

# Bi-Directional Tilting Quadrotor

An investigation into the overactuatedness thereof



**Nicholas Von Klemperer**

Department of Electrical Engineering  
University of Cape Town  
Rondebosch, Cape Town  
South Africa

**June 2016**

MSc thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Masters of Science in the  
Department of Electrical Engineering at the University of Cape Town

*Keywords:* Control, Allocation, Non-linear, Autopilot

# Declaration

I, Nicholas Von Klemperer, hereby:

1. grant the University of Cape Town free license to reproduce the above thesis in whole or in part, for the purpose of research;
2. declare that:
  - (a) this thesis is my own unaided work, both in concept and execution, and apart from the normal guidance from my supervisor, I have received no assistance except as stated below:
  - (b) neither the substance nor any part of the above thesis has been submitted in the past, or is being, or is to be submitted for a degree at this University or at any other university, except as stated below.

---

Nicholas Von Klemperer  
Department of Electrical Engineering  
University of Cape Town  
Saturday 11<sup>th</sup> June, 2016

# Abstract

## Bi-Directional Tilting Quadrotor

Nicholas Von Klemperer

*Saturday 11<sup>th</sup> June, 2016*

The aim of this thesis is to design, simulate and control a novel quadrotor platform which can articulate all 6 Degrees of Freedom by vectoring thrust produced by the lift propellers. To achieve this the airframes' structure needs to be able to be changed dynamically during flight, namely adding 2 additional axes of actuation about which each lift propeller can be rotated. The introduction of such actuation, to what is otherwise a well understood platform, results in an over-actuated control problem. The allocation of actuator priority is the primary contribution of this paper with novel elements of non-linear control treatment for UAV quadrotor airspace platforms.

A high fidelity simulation environment was constructed which incorporated all known non-linearities and effects associated with airspace bodies. The effects unique to the proposed design, gyroscopic and the like, were investigated and incorporated too. After which control algorithms were developed and compared, the implication of discretization on the system was accounted for too in this comparison. The relative performance of the controllers was evaluated on standard performance metrics of attitude and position controllers. Finally the design built and tested using readily available Radio-Control components.

The purpose of the investigation is the practicality and feasibility of such a platform, most importantly whether the added complexity of the mechanical system is a decent compromise for the improved degrees of control actuation. As a result the outcome of the build is whether it's both feasible and practical to build such a prototype. The design and control treatment proposed here are by no means the most optimal solution as focus is placed on the system as a whole and not just one aspect of it.

# Acknowledgements

# Contents

<b>Declaration</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 A Brief Background to the Study . . . . .	1
1.2 Research Questions & Hypotheses . . . . .	2
1.3 Significance of Study . . . . .	2
1.4 Scope and Limitations . . . . .	3
1.5 Other Applications of Proposed Investigation . . . . .	3
1.5.1 Subsection . . . . .	3
<b>2 Literature Review</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Existing & Related Work . . . . .	4
2.2 Notable Control Implementations . . . . .	4
2.3 Pertinent Theories . . . . .	4
<b>3 Design</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4 Dynamics Effects &amp; Kinematics</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5 Control &amp; Simulations</b>	<b>7</b>
5.1 Attitude Control . . . . .	7
5.2 Position Control . . . . .	7
<b>A Standard Quadrotor Dynamics</b>	<b>8</b>

# List of Figures

# List of Tables

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 A Brief Background to the Study

Currently the most popular topic for control and automation research is the quadrotor UAV, specifically the control thereof. Much work has been done on quadrotors and their attitude control, specifically control around a stable trim point adjacent to the inertial frames origin, to which the control algorithm always tends to. The highly coupled non-linear dynamics for a bodies linear and angular motions arise as a result of gyroscopic torques and Coriolis accelerations. Such affects are elegantly linearised around the origin when they can be approximated to 0 [2] , thus decoupling the system and allowing for traditional SISO control techniques to be applied.

As every quadrotor based research paper will tell you, the current interest in them is as a result of the recent emergence in availability of MEMS systems and low-cost ARM microprocessor sectors, allowing the on-board flight computer to perform complicated control calculations and state estimation in real time. As a result this led to development and expansion in the field and introduction of a large range of hobbyist solutions, from professionally made units to DIY kits, with large room for modification, depending on how much your wallet can spare. A rapidly growing enthusiast community was borne from this progression, meaning the environment was no longer open only to those willing spend lots of money.

The avenues of potential applications for both fixed wing and VTOL UAVs is expansive and the quadrotor configuration provides a mechanically simple and low cost platform on which to test advanced aerospace control algorithms. Considering that commercial drone usage is such an emerging sector; especially in Southern Africa following the revision of aviation laws [4] which have legalized the use of UAVs for commercial application, any research into a non-trivial aspect of the field is extremely valuable.

Large scale quadrotor, hexrotor and even octorotor UAVs are a popular intermediate choice for aerial cinematography. Whilst still expensive, the cost of a commercial drone like the SteadiDrone Maverik [1] is far less than the cost of chartering a helicopter to achieve the same panoramic aerial scenes or on-site inspections. Another interesting application for UAVs is in the agricultural sector, introducing crop dusting drones instead of the traditional bi-planes which perform the same job. One difficulty which hinders the progress of the commercial drone sector is that of inertia, specifically when scaling up any vehicle, its performance is adversely affected, due to the increased mass inertial effect.



