

Bachelor thesis

RIGHT-ANGLED COXETER GROUPS ARE RFRS

NICLAS RIST

May 16, 2024

Jprof. Dr. Claudio Llosa Isenrich

Department of Mathematics

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Niclas Rist: Right-angled Coxeter groups are RFRS, © May 16, 2024

SUPERVISOR: Jprof. Dr. Claudio Llosa Isenrich

LOCATION:

Karlsruhe, Germany

TIME FRAME:

Novembre 11, 2023 - May 16, 2024

CONTENTS

| 1 | Intro | oduction | 1 |
|-----------------|-------|---|----|
| I | Prel | iminaries | |
| 2 Preliminaries | | | |
| | 2.1 | Coxeter Groups | 5 |
| | | 2.1.1 Parabolic subgroups | 6 |
| | 2.2 | Representation of Coxeter groups | 6 |
| | 2.3 | The Tits cone - An example | 9 |
| | 2.4 | The word metric and the faithful representation | 10 |
| | 2.5 | The fundamental domain and Stabilizers | 13 |
| | 2.6 | Covering actions | 15 |
| | | | |
| | Bibl | iography | 19 |

1 INTRODUCTION

Part I

PRELIMINARIES

2 PRELIMINARIES

2.1 COXETER GROUPS

First of all, we define the main object of interest we want to study.

Definition 2.1.1. Let S be a set consisting of elements s_i indexed by an index set I with $|I| = n < \infty$. Let $(m_{ij}) = M$ be a symmetrical matrix in $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})^{n \times n}$, where $m_{ii} = 1$ for all i and $m_{ij} \geqslant 2$ for $i \neq j$. Define a group W via the following presentation:

$$W := \langle S \mid (s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1 \text{ for all } i, j \in I \rangle.$$

The pair (W,S) is called a Coxeter System and M is called the corresponding Coxeter Matrix. A Coxeter group is a group isomorphic to a group W, corresponding to a Coxeter System (W,S). It is generated by the set S.

In this work we will be particularly interested in a special class of Coxeter groups that we call right-angled. They are defined as follows, by imposing significant constraints on the entries of the Coxeter matrix.

Definition 2.1.2. A Coxeter System (W,S) is right-angled if, for all distinct $i, j \in I$, the condition $m_{ij} \in \{2, \infty\}$ is satisfied. In this context, the group W is then called a right-angled Coxeter group (RACG).

We give some important examples of Coxeter groups as well as right-angled Coxeter groups.

Example 2.1.3. 1. Dihedral groups, $D_{2m} \cong \langle s_1, s_2 \mid s_1^2 = s_2^2 = 1, (s_1s_2)^m = 1 \rangle$ are Coxeter groups for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

- 2. The triangle groups, $\Delta(l, m, n) \cong \langle r, s, t \mid r^2 = s^2 = t^2 = (rs)^l = (st)^m = (tr)^n = 1 \rangle$ with l, m and n integers greater or equal to 2 are Coxeter groups.
- 3. The infinite Dihedral group, $D_\infty\cong\langle s,t\mid s^2=t^2=1\rangle$ is a right-angled Coxeter group.
- 4. The free product, $\mathbb{Z}_{2\mathbb{Z}} * \mathbb{Z}_{2\mathbb{Z}} * \mathbb{Z}_{2\mathbb{Z}} \cong \langle r, s, t \mid r^2 = s^2 = t^2 = 1 \rangle$ is a right-angled Coxeter group.

2.1.1 Parabolic subgroups

For a subset of the index set I, we are able to construct subgroups of a given Coxeter group W. They will be called parabolic subgroups and are defined as follows:

Definition 2.1.4. *Let* (W, S) *be a Coxeter System as above with finite index set* I, and J *be a subset* of the index set I. The group $W_I := \langle \{s_i \mid j \in J\} \rangle$, generated by the s_i in S is then called a parabolic subgroup of W. Moreover, we call any conjugate of W_1 a parabolic subgroup as well.

2.2 REPRESENTATION OF COXETER GROUPS

Given a Coxeter System (W, S), let V be a real vector space with basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$, where n = |I| = |S|. This provides a natural identification, $GL_n(V) \cong GL_n(\mathbb{R})$. We define a bilinear form B_W on V as follows:

$$B_W := \begin{cases} -\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\mathfrak{m}_{ij}}\right) & \text{, } \mathfrak{m}_{ij} < \infty \\ -1 & \text{, } \mathfrak{m}_{ij} = \infty \end{cases}.$$

By Definition 2.1.1, it is assured that $m_{ij} \ge 2$ for distinct i, j, ensuring the cosine term is nonpositive. Consequently, we have $B_W(e_i, e_j) \le 0$ for distinct i, j. Furthermore, from $m_{ii} = 1$, it follows that $B_W(e_i, e_i) = 1$. Using this bilinear form, we define hyperplanes with corresponding reflections for each basis element e_i as follows:

$$H_i := \{ v \in V \mid B_W(e_i, v) = 0 \}, \quad \sigma_i : V \to V, \quad v \mapsto v - 2B_W(e_i, v)e_i.$$

Theorem 2.2.1. *The map given by:*

$$\rho: W \to GL_n(V) \cong GL_n(\mathbb{R}), \quad s_i \mapsto \sigma_i$$

is an injective homomorphism and therefore a faithful representation of W.

