## 6. Forms and polar spaces

In this section V is a vector space over a field k.

6.1. Sesquilinear forms. A sesquilinear form on V is a function

$$\beta: V \times V \to k$$

for which there exists  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(k)$  such that

- (1)  $\beta(c_1x_1 + c_2x_2, y) = c_1\beta(x_1, y) + c_2\beta(x_2, y)$  for all  $c_1, c_2 \in k$  and  $x_1, x_2, y \in V$ ;
- (2)  $\beta(x, c_1y_1 + c_2y_2) = c_1^{\sigma}\beta(x, y_1) + c_2^{\sigma}\beta(x, y_2)$  for all  $c_1, c_2$  in k and  $x, y_1, y_2 \in V$ .

In this case we say that  $\beta$  is  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear. If  $\sigma = 1$ , then k is a field and  $\beta$  is bilinear. We define

- (1) The left radical of  $\beta$  is  $\{x \in V \mid \beta(x,y) = 0, \forall y \in V\}$ .
- (2) The right radical of  $\beta$  is  $\{y \in V \mid \beta(x,y) = 0, \forall x \in V\}$ .

(E60\*) Prove that the left and right radicals are subspaces.

**(E61\*)** Prove that if dim  $V < \infty$ , then the left and right radicals have the same dimension. Give a counter-example to this assertion when dim  $V = \infty$ .

From here on we will assume that  $n := \dim V < \infty$ . We call  $\beta$  non-degenerate if its left and right radicals are trivial.

Recall that a duality of  $PG_{n-1}(k)$  is a weak automorphism that maps a subspace of dimension d to a subspace of dimension n-d. We can construct a duality from a non-degenerate sesquilinear form  $\beta$  as follows: for  $y \in V$  define

$$\beta_y: V \to k, \ x \mapsto \beta(x,y).$$

Observe that the map  $V \to V^*, y \mapsto \beta_y$  is a  $\sigma$ -semilinear bijection, and so induces an isomorphism  $PG(V) \to PG(V^*)$ . Now composing with the inverse of the 'annihilator map',  $U \to U^{\dagger}$ , which we have seen already, we obtain the duality

(9) 
$$\operatorname{PG}(V) \to \operatorname{PG}(V), U \mapsto U^{\perp} := \{ x \in V \mid \beta(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in U \}.$$

(E62\*) Check that this is a duality

**Theorem 28.** If  $n \geq 3$ , then any duality  $\Delta$  of PG(V) has form  $U \to U^{\perp}$  where  $U^{\perp}$  is defined via (9) for some non-degenerate sesquilinear form  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 16 implies that  $\Delta = st^{-1}$  where s is induced by a semilinear bijection  $\phi: V \to V^*$  and  $t: U \to U^{\dagger}$  is the annihilator map. Now set

$$\beta: V \times V \to k, (x, y) \mapsto x^{y\phi}$$

and the result follows.

Let us fix  $\beta$  to be a  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form on V. We say  $\beta$  is reflexive if  $\beta(x,y) = 0$  implies  $\beta(y,x) = 0$ . For a reflexive form, the left and right radicals coincide and we shall just call this subspace the radical of  $\beta$ ,

$$Rad(\beta) := \{ v \in V \mid \beta(v, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in V \}.$$

Recall that the form  $\beta$  is non-degenerate if and only if Rad( $\beta$ ) =  $\{0\}$ .

Observe that  $U \to (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$  is a collineation of PG(V). A polarity is a duality with  $U = (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$  for all  $U \leq V$ .

**Lemma 29.** Let  $\beta$  be non-degenerate. The duality (9) is a polarity if and only if  $\beta$  is reflexive.

*Proof.* The form  $\beta$  is reflexive if and only if

$$x \in \langle y \rangle^{\perp} \Longrightarrow y \in \langle x \rangle^{\perp}.$$

Thus if  $\beta$  is reflexive, then  $U \leq U^{\perp \perp}$  for all  $U \leq V$ . Now, since  $\beta$  is non-degenerate,

$$\dim(U^{\perp\perp}) = \dim(V) - \dim(U^{\perp}) = \dim(U),$$

and so  $U = U^{\perp \perp}$  for all U.

For the converse, given a polarity  $\bot$ , if  $y \in \langle x \rangle^{\bot}$ , then  $x \in \langle x \rangle^{\bot\bot} \leq \langle y \rangle^{\bot}$  and we are done.

