

## 1. ABSTRACT GROUPS

Throughout this section  $G$  is a group.

**1.1. Simple groups.** The group  $G$  is called *simple* if the only normal subgroups of  $G$  are  $\{1\}$  and  $G$ .

**(E1)** *Prove that if  $G$  is a finite simple abelian group, then  $G \cong C_p$ , the cyclic subgroup of order  $p$ , where  $p$  is a prime.*

**1.2. Composition series and abelian series.** Let  $H \leq G$ . A *series* from  $H$  to  $G$  is a finite sequence  $(G_i)_{0 \leq i \leq k}$  of subgroups of  $G$ , such that

$$(1) \quad H = G_0 \trianglelefteq G_1 \trianglelefteq G_2 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq G_k = G.$$

If  $H$  is unspecified, then you should assume that  $H = \{1\}$ . The sequence  $(G_i)_{0 \leq i \leq k}$  is called

- a *composition series* if, for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $G_k/G_{k-1}$  is non-trivial and simple. The abstract group  $G_k/G_{k-1}$  is called a *composition factor* of  $G$ .
- an *abelian series* if for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $G_k/G_{k-1}$  is abelian.
- a *normal series* if, for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ ,  $G_i \trianglelefteq G$ .

Suppose that we have two series from  $H$  to  $G$ , the first given by (1), the second by:

$$(2) \quad H = H_0 \trianglelefteq H_1 \trianglelefteq H_2 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq H_l = G.$$

Series (1) and (2) are called *equivalent* if  $k = l$  and there exists a permutation  $\pi \in S_k$  such that, for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$G_i/G_{i-1} \cong H_{i\pi}/H_{i\pi-1}.$$

The series (2) is said to be a *refinement* of series (1) if  $k \leq l$  and there are non-negative integers  $j_0 < j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq l$  such that  $G_i = H_{j_i}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ .

Now the key result concerning series is due to Schreier [Ros94, 7.7]:

**Lemma 1.** *Any two series have equivalent refinements.*

**(E2)** *Prove this.*

One important consequence of Lemma 1 is that if  $G$  is a group admitting a composition series, then the multiset of composition factors associated with any composition series of  $G$  is an invariant of the group  $G$ . In §2.4 we will briefly examine how, given  $M$  a finite multiset of simple groups, one might construct a group  $G$  for which  $M$  is the multiset of composition factors.

**1.3. Derived series.** For  $g, h \in G$ , define the *commutator* of  $g$  and  $h$ ,

$$[g, h] := g^{-1}h^{-1}gh.$$

The *commutator subgroup*, or *derived subgroup* of  $G$ , written  $G'$  or  $[G, G]$  or  $G^{(1)}$ , is the group

$$\langle [g, h] \mid g, h \in G \rangle.$$

**Warning.**  $G'$  is the group *generated* by all commutators of the group  $G$ , i.e. the smallest subgroup of  $G$  that contains all commutators. The set of all commutators in  $G$  is not necessarily a group.

**(E3\*)** *Prove that, for  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ , the quotient  $G/N$  is abelian if and only if  $G' \leq N$ .*

**(E4)** *Find an example of a group  $G$  such that  $G'$  is not equal to the set of all commutators.*

We can generalize this construction as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(0)} &:= G; \\ G^{(n)} &:= [G^{(n-1)}, G^{(n-1)}] \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain a descending sequence of groups

$$\dots \trianglelefteq G^{(2)} \trianglelefteq G^{(1)} \trianglelefteq G$$

which is called the *derived series* of  $G$ . If, for some  $k$ ,  $G^{(k)} = G^{(k+1)}$  then, clearly,  $G^{(k)} = G^{(l)}$  for every  $l \geq k$  and we say that the derived series *terminates* at  $G^{(k)}$ . Note that if the derived series does not terminate for any  $k$  then it is not strictly speaking a series. (Of course the derived series of a finite group always terminates.)