Before we prove the homomorphism property, we want to recall: A map $\varphi: S \to G$ from a set S to a group G extends to a homomorphism $\hat{\varphi}: \langle S \mid R \rangle \to G$, if and only if the induced homomorphism $\overline{\phi}: F_S \to G$ from the free group over S satisfies $\overline{\phi}(r) = e_G$ for every $r \in R$.

Proof. Observe that $\sigma_i^2 = id$ in $GL_n(V)$ and thus, by applying the above to our situation we see that it suffices to show that the product $\sigma_i \sigma_j$ has order m_{ij} in $GL_n(V)$ for distinct $i, j \in I$. To do so, consider the two-dimensional subspace V_{ij} spanned by e_i and e_j in V. We take a general element $v = \lambda e_i + \mu e_j$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ in V_{ij} and distinguish the two cases in the definition of B_W :

1) $m_{ij} < \infty$: In this case B_W is positive definite, since for $v \neq 0$

$$B_{W}(\nu,\nu) = \lambda^{2} - 2\lambda\mu\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{m_{ij}}\right) + \mu^{2} = \left(\lambda - \mu\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{m_{ij}}\right)\right)^{2} + \mu^{2}\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{m_{ij}}\right) > 0.$$

Up to a change of basis, we identify $(V_{ij}, B_W|_{V_{ij}})$ with the euclidean plane $(\mathbb{R}^2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. Now σ_i and σ_j act on V_{ij} by orthogonal reflections in the hyperplanes H_i , H_j intersected with V_{ij} . We take a look at the inner product of e_i , e_i

$$B_W(e_i, e_j) = -\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{m_{ij}}\right) = \cos\left(\pi - \frac{\pi}{m_{ij}}\right)$$

and obtain that the angle between them in V_{ij} is given by $\pi - \frac{\pi}{m_{ij}}$. Thus, the angle between the reflecting lines is $\frac{\pi}{m_{ij}}$ and the product $\sigma_i \sigma_j$ turns out to be a rotation by $\frac{2\pi}{m_{ij}}$, showing that $\sigma_i \sigma_j$ has order m_{ij} in the subspace V_{ij} . And since $\sigma_i \sigma_j$ fixes V_{ij}^{\perp} by definition of the σ_i , it has order m_{ij} on the whole of V.

2) $m_{ij} = \infty$: Now B_W is not positive definite anymore:

$$B_W(\nu,\nu) = \lambda^2 - 2\lambda\mu + \mu^2 = (\lambda - \mu)^2 \geqslant 0.$$

Indeed, we can only expect it to be positive semidefinite on V_{ij} . We calculate:

$$(\sigma_{\mathfrak{i}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{j}})(e_{\mathfrak{i}}) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{i}}(e_{\mathfrak{i}} + 2e_{\mathfrak{j}}) = e_{\mathfrak{i}} + 2(e_{\mathfrak{i}} + e_{\mathfrak{j}}).$$

Set $\tilde{v} = e_i + e_j$, and by induction, we get $(\sigma_i \sigma_j)^n(e_i) = e_i + 2n\tilde{v}$, showing that $\sigma_i \sigma_j$ has infinite order on V_{ij} and therefore on the whole of V.

Moreover, we see that two-generator subgroups of W are dihedral, either of order $2m_{ij}$ or infinite order. This proves that ρ extends to a homomorphism.

We will prove the injectivity of ρ later. The group W will act on the dual space V* via the dual of this representation, and we define the dual representation ρ^* as follows

$$\rho^*: W \to \mathsf{GL}_{\mathsf{n}}(\mathsf{V}^*), \quad w \mapsto (\rho^*(w)(\phi))(v) = \phi(\rho(w^{-1})(v)), \quad w \in W, \phi \in \mathsf{V}^*, v \in \mathsf{V}.$$

Remark 2.2.2. *Notation wise, we will simply write* w(v)*, when* $w \in W$ *acts on* $v \in V$ *via* $\rho(w)(v)$ *.* Similarly, $w(\varphi)$ when $w \in W$ acts on some $\varphi \in V^*$ via the dual representation $\rho^*(w)(\varphi)$.

To further explore Coxeter groups and their action via this representation, we need some more notation. In particular, we want a so-called *chamber*. This should be thought of as a cone over a polytope with finitely many faces such that the reflections in its codimension one faces correspond to the generators of W under the representation.

