

## Part I: Real Analysis

### 1

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be an arbitrary measure space with  $\mu$  a positive measure. Prove that  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite if and only if there exists a strictly positive function  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ .

**Solution:**

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Then there exists sets  $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X = \cup_{n=0}^\infty A_n$ . Without loss of generality assume  $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is pairwise disjoint and  $A_n \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-n}}{\mu(A_n)} \chi_{A_n}(x).$$

Then  $f$  is strictly positive and

$$\|f\|_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = 2 < \infty.$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose there exists a strictly positive function  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ . By way of contradiction assume  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is not  $\sigma$ -finite. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_n := \{x \in X : f(x) > 2^{-n}\}$ . Since  $f$  is measurable,  $A_n$  is measurable for all  $n$ . Also, since  $\cup_{n=0}^\infty A_n = X$ , there must exist some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(A_{n_0}) = \infty$ . Therefore,

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_X f \, d\mu \geq \int_{A_{n_0}} f \, d\mu \geq \int_{A_{n_0}} 2^{-n_0} \, d\mu = \infty.$$

This contradicts the assumption that  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ . □

**2**

Give an example of each of the following:

- (a) A function  $f$  which is unbounded but Lebesgue integrable on  $(0, \infty)$ .
- (b) A function  $f$  which is Lipschitz continuous but not differentiable everywhere.
- (c) A function  $f$  which is absolutely continuous but not Lipschitz continuous on  $[0, 1]$ .
- (d) A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of functions that converges to 0 pointwise on  $[0, 1]$  but not in  $L^1([0, 1])$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) Let  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \chi_{(n, n+1]}(x).$$

- (b) Let  $f(x) := |x|$ .
- (c) Let  $f(x) := \sqrt{x}$ .
- (d) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_n(x) := 2^{-n} \chi_{(0, 2^{-n})}(x)$ .

### 3

Let  $p, q > 1$  be conjugate and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

- (a) Show that if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and  $g_n \rightarrow g$  in  $L^q(\Omega)$ , then  $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ .  
 (b) Explain carefully what is meant by the statement that  $L^q(\Omega)$  is the dual space of  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) *Proof.* Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $\|f_n - f\|_p < 1$ . Thus, for  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\left| \|f_n\|_p - \|f\|_p \right| \leq \|f_n - f\|_p < 1,$$

so  $\{\|f_n\|_p\}_{n \geq N}$  is bounded. But since  $\{\|f_n\|_p\}_{n < N}$  is a finite collection of reals,  $\{\|f_n\|_p\}$  is bounded. Thus there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\|f_n\|_p < M$  for all  $n$ . Therefore, by Minkowski's and multiple use of Hölder's Inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n g_n - fg\|_1 &= \|f_n g_n - f_n g + f_n g - fg\|_1 \leq \|f_n(g_n - g)\|_1 + \|g(f_n - f)\|_1 \\ &\leq \|f_n\|_p \cdot \|g_n - g\|_q + \|g\|_q \cdot \|f_n - f\|_p \\ &\leq M \cdot \|g_n - g\|_q + \|g\|_q \cdot \|f_n - f\|_p \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

- (b) To say that  $L^q(\Omega)$  is the dual space of  $L^p(\Omega)$  means that for every bounded linear function  $T$  on  $L^p(\Omega)$ , there exists  $g \in L^q(\Omega)$  such that

$$T(f) = \int_{\Omega} f \cdot g \, d\mu,$$

for all  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ .

## 4

If  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for some  $q < \infty$ , show that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p = \|f\|_\infty.$$

Also show by example that the conclusion may be false without the assumption that  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**Solution:**

*Proof.* Without loss of generality assume  $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$ . Let  $0 < \delta < \|f\|_\infty$  and let  $E := \{x : |f(x)| \geq \|f\|_\infty - \delta\}$ . Since  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Now for any  $0 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\|f\|_p \geq \left( \int_E (\|f\|_\infty - \delta)^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} = (\|f\|_\infty - \delta) \cdot \mu(E)^{1/p},$$

so  $\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p \geq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_\infty - \delta$ . Thus,

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p \geq \|f\|_\infty. \quad (1)$$

Further, for any  $0 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\|f\|_p = \left( \int |f|^{p-q} |f|^q d\mu \right)^{1/p} \leq \|f\|_\infty^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \|f\|_q^{q/p}.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_\infty. \quad (2)$$

So by (1) and (2) we have equality.  $\square$

For an illustration of how this relationship fails when there does not exist a  $q < \infty$  such that  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , consider any constant function  $f(x) \equiv c$ . Then  $\|f\|_\infty = c$  but  $\|f\|_p = \infty$  for all  $0 < p < \infty$ .

**5**

Show that

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n+1}\right)$$

converges pointwise on  $\mathbb{R}$  and uniformly on each bounded interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  to a differentiable function  $f$  which satisfies  $|f(x)| \leq |x|$ .

**Solution:**

*Proof.* Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right] = 1.$$

Thus,

$$|f(x)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n+1}\right) \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x|}{n(n+1)} \leq |x|, \quad (3)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . By (3) it is obvious that  $f(x)$  converges pointwise and uniformly on every bounded interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also, if  $f_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n+1}\right)$ , then  $f'_n(x) := \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \cos\left(\frac{x}{n+1}\right)$ . From a similar argument as above, it follows that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n(x)$  converges uniformly on every bounded interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore  $f$  is differentiable with derivative

$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n(x) \quad (\text{see Baby Rudin Thm 7.17}).$$

□

## 6

Prove the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma: For any  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{inx} d\mu(x) = 0.$$

You may use the fact that for any  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a step function  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x) - g(x)| d\mu(x) < \epsilon.$$

**Solution:**

*Proof.* Suppose  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Claim 1:** If  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a step function then  $\int g(x) e^{inx} d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Proof of claim 1: Suppose  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ . Then

$$\left| \int \chi_{[a,b]}(x) e^{inx} d\mu(x) \right| = \left| \int_a^b e^{inx} dx \right| = \left| \frac{e^{inb} - e^{ina}}{in} \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the linearity of integration claim 1 follows for any step function  $g$ . ■ Claim 1

Now let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a step function  $g$  such that  $\|f - g\|_1 < \epsilon$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f(x) e^{inx} d\mu(x) \right| &= \left| \int (f(x) - g(x)) e^{inx} d\mu(x) + \int g(x) e^{inx} d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\leq \int |f(x) - g(x)| \cdot |e^{inx}| d\mu(x) + \left| \int g(x) e^{inx} d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\leq \int |f(x) - g(x)| d\mu(x) + \left| \int g(x) e^{inx} d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\leq \epsilon + \left| \int g(x) e^{inx} d\mu(x) \right| \rightarrow \epsilon + 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f(x) e^{inx} d\mu(x) = 0$ . □