

## Project Evaluation Template

Project: Bullet Journal (Mathew)

Reviewer: Nick Krolkowski

### Code/Repo Smells

- ☒ ~~Does the code seem organized and readable?~~
  - ☒ ~~Good indentation, meaningful naming, good amount of commenting, etc.~~
- ☒ ~~How simple (or complex) is the architecture?~~
  - ☐ Repo navigable, files organized meaningfully, etc.
- ☒ ~~Is there a CI/CD pipeline in place?~~
  - ☐ Are there automated tests in place?
- ☒ ~~Is there proper documentation?~~
  - ☐ Code: Class diagrams, API descriptions, etc. **NO**
  - ☒ ~~Repo: Onboarding documents, pipeline instructions, roadmaps, high-level diagrams, ADRs, etc.~~  
**Minimal documentation, did have onboarding**

Score (1-5): 4

Comments: Documentation was a bit hard to find but almost all of it was there.

### Application Quality

- ☐ Is the app easy to set up and run? **No, had a difficult time understanding how to use it.**
- ☐ Was there a design process? **NO**
  - ☐ System design, site architecture, UX research, needfinding, wireframing, lowfi & hifi.
- ☒ ~~Can it be stood up easily?~~
  - ☒ ~~Is there a working demo showcasing functionality?~~
- ☐ Does the app seem functional? Does it work as expected?
  - ☐ Working buttons, options, features
- ☐ Does it seem polished?
  - ☐ Intuitive to use? Complicated and unfriendly?
  - ☐ Responsive? Janky?
  - ☒ ~~Bugs everywhere?~~
  - ☐ Pleasing UI? Hard to look at?
  - ☐ Accessibility options?

Score (1-5): 1

Comments: extremely hard to use and understand, wish there was a tutorial of some sort.

Buttons randomly wouldn't work at times.

### Self Considerations

- ☐ What tools and frameworks are used? Are they difficult to pick up?
- ☐ At a glance:
  - ☐ Would this project be fun to work on?
  - ☐ How much more could we improve this project?
- ☐ Do we have team members familiar with specific tools/dependencies used?

Score (1-5, will be discounted by 50%): 4

Comments: One good thing is that they used zero dependencies in the project, which would make it easy to pick up the project. This project is definitely a bit of a fixer upper, but that could make the end result that much better. We could greatly improve the performance, simplicity, and accessibility of the app.

**Other Comments**

This app requires restructuring both on the organizational and code levels. Lot of work but could be worth it.