We say that  $\beta$  is

- (1)  $\sigma$ -Hermitian, where  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(k)$ , if  $\beta(y,x) = \beta(x,y)^{\sigma}$  for all  $x,y \in V$ ;
- (2) symmetric, if  $\beta(y, x) = \beta(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in V$ ;
- (3) alternating, if  $\beta(x,x) = 0$  for all  $x \in V$ ;
- (4) skew-symmetric, if  $\beta(x,y) = -\beta(y,x)$  for all  $x,y \in V$ .

Note: if we say ' $\beta$  is  $\sigma$ -Hermitian', we will implicitly assume that  $\sigma \neq 1$ , otherwise we would say that ' $\beta$  is symmetric'. We record a number of easy observations in the next lemma.

**Lemma 30.** (1) If  $\beta$  is  $\sigma$ -Hermitian, then  $\sigma^2 = 1$  and  $\beta(x, x) \in \text{Fix}(\sigma)$  for all  $x \in V$ ;

- (2) If  $\beta$  is alternating, symmetric or skew-symmetric, then  $\beta$  is bilinear;
- (3) If char(k) = 2 and  $\beta$  is alternating, then  $\beta$  is symmetric;
- (4) If  $char(k) \neq 2$ , then  $\beta$  is alternating if and only if  $\beta$  is skew-symmetric.
- (5) If  $\beta$  is  $\sigma$ -Hermitian, symmetric, alternating or skew-symmetric, then  $\beta$  is reflexive.

*Proof.* (1) is easy. For (3) and (4) assume that  $\beta$  is alternating and observe that, for  $x, y \in V$ ,

$$0 = \beta(x + y, x + y) = \beta(x, x) + \beta(x, y) + \beta(y, x) + \beta(y, y) = \beta(x, y) + \beta(y, x).$$

and the statements follows. For (2) and (5) the result is obvious unless  $\beta$  is alternating. But in that case, (3) and (4) imply that  $\beta$  is either symmetric or skew-symmetric, and the result follows.

Theorem 32, proved below, is the partial converse to (5).

6.2. Matrices and the classification of forms. Let us fix a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for V and let  $\beta$  be a  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form. It is easy to see that, there exists a matrix A such that, with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\beta(x,y) = x^T \cdot A \cdot y^{\sigma}.$$

We call A the matrix for  $\beta$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}^{6}$ .

The following proposition connects properties of  $\beta$  to properties of A.

**Proposition 31.** Let  $\beta$  be a  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form and A the matrix for  $\beta$  with respect to some basis.

- (1)  $\beta$  is non-degenerate  $\iff$  rank(A) = n;
- (2)  $\beta$  is  $\sigma$ -Hermitian  $\iff \sigma^2 = 1 \neq \sigma$  and  $A = (A^T)^{\sigma}$ ;
- (3)  $\beta$  is symmetric  $\iff \sigma = 1$  and  $A = A^T$ ;
- (4)  $\beta$  is alternating  $\iff \sigma = 1$  and  $A_{ii} = 0$  for i = 1, ..., n;
- (5)  $\beta$  is skew-symmetric  $\iff \sigma = 1$  and  $A = -A^T$ ;

Proof. (E63) Prove this.

П

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Note that, if  $y = (y_{ij})$ , a matrix with entries in the field k, then we define  $y^{\sigma} := (y_{ij}^{\sigma})$ .

We are now ready to classify reflexive  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear forms. In the course of the proof we will encounter a matrix characterization of such a form.

**Theorem 32.** Let  $\beta: V \times V \to k$  be a reflexive  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form. If  $\dim(V/\operatorname{Rad}(\beta)) \geq 3$ , then  $\beta$  is of one of the following types:

- (1) alternating;
- (2) symmetric;
- (3) a scalar multiple of a  $\sigma$ -Hermitian form with  $\sigma^2 = 1 \neq \sigma$ .

*Proof.* 1. Claim: It is sufficient to prove the theorem for the case when  $\beta$  is non-degenerate. **Proof of claim**: Suppose that  $\beta: V \times V \to k$  is degenerate. Write R for the radical  $\text{Rad}(\beta)$ . Then define the form

$$\beta_0: V/R \times V/R \to k, (x+R,y+R) \mapsto \beta(x,y).$$

It is easy to check that  $\beta_0$  is a well-defined, non-degenerate, reflexive  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form. If we assume that the theorem is true for non-degenerate forms, then  $\beta_0$  is one of the three listed types. Now, since  $\beta(x,y) = \beta_0(x+R,y+R)$ ,  $\beta$  is also one of the three listed types and we are done.

