources. We happen to find a match between one pair of these announcements, but that doesn't really address the rumor above.

Above text guoted from Prof. Kenneth Church

# **Dependencies**

```
In [54]: import pandas as pd
  import numpy as np
  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
  import seaborn as sns
  from sklearn.cluster import DBSCAN
  from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
  import re
```

### **Load the Data**

6599 Total Records

#### Out[82]:

	Difference in Days	col2	cosine	col4	who_link	promed_link
0	0.000	211	0.991082	1002356	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2008011
1	200.042	211	0.988157	1002491	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2008011
2	219.042	211	0.988083	1002052	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2008011
3	210.042	211	0.987678	1000623	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2008011
4	0.000	258	0.992048	1000228	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2010090

# **Initial Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)**

```
In [25]: # Descriptive statistics
print(data.describe())
```

	Difference in Days	col2	cosine	col4
count	6599.000000	6599.000000	6599.000000	6.599000e+03
mean	6072.453670	277202.849674	0.970231	1.001376e+06
std	8200.128076	153668.888256	0.013145	8.040809e+02
min	-4877.960000	211.000000	0.950003	1.000001e+06
25%	0.000000	145950.000000	0.958880	1.000666e+06
50%	296.000000	281317.000000	0.968474	1.001335e+06
75%	16640.200000	411922.000000	0.980966	1.002077e+06
max	19365.200000	533131.000000	0.998851	1.002782e+06

The mean difference in days is approximately 6072, which indicates that there are significant differences between the announcement dates of WHO and ProMED in the dataset. However, this number is affected by extreme values, as the standard deviation is quite large (8200).

The median difference in days is 296, which implies that at least 50% of the dataset has a difference in days of 296 or less. This suggests that a considerable proportion of the announcements are made within a relatively short time frame.

# In [26]: # Pearson correlation print(data.corr(numeric\_only=True))

```
Difference in Days col2 cosine col4
Difference in Days 1.000000 0.004646 -0.137724 0.003999
col2 0.004646 1.000000 -0.011742 -0.034624
cosine -0.137724 -0.011742 1.000000 0.004655
col4 0.003999 -0.034624 0.004655 1.000000
```


The correlation coefficients show that there is a weak negative correlation between the "Difference in Days" and "cosine" columns (-0.137724). This indicates that there is a slight tendency for announcements with higher cosine similarity (more similar) to have smaller differences in days between them. However, this relationship is quite weak and should not be considered a strong predictor.


# **Exploratory Data Visualization**


```
In [16]: # Scatterplot of Difference in Days vs Cosine Similarity
    sns.scatterplot(x='Difference in Days', y='cosine', data=data)
    plt.title('Difference in Days vs Cosine Similarity')
    plt.show()

# Boxplot of Difference in Days
    sns.boxplot(y='Difference in Days', data=data)
    plt.title('Boxplot of Difference in Days')
    plt.show()

# Histogram of Cosine Similarity
    sns.histplot(data['cosine'], kde=True)
    plt.title('Histogram of Cosine Similarity')
    plt.show()
```








# **Address Threading Concern**

To address threading and multiple updates over time, we can preprocess the data by grouping similar announcements based on cosine similarity. We can use a clustering algorithm like DBSCAN (Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise) to group similar announcements. Then, we can calculate the average difference in days for each group.

```
In [18]:
         # Normalize the data
         scaler = StandardScaler()
         normalized data = scaler.fit transform(data[["Difference in Days", "cosine"]])
         # Perform DBSCAN clustering
         dbscan = DBSCAN(eps=0.5, min samples=2) # Tune these parameters based on your data
         data['cluster'] = dbscan.fit_predict(normalized_data)
         # Calculate the average difference in days for each cluster
         cluster_averages = data.groupby('cluster')['Difference in Days'].mean()
         print(cluster_averages)
         # Visualize the clusters
         sns.scatterplot(x='Difference in Days', y='cosine', hue='cluster', data=data, palette=';
         plt.title('Clusters of Similar Announcements')
         plt.show()
         cluster
                199.769970
```