Similar to the definitions of hyperplanes and reflections in V, we want to give a definition for these notions in the dual space V*. Define the dual hyperplanes by $H_i^* := \{ \phi \in V^* \mid \phi(e_i) = 0 \}$, and the corresponding dual reflections as the the maps from V^* to V^* , given by:

$$\sigma_{i}^{*}: V^{*} \rightarrow V^{*}, \quad \phi \mapsto \phi \circ \sigma_{i} = \phi - 2B_{W}(e_{i}, \, \cdot \,) \phi(e_{i}).$$

Definition 2.2.3. The fundamental chamber C of this dual representation is the set

$$C := \{ \phi \in V^* \mid \phi(e_i) \geqslant 0 \ \forall i \in I \} \subset V^*.$$

Denote by $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$ the dual basis of V^* corresponding to the standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of V. Then we calculate, using the σ_i^* from above:

$$\sigma_i^*(e_j^*) = e_j^* - 2B_W(e_i, \cdot)e_j^*(e_i) = \begin{cases} e_j^* & \text{for } i \neq j \\ e_j^* - 2B_W(e_j, \cdot) & \text{for } i = j \end{cases},$$

which implies that each reflection σ_i^* fixes all the hyperplanes H_i^* , for i, j distinct. Moreover, note that the fundamental chamber can be written of the form

$$C = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{i} \in I} \{ \phi \in V^* \mid \phi(e_{\mathfrak{i}}) \geqslant 0 \} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{i} \in I} (H_{\mathfrak{i}}^* \cup \{ \phi \in V^* \mid \phi(e_{\mathfrak{i}}) > 0 \}),$$

where we observe that the H_i* form the pairwise distinct codimension one faces of the chamber C. The open halfspaces $\{\varphi \in V^* \mid \varphi(e_i) > 0\}$ in the latter term will be called A_i^* and using these, we define the open fundamental chamber to be the intersection of the open halfspaces:

$$int(C) = \mathring{C} = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i^*.$$

As mentioned above, we want to study the action of our Coxeter group via the dual representation, acting by reflection in the faces H_i*. However, in general the translates of the chamber under the group action won't cover the whole of V*, which motivates the following definition:

Definition 2.2.4. The Tits cone is the union of all W-translates of the chamber, $WC := \bigcup_{w \in W} wC \subset V^*$.

As the name suggests, the fundamental chamber is a fundamental domain for the action of W on the Tits cone WC under the dual representation ρ^* . This will be proved in Theorem 2.5.7.

Remark 2.2.5. One may ask why we transport everything to the dual space, instead of working in the standard representation ρ . For this, consider the infinite dihedral group $D_{\infty} \cong \langle s,t \mid s^2=t^2=1 \rangle$. We fix a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of V and obtain that the bilinear form in this basis is given by the matrix

$$B_W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We observe that $H_1 = span\{e_1 + e_2\} = H_2$, which implies that σ_1 and σ_2 fix the same hyperplane, despite having different (-1)-Eigenspaces (namely the span of e_1 , resp. e_2). Therefore, in general, working in the standard representation won't result in a chamber, giving rise to the existence of the Tits cone. Now, passing to the dual space V^* by fixing the dual basis $\{e_1^*, e_2^*\}$, consider the dual reflections σ_i^* as discussed before. By the more general calculation earlier, we obtain

$$\sigma_1^*(H_2^*) = H_2^*$$
 and $\sigma_2^*(H_1^*) = H_1^*$.

And furthermore, we note that for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$

$$\sigma_{i}^{*}(B_{W}(e_{i},\cdot)) = B_{W}(e_{i},\cdot) - 2B_{W}(e_{i},\cdot)B_{W}(e_{i},e_{i}) = -B_{W}(e_{i},\cdot),$$

using that $B_W(e_i,\cdot) = -B_W(e_i,\cdot)$. This shows that both dual reflections have the same (-1)-Eigenspace, but fix different hyperplanes (i. e., have different (+1)-Eigenspaces), resulting in a chamber as wished.

THE TITS CONE - AN EXAMPLE 2.3

As an example we take a closer look at the free product $W \cong \langle r, s, t \mid r^2 = s^2 = t^2 = 1 \rangle$ from Example 2.1.3. We fix the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and identify V with \mathbb{R}^3 . In this basis, the bilinear form B_W is given by the matrix

$$B_W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the spectral theorem we find a basis of orthonormal Eigenvectors, in which B_W is a diagonal matrix with its eigenvalues as entries. Using the Gram-Schmidt procedure, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words, we have $V^TB_WV = D$ with $V \in O(n)$ and D = diag(2, 2, -1). Now, since we have a diagonal matrix, we can multiply the entries by squares, since the resulting matrix will be congruent to the given one:

Let
$$A = \operatorname{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
,
$$S = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})^{n \times n} \implies S^T A S = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1^2 \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_n^2 \mu_n).$$

To apply this and further transform our matrix D, define the invertible matrix T as follows

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which then implies $\tilde{D} := T(V^T B_W V)T = diag(1,1,-1)$. The images of the basis vectors $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ are given by the three columns of the matrix TV^T , namely:

$$\tilde{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix} \ \text{and} \ \tilde{e}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that we have $V \cong \mathbb{R}^3$, equipped with the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{2,1} := x^T \tilde{D} y = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 - x_3 y_3.$$

Since $\langle \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_i \rangle_{2,1} = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have that these three vectors span an ideal triangle in the hyperboloid model of \mathbb{H}^2 , given by $\langle x,y\rangle_{2,1}=-1$. One gets the ideal triangle by intersecting the hyperboloid with the hyperplanes spanned by each two of the \tilde{e}_i (they will only intersect in the surrounding cone of the hyperboloid).