Thus we assume from here on that  $\beta$  is non-degenerate.

**2.** Claim:  $\{\lambda \in k \mid \lambda \lambda^{\sigma} = 1\} = \{\epsilon/\epsilon^{\sigma} \mid \epsilon \in k\}.$ 

(E64\*) Prove the claim.

**3. Finish the proof.** Let A be the matrix for  $\beta$  with respect to some fixed basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . For  $x_1, \ldots, x_l \in V$ , define

$$[x_1, \dots, x_l] := \{ y \in V \mid y^t x_1 = y^T x_2 = \dots = y^T x_l = 0 \}$$

Now define  $\Delta_0$  to be the polarity of PG(V) that, for  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in V$ , does

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \longleftrightarrow [x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

(E65\*) Prove that this is a polarity.

Next let  $\Delta$  be the polarity associated with  $\beta$ . Thus, if  $y \in V$ , then

$$\langle y \rangle^{\Delta} = \{ x \in V \mid x^T A y^{\sigma} = 0 \}$$

$$= [A y^{\sigma}].$$

Now observe that  $\Delta\Delta_0$  and  $\Delta_0\Delta$  are collineations of PG(V) and so are induced by semilinear transformations on V,  $\overline{\Delta\Delta_0}$  and  $\overline{\Delta_0\Delta}$  respectively. Now (10) implies that

$$(11) y\overline{\Delta\Delta_0} = cAy^{\sigma}$$

for some constant c. On the other hand, suppose that  $y^Tz=0$ . Let  $x=A^{-T}y^{\sigma}$  and observe that

$$x^T A z^{\sigma} = (y^{\sigma})^T A^{-1} A z^{\sigma} = 0.$$

We conclude that  $[y]^{\Delta} = \langle A^{-T}y^{\sigma} \rangle$  and so

$$y\overline{\Delta_0\Delta} = dA^{-T}y^{\sigma}$$

for some constant d.

Let us calculate the composition  $\overline{\Delta \Delta_0} \cdot \overline{\Delta_0 \Delta}$ :

$$ey\overline{\Delta\Delta_0} \cdot \overline{\Delta_0\Delta} = ecAy^{\sigma}\overline{\Delta_0\Delta} = edc^{\sigma}A^{-T}A^{\sigma}y^{\sigma^2}.$$

Clearly  $\overline{\Delta \Delta_0} \cdot \overline{\Delta_0 \Delta}$  induces the collineation  $\Delta^2$  and, since  $\Delta$  is a polarity,  $\Delta^2 = 1$ . This implies that  $\overline{\Delta \Delta_0} \cdot \overline{\Delta_0 \Delta}$  lies in the kernel of the action of  $\Gamma L_n(k)$  on  $PG_{n-1}(k)$ . By (E40) we know

that this kernel is equal to the set of invertible scalar matrices, thus we conclude that  $\sigma^2 = 1$  and  $A^{-T}A^{\sigma} = cI$  form some constant c. We therefore obtain that

$$(13) A = c(A^T)^{\sigma^{-1}}$$

for some  $c \in k$ . Now (13) implies, immediately that  $A^T = cA^{\sigma^{-1}}$  and substituting this identity in we obtain

$$(14) A = cc^{\sigma^{-1}}A.$$

Suppose, first that  $\sigma = 1$ . Then  $c^2 = 1$ . If c = 1, then  $A = A^T$  and  $\beta$  is symmetric; if c = -1, then  $A = -A^{-T}$  and  $\beta$  is skew-symmetric, hence alternating by Lemma 30.

Suppose next that  $\sigma \neq 1$ . By the claim there exists  $e \in k^*$  with  $e/\sigma(e) = c$ . Then the form  $e\beta$  has matrix B = eA which satisfies  $B = (B^T)^{\sigma}$  and so  $e\beta$  is Hermitian as required.

For those of you who think that one should never prove anything in linear algebra by taking a basis, you can refer to [Cam] for a (rather long) matrix-free proof of this result.