1 17279.470163 Name: Difference in Days, dtype: float64





The DBSCAN clustering results divide the data into two clusters. The average difference in days for each cluster is as follows:

Cluster 0: 199.77 daysCluster 1: 17279.47 days

These results suggest that there are two distinct groups of announcements in the dataset:

- 1. Cluster 0 represents announcements where the difference in days between WHO and ProMED is relatively small (around 200 days on average). This could include cases where both organizations report similar events at similar times or with a small time lag.
- 2. Cluster 1 represents announcements with a much larger difference in days between WHO and ProMED (around 17279 days on average). This group could contain cases where one organization reports an event long before

the other, possibly due to political or other factors influencing the timing of the announcements.

These numbers suggest that there are cases where WHO and ProMED make announcements within a relatively short time frame, as well as cases where there are substantial differences in the timing of the announcements. The weak negative correlation between the difference in days and cosine similarity indicates that more similar

### Politically Sensitive Event Analysis by Country

To investigate potential patterns or trends in the difference in days between WHO and ProMED announcements that may suggest a delay in WHO announcements for politically sensitive events, we want to perform the following steps:

- 1. Extract the countries from the announcements' URLs.
- 2. Categorize the countries as politically sensitive or non-sensitive (this may require external analysis or data)
- 3. Calculate the average difference in days for politically sensitive and non-sensitive countries.
- 4. Visualize the results.

This analysis and visualization will show us if there is a noticeable difference in the average difference in days between WHO and ProMED announcements for politically sensitive and non-sensitive countries.

If the average difference is significantly higher for politically sensitive countries, it may suggest a delay in WHO announcements for these events.

#### Step 1: Extract the countries from the URLs

```
In [30]: import requests
         from bs4 import BeautifulSoup
         def extract_who_country(url):
             response = requests.get(url)
             soup = BeautifulSoup(response.content, 'html.parser')
             header wrapper = soup.find('div', class ='sf-item-header-wrapper')
             if header wrapper:
                 header text = header wrapper.find('h1').get text()
                 country = header text.split('-')[-1].strip() # Extract the country from the he
                 return country
             return None
         def extract promed country(url):
             response = requests.get(url)
             soup = BeautifulSoup(response.content, 'html.parser')
             publish_date_html = soup.find('p', class_='publish_date_html')
             if publish date html:
                 subject text = publish date html.get text()
                 country = subject_text.split(':')[-2].split(',')[0].strip() # Extract the country
                 return country
             return None
```

```
In [32]: data_sample = data.head(100).copy()

data_sample['who_country'] = data_sample['who_link'].apply(extract_who_country)
data_sample['promed_country'] = data_sample['promed_link'].apply(extract_promed_country)
```

```
In [35]: data_sample.to_csv('data_sample.csv', index=False)
    data_sample
```

#### Out[35]:

	Difference in Days	col2	cosine	col4	who_link	promed_link	who_(
0	0.000	211	0.991082	1002356	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2008011	In
1	200.042	211	0.988157	1002491	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2008011	In
2	219.042	211	0.988083	1002052	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2008011	In
3	210.042	211	0.987678	1000623	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2008011	In
4	0.000	258	0.992048	1000228	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2010090	
95	-5.000	12078	0.954483	1000022	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2005050	
96	18109.200	12172	0.985847	1002154	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2019080	Den Rep the
97	18109.200	12172	0.984571	1001943	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2019080	Den Rep the
98	18109.200	12172	0.982779	1002590	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2019080	Den Rer th€
99	1.000	12772	0.990897	1000801	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2008030	

100 rows × 8 columns

#### **Issues with Above Code**

While we could confidently get the country out from the Who Links, this unfortunately does not work with Promed links because they are blocking my IP address when trying to scrape.

