Project Evaluation Template

Project: Pomo Timer by Nick K. Reviewer: Matthew	
Code/Repo Smells	
Does the code seem organized and readable?	
Good indentation, meaningful naming, good amount of commenting, etc.	
How simple (or complex) is the architecture?	
Repo navigable, files organized meaningfully, etc.	
✓ Is there a CI/CD pipeline in place?	
✓ Are there automated tests in place?	
✓ Is there proper documentation?	
✓ Code: Class diagrams, API descriptions, etc.	
Repo: Onboarding documents, pipeline instructions, roadmaps, high level diagrams, ADRs, etc.	
 Score (1-5): 5 Comments: Code is structured and organized logically (I like how variable declarations are separated into their own files) Appropriate comments everywhere, nice formatting Has a fairly detailed wiki on how to contribute to the project Issues and pull requests used well All the necessary specifications are there (in a separate branch called "meeting_notes") 	
Application Quality	
✓ Is the app easy to set up and run?	
Was there a design process?	
System design, site architecture, UX research, needfinding, wireframing, lowfi & hifi.	
✓ Can it be stood up easily?	
✓ Is there a working demo showcasing functionality?	
Does the app seem functional? Does it work as expected?	
Working buttons, options, features	
✓ Intuitive to use? Complicated and unfriendly?	
Responsive? Janky?	

Score (1-5): **4**

Comments:

• Seems fairly easy to set up

☐ Bugs everywhere?

Accessibility options?

☐ Pleasing UI? Hard to look at?

- Includes a tutorial to get you started on using the app!
- Has a dark mode but not many other accessibility options

- Few janky areas and bugs:
 - Website does not scale too well with the window (and breaks on mobile)
 - Dark mode color changes are inconsistent
 - o Task list has a random sharp corner
 - Distraction modal doesn't smoothly darken background
- Color scheme is unappealing but the overall layout is clean

Self Considerations

\leq	What tools and frameworks are used? Are they difficult to pick up?
	At a glance:
	Would this project be fun to work on?
	How much more could we improve this project?
	Do we have team members familiar with specific tools/dependencies used?

Score (1-5, will be discounted by 50%): **5**

Comments:

- Third party tools: Materialize and Intro.js
 - Materialize is simple to pick up
 - o Intro.js only used for onboarding tour, doesn't seem difficult to learn
- Most of the team is familiar with vanilla web dev and the tools used are simple, so nothing too crazy
- Some things could be polished
 - Some inconsistent design scattered around
 - O Home button doesn't make sense?
- Hard to say what else can be added, pomodoro timers are inherently simple. The main focus would likely be on polish
 - Could add a game component (i.e that popular tree growing app on iOS)
 - Maybe some social aspects (synchronized timers, leaderboards, etc.)
 - Could add more detailed statistics (i.e monthly or yearly views of pomo session frequency)
- I actually wanted to try making a pomo timer of my own, so this would be fun to work on!
- Simple concept means the team won't need to commit excessive amounts of time to making the app into a good one

Other Comments

- ~40 minute evaluation
- Looks promising!
- Final score: 5 + 4 + 5(0.5) = 11.5