Given these new coordinates under the transformation TVT, the Tits cone will be given by $x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2 < 0$ union the images of \tilde{e}_1 , \tilde{e}_2 and \tilde{e}_3 under the reflection in the sides of the chamber i. e., in the sides of the ideal triangle. Moreover, we get a subgroup of $O(2,1)_+$ generated by the three matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{5}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} \\ 0 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{5}{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{5}{3} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{5}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Each of the above matrices corresponds to one of the generators r, s and t of the right angled Coxeter group W under the transformation TV^T , constructed above.

THE WORD METRIC AND THE FAITHFUL REPRESENTATION

Recall that for any finitely generated group $W = \langle S \rangle$, its Cayley graph induces a metric on W, relative to the generating set S. We call it the word metric of W relative to S and denote it by d_S . Also note that the word metric is left-invariant, meaning for $u, v, w \in W$ we have $d_S(uv, uw) = d_S(v, w)$. Now, define the word length for $w \in W$ to be $\ell(w) := d_S(w, 1_W)$, the distance of an element to the neutral element. Note that $\ell(w) = 0$ if and only if $w = 1_W$.

Lemma 2.4.1. We collect some properties of the length function we will use later on.

- 1. $\forall w \in W : \ell(w) = \ell(w^{-1})$
- 2. $\forall s \in S : \ell(s) = 1 \text{ and } \ell(w) = 1 \iff w \in S^{\pm 1}$
- 3. $\forall v, w \in W : \ell(vw) \leq \ell(v) + \ell(w)$
- 4. $\forall v, w \in W : \ell(v) \ell(w) \leq \ell(vw)$
- 5. $\forall w \in W, s \in S^{\pm 1} : \ell(w) 1 \leq \ell(ws) \leq \ell(w) + 1$

Proof. All of the above statements follow from the fact that d_S is a left-invariant metric.

Coming back to Coxeter groups, by definition each generator $s \in S$ has order 2 in W. Therefore, we can write every non-trivial element in W as a sequence of generators in S. Note that in this sequence there might be redundencies, so that the following definition makes sense. We call the expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ for $i_1, \dots, i_r \in I$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ reduced, if $\ell(w) = r$, i.e. wcannot be represented by a shorter word. These reduced expressions have the caveat of not being unique by any means.

Given a parabolic subgroup W_I of a Coxeter group W, it admits its own word metric with respect to $J \subset I$. Therefore each parabolic subgroup admits its own length function, which we denote by $\ell_I(w)$ for words w in W_I . In the following we will make use of the general fact that we have $\ell(w) \leq \ell_{\rm I}(w)$ for all $w \in W_{\rm I}$.

The length function turns out to be an important and powerful tool in studying Coxeter groups. Indeed, its role will be demonstrated in several of the forthcoming proofs, beginning with the following theorem which is a key step in proving faithfulness.

Theorem 2.4.2. Let $w \in W$ and $s_i \in S$ for $i \in I$. Then $\ell(ws_i) > \ell(w)$ implies that $w(e_i) > 0$.

We prove the theorem by induction on $\ell(w)$ and by decomposing w, we will see how w acts on V. Note that by writing $w(e_i)$ for some $w \in W$ and $i \in I$, we mean that $e_i^*(w(e_i)) \ge 0$ for all $j \in I$. Put in other words, this means that $w(e_i)$ is contained in every $\overline{A_i^*} = A_i^* \cup H_i^*$ for $j \in I$.

Proof. The base case is trivial, as $\ell(w) = 0$ implies $w = 1_W$ and thus w fixes every basis element. Therefore, assume *w* is non-trivial and in reduced form. We state the induction hypothesis.

(IH) $\ell(vs_i) > \ell(v)$ for some $v \in W$ and $s_i \in S$ implies that $v(e_i) > 0$.

Claim 1: There is a $j \in I$ such that $s_i \neq s_j$ and $\ell(ws_j) = \ell(w) - 1$.

Proof of Claim 1. Since w is in reduced form, write $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ and set $s_j = s_{i_k}$. This implies

$$\ell(ws_i) > \ell(w) > \ell(w) - 1 = \ell(ws_i),$$

and in particular $s_i \neq s_i$. This proves the first Claim.

Consider the parabolic subgroup $\langle s_i, s_i \rangle \leq W$ generated by these two distinct elements in S. Denote this subgroup by W_I , for $J = \{i, j\} \subset I$. Our goal now is to decompose w into two parts, one living in the subgroup W_I and the other in its complement. For this, consider a specific subset of the coset $wW_{\rm I}$, given by

$$A := \{ v \in W \mid vW_J = wW_J \text{ and } \ell(v) + \ell_J(v^{-1}w) = \ell(w) \}.$$

By definition, $w \in A$. With regard to the following, we choose $v \in A$ such that its length $\ell(v)$ is minimal. Now set $v_{\rm I} = v^{-1}w$, which is equivalent to writing $w = vv_{\rm I}$, giving us a decomposition of w as desired. Due to this decomposition, our analysis of w now boils down to studying the separate actions of v and v_I on V. First note that ws_i is contained in A as well, since $s_i^{-1} = s_j$ and thus

$$s_i w^{-1} w = s_i \in W_I$$
 and $\ell(w s_i) + \ell_I(s_i) = \ell(w) - 1 + 1 = \ell(w)$.

