

## Project Evaluation Template

Project: Bullet Journal (Matthew)

Reviewer: Joseph Christopher Priyanto

### Code/Repo Smells

- ☒ ~~Does the code seem organized and readable?~~
  - ☒ ~~Good indentation, meaningful naming, good amount of commenting, etc.~~
- ☒ ~~How simple (or complex) is the architecture?~~
  - ☐ Repo navigable, files organized meaningfully, etc.
- ☐ Is there a CI/CD pipeline in place?
  - ☐ Are there automated tests in place?
- ☒ ~~Is there proper documentation?~~
  - ☒ ~~Code: Class diagrams, API descriptions, etc.~~
  - ☐ Repo: Onboarding documents, pipeline instructions, roadmaps, high level diagrams, ADRs, etc.

Score (1-5): 3

Comments: The code themselves has meaningful names and explanatory comments, but I couldn't find CI/CD pipeline and the file structure seems to be off, definitely room for improvement.

### Application Quality

- ☒ ~~Is the app easy to set up and run?~~
- ☐ Was there a design process?
  - ☐ System design, site architecture, UX research, needfinding, wireframing, lowfi & hifi.
- ☐ Can it be stood up easily?
  - ☐ Is there a working demo showcasing functionality?
- ☒ ~~Does the app seem functional? Does it work as expected?~~
  - ☒ ~~Working buttons, options, features~~
- ☐ Does it seem polished?
  - ☐ Intuitive to use? Complicated and unfriendly?
  - ☒ ~~Responsive? Janky?~~
  - ☐ Bugs everywhere?
  - ☐ Pleasing UI? Hard to look at?
  - ☐ Accessibility options?

Score (1-5): 2

Comments: Setting up was easy on my end. Some functionality didn't work (the monthly button didn't seem to work among other things). Moreover, in my opinion, the UI is less intuitive and it made me a little bit confused what to do when landed on to the journal.

### Self Considerations

- ☒ ~~What tools and frameworks are used? Are they difficult to pick up?~~
- ☐ At a glance:
  - ☒ ~~Would this project be fun to work on?~~

- ☐ How much more could we improve this project?
- ☐ Do we have team members familiar with specific tools/dependencies used?

Score (1-5, will be discounted by 50%): 4

Comments: There is a lot of improvements that could be made, since it uses zero third-party dependencies, definitely relatively easy to work with. However, with the current file structure, I think one of the challenges is to debug the non-working stuff and navigate the repo.

#### **Other Comments**