

Project Evaluation Template

Project: Nick Krolkowski - Pomodoro Timer

Reviewer: Han

Code/Repo Smells

- ☐ Does the code seem organized and readable?
 - ☐ Good indentation, meaningful naming, good amount of commenting, etc.
 - **Comments are short; will need to look into the app to understand**
- ☐ How simple (or complex) is the architecture?
 - ☐ Repo navigable, files organized meaningfully, etc.
 - **Files could have been organized by functionality instead of types (currently, all js files are in one folder for example)**
- ☐ Is there a CI/CD pipeline in place?
 - ☐ Are there automated tests in place?
 - **There appears to have integration testing, but nothing for unit testing**
- ☐ Is there proper documentation?
 - ☐ Code: Class diagrams, API descriptions, etc.
 - **Can't find diagrams**
 - **No api was used**
 - ☐ Repo: Onboarding documents, pipeline instructions, roadmaps, high level diagrams, ADRs, etc.
 - **There is a library that documents every function, variable, and custom class, which is awesomesauce.**
 - **No pipeline instructions, roadmaps, or high-level diagrams.**
 - **Readme explains Promo app**

Score (1-5): 4.5

Comments:

- Code seems organized; comments are concise but can be more elaborative at certain sections.
- Testing is up and running; definitely still need a lot of work
- THE LIBRARY IS VERY COOL.

Application Quality

- ☐ Is the app easy to set up and run?
 - App is pretty intuitive; instruction on how to use is available when opening.
 - Can enhance usability
- ☐ Was there a design process?
 - Can't find any design documentation on repo. Are they not here or was there no design system at all.
- ☐ Can it be stood up easily?
 - App seems functional. I think it should have updated the list of distractions on the screen once "End session" button is hit?
- ☐ Does the app seem functional? Does it work as expected?
 - Overall everything looks fine.
- ☐ Does it seem polished?
 - Pretty simple; UI can use some improvement
 - ☐ Intuitive to use? Complicated and unfriendly?
 - Pretty user friendly

- Guide available at start, which is pretty slick
- ☐ Responsive? Janky?
- Not responsive on mobile; so this was developed on desktop first?
- ☐ Bugs everywhere?
- Nothing that messes with functionality at first glance.
- ☐ Pleasing UI? Hard to look at?
- Pretty simple and intuitive; much room for improvement
- ☐ Accessibility options?
- Dark mode options
- Options to change sound and workplace in settings

Score (1-5): 4

Comments:

- The code looks fine. Adding a mobile friendly interface after having desktop ready would be a pain.
- Can consider bootstrap for ease of use?
- Would like to see design documentation.

Self Considerations

- ☐ What tools and frameworks are used? Are they difficult to pick up?
- React was used; could be challenging to pick up in a short amount of time without much web dev experience.
- ☐ At a glance:
 - ☐ Would this project be fun to work on?
 - Improvement on design would be fun.
 - The concept of the app is pretty straightforward. Adding fun features such as rewards for going multiple sessions?
 - ☐ How much more could we improve this project?
 - Improvement on design and mobile should be top priority.
- ☐ Do we have team members familiar with specific tools/dependencies used?
 - I am familiar with react; not sure about everyone.

Score (1-5, will be discounted by 50%): 3

Comments:

- I think most of the fun will come from changing design and adding new features. Otherwise, the app is pretty bare.
- Would be a great project to pick up if the design documentation was done right.

Other Comments: much cool