By our choice of $\ell(v)$ to be minimal, we see $\ell(v) \leq \ell(ws_i) = \ell(w) - 1$. Thus, we are almost set up to apply the induction hypothesis to v and s_i . The last ingredient for this is the following

Claim 2: For the lengths of vs_i and v, we have the relation: $\ell(vs_i) \ge \ell(v)$.

Proof of Claim 2. Assume towards contradiction: $\ell(vs_i) < \ell(v)$, equivalently $\ell(vs_i) = \ell(v) - 1$. Then:

$$\begin{split} \ell(w) &= \ell(\nu \nu_J) = \ell(\nu s_i s_i \nu^{-1} w) \leqslant \ell(\nu s_i) + \ell(s_i \nu^{-1} w) \\ &\leqslant \ell(\nu) - 1 + \ell_J(\nu^{-1} w) + 1 = \ell(\nu) + \ell_J(\nu^{-1} w) = \ell(w). \end{split}$$

This means equality holds throughout and in particular $\ell(w) = \ell(vs_i) + \ell_I(s_iv^{-1}w)$. But this implies vs_i belongs to A, contradicting the minimality of $\ell(v)$.

Applying the induction hypothesis (IH) to ν and s_i leaves us with $\nu(e_i) > 0$. The exact same argument applied to v and s_i shows $v(e_i) > 0$, so that we omit this here. Next, our goal is to study how v_I acts on V. More precisely, how it acts on the basis element e_i .

Claim 3: For $v_I s_i$ and v_I we have the relation: $\ell_I(v_I s_i) \ge \ell(v)$.

Proof of Claim 3. Assume towards contradiction, that $\ell_I(\nu_I s_i) < \ell(\nu_I)$ holds. Then:

$$\ell(ws_{\mathfrak{i}}) = \ell(vv_{\mathfrak{I}}s_{\mathfrak{i}}) \leqslant \ell(v) + \ell(v_{\mathfrak{I}}s_{\mathfrak{i}}) \leqslant \ell(v) + \ell_{\mathfrak{I}}(v_{\mathfrak{I}}s_{\mathfrak{i}}) < \ell(v) + \ell_{\mathfrak{I}}(v_{\mathfrak{I}}) = \ell(w),$$

which contradicts the assumption of the theorem, that $\ell(ws_i) > \ell(w)$.

Moreover, this shows that all reduced expressions of v_I in the parabolic subgroup W_I have to end in s_i . Else we would have $\ell(v_I) > \ell(v_I s_i)$, contradicting *Claim 3*. It remains to show

Claim 4: $s_i s_j$ maps e_i to a non-negative linear combination of e_i and e_j .

Proof of Claim 4. Note that W_I is dihedral, either of order $2m_{ij}$ or infinite order. Then, as v_I lies in $W_{\rm J}$, any reduced expression of $v_{\rm J}$ in $W_{\rm J}$ is an alternating product of $s_{\rm i}$ and $s_{\rm j}$ ending in $s_{\rm j}$. Now, distinguish by the order of the group W_I :

- 1) $m_{ij} < \infty$: W_I is dihedral of order $2m_{ij}$. Thus, any element is of length $< m_{ij}$ and has a unique word corresponding to the edge labels in the Cayley graph. Note that the maximum length of $w \in W_I$ is precisely \mathfrak{m}_{ij} (as the Cayley graph is a cycle of length $2m_{ij}$). The element of length m_{ij} is represented by the reduced expressions $s_i s_i \cdots s_i$ and $s_i s_i \cdots s_i$. This implies that v_i has to have length smaller m_{ij} , else it would have a reduced expression ending in s_i , contradicting the above. Then v_I is a product of less than $\frac{m_{ij}}{2}$ terms $s_i s_j$, and each of the products $s_i s_j$ is a counterclockwise rotation about $\frac{2\pi}{m_{ij}}$. So v_J rotates e_i at maximum $\pi - \frac{2\pi}{m_{ij}}$, which still lies inside the cone spanned by e_i and e_i . In particular the resulting vector is a non-negative linear combination.
- 2) $m_{ij} = \infty$: In the case of the infinite dihedral group, we already have seen in the proof of Theorem 2.2.1 that $(s_i s_i)^n (e_i) = e_i + 2n(e_i + e_i)$.

This proves the last Claim.

Since v_I and v both map e_i to a non-negative linear combination of e_i and e_j , so does w due to its decomposition. Therefore, the proof is now complete.

The result of the previous theorem readily extends to its converse, offering valuable insights as well. We shall formally record this implication as a corollary.

Corollary 2.4.3. In the previous theorem, set $w = \tilde{w}s_i$ for $i \in I$. Then $\ell(\tilde{w}s_i) < \ell(w)$ implies $\ell(\tilde{w}s_is_i) > \ell(\tilde{w}s_i)$. Thus we have $(ws_i)(e_i) = -w(e_i) > 0$, or equivalently $w(e_i) < 0$.

