

**Note from the First Author:**

If you are a member of a university admissions committee or a professor whom I have contacted, and you would like to review the full manuscript for admission evaluation, please feel free to email me. I will be happy to share it.

This PDF contains only a brief excerpt of the work, as I am currently awaiting arXiv preprint endorsement to upload the complete version. I hope this snippet is helpful. Thank you.

**Biomedical Signal Processing and Control**  
**A Comparative study of the latest Artificial intelligence-based Models for Plaque Measurement in a Carotid Ultrasound-based Japanese Cohort**  
--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	BSPC-D-25-04874
<b>Article Type:</b>	Research Paper
<b>Keywords:</b>	: cardiovascular risk; carotid plaque measurement; deep learning; U-Net; attention-based models; two-stage architecture
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Jasjit S. Suri, Ph.D Atheropoint CA USA UNITED STATES
<b>First Author:</b>	Nikhil Singh
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Nikhil Singh Rubeena Vohra Arun K. Dubey Pankaj K. Jain Mainak Biswas Ekta Tiwari Inder M. Singh Esma R Isenovic Amer M. Johri Laura E. Mantella John R Laird Narendra N. Khanna Zoltan Ruzsa Rajesh Singh Sanjay Saxena Mustafa Al-Maini Klaudija Viskovic J Fernandes E Fernandes Manudeep K Kalra Andrew Nicolaides George Kitas Luca Saba Vijay Viswanathan Jasjit S. Suri, Ph.D
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>Background and Motivation</p> <p>Accurate plaque measurement in carotid ultrasound images is essential for effective diagnosis and CVD risk stratification. Traditional methods for plaque assessment rely on manual segmentation and rule-based approaches, leading to inconsistent results and observer variability. Although deep learning (DL) models have increased segmentation accuracy, they face challenges in dealing with complex plaque structures</p>

as well as low contrast ultrasound images. This study aims to compare state-of-the-art AI-driven models for carotid plaque measurement, evaluating their efficiency, robustness, and clinical applicability in a Japanese cohort.

#### Method

This study evaluates three deep learning models, i.e., (i) conventional neural networks(cNonAtt-dsCNN), (ii) attention-enhanced U-Net(cAtt-ssUNet),(iii) a two-stage attention-based method(cAtt-dsUNet) and compares against commercial AtheroEdge™ 2.0(AE2.0) from AtheroPoint, CA, USA-an automated method. All three DL models were trained on the same Japanese data with identical hyperparameters using the Adam optimizer, batch size 32, and a learning rate of 0.001 on an NVIDIA GPU cluster. The metrics used were: (i) total plaque area, and carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT), giving the automated lumen-intima, and media-adventitia (LIMA) boundaries in the far wall carotid ultrasound scans. The performance of these models was assessed using (i) Dice similarity, (ii) Jaccard index on binary segmented walls, and (iii) polyline distance metric for measuring cIMT.

#### Results

The results indicate the correlation between (i) plaque area to ground truth area cAtt-ssUNet<AE2.0<cAtt-dsUNet; (ii) for cIMT: cNonAtt-dsCNN<cAtt-dsUNet, (iii) for model size: AE2.0 <cNonAtt-dsCNN<cAtt-ssUNet<cAtt-dsUNet. (iv) Similarly, for dice similarity index cAtt-ssUNet<cAtt-dsUNet.

#### Conclusions

cAtt-dsUNet outperformed traditional DL methods and is comparable to the commercial system AtheroEdge™.

