MATH 151A FINAL REVIEW

NICK MONOZON

1. Proofs

(i) Let $f \in C^2[a, b]$, h = (b - a)/n, and $x_j = a + jh$ for each j = 0, ..., n. There exists a $\mu \in (a, b)$ for which Composite Trapezoidal Rule for n subintervals can be written with its error term as

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \frac{h}{2} \left[f(a) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f(x_j) + f(b) \right] - \frac{b-a}{12} h^2 f''(\mu).$$

Proof. Define h = (b-a)/n, $x_j = a+jh$ for each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, $x_0 = a$, and $x_n = b$. We have that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} f(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{h}{2} (f(x_{j-1}) + f(x_{j})) - \frac{h^{3}}{12} f''(\xi_{j}) \right].$$

for $\xi_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$. We expand the above expression to get

$$= \frac{h}{2} \left[f(x_0) + f(x_1) + f(x_1) + f(x_2) + f(x_2) + f(x_3) + \dots + f(x_{n-1}) + f(x_n) \right] - \frac{h^3}{12} \sum_{j=1}^n f''(\xi_j)$$

$$= \frac{h}{2} \left[f(x_0) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f(x_j) + f(x_n) \right] - \underbrace{\frac{h^3}{12} \sum_{j=1}^n f''(\xi_j)}_{==0}.$$

We want to rewrite the quantity α . If $f'' \in C[a, b]$, then by Extreme Value Theorem, f'' has a minimum and maximum on [a, b]. That is, for all $x \in [a, b]$,

$$\min_{x \in [a,b]} f''(x) \le f''(x) \le \max_{x \in [a,b]} f''(x)$$

$$\implies \min_{x \in [a,b]} f''(x) \le f''(\xi_j) \le \max_{x \in [a,b]} f''(x)$$

$$\implies \sum_{j=1}^{n} \min_{x \in [a,b]} f''(x) \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} f''(\xi_j) \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} \max_{x \in [a,b]} f''(x)$$

$$\implies n \times \min_{x \in [a,b]} f''(x) \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} f''(\xi_j) \le n \times \max_{x \in [a,b]} f''(x)$$

$$\implies \min_{x \in [a,b]} f''(x) \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f''(\xi_j) \le \max_{x \in [a,b]} f''(x).$$

By Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists $\mu \in (a,b)$ such that $f''(\mu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f''(\xi_j)$ where $j \in \{0,1,\ldots,n\}$. This means that

$$f''(\mu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f''(\xi_i) \implies nf''(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f''(\xi_i).$$

This further implies that

$$-\frac{h^3}{12}\sum_{i=1}^n f^{\prime\prime}(\xi_j) = -\frac{h^3}{12}nf^{\prime\prime}(\mu) = -\frac{h^2(b-a)}{12n}nf^{\prime\prime}(\mu) = -\frac{b-a}{12}h^2f^{\prime\prime}(\mu).$$

Using this result, since we defined $x_0 = a$ and $x_n = b$, it follows that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \frac{h}{2} \left[f(a) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f(x_j) + f(b) \right] - \frac{b-a}{12} h^2 f''(\mu).$$

This concludes the proof.

(ii) Suppose that $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ are the roots of the *n*th Legendre polynomial $P_n(x)$ and that for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, the numbers c_i are defined by

$$c_i = \int_{-1}^1 \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{x - x_j}{x_i - x_j} \, dx.$$

If P(x) is any polynomial of degree less than 2n, then

$$\int_{-1}^{1} P(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i P(x_i).$$

Proof. We will split this into two cases: (1) P(x) has degree < n and (2) P(x) has degree $\ge n$ but < 2n.

(1) Suppose that P(x) has degree < n. The Lagrange polynomial P(x) with n nodes x_1, \ldots, x_n is

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{x - x_j}{x_i - x_j} P(x_i) + \underbrace{\frac{P^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!} (x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_n)}_{=0}$$

where $P^{(n)}(\xi) = 0$ because P(x) has degree < n. We integrate to get that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} P(x) dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{x - x_{j}}{x_{i} - x_{j}} P(x_{i}) dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{x - x_{j}}{x_{i} - x_{j}} dx \right) P(x_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} P(x_{i}).$$

This takes care of the first case. We now want to show the second case.

(2) Suppose that P(x) has degree $\geq n$ but < 2n. If we divide P(x) by the nth Legendre polynomial $P_n(x)$, we get

$$P(x) = O(x)P_n(x) + R(x)$$

where Q(x), R(x) are polynomials of degree < n. We have that x_i are the roots of $P_n(x)$, so $P_n(x_i) = 0$ for each i = 1, ..., n. Evaluating P(x) at each $x = x_i$, it follows that

$$P(x_i) = \underbrace{Q(x_i)P_n(x_i)}_{=0} + R(x_i).$$

This implies that $P(x_i) = R(x_i)$. We furthermore have that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} P(x) dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \underbrace{Q(x)P_n(x)}_{=0} + \int_{-1}^{1} R(x) dx$$
$$= \int_{-1}^{1} R(x) dx$$

where $Q(x)P_n(x) = 0$ by the properties of Legendre polynomials. From case (1), we have that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} P(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} R(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i R(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i P(x_i).$$

This verifies case (2) and concludes the proof.

(iii) Show that the formula $Q(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i P(x_i)$ cannot have degree of precision greater than 2n-1, regardless of the choice of c_1, \ldots, c_n and x_1, \cdots, x_n .

Proof. We will proceed by contradiction. Suppose that Q(p) has degree of precision 2n. We construct the polynomial

$$P(x) = (x - x_1)^2 (x - x_2)^2 \cdots (x - x_n)^2,$$

which has degree 2n. Since the degree of precision is 2n, we have that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} P(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i P(x_i),$$

but $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i P(x_i) = 0$. However, we have that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} P(x) \, dx = \int_{-1}^{1} (x - x_1)^2 (x - x_2)^2 \cdots (x - x_n)^2 \, dx > 0.$$

Hence, this implies that 0 > 0, so a contradiction has arisen. Therefore, the degree of precision of Q(p) is at most 2n - 1.

2. Formulas

(a) **Trapezoidal rule**. Let $x_0 = a$ and $x_1 = b$ where $h = x_1 - x_0$. Then for $\xi \in (x_0, x_1)$ we have that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \frac{h}{2} \left[f(x_0) + f(x_1) \right] - \frac{h^3}{12} f''(\xi).$$

(b) **Simpson's rule**. Let $x_0 = a$, $x_2 = b$, h = (b - a)/2, and $x_1 = x_0 + h$. Then for $\xi \in (x_0, x_2)$ we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \frac{h}{3} \left[f(x_0) + 4f(x_1) + f(x_2) \right] - \frac{h^5}{90} f^{(4)}(\xi).$$

(c) **Difference formula.** To estimate $f'(x_0)$ where the distance between points is h, we have that

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{h} - \frac{h}{2}f''(\xi)$$

where ξ is between x_0 and $x_0 + h$. If h > 0, this is the forward difference formula. If h < 0, this is the backward difference formula.

(d) **Centered difference formula**. For this formula, we require 3 equally-spaced points: $x_0, x_0 - h$, and $x_0 + h$. Then the formula is

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)}{2h} - \frac{h^2}{6}f^{(3)}(\xi)$$

where $\xi \in (x_0 - h, x_0 + h)$.