This web scraping process is also super slow and did not work on all 6600 records, it timed out my computer. Now we attempt to parallelize it for speed purposes, and also only look at the WHO Country because it doesn't rate limit my IP like promed does.

```
In [40]: import concurrent.futures
         import pycountry
         data sample = data.head(1000).copy()
         def is_valid_country(country_name):
             try:
                 pycountry.countries.lookup(country_name)
                return True
             except LookupError:
                return False
         def extract countries(row):
             who country = extract who country(row.who link) # Access the column using the attr
             if not is_valid_country(who_country):
                 who country = "Null"
             return who country
         with concurrent.futures.ThreadPoolExecutor() as executor:
             who_countries = list(executor.map(extract_countries, data_sample.itertuples()))
         data_sample['who_country'] = who_countries
```

# 

#### Out[42]:

	Difference in Days	col2	cosine	col4	who_link	promed_link	wł
0	0.00000	211	0.991082	1002356	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease- outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2008011	
1	200.04200	211	0.988157	1002491	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed-post/?id=2008011	
2	219.04200	211	0.988083	1002052	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed-post/?id=2008011	
3	210.04200	211	0.987678	1000623	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed-post/?id=2008011	
4	0.00000	258	0.992048	1000228	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed-post/?id=2010090	
995	16629.20000	87637	0.991253	1001281	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed-post/?id=2015071	
996	16629.20000	87637	0.962855	1000257	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2015071	
997	16629.20000	87637	0.956229	1000940	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed- post/?id=2015071	
998	771.00000	87637	0.953084	1002307	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed-post/?id=2015071	
999	3.04167	87684	0.975821	1000159	https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbre	https://promedmail.org/promed-post/?id=2009110	

1000 rows × 7 columns

```
In [70]: data sample2 = pd.read csv('data sample2.csv')
                   unique countries = data sample2['who country'].value counts().reset index()
                   unique countries.columns = ['who country', 'frequency']
                   print(unique countries)
                             who country frequency
                                           Null
                   1
                           Saudi Arabia
                                                                       95
                                                                      71
                   2
                                          China
                   3
                                 Indonesia
                                                                       61
                   4
                                          Egypt
                                                                      51
                   . .
                                                                      . . .
                   81
                                      Denmark
                                                                       1
                   82
                                          Japan
                                                                         1
                   83 Netherlands
                                                                         1
                   84
                             Azerbaijan
                                                                         1
                                                                         1
                   85
                                    Pakistan
                   [86 rows x 2 columns]
In [71]: print(len(unique_countries), "Total Countries: \n")
                   print(list(unique countries['who country']))
                   86 Total Countries:
                   ['Null', 'Saudi Arabia', 'China', 'Indonesia', 'Egypt', 'Brazil', 'Congo', 'Viet Na
                   m', 'Guinea', 'Angola', 'Uganda', 'Sudan', 'Liberia', 'Nigeria', 'Sierra Leone', 'Can
                   ada', 'France', 'Ireland', 'Burkina Faso', 'Niger', 'Israel', 'Thailand', 'Cameroon',
                   'South Africa', 'Iraq', 'United Republic of Tanzania', 'Somalia', 'Chad', 'Senegal',
                   'Cambodia', 'Jordan', 'Qatar', 'Afghanistan', 'Oman', 'Gabon', 'El Salvador', 'Jamaic a', 'Madagascar', 'Ethiopia', 'Haiti', 'Mozambique', 'Myanmar', 'Equatorial Guinea', 'India', 'Togo', 'Malaysia', 'Kuwait', 'Sri Lanka', 'Malawi', 'United States of Ameri ca', 'Italy', 'South Sudan', 'Burundi', 'Germany', 'Mali', 'Ukraine', 'Paraguay', 'Si
                   ngapore', 'Syrian Arab Republic', 'Ecuador', 'United Kingdom of Great Britain and Nor
                   thern Ireland', 'Mexico', 'Panama', 'Central African Republic', 'Kazakhstan', 'Chil
                   e', 'Argentina', 'Honduras', 'Algeria', 'Russian Federation', 'French Guiana', 'Zambi
                   a', 'Yemen', 'Peru', 'Greece', 'Mauritania', 'Tunisia', 'Belgium', 'Papua New Guine a', 'Rwanda', 'Estonia', 'Denmark', 'Japan', 'Netherlands', 'Azerbaijan', 'Pakistan']
In [74]: | null frequency = unique countries.loc[unique countries['who country'] == 'Null', 'freque
                   total frequency = unique countries['frequency'].sum()
                   percentage null frequency = (null frequency / total frequency) * 100
                   print(f"Null Frequency: {percentage null frequency:.2f}%")
                   Null Frequency: 28.30%
In [61]: filtered_countries = unique_countries[(unique_countries['frequency'] >= 10) & (unique_countries['frequency'] >= 10) &
                   relevant_country_list = filtered_countries['who_country'].tolist()
                   print(len(relevant_country_list), "Countries with 10 or more Appearances:")
                   print(relevant_country_list)
                   18 Countries with 10 or more Appearances:
                   ['Saudi Arabia', 'China', 'Indonesia', 'Egypt', 'Brazil', 'Congo', 'Viet Nam', 'Guine
                   a', 'Angola', 'Uganda', 'Sudan', 'Liberia', 'Nigeria', 'Sierra Leone', 'Canada', 'Fra
                   nce', 'Ireland', 'Burkina Faso']
```

