

Charter School Heterogeneity: CF Output Tables

Nicholas Lacoste

September 10, 2024

1 Graduation Rate Results

Table 1:

| | Covariate | Significantly Positive | Significantly Negative | Difference (Positive - Negative) |
|----|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Log of Enrollment | 7.56 | 7.35 | 0.21 |
| 2 | Percent White | 0.90 | 0.67 | 0.23 |
| 3 | Percent Black | 0.03 | 0.06 | -0.03 |
| 4 | Percent Hispanic | 0.04 | 0.21 | -0.17 |
| 5 | Percent Free/Reduced Lunch | 0.24 | 0.39 | -0.15 |
| 6 | Percent Special Ed | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.01 |
| 7 | Urban | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| 8 | Suburb | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.01 |
| 9 | Town | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| 10 | Rural | 0.52 | 0.56 | -0.04 |
| 11 | Per Pupil Revenue | 9112.63 | 8733.56 | 379.07 |
| 12 | Per Pupil Expenditure | 9155.10 | 8748.36 | 406.74 |
| 13 | Student-Teacher Ratio | 15.66 | 15.38 | 0.29 |
| 14 | Teacher Salary | 71827.03 | 69836.17 | 1990.86 |
| 15 | Number of Magnet Schools | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| 16 | Charter Effectiveness | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.01 |
| 17 | Number of Observations | 5730.00 | 2727.00 | 8457.00 |

Table 2:

| | Group | GATE | SE | p.value | X..N |
|---|--------------------------|-------|------|---------|------|
| 1 | Urban | 0.49 | 0.31 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| 2 | Suburban | -0.18 | 0.18 | 0.32 | 0.23 |
| 3 | Rural | 0.55 | 0.60 | 0.36 | 0.52 |
| 4 | Percent Free Lunch > 20% | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.60 |

Table 3:

| Variable | Estimate | Std..Error | t.value | Pr...t.. |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept) | -6.73 | 1.49 | -4.51 | 0.00 |
| logenroll | 0.52 | 0.12 | 4.15 | 0.00 |
| perhsp | 0.19 | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.70 |
| perfrl | 2.53 | 1.16 | 2.18 | 0.03 |
| perwht | 1.53 | 1.04 | 1.46 | 0.14 |
| str | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.83 |

Figure 1:





Figure 2:



2 Test Scores Results