6.3. Trace-valued forms. Let k be a field and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(k)$  with  $o(\sigma) \in \{1, 2\}$ . Define

$$Fix(\sigma) := \{ c \in k \mid \sigma(c) = c \}$$
$$Trace(\sigma) := \{ c + c\sigma \mid c \in k \}.$$

The following exercises list the key properties of these subsets.

**(E66)** Fix( $\sigma$ ) and Trace( $\sigma$ ) are both subfields of k

**(E67)**  $Fix(\sigma) = \text{Trace}(\sigma)$  unless char(k) = 1 and  $\sigma = 1$ , in which case  $\text{Trace}(\sigma) = \{0\}$ .

If  $\beta$  is a  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form, then we call  $\beta$  trace-valued if  $\beta(x,x) \in \text{Trace}(\sigma)$  for all x. Recall that, by Lemma 30,  $\beta(x,x) \in \text{Fix}(\sigma)$ . This, and (E67), immediately yield the following result.

**Lemma 33.** A  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form is not trace-valued if and only if  $\operatorname{char}(k) = 2$  and  $\beta$  is symmetric and not alternating.

In what follows we will study only trace-valued forms, and this will be enough for us to define and study all of the finite classical groups. One reason to avoid non-trace-valued forms is given by the following exercise. Recall that a field of characteristic 2 is called *perfect* if the map  $x \mapsto x^2$  is an automorphism. In particular a finite field of characteristic 2 is perfect.

**(E68)** Let char(k) = 2 and suppose that k is perfect. Let  $\beta$  be symmetric and define

$$U := \{ x \in V \mid \beta(x, x) = 0 \}.$$

Then U is a subspace of dimension at least n-1.

When we come to study isometries we shall see that this exercise implies that the isometry group of a non-trace-valued form cannot act *irreducibly* on the underlying vector space.

- 6.4. Quadratic forms. A quadratic form on V is a function  $Q: V \to k$  such that
  - $Q(cx) = c^2 Q(x)$  for all  $c \in k, x \in V$ ;
  - The function

$$\beta_O: V \times V \to k, (x, y) \mapsto = Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y)$$

is a bilinear form.

The form  $\beta_Q$  is called the *polarization of Q*. Observe that  $\beta_Q$  is symmetric. If char(k) = 2, then it is also alternating (and so, in particular,  $\beta_Q$  is always trace-valued).

A quadratic form can be thought of as a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 with coefficients in k. The next exercise makes this clear, as well as connecting quadratic forms to matrices.

**(E69\*)** Fix a basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  for V and let  $Q: V \to k$  be a quadratic form. There is a matrix A such that  $Q(x) = x^T A x$ . Moreover

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} \beta_Q(x_i, x_j), & \text{if } i < j, \\ Q(x_i), & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The significance of quadratic forms depends on the characteristic of the field.

**Suppose that** char(k) is odd. In this case the study of quadratic forms is equivalent to the study of symmetric bilinear forms. For, from every quadratic form Q, one obtains a symmetric bilinear form  $\beta_Q$ , and the next exercise shows that one can reverse this:

**(E70)** If 
$$char(k) \neq 2$$
, then  $Q(x) = \frac{1}{2}\beta_Q(x, x)$ .

In particular a vector x satisfies Q(x) = 0 if and only if  $\beta_Q(x, x) = 0$ .

**Suppose that** char(k) = 2. Our restriction to the study of trace-valued forms means that, by studying alternating forms, we cover all symmetric forms in which we are interested. However we also choose to also study quadratic forms because we obtain some interesting extra structure, as follows.

We know that, from every quadratic form Q, one obtains a symmetric, alternating bilinear form  $\beta_Q$ . However, in the reverse direction, suppose that  $\beta$  is a symmetric, alternating bilinear form with associated matrix B with respect to some basis  $\beta$ . Now define the matrix A via

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} B_{ij}, & \text{if } i < j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

We have not defined the diagonal on the matrix A - we can set it to be anything that we choose. Now define  $Q(x) = x^T A x$ .

**(E71\*)** Check that 
$$Q$$
 polarizes to  $\beta$ .

Thus we find that many quadratic forms polarize to the same alternating form. In particular it is **not true** in general that a vector x satisfies Q(x) = 0 if and only if  $\beta_Q(x, x) = 0$ . We shall see that this fact results in the geometric behaviour of Q and  $\beta_Q$  being very different.