**(E5)** *Prove that (provided it terminates) the derived series is a normal series.*

We call  $G$  *perfect* if  $G = [G, G]$ . If  $G$  is finite, then the derived series terminates after  $k$  steps at a perfect group.

**1.4. Solvable groups.** We say that  $G$  is *soluble* or *solvable* if  $G$  has an abelian series.

**(E6)** *Prove that, if  $G$  is finite, then  $G$  is solvable if and only if all composition factors of  $G$  are cyclic of prime order. Give an example of a solvable group that does not have a composition series.*

**(E7\*)** *Prove that a finite group  $G$  is solvable if and only if the derived series of  $G$  terminates at  $\{1\}$ .*

## 2. PERMUTATION GROUPS

Throughout this section, assume that  $G$  is a group that acts (on the right) on some set  $\Omega$ . Equivalently, there exists a group homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ , the set of permutations on the set  $\Omega$ . Recall that

- for  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $G_\omega := \{g \in G \mid \omega^g = \omega\}$ , is the *stabilizer* of  $\omega$ ;
- $G_{(\Omega)} := \bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega} G_\omega$  is the *kernel* of the action;
- for  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\omega^G := \{\omega^g \mid g \in G\}$  is the *orbit* of  $\omega$ .

Note that  $G_{(\Omega)}$  is precisely the kernel of  $\phi$ .

We say that the action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$  is

- *faithful*, if  $G_{(\Omega)} = \{1\}$ ; equivalently,  $\phi$  is a monomorphism and we think of  $G$  as a subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ ;
- *transitive*, if  $\omega^G = \Omega$  for some (and hence all)  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

**Remark.** When a group theorist speaks of a ‘permutation group’, they mean an abstract group  $G$  accompanied by some fixed embedding of  $G$  in  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ , for some set  $\Omega$ . Equivalently, they mean an abstract group  $G$  accompanied by some faithful action. Indeed for a long time this was the only context in which groups were studied, in the immediate aftermath of the work of Galois.

**Example 1.** Let  $H$  be any subgroup of  $G$ . The group  $G$  acts transitively on  $H \backslash G$ , the set of right cosets of  $H$  via right multiplication.

**(E8\*)** Prove that any transitive action is isomorphic to an action of this kind, i.e. given a transitive action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$ , there exists a subgroup  $H \leq G$  such that the action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$  is isomorphic to the action of  $G$  on  $H \backslash G$ . You may need to recall what it means for two group actions to be isomorphic.

Recall that when  $G$  is finite the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem asserts that, for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$|G| = |G_\omega| \cdot |\omega^G|.$$

**(E9)** Use (E8) to prove the orbit-stabilizer theorem.

**(E10)** Prove that if  $G$  acts transitively on  $\Omega$  and  $G_\omega$  is a stabilizer, then the set of all stabilizers equals the set of all conjugates of  $G_\omega$ . Under what conditions is the action of  $G$  by conjugation on this set of conjugates isomorphic to the action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$ ?

**(E11)** What conditions on  $H$  result in the action of  $G$  on  $H \backslash G$  being faithful?

**(E12\*)** Let  $G$  be a finite group acting transitively on a set  $\Omega$ . Show that the average number of fixed points of the elements of  $G$  is 1, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega^g = \omega\}| = 1.$$

**Example 2.** Let  $3 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and let  $G := D_{2n}$ , the dihedral group of order  $2n$ . In other words

$$G := \langle g, h \mid g^n = h^2 = 1, h^{-1}gh = g^{-1} \rangle.$$

Define  $\Omega$  to be the corners of an  $n$ -gon which we might as well label  $1, \dots, n$ . We can define  $g$  to act like the permutation  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$  and  $h$  to reflect the polygon through a line passing through 1; see Figure 1 for an example when  $n = 5$ . Thus

$$h := (2, n-1)(3, n-2) \dots \left( \lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil \right).$$