With Corollary 2.4.3 and Theorem 2.4.2 in hand, we will finally be able to deduce the injectivity and thus faithfulness of our representation ρ .

Corollary 2.4.4. *The homomorphism of Theorem 2.2.1 is injective and thus a faithful representation.*

Proof. Assume $w \in \ker\{\rho\}$ non-trivial. Then there is an $i \in I$ with corresponding $s_i \in S$, such that $\ell(ws_i) < \ell(w)$. Now Corollary 2.4.3 implies $w(e_i) < 0$, but $w(e_i) = e_i > 0$ as $\rho(w) = id_V$, which is a contradiction and thus, the statement holds.

THE FUNDAMENTAL DOMAIN AND STABILIZERS 2.5

In this section, we will prove that the fundamental chamber $C \subset V^*$ is indeed a fundamental domain for the action of W on its Tits cone WC. Moreover, we will work out how stabilizers of points look like and then show that the Tits cone is really a convex cone in the dual space V*.

The following Lemma provides a criterion for when an element $w \in W$ leaves the open fundamental chamber Č in one of the open halfspaces A_i*.

Lemma 2.5.1. Let $w \in W$ and $i \in I$. Then the relation $\ell(s_i w) > \ell(w)$ of s_i and w is equivalent to $w(\mathring{C}) \subset A_i^*$, i. e. w leaving the open chamber inside the open halfspace, corresponding to s_i .

Proof. Note that $\ell(s_i w) > \ell(w)$ is equivalent to $\ell(w^{-1} s_i) > \ell(w^{-1})$, meaning that $w^{-1}(e_i) > 0$ by Theorem 2.4.2. Now for $\varphi \in \mathring{C}$, we have that $w(\varphi)(e_i) = \varphi(w^{-1}(e_i))$ and therefore $w(\varphi) \in A_i^*$. We conclude that $w(\mathring{C}) \subset A_i^*$.

If we choose in the above lemma $\tilde{w} := s_i w$ for an $i \in I$, we get

$$\ell(s_i\tilde{w}) = \ell(s_i^2w) = \ell(w) > \ell(s_iw) = \ell(\tilde{w}) \iff \tilde{w} = s_iw(C) \subset A_i^*.$$

This is again equivalent to $w(\mathring{C}) \subset s_i(A_i^*)$, and we have just proved the following lemma as the counterpart to Lemma 2.5.1.

Lemma 2.5.2. Let $w \in W$ and $i \in I$. Then the relation $\ell(s_i w) < \ell(w)$ holds if and only if we have $w(\mathring{C}) \subset s_i(A_i^*)$, i. e. w moves the open chamber into the open halfspace complementary to A_i^* .

Building onto this insight, we now want to study the action of parabolic subgroups on the tits cone to get an understanding of the stabilizers of points. To do so, decompose the fundamental chamber into subsets corresponding to the parabolic subgroups as follows.

Definition 2.5.3. Given a parabolic subgroup W_I corresponding to $J \subset I$, set

$$C_{J} := \bigcap_{j \in J} H_{j}^{*} \cap \bigcap_{k \notin J} A_{k}^{*}.$$

We call these the corresponding parabolic subsets (of the fundamental chamber).

1. When the set J is empty, the corresponding parabolic subset C_{\emptyset} coincides with Example 2.5.4. the entire chamber C. Conversely, when J contains all indices, C_I reduces to the singleton {0}.

2. If J is a proper subset of I with cardinality one, then the corresponding subset C_1 coincides with a codimension-one face of the chamber C.

Theorem 2.5.5. Let $w \in W$ and $J, K \subset I$ be subsets. Then $w(C_J) \cap C_K \neq \emptyset$ implies J = K, $w \in W_J$ and thus $w(C_1) = C_1$. In particular, the isotropy groups of the sets C_1 are the parabolic subgroups W_1 .

Proof. Let $w \in W$ and J, K \subset I be subsets, such that $w(C_1) \cap C_K \neq \emptyset$. We also proof this theorem by induction on $\ell(w)$, with the base case $\ell(w) = 0$ being trivial.

Assume $\ell(w) > 0$ and take $i \in I$, so that $\ell(s_i w) < \ell(w)$. By writing $w = s_i(s_i w)$ and applying Lemma 2.5.2, we get that $w(\mathring{C}) \subset s_i(A_i^*)$. Now we use the continuity of the action of W to get that $w(C) \subset s_i(A_i^*)$, which then implies that $w(C) \cap C \subset H_i^*$. This follows, since the (closed) fundamental chamber C by definition is a subset of $\overline{A_i^*}$. Since s_i fixes H_i^* , it fixes every point in the intersection of C and its translate w(C). Note that the sets C_I and C_K are subsets of the fundamental chamber C and therefore, s_i fixes every point in the non-empty set $w(C_I) \cap C_K$. But if s_i fixes some $\phi \in C_K$, then

$$\varphi(e_i) = s_i(\varphi)(e_i) = \varphi(s_i(e_i)) = -\varphi(e_i) \implies \varphi(e_i) = 0 \iff \varphi \in H_i^*.$$

We deduce $i \in K$, respectively $s_i \in W_K$. Using this together with the assumption, we get that $s_i w(C_I) \cap C_K = s_i(w(C_I) \cap C_K)$ is non-empty. We apply the induction hypothesis to the element $s_i w$, to see that J = K and $s_i w \in W_J$. Finally, since $s_i \in W_J = W_K$, we have that $s_i w \in W_I$ implies $w \in W_I$, proving the theorem.