Let  $Q: V \to k$  be a quadratic form. Recall the definition of the radical of  $\beta_Q$ ,

$$\operatorname{Rad}(\beta_Q) := \{ v \in V \mid \beta_Q(v, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in V \}.$$

We define the singular radical of a quadratic form to be

$$\{v \in \operatorname{Rad}(\beta_Q) \mid Q(v) = 0\}.$$

If the singular radical of Q is trivial, then we say that Q is non-degenerate.

**(E72)** If  $char(k) \neq 2$ , then  $\beta_Q$  is non-degenerate if and only if Q is non-degenerate.

**(E73\*)** If  $\operatorname{char}(k) = 2$ , k is perfect, and  $Q: V \to k$  is non-degenerate, then  $\dim(\operatorname{Rad}(\beta_O)) \leq 1$ .

6.5. Formed spaces. We write  $(V, \beta)$  (resp. (V, Q)) to mean a vector space equipped with a trace-valued non-degenerate reflexive  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\beta$  (resp. non-singular quadratic form Q). We call such a pair a *formed space*.

Then

- $(V, \beta)$  is called *symplectic* if  $\beta$  is alternating;
- $(V, \beta)$  is called *unitary* if  $\beta$  is  $\sigma$ -Hermitian;
- $(V, \beta)$  is called *orthogonal* if  $\beta$  is symmetric and char $(k) \neq 2$ ;
- (V, Q) is called orthogonal;

In fact we will not need to consider the third of these, since they are a subclass of the fourth. We will say a number of formed spaces are of the same type if they are all  $\sigma$ -Hermitian or all alternating or all symmetric.

Two formed spaces  $(V_1, Q_1)$  and  $(V_2, Q_2)$  are isomorphic if there exists an invertible linear map  $A: V_1 \to V_2$  such that  $Q_2 \circ A = Q_1$ . A similar definition applies for forms  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ .

Let U be a vector subspace of a formed space  $(V, \beta)$ , and write  $\bot$  for the polarity defined by  $\beta$ . Then

- a vector  $u \in V$  is *isotropic* if  $\beta(u, u) = 0$ ;
- U is totally isotropic if  $\beta(u,v)=0$  for all  $u,v\in U$  (equivalently, if  $U\subseteq U^{\perp}$ );
- U is non-degenerate if  $\beta|_W$  is non-degenerate;
- U is a hyperbolic line if  $U = \langle u, v \rangle$  and

$$\beta(u, u) = \beta(v, v) = 0, \ \beta(u, v) = 1.$$

The pair (u, v) is called a hyperbolic pair. (Notice that u and v must be linearly independent, so  $\dim(U) = 2$ .)

Let U be a vector subspace of a formed space (V, Q), and write  $\bot$  for the polarity defined by the polarized form  $\beta_Q$ . Then the above definitions all apply with respect to the polarized form  $\beta_Q$ . In addition

- a vector  $u \in V$  is singular if Q(u) = 0;
- U is totally singular if Q(u) = 0 for all  $u \in U$ .

We are working towards a classification of formed spaces in which we build them up from smaller spaces. We need to define what me mean by "building up." Let  $(U_1, \beta_1), \ldots, (U_\ell, \beta_\ell)$  be formed spaces of the same type. Define the orthogonal direct sum  $U_1 \perp \cdots \perp U_\ell$  to be the vector space  $V = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_\ell$  with associated form

$$\beta := \beta_1 \perp \cdots \perp \beta_\ell : (U_1 \perp \cdots \perp U_\ell) \times (U_1 \perp \cdots \perp U_\ell) \to k$$

$$((u_1, \ldots, u_\ell), (v_1, \ldots, v_\ell)) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \beta(u_i, v_i).$$

Notice that, for each i, the space V has a subspace

$$V_i := 0 \perp \cdots 0 \perp U_i \perp 0 \cdots \perp 0$$

such that  $\beta|_{V_i} = \beta_i$ . We will often abuse notation and identify  $U_i$  and  $V_i$ , so that we can think of  $(V, \beta)$  as a direct sum of k of its subspaces.

An obvious analogous notion of orthgonal direct sum also exists for formed spaces involving a quadratic form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>If working with two symmetric space over a field of odd characteristic, one with a quadratic form, the other with a symmetric bilinear form, then there is an obvious notion of isomorphism which we will not write down here. Yet another reason to avoid studying symmetric bilinear forms in general.