## A Comparative study of the latest Artificial intelligence-based Models for Plaque Measurement in a Carotid Ultrasound-based Japanese Cohort

Nikhil Singh<sup>1,2</sup>, Rubeena Vohra<sup>1</sup>, Arun K. Dubey<sup>1</sup>, Pankaj K. Jain<sup>3</sup>, Mainak Biswas<sup>4</sup>, Ekta Tiwari<sup>5</sup>, Inder M. Singh<sup>2</sup>, Esma R Isenovic<sup>6</sup>, Amer M. Johri<sup>7</sup>, Laura E. Mantella<sup>8</sup>, John R Laird<sup>9</sup>, Narendra N. Khanna<sup>10</sup>, Zoltan Ruzsa<sup>11</sup>, Rajesh Singh<sup>12</sup>, Sanjay Saxena<sup>13</sup>, Mustafa Al-Maini<sup>14</sup>, Klaudija Viskovic<sup>15</sup>, J Fernandes E Fernandes<sup>16</sup>, Manudeep K Kalra<sup>17</sup>, Andrew Nicolaides<sup>18</sup>, George Kitas<sup>19</sup>, Vijay Viswanathan<sup>20</sup>, LucaSaba<sup>21</sup>, Jasjit S. Suri<sup>2,22,23,24</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bharati Vidyapeeth's College of Engineering, New Delhi, INDIA.

<sup>2</sup>Stroke Monitoring and Diagnostic Division, AtheroPoint™, Roseville, California, USA.

<sup>3</sup>School of Medicine, Washington University, St Louis, MO USA.

<sup>4</sup>School of Computer Engineering, Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology, Bhubaneswar, INDIA.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Innovation, Global Biomedical Technologies, Inc., Roseville, CA, USA.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Radiobiology and Molecular Genetics, "VINČA" Institute of Nuclear Sciences - National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, SERBIA.

<sup>7</sup>Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, CANADA.

<sup>8</sup>Department of Biomedical and Molecular Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, CANADA.

<sup>9</sup>Heart and Vascular Institute, Adventist Health St. Helena, St Helena, CA, 94574, USA.

<sup>10</sup>Department of Cardiology, Indraprastha APOLLO Hospitals, New Delhi 110001, INDIA.

<sup>11</sup>Invasive Cardiology Division, University of Szeged, Szeged, HUNGARY.

<sup>12</sup>Department of Research and Innovation, Uttarakhand Institute of Technology, Uttarakhand University, Dehradun, 248007, INDIA.

<sup>13</sup>Department of CSE, IIIT, Bhubaneswar, INDIA.

<sup>14</sup>Allergy, Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology Institute, Toronto, ON M5G 1N8, CANADA.

<sup>15</sup>University Hospital for Infectious Diseases, Zagreb, CROATIA.

<sup>16</sup>Cardiovascular Institute and the Lisbon University Medical School, Hospital de SantaMaria, Lisbon 1600 190, PORTUGAL.

<sup>17</sup>Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.

<sup>18</sup>Vascular Screening and Diagnostic Centre, University of Nicosia, Nicosia 2417, CYPRUS.

<sup>19</sup>Department of Rheumatology, University of Manchester, Dudley, UK.

<sup>20</sup>MV Diabetes Centre, Royapuram, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, INDIA.

<sup>21</sup>Dept. of Neurology, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, ITALY.

<sup>22</sup>Department of ECE, Idaho State University, Pocatello, ID, 83209, USA.

<sup>23</sup>University Center for Research & Development, Chandigarh University, Mohali, INDIA.

<sup>24</sup>Symbiosis Institute of Technology, Nagpur Campus, Symbiosis International (Deemed University), Pune, INDIA.

\*Corresponding Author:

Dr. Jasjit S. Suri, PhD, MBA, FIEEE<sup>a</sup>, FAIMBE<sup>b</sup>, FSVM<sup>c</sup>, FAIUM<sup>d</sup>, FAPVS<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Fellow, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

<sup>b</sup>Fellow, American Institute of Medical and Biological Engineering

<sup>c</sup>Fellow, Society of Vascular Medicine

<sup>d</sup>Fellow, American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine

<sup>e</sup>Fellow, Asia Pacific Vascular Society

Stroke Monitoring and Diagnosis Division

AtheroPoint™, Roseville,

Roseville, CA 95661, USA

Phone: (916)-749-5628

Email:[jasjit.suri@atheropoint.com](mailto:jasjit.suri@atheropoint.com)