	1372107
	PHYS 216 homework 4
() (0)	The motion of the mass is the same as a mass on a
1.07	coming the mass is the same as a mass on a
	spring since the gravitational force of the shell of earth
	above the mass for any given radius is zero.
	F- GMm - kr GMm
	$W = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} \sqrt{\frac{GM}{G^3}} \sqrt{\frac{G\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3p}{G^3}} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}G\pi p}$
	r = Acos (wt) = Rcos (\frac{4}{3} Gazpt) = 6371000cos (0.00123t)
	Proof of spring motion assumption:
	a= GM G 3753p
	r2 r2 = - 3 6 7 pr = 1
	r"+ 4 Grapr = 0 (2nd order linear homogeneous CDE)
	Y2+ 367p = 0 (characteristic egn)
	$y = \pm \sqrt{\frac{4}{3} G_1 \pi p} \; ;$
	r(t) = C, e° cos (\(\frac{4}{3}Gnap t\) + (\(\frac{e}{3}Gnap t\))
5e	r'(t) = - \(\frac{4}{3} Grap C, \sin (\sigma_3 Grap t) + \sqrt_3 Grap C_2 \cos (\sqrt_3 Grap t)
	$r(0) = C_1 = R$ $r'(0) = \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}G_{\pi p}C_2 = 0$ $C_2 = 0$
	:. r(t) = R cos (136 mp t) = 6371000 cos (0.00123 t)
b)	Let to be the time it takes to fall to the other side. Then
	$r(t_f) = -R = R\cos(\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}Gnp t_f)$
	-1 = cos (\\ \frac{1}{3} Gap + \(\frac{1}{2} \)
· · ·	2- 14(2) +
3- 1- THE	
	If = V = I/(4Gp) = 223/1.2

2.0	Initial speed at apogee (r = a(Ire))
,	
-	$V_{\alpha}^{2} = G_{1}M\left(\frac{2}{\alpha(1+e)}\right) = \frac{G_{1}M(1-e)}{\alpha(1+e)}$
	Speed in circular orbit of distance alle)
	F= GmM mv2 -> v2 GM r2 r allte)
	g r2 r allte)
	Find a:
	$V_0^2 = G_{M}(\overline{R} - \overline{\alpha}) \rightarrow \overline{\alpha} = \overline{R} - \overline{G_{M}}$
= =	Find e:
	$L = v_0 R sin \theta = (GMa(1-e^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}$
	e= (1- voz (2- Voz) sin 20)
	- 200g
. (m : 1 m :	: DV = Vc - Va - 1 Vo GM 1 - e R OM 1 He R OM 1 HE
	2 R 1 +e (- 1 -e)
-1.	R 1 + 1 - \frac{\v_0^2}{Gm} \(2 - \frac{\v_0^2}{Gm} \) Sin 20 \(1 \) \(\sqrt{1 - \frac{\v_0^2}{Gm}} \) Sin 20 \(\sqrt{9m} \)
,	10 1 - 45 A - (2 - 46 3 164)
	$= \sqrt{\frac{GM}{R}} \sqrt{\frac{1 - v_s \sin \theta \sqrt{-(2 - \frac{v_s^2}{GM})/GM}}{\sqrt{2 - \frac{v_s^2}{GM}(2 - \frac{v_s^2}{GM})\sin^2\theta}}}$
b)	Find a: Find e: $a = \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{(6000)^2}{6100})} = \frac{(6000)^2}{6000} = \frac{(6000)^2}{6000} = \frac{30000}{6000} = 30000$
5/	$\frac{ \sin \theta }{\alpha} = \frac{ \sin \theta }{ \sin \theta } = \frac{ \cos \theta ^2}{ \cos \theta ^2} = \frac{ \cos \theta ^2}$
	e=1-GM (2 GM) SM SO
	h = a(1+e) - R = 2,09 × 10 6 m
4 7 7 7	

a)

$$\ddot{x}' = 2\omega \left[(\dot{y}'_0 - 2\omega(x' - x'_0) \sin \lambda) \sin \lambda - (\dot{z}'_0 - gt + 2\omega(x' - x'_0) \cos \lambda) \cos \lambda \right]$$
(7)

$$= 2\omega \dot{y}'_0 \sin \lambda - 2\omega \dot{z}'_0 \cos \lambda + 2\omega gt \cos \lambda - 4\omega^2(x' - x'_0) \sin^2 \lambda + 4\omega^2(x' - x'_0) \cos^2 \lambda.$$
(8)

$$\approx 2\omega \left(\dot{y}'_0 \sin \lambda - \dot{z}'_0 \cos \lambda + gt \cos \lambda \right) + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2).$$
(9)

$$\ddot{y}' = -2\omega \left(\dot{x}_0' + 2\omega \left((y' - y_0') \sin \lambda - (z' - z_0') \cos \lambda \right) \right) \sin \lambda$$

$$\approx -2\omega \dot{x}_0' \sin \lambda + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2).$$
(12)

$$\ddot{z}' = -g + 2\omega \left(\dot{x}_0' + 2\omega \left((y' - y_0') \sin \lambda - (z' - z_0') \cos \lambda \right) \right) \cos \lambda$$

$$\approx -g + 2\omega \dot{x}_0' \cos \lambda + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2).$$
(16)

- b) Hit the ground at T = 0.4929090120088437 s with vx,vy,vz = $[-1.15795794e-02 \ 1.72471836e+02 7.60721315e+00]$ m/s and x,y,z = $[-3.38905574e-03 \ 1.10704075e+02 \ 0.000000000e+00]$ m. This is close to the analytic results.
- c) Hit the ground at T = 0.49305257944251063 s with vx,vy,vz = $[1.72450579e+02 \ 1.18230526e-02 7.60535992e+00]$ m/s and x,y,z = $[1.10728952e+02 \ 3.44770858e-03 \ 0.00000000e+00]$ m This is close to the analytic results.
- d) Hit the ground at T = 4.465755233947599 s with vx,vy,vz = $\begin{bmatrix} 37.92524496 & -6.71714322 37.68892547 \end{bmatrix}$ m/s and x,y,z = $\begin{bmatrix} 345.17234913 & -61.27719278 & 0. \end{bmatrix}$ m

I know this converged to a 1 mm precision because I used a very small timestep to check for a good accuracy (0.0001 s) and then ran to make sure these results were within 1 mm of that number.

In the first image below the slope going up is not as steep as the slope going down. This is because both gravity and the gas drag are working against the projectile's direction of motion. Going down, gas drag is still working against the object, but gravity is now working with it, and thus the slope is steeper. Furthermore, the inertial reference frame does not observe the imaginary force that the non-inertial reference frame would see adding to the acceleration if the object was fired opposite the direction of rotation and subtracting if the object was fired in the direction of rotation.

The second image shows the trajectory in the x'-y' plane. It appears to be a straight line, which confused me as I thought a projectile in the non-inertial reference frame should appear to curve by some imaginary force. I was expecting a curve, but the straight line suggests that I may be observing something else.