For the next theorem we want to prove, we want to recall the following definition.

Definition 2.5.6. *Let* G *be a group, acting on a topological space* X. We call a closed subset $F \subset X$ strict fundamental domain, if for each $x \in F$ its orbit Gx intersects F in exactly one point.

Note that, by definition of the Tits cone WC, every W-orbit of a point $\varphi \in C$ meets the fundamental chamber C in at least one point, namely φ . Thus, it suffices to proof that each W-orbit meets C in at most one point, to prove following theorem:

Theorem 2.5.7. *The fundamental chamber is a fundamental domain for the action on the Tits cone.*

Proof. Assume that $\varphi, \psi \in C$ lie in the same W-orbit, but in different sets C_I and C_K for J, K \subset I. Since they lie in the same orbit, there is a $w \in W$ with $\varphi = w(\psi)$. Thus, the intersection $w(C_I) \cap C_K$ is non-empty and Theorem 2.5.5 implies J = K and $w \in W_I$. We deduce $\varphi = w(\psi) = \psi$. Thus, every W-orbit of a point $\varphi \in C$ meets the fundamental chamber C at most in φ , proving the theorem.

Define a set \mathcal{C} as the union of all translates of parabolic subsets $W_{\mathbf{I}}$ i. e., define \mathcal{C} by

$$\mathfrak{C} := \bigcup_{J \subset I} \bigcup_{w \in W} w(C_J).$$

Note that Theorem 2.5.5 implies that this set forms a partition of the Tits cone as it shows that the sets of the form $w(C_I)$ in \mathcal{C} are all disjoint for w in the coset W/W_I and J a subset of I.

Theorem 2.5.8. The Tits cone WC is a convex cone, and every closed line segment in the Tits cone meets only finitely many of the sets in C.

Proof. First note that the fundamental chamber is a convex cone as the intersection of the finitely many closed halfspaces $\overline{A_i^*}$. This implies that the Tits cone is a cone as well. We will prove the convexity by showing that every closed segment between any two points in the Tits cone is contained in it. Furthermore, we will prove that these segments can be covered by finitely many of the sets in C, implying latter statement.

Consider the closed segment $[\varphi, \psi] \subset V^*$ and assume $\varphi \in C$ and $\psi \in w(C)$ for some $w \in W$, i. e., the endpoints lie in different chambers. We proceed by induction on $\ell(w)$ with the base case $\ell(w) = 0$ implying $\varphi, \psi \in C$. Since C is convex and can trivially be covered by finitely many of the C_I , (e.g., set $J_i = \{s_i\}$ for $i \in I$) we are done. Therefore, assume $\ell(w) > 0$. Note that we can divide the segment $[\phi, \psi]$ into two parts, by intersecting it with the closed chamber C to get a closed segment $[\phi, \xi]$ inside C and a segment $[\xi, \psi]$. The first segment we can cover by finitely many sets of C, as it lies in C. Thus, we need to show that we can cover the latter segment by finitely many of these sets. Assume further, that $\psi \in s_i(A_i^*)$ for $i \in J$ and $\psi \in \overline{A_i^*}$ for $i \notin J$ for some $J \subset I$, so that $\psi \notin C$.

Claim 1: ξ has to lie in one of the codimension-one faces H_i^* for $i \in J$.

Proof of Claim 1. Assume that $\xi \in A_i^*$ for $i \in J$. Then every point ζ in the intersection of a neighborhood of ξ contained in A_i^* and the segment $[\xi, \psi]$ has to also lie in A_i^* for $i \in J$. Clearly, $\zeta \in A_i^*$ for $i \notin J$ holds as well, implying that $\zeta \in C$. But this is a contradiction to the decomposition of the initial segment $[\phi, \psi]$. Thus, ξ has to lie in one of the H_i^* , for $i \in J$.

Both assumptions together, $\psi \in s_i(A_i^*)$ and $\psi \in w(C)$, imply $w(C) \subset \overline{s_i(A_i^*)}$, hence by continuity $w(\check{C}) \subset s_i(A_i^*)$. By Lemma 2.5.2 this is equivalent to $\ell(s_i w) < \ell(w)$ and we are set up to apply the induction hypothesis to ξ and $s_i(\psi) \in s_i w(C)$. This gives a finite covering of $[\xi, s_i(\psi)]$ by sets in \mathcal{C} . But since we established that $\xi \in H_i^*$, translation by s_i gives $[s_i(\xi), s_i^2(\psi)] = [\xi, \psi]$, and thus we can cover this segment by finitely many sets as well. The result follows.

An essential fact we want to emphasize here is that the stabilizer of the fundamental chamber is trivial. Setting $J = \emptyset$, we obtain from Theorem 2.5.5 that the stabilizer subgroup of $C_J = C_\emptyset = C$ (cf. Example 2.5.4) is precisely the parabolic subgroup $W_J = W_\emptyset = \{1\}$.

