Project Evaluation Template

Project:
Reviewer:

Code/Repo Smells

- ☑ ~~Does the code seem organized and readable?~~
    - ☑ ~~Good indentation, meaningful naming, good amount of commenting, etc.~~
- ☑ ~~How simple (or complex) is the architecture?~~
    - ☑ ~~Repo navigable, files organized meaningfully, etc.~~
- ☑ ~~Is there a CI/CD pipeline in place?~~
    - ☑ ~~Are there automated tests in place?~~
- ☑ ~~Is there proper documentation?~~
    - ☑ ~~Code: Class diagrams, API descriptions, etc.~~
    - ☑ ~~Repo: Onboarding documents, pipeline instructions, roadmaps, high level diagrams, ADRs, etc.~~

Score (1-5): 5
Comments: I found some of the comments in the code a little lacking, but for the most part, it has pretty much everything we seem to be looking for.

Application Quality

- ☑ ~~Is the app easy to set up and run?~~
- ☐ Was there a design process?
    - ☐ System design, site architecture, UX research, needfinding, wireframing, lowfi & hifi.
- ☐ Can it be stood up easily?
    - ☐ Is there a working demo showcasing functionality?
- ☑ ~~Does the app seem functional? Does it work as expected?~~
    - ☑ ~~Working buttons, options, features~~
- ☑ ~~Does it seem polished?~~
    - ☑ ~~Intuitive to use? Complicated and unfriendly?~~
    - ☑ ~~Responsive? Janky?~~
    - ☑ ~~Bugs everywhere?~~
    - ☑ ~~Pleasing UI? Hard to look at?~~
    - ☐ Accessibility options?

Score (1-5): 4
Comments: I think there's supposed to be more design process info, but the link in the github repo is dead :(

Self Considerations

- ☑ ~~What tools and frameworks are used? Are they difficult to pick up?~~
- ☑ ~~At a glance:~~
    - ☑ ~~Would this project be fun to work on?~~
    - ☑ ~~How much more could we improve this project?~~

☑ ~~Do we have team members familiar with specific tools/dependencies used?~~

Score (1-5, will be discounted by 50%): 5
Comments: This project looks great, better than the bullet journal in most metrics. There aren't even frameworks and tools we'd have to learn other then plain JS/CSS/HTML. I'm really liking this one.

Other Comments