(E74) Any two hyperbolic lines of the same type are isomorphic (as formed spaces).

**(E75)** Suppose that U, U' (resp. W, W') are isomorphic formed spaces of the same type. Then  $U \perp W$  and  $U' \perp W'$  are isomorphic formed spaces.

Two more definitions:

- A formed space  $(V, \beta)$  is called *anisotropic* if  $\beta(x, x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ .
- A formed space (V, Q) is called *anisotropic* if  $Q(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Theorem 34.** A formed space  $(V, \beta)$  (resp. (V, Q)) is the orthogonal direct sum of a number r of hyperbolic lines and an anisotropic space U.

Proof. Define a function  $f: V \to k$  which maps a vector x to  $\beta(x,x)$  (resp. Q(x)). If V is anisotropic, then V does not contain a hyperbolic line, so r=0 and U must equal V. Suppose then, that f(v)=0 for some  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . In the sesquilinear case, non-degeneracy implies that there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $\beta(v,w) \neq 0$ . In the quadratic case, we claim there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $\beta(v,w) \neq 0$  where  $\beta$  is the polarized form. The claim follows because if no such w existed, then v would be in the radical of  $\beta$  and hence in the singular radical of  $\kappa$  which contradicts the fact that  $\kappa$  is non-singular.

We can replace w by a scalar multiple so that  $\beta(v, w) = 1$ . Observe that  $\beta(v, w - \lambda v) = 1$  for all  $\lambda \in k$ . If we can find a value of  $\lambda$  for which  $f(w - \lambda v) = 0$ , then  $\langle v, w \rangle$  will be a hyperbolic line. Consider three cases:

- (1) If the form is alternating, then any value of  $\lambda$  works.
- (2) If the form is  $\sigma$ -Hermitian, then

$$f(w - \lambda v) = f(w) - \lambda \beta(v, w) - \lambda^{\sigma} \beta(w, v) + \lambda \lambda^{\sigma} f(v)$$
  
=  $f(w) - (\lambda + \lambda^{\sigma});$ 

and, since  $\beta$  is trace-valued, there exists  $\lambda \in k$  with  $\lambda + \lambda^{\sigma} = f(w)$  and we are done.

(3) If the form is quadratic, then

$$f(w - \lambda v) = f(w) - \lambda \beta(w, v) + \lambda^2 f(v)$$
$$= f(w) - \lambda$$

and we choose  $\lambda = f(w)$ .

Now let  $W_1$  be the hyperbolic line  $\langle v, w - \lambda v \rangle$ , and let  $V_1 = W_1^{\perp}$ .

**(E76\*)**  $V = V_1 \oplus W_1$  and the restriction of the form to  $V_1$  is non-degenerate (resp. non-singular).

We conclude, by induction, that a decomposition of the given kind exists.

In the next section we will prove Witt's Lemma, a corollary of which states that the number r and the isomorphism class of the space U, defined in Theorem 34, are invariants of the formed space  $(V, \kappa)$ . We call r the polar rank, or the Witt index, of V, and U the germ of V.

It is worth taking a moment to reflect on the power of Theorem 34. Let us just consider the case where the form  $\kappa$  is  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear (there is a similar analysis when we have a quadratic form). Theorem 34 asserts that there is a basis for V such that

$$\beta(x,y) = x^t A y^{\sigma}$$

where the matrix A has form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{HL} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & A_{HL} & \\ & & & A_{An} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_{HL}$  is a 2 × 2 matrix associated with a hyperbolic line, and  $A_{An}$  is a square matrix associated with an anisotropic form. Indeed we can be more precise:

$$A_{HL} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \kappa \text{ is alternating;} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

We shall spend some time in §7.2 studying the possibilities for  $A_{An}$ ; in particular, we will see that it too has dimension at most 2.

## 7. Isometries and Witt's Lemma

For i = 1, 2, let  $\beta_i$  be a  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form on a vector space  $V_i$  over a field k. We define

• an isometry between  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  to be an invertible linear map  $g: V_1 \to V_2$  such that

$$\beta_2(xg,yg) = \beta_1(x,y)$$
, for all  $x,y \in V_1$ .

• a similarity between  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  to be an invertible linear map  $g: V_1 \to V_2$  for which there exists  $c \in k$  such that

$$\beta_2(xg,yg) = c\beta_1(x,y)$$
, for all  $x,y \in V_1$ .