2.6 COVERING ACTIONS

Definition 2.6.1. Let X, Y be topological spaces. A continuous map $p: Y \to X$ is a covering map and Y a covering space for X, if every point x in X has an open neighborhood U, such that the preimage of U under p is a disjoint union of open sets U_i in Y for an index set I. Furthermore, the map p has to be a local homeomorphism, meaning the restriction of p to the U_i is a homeomorphism. Then, U is called evenly covered and |I| the degree of the covering, while the open sets U_i are called the sheets over U and the preimage of an x in X is called a fiber of x.

Definition 2.6.2. *Let* G *be a group, acting on a space* X. *If the following is satisfied:*

$$\forall x \in X : \exists U \in \mathcal{U}_x^X : |\{g \in G \mid g(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}| < \infty$$

we say that the action is properly discontinuous on X.

Definition 2.6.3. *Let* G *be a group, acting on a space* X. *If the more restrictive condition*

$$\forall x \in X: \exists U \in \mathcal{U}^X_x: \ \{g \in G \mid g(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset\} = \{1\}$$

is satisfied, we call the action a covering (space) action.

Lemma 2.6.4. Let G be a group, that acts freely and properly discontinuous on a Hausdorff space X. Then the action is a covering action in the above sense.

Proof. Let X be a Hausdorff space and G be a group acting freely, properly discontinuously on X. Then for a open Neighborhood U of $x \in X$ the set $M := \{ g \in G \mid gU \cap U \neq \emptyset \}$ is finite. For these $g \in M$ we pick pairwise disjoint Neighborhoods V_g of gx, which is possible since G acts freely, thus $gx \neq x$ and X is Hausdorff. Finally, set $V = \bigcap_{g \in M} g^{-1}V_g \cap U$, which is open as finite intersection of open sets and by definition a Neighborhood of x.

Note that the Tits cone WC is a Hausdorff space as a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n for suitable $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now

Definition 2.6.5. A path-connected topological space X is called simply connected, if $\pi_1(X) \cong \{1\}$.

Lemma 2.6.6. *Let* G *be a group, acting by covering action on a simply connected topological space* X. Then the quotient map $p_G: (X, x_0) \to (G \setminus X, G \cdot x_0)$ is a covering map and $\pi_1(G \setminus X, G \cdot x_0) \cong G$.

Proof. Let U be an open neighborhood of $x \in X$, such that $\{q \in G \mid qU \cap U \neq \emptyset\} = \{1\}$.

Claim 1: The map p_G restricted to U is a continuous bijection.

Proof of Claim 1. Continuity: $U \subset G \setminus X$ is open if and only if $p^{-1}(U)$ is open and thus p is continuous Surjectivity: Since orbits are not empty, p is surjective Injectivity: Assume $x, y \in X$ with p(x) = p(y), but then there is $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ with gx = y implying $gU \cap U \neq \emptyset$. This is a contradiction to G acting by covering action.

Claim 2: Every point $Gx \in G \setminus X$ has a neighborhood $V \subset G \setminus X$ with $\mathfrak{p}_G^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} U_i$.

Proof of Claim 2. By assumption the sets gU are all disjoint neighborhoods of $gx \in X$ for all $g \in G$. Moreover, for $V := p_G(U) \subset G \setminus X$, we have $p_G^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} gU$, proving the Claim.

Claim 3: The map $p_G|_{gU} \to V = p_G(U)$ is an homeomorphism for all $g \in G$.

Proof of Claim 3. Note that the action of G on X is by homeomorphisms and $U \subset X$ is open. Then the union $\bigsqcup_{g \in G} gU$ is open as well and since $\mathfrak{p}_G^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} gU$, the set V is open in the quotient topology of G\X. Therefore, the map p_G restricted to gU for $g \in G$ is an open map and hence, by Claim 1 a local homeomorphism.

Now all conditions in Definition 2.6.1 are satisfied, so p_G is a covering map. For the last part of the statement, take a homotopy class of loops $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(G \setminus X, Gx_0)$ with representative γ . Define a map $\varphi : \pi_1(G, x_0) \to G$ on homotopy classes $[\gamma]$, such that $\varphi([\gamma])$ takes $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = x_0$ to $\tilde{\gamma}(1)$, where $\tilde{\gamma}:[0,1]\to X$ is a lift of γ . Now if γ' is another representative of $[\gamma]$ then, $\widetilde{\overline{\gamma'}\cdot\gamma}$ is the lift of a contractible curve in $G\setminus X$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Ian Agol. "Criteria for virtual fibering." In: Journal of Topology (2008).
- [2] Michael W. Davis. *The Geometry and Topology of Coxeter Groups.* (*LMS-32*) -. Kassel: Princeton University Press, 2012. ISBN: 978-1-400-84594-1.
- [3] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002. ISBN: 0-521-79540-0.
- [4] James E. Humphreys. *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups -*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992. ISBN: 978-0-521-43613-7.
- [5] Anne Thomas. *Geometric and Topological Aspects of Coxeter Groups and Buildings* -. European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2018. ISBN: 978-3-037-19189-7.