1 (**Murphy 2.16**) Suppose $\theta \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta; a, b) = \frac{1}{B(a, b)} \theta^{a-1} (1 - \theta)^{b-1} = \frac{\Gamma(a + b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \theta^{a-1} (1 - \theta)^{b-1}$$

where $B(a,b) = \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)/\Gamma(a+b)$ is the Beta function and $\Gamma(x)$ is the Gamma function. Derive the mean, mode, and variance of θ .

The mean of θ is equivalent to its expected value. The expected value of θ is given in Murphy 2.2.7. Note that the bounds of the integral are from 0 to 1 because θ is distributed according to the Beta distribution, which goes from 0 to 1.

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{E}(\theta) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \int_0^1 \theta \mathbb{P}(\theta; a, b) d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \theta \frac{1}{B(a, b)} \theta^{a-1} (1 - \theta)^{b-1} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{B(a, b)} \theta^a (1 - \theta)^{b-1} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(a + b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \theta^a (1 - \theta)^{b-1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a + b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 \theta^a (1 - \theta)^{b-1} d\theta \quad \text{(since } B(a, b) \text{ does not depend on } \theta) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a + b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 \theta^{(a+1)-1} (1 - \theta)^{b-1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a + b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} B(a + 1, b) \quad \text{(since } B(x, y) = \int_0^1 u^{x-1} (1 - u)^{y-1} du)^1 \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a + b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \frac{\Gamma(a + 1)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a + b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \frac{\Gamma(a + 1)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a + b + 1)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a + b)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{a\Gamma(a)}{(a + b)\Gamma(a + b)} \quad \text{(since a Gamma function property is: } \Gamma(n + 1) = n\Gamma(n))^2 \\ &= \frac{a}{a + b}. \end{split}$$

 $^{^{1}}$ This equation for the Beta function is not given in Murphy. I found this equation on Wikipedia.

²I also found this property online.

We know by Murphy 2.24-2.25 that the variance of θ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} var[\theta] &= \mathbb{E}[\theta^2] - \mu^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\theta^2] - (\mathbb{E}[\theta])^2 \\ &= \int_0^1 \theta^2 \mathbb{P}(\theta; a, b) d\theta - (\frac{a}{a+b})^2 \quad \text{(using the result for the mean found above)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 \theta^{a+1} (1-\theta)^{b-1} d\theta - (\frac{a}{a+b})^2 \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} B(a+2, b) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \frac{\Gamma(a+2)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b+2)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{(a+1)\Gamma(a+1)}{(a+b+1)\Gamma(a+b+1)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{(a+1)(a)\Gamma(a)}{(a+b+1)(a+b)\Gamma(a+b)} \\ &= \frac{(a+1)(a)}{(a+b+1)(a+b)}. \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathbb{P}(\theta)$ describes the probability of θ . The mode of θ is the value of θ that has the highest probability. To find this value of θ , we will find the critical points of \mathbb{P} . To do this, we take the gradient of the probability function, set the expression equal to zero, and solve for the values of θ :

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \nabla_{\theta}(\mathbb{P}(\theta)) \\ 0 &= \nabla_{\theta} \big[\frac{1}{B(a,b)} \theta^{a-1} (1-\theta)^{b-1} \big] \\ 0 &= \frac{1}{B(a,b)} \nabla_{\theta} \big[\theta^{a-1} (1-\theta)^{b-1} \big] \\ 0 &= \nabla_{\theta} \big[\theta^{a-1} (1-\theta)^{b-1} \big] \\ 0 &= (1-\theta)^{b-1} \nabla_{\theta} \big[\theta^{a-1} \big] + \theta^{a-1} \nabla_{\theta} \big[(1-\theta)^{b-1} \big] \quad \text{(product rule)} \\ 0 &= (1-\theta)^{b-1} (a-1) \theta^{a-2} - \theta^{a-1} (b-1) (1-\theta)^{b-2} \\ \theta^{a-1} (b-1) (1-\theta)^{b-2} &= (1-\theta)^{b-1} (a-1) \theta^{a-2} \\ \theta (b-1) &= (1-\theta) (a-1) \\ \theta b - \theta &= a-1-a\theta+\theta \\ \theta (b-1+a-1) &= a-1 \\ \theta &= \frac{a-1}{b+a-2}. \end{split}$$

Thus, the mode of $\theta = \frac{a-1}{b+a-2}$. Since there is only one critical point, and we are asked to find a local maximum, we can assume that this value of θ is the maximum. If we wanted to prove that it is in fact a maximum, we could use the second derivative test.

2 (Murphy 9) Show that the multinoulli distribution

$$Cat(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \prod_{i=1}^K \mu_i^{x_i}$$

is in the exponential family and show that the generalized linear model corresponding to this distribution is the same as multinoulli logistic regression (softmax regression).

First, let us consider the multinoulli distribution:

$$Cat(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \prod_{i=1}^{K} \mu_{i}^{x_{i}}$$

$$= \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^{K} x_{k} \log \mu_{k}\right] \quad \text{(given in Murphy 9.2.2)}$$

$$= \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k} \log \mu_{k} + x_{K} \log \mu_{K}\right]$$

$$= \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k} \log \mu_{k} + (1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k}) \log \mu_{K} \quad \text{(since } \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mu_{k} = 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_{k})^{3}$$

$$= \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k} \log \mu_{k} - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k} \log \mu_{K} + \log \mu_{K}\right]$$

$$= \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k} \log \frac{\mu_{k}}{\mu_{K}} + \log \mu_{K}\right].$$

We know that the exponential family form is:

$$Cat(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) - A(\boldsymbol{\theta})).$$

If we let $\theta = \left[\log \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_K} \log \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_K} \dots \log \frac{\mu_{K-1}}{\mu_K}\right]$, then we see that $\mu_k = \mu_K e^{\theta_k}$. Consequently, we have:

$$\mu_K = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \mu_k = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \mu_K e^{\theta_k}, \text{ so}$$

$$\mu_K = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} e^{\theta_k}}.$$

Furthermore, let
$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$$
, and let $A(\theta) = -\log \mu_K = -\log \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} e^{\theta_k}} = \log(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} e^{\theta_k})$.

³We know that $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \mu_k = 1$ since μ represents probability, so the probability of all of the possible outcomes must be 1. We know that $\sum_{k=1}^{K} x_k = 1$ since the multinoulli distribution is defined as having $Cat(\mathbf{x}, \mu) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Mu(\mathbf{x}|1, \theta)$, where n = 1 is the size of the set that will be divided up into subsets with sizes x_1 up to x_K .

Thus, we see that we can express the multinoulli distribution in exponential family form, so it is in the exponential family.

Next, we will consider $S(\theta)$, so:

$$S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_c) = \frac{e^{\theta_c}}{\sum_{c'=1}^C e^{n_{c'}}} \quad \text{(by definition of the softmax function)}$$

$$= \frac{e^{\log \frac{\mu_c}{\mu_K}}}{\sum_{c'=1}^C e^{\log \frac{\mu'_c}{\mu_K}}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\mu_c}{\mu_K}}{\frac{1}{\mu_K} \sum_{c'=1}^C \mu'_c} \quad \text{(since } \mu_K \text{ does not depend on } c'\text{)}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\mu_c}{\mu_K}}{\frac{1}{\mu_K}} \quad \text{(since } \sum_{c'=1}^C \mu'_c = 1 \text{, shown on the previous page)}$$

$$= \mu_c.$$

Thus, we see that $S(\theta) = \mu$. Therefore, since S is the softmax function, we know that the generalized linear model corresponding to the multinoulli distribution is the same as multinoulli logistic regression or softmax regression.