• a semisimilarity between  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  to be an invertible semilinear map  $g: V_1 \to V_2$  for which there exists  $c \in k$  such that

$$\beta_2(xq,yq) = c\beta_1(x,y)$$
, for all  $x,y \in V_1$ .

For i = 1, 2, let  $Q_i$  be a quadratic form on a vector space  $V_i$  over a field k. We define

• an isometry between  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  to be an invertible linear map  $g: V_1 \to V_2$  such that

$$Q_2(xg) = Q_1(x)$$
, for all  $x \in V_1$ ,

• a similarity between  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  to be an invertible linear map  $g: V_1 \to V_2$  for which there exists  $c \in k$  such that

$$Q_2(xg) = cQ_1(x)$$
, for all  $x \in V_1$ ,

• a semisimilarity between  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  to be an invertible semilinear map  $g:V_1\to V_2$  for which there exists  $c\in k$  such that

$$Q_2(xg) = cQ_1(x)$$
, for all  $x \in V_1$ ,

Now write  $\kappa_i$  for  $\beta_i/Q_i$  as appropriate. If  $(V_1, \kappa_1) = (V_2, \kappa_2)$ , then we drop the subscripts and we refer to an isometry of  $(V, \kappa)$ , and similarly with similarities and semisimilarities. Now we define several subgroups of GL(V):

- Isom( $\kappa$ ): the set of isometries of  $\kappa$ ;
- $Sim(\kappa)$ : the set of similarities of  $\kappa$ ;
- SemiSim( $\kappa$ ): the set of semisimilarities of  $\kappa$ .

Observe that

$$\operatorname{Isom}(\kappa) \leq \operatorname{Sim}(\kappa) \leq \operatorname{SemiSim}(\kappa).$$

Before we move on, let us note the connection to matrices. Fix a basis for the vector space V and fix  $\kappa$  to be a  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form given by

$$\kappa(x,y) = x^t A y$$

where A is some matrix. Then

$$Isom(\kappa) = \{X \mid X^T A X^{\sigma} = A\}.$$

One can give similar formulations for similarities and semisimilarities, and for quadratic forms.  $^{8}$ 

7.1. Witt's lemma. We call  $(V, \kappa)$  a (de) formed space if it is a pair satisfying all the conditions to be a formed space with the possible exception of non-degeneracy. In this section we prove a crucial result concerning (de) formed spaces which allows us to extend isometries between subspaces to isometries of the full space.

(E77) Let  $\beta$  be a  $\sigma$ -Hermitian, or alternating form, with radical Rad(V). Prove that the natural map  $V \to V/\text{Rad}(V)$  is an isometry. What happens if we ask the same question with  $\beta$  replaced by a quadratic form Q?

**Theorem 35.** (Witt's Lemma) Let  $(V, \beta)$  be a (de)formed space, U a subspace of V and

$$h: U \to Uh < V$$

an isometry. Then h extends to an isometry  $g:V\to V$  if and only if

$$(U \cap Rad(V))h = Uh \cap Rad(V).$$

In particular, if the radical is trivial, then any h extends.

*Proof.* 1. "only if" Suppose that g is an isometry  $V \to V$  with  $g|_U = h$ . Then

$$(U \cap \text{Rad}(V))h = (U \cap Rad(V))g = Ug \cap Rad(V) = Uh \cap Rad(V),$$

and we are done.

**2.** "if" Suppose that  $(U \cap Rad(V))h = Uh \cap Rad(V)$ .

**(E78\*)** Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be subspaces of a vector space V having the same dimension. Show that there is a subspace W of V which is a complement for both  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ .

- **2a.** It is sufficient to assume that  $\operatorname{Rad}(V) \leq U \cap Uh$ . Suppose that U and Uh don't contain  $\operatorname{Rad}(V)$ . Observe that, by supposition,  $\dim(U \cap \operatorname{Rad}(V)) = \dim(Uh \cap \operatorname{Rad}(V))$ , and let W be a common complement to  $U \cap \operatorname{Rad}(V)$  and  $Uh \cap \operatorname{Rad}(V)$  in  $\operatorname{Rad}(V)$ . Now extend h to  $h \oplus 1 : U \oplus W \to Uh \oplus W$  and observe that it is an isometry.
  - **2b.** Assume that  $Rad(V) \leq U \cap Uh$ . We proceed by induction on  $\dim(U)/Rad(V)$ .
- **2c.** Base case. If U = Rad(V) = Uh, then choose a complement W to U in V and extend h by the identity on W. The base case is done.
  - 2d. Inductive step. Assume that the result holds for V', U', h' whenever