## 1.2 Research Questions & Hypotheses

The difficulty with a quadrotors' control is that fundamentally it's unstable and under-actuated, having only 4 controllable inputs (each propellers rotational speed and hence net lift force) available to manipulate all 6 degrees of freedom (linear X-Y-Z position and angular Pitch,  $\phi$ , Roll,  $\theta$  and Yaw,  $\psi$  rotations). The resulting solution, whose derivation is provided in Appendix A is to control the perpendicular heave thrust,  $\vec{T}$ , and angular torques about each axis,  $[\tau_\phi \ \tau_\theta \ \tau_\psi]^T$ . So the attitude control problem of a quadrotor is a zero set point problem as any other attempt to track attitude can't be achieved.

The research outcome of this project is to solve the underlying problem of dynamic attitude tracking with a 6-DOF aerospace frame in free-body rotation. Inherent to this investigation is the expansion and simulation of existing kinematic models describing the quadrotor vehicles' motion. Thereafter, design, development and control of a new actuator suite to be implemented on such a quadrotor platform are required, and finally the simulation and prototype construction thereof are the key outcomes for the project.

To leverage of all 6 degrees of freedom associated with an aerospace body in rotation additional actuators need to be added to redirect the thrust force. Some work has been done before on this concept, one such paper added only a single axis of rotation to each motor [3], which over-actuated the system but still required a complicated and unintuitive control approach. For this project the aim is to add two additional actuators per lift propeller, one for both the X and Y axis rotations. The resultant vectored thrust force exists in 3-Dimensions with respect to the body frame, unlike a traditional quadrotor helicopter which has a bound perpendicular lift force. In theory this means that the net forces and torques experienced by the body are more directly actuated.

Hopefully the final outcome of the project is to design and produce a working prototype which implements the proposed actuation scheme to achieve bi-directional tilt operation. Inherent to this goal is the investigation, expansion and simulation of existing kinematic models describing the quadrotor vehicles motion, development of a high-fidelity kinematic model to be used for non-linear control law design to stabilize the quadrotors attitude and operation.

Inherent instability of a 6 Degree-of-freedom rigid body in free rotation will require a complicated control law which takes into account and actively compensates aerodynamic and torque responses wholly unique to the complicated relative rotations of the body. The over-actuation brings about the need for a control allocator which distributes the 6 commanded system inputs (net torques and forces) among the actuator set in order to optimize a particular cost function. Part of the control research question is the multivariate treatment of the system without simplifying the non-linear dynamics involved in the quadrotors motion or making any simplifying assumptions about its' operational conditions.

## 1.3 Significance of Study

Given the of popularity of quadrotor platforms as research tools, any research which furthers the general body of knowledge on such vehicles is going to be valuable to the community as a whole. With that being said, for the proposed systems identification and control treatment (design and allocation), a generic and modular approach is adopted. The intention is that applicability here falls not only within the UAV and quadrotor sections but to other aerospace bodies such as orbital satellites or underwater vehicles. Or perhaps further and more in-depth research can be done on a system subset without compromising the functionality of the remainder of the system.

At the time of writing, there appears to be only two other projects have been published which bear some similarity. Discussed is given later in Section 2.1 where comparison is made to justify how they

are different and why this project is still unique and perhaps even novel. The concepts developed here are unique to the application of quadrotor control, mostly having been developed in the late 90s for satellite control. Similarly, the non-linearity with which the control solution is uncommon with respect to UAV control.

Whilst the control treatment does close the position and attitude control loops, there is no discussion of trajectory or flight path planning. Such topics are well discussed and it is the Authors opinion that once closed loop position and attitude control has been achieved, the control algorithms can be adjusted to account for velocity and acceleration set point tracking to be used with nodal way point planning. The heuristics involved with flight path planning are well documented elsewhere and

## **1.4 Scope and Limitations**

## **1.5 Other Applications of Proposed Investigation**

### **1.5.1 Subsection**

## Chapter 2

# Literature Review

### 2.1 Existing & Related Work

### 2.2 Notable Control Implementations

### 2.3 Pertinent Theories

## Chapter 3

# Design

## Chapter 4

# Dynamics Effects & Kinematics

## Chapter 5

# Control & Simulations

### 5.1 Attitude Control

### 5.2 Position Control

## Appendix A

# Standard Quadrotor Dynamics

# Bibliography

- [1] SteadiDrone PTY LTD. Steadidrone home, 2016.
- [2] Breard Randal. Quadcopter dynamics and control. Report, Brigham Young University, 2013.
- [3] Markus Ryll, Heinrich H. Bulthoff, and Paolo R. Giorano. First flight tests for a quadroter uav with tilting propellers. Report, Max Planck Institute for Biological Cybernetics, Tübingen, Germany, 2013.
- [4] safedrone.com. Safe drone: New drone regulations for south africa, 2016.