Now that we have added data validation, we're seeing that 283/1000 (or 28.3%) of the responses aren't getting the countries appropriately.

But we are getting enough country data that we can make a list of the 18 "relevant countries" (that is, they show up 10 or more times in the scraped data of 1000 records)

#### Step 2: Categorize the countries as politically sensitive or non-sensitive

We are going to use our intuition for determining "politically sensitive" countries as a proof of concept. I would like to do a better analysis down the road to better define these countries

```
In [76]: # Read the data
    data_sample2 = pd.read_csv('data_sample2.csv')

# Define a list of politically sensitive countries
    sensitive_countries = ['China', 'Russia', 'Iran', 'North Korea', 'Saudi Arabia', 'Syria

# Categorize countries as politically sensitive or non-sensitive
    data_sample2['sensitivity'] = data_sample2['who_country'].apply(lambda x: 'sensitive' i:
```


#### Step 3: Calculate the average difference in days for politically sensitive and nonsensitive countries.

```
In [77]: # Calculate the average difference in days for both groups
group_averages = data_sample2.groupby('sensitivity')['Difference in Days'].mean()
print(group_averages)

sensitivity
non-sensitive 6101.002043
sensitive 6428.208165
Name: Difference in Days, dtype: float64
```

#### **Step 4: Visualize Results**

#### Average Difference in Days between WHO and ProMED announcements



# Preliminary Analysis on 1000 Record Sample

First, we have categorized the countries as politically sensitive or non-sensitive. The politically sensitive countries are those with known political tensions, conflicts, or other issues that may affect the WHO's ability to make timely announcements.

From the results, we observe that the average difference in days between WHO and ProMED announcements is 6101 days for non-sensitive countries and 6428 days for sensitive countries. The difference between these two averages is around **327 days**, indicating that there might be a delay in WHO announcements for politically sensitive countries compared to non-sensitive ones.

To further confirm these findings, it's recommended to conduct a more comprehensive analysis, including:

- Analyzing the entire dataset
- Investigating the content and nature of the announcements to see if there's any systematic bias in the reporting.
- Taking into account other potential factors that may influence the timing of WHO announcements, such as the severity of the disease outbreak, the country's infrastructure, or the availability of reliable information.

In conclusion, the current analysis provides a preliminary indication of a potential delay in WHO announcements for politically sensitive countries. However, more in-depth research is needed to establish a stronger basis for these findings and better understand the underlying reasons behind this pattern.