$$\dim(U'/\operatorname{Rad}(V')) \le \dim(U/\operatorname{Rad}(V)).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>We have rarely mentioned the complex numbers in this course. But, letting  $k = \mathbb{C}$  and taking A = I and  $\sigma = 1$ , you should observe that  $\mathrm{Isom}(\kappa)$  is then the set of orthogonal matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$ , a group you undoubtedly encountered at some point during undergraduate mathematics.

Let H be a hyperplane of U containing Rad(V). Then  $h|_{H}$  extends to an isometry g' of V. It is enough to show that  $h(g')^{-1}$  extends to an isometry; in other words we may assume that h is the identity on H.

If h is the identity on U, then we may take g=1. Thus we assume that  $h \neq 1$  and so  $\ker(h-1) = H$  and the image of h-1 is a one-dimensional subspace P of Uh. Since h is an isometry, if  $x, y \in U$ , then

$$\beta(xh, y(h-1)) = \beta(xh, yh) - \beta(xh, y)$$
$$= \beta(x, y) - \beta(xh, y)$$
$$= \beta(x - xh, y).$$

Observe that, if  $y \in H$ , then the left hand side equals zero. Since  $x - xh = -x(h-1) \in P$ , we conclude that  $H < P^{\perp}$ .

Suppose next that  $P \not \leq U^{\perp}$ . Then  $P \not \leq (Uh)^{\perp}$  and so  $U \cap P^{\perp} - H = Uh \cap P^{\perp}$ . Thus if W is a complement to H in  $P^{\perp}$ , then we can extend h to  $h \oplus 1 : U \oplus W \to Uh \oplus W$  and observe that it is an isometry. Now induction gives the result. Thus we assume that  $P \leq U^{\perp}$  and, in particular,  $U, Uh, P \leq P^{\perp}$ .

Suppose next that U, Uh and  $P^{\perp}$  do not all coincide. If  $U \neq Uh$ , then  $U_i = \langle H, u_1 \rangle$  for i = 1, 2. Let  $W_0$  be a complement for U + Uh in  $P^{\perp}$ , and  $W = \langle W_0, u_1 + u_2 \rangle$ ; then h can be extended by the identity on W to an isometry on  $P^{\perp}$ . If, on the other hand  $U = Uh \neq P^{\perp}$ , then let W be a complement to U in  $P^{\perp}$  and, once again, h can be extended by the identity on W to an isometry on  $P^{\perp}$ . Now the result follows by induction. We conclude that  $U = Uh = P^{\perp}$ .

Write  $P = \langle x \rangle$  where x = uh - u for some  $u \in U$ . Observe that  $\beta(x, x) = 0$  and, in the orthogonal case

$$Q(x) = Q(uh - u) = Q(uh) + Q(u) - \beta(uh, u) = 2Q(u) - \beta(u, u) = 0.$$

Thus x is isotropic (singular in the orthogonal case), and there is a hyperbolic plane  $L = \langle x, y \rangle$ . Observe that  $y \notin P^{\perp}$ , thus it is sufficient to extend h to  $\langle U, y \rangle$ .

Now  $\langle x \rangle$  is a hyperplane in L, thus  $L^{\perp}h$  is a hyperplane in  $\langle xh \rangle^{\perp}$ . Thus there exists  $y' \in V \setminus U$  such that  $\langle xh, y' \rangle^{\perp} = L^{\perp}h$ . Now,  $\langle xh, t' \rangle = \langle xh, y'' \rangle$  for some  $y'' \in V \setminus U$  such that (x, y') is a hyperbolic pair.

We define  $h': y \to y'$  and, since  $h \oplus h'$  is an isometry, we are done.

**(E79)** Check that  $h \oplus h'$  is an isometry.

Witt's lemma has several important corollaries, which we leave as exercises.

**(E80\*)** Let  $(V, \kappa)$  be a formed space. Then the Witt index and the isomorphism class of a maximal anisotropic subspace are determined.

**(E81\*)** Let  $(V, \kappa)$  be a formed space. Any maximal totally isotropic/totally singular subspaces in V have the same dimension. This dimension is equal to the Witt index.