How the CIE 1931 Color-Matching Functions Were Derived from Wright-Guild Data

Michael H. Brill

Sarnoff Corp., CN 5300, Princeton, NJ 08543-5300

In the subject article, ¹ there is an error in Eq. (A2) that propagates into Eq. (A8) and into the interpretation of Eq. (A19). This error concerns the CIE's rescaling of the original color-matching functions $\overline{r}(\lambda)$, $\overline{g}(\lambda)$, and $\overline{b}(\lambda)$. Although the scaling indeed equalized the visible-wavelength integrals of these three functions, it is not true that the integrals are equal to $\int V(\lambda) d\lambda$. Rather, the far-right-hand side of Eq. (A2) should be changed to $K \int V(\lambda) d\lambda$, and the right-hand sides of Eq. (A8) should all be K instead of 1. Here, K is given by

$$K = 1/(L_R + L_G + L_B) = 0.1770.$$
 (1)

The above value for K is obtained from the observation that $\overline{r}(\lambda)$ was rescaled in such a way that $\overline{r}(\lambda_r) = V(\lambda_r)$, where $\lambda_r = 700$ nm and $V(\lambda)$ is the 1924 CIE luminance function. This rescaling ensures [see Eqs. (A15) and (A16)] that the coefficient b_{21} of $\overline{r}(\lambda)$ in the RGB expansion of $V(\lambda)$ is 1, and hence the luminance coefficients L_R , L_G , L_B defined in Eq. (A19) are identical (not just proportional) to the expansion coefficients b_{21} , b_{22} , b_{23} . Substituting the L's for the b's in Eq. (A15), integrating

© 1998 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

over visible wavelength, and recalling the equality of the \overline{r} , \overline{g} , and \overline{b} integrals (Eq. A2), one can then obtain the relation

$$\int \overline{r}(\lambda)d\lambda = K \int V(\lambda)d\lambda, \tag{2}$$

where K is defined in Eq. (1) above.

In summary, the area under each of the \overline{r} , \overline{g} , \overline{b} colormatching functions is only 0.1770 times the area under each of the \overline{x} , \overline{y} , \overline{z} functions, contrary to Appendix A of Ref. 1. This scaling convention is what enables the luminance coefficients to be equal to (not just proportional to) the best-fit coefficients of $\overline{r}(\lambda)$, $\overline{g}(\lambda)$, and $\overline{b}(\lambda)$ to $V(\lambda)$. It is also implicit in Hunt's recent discussion.³

I thank Robert Risch of IBM Watson Research Center for bringing to my attention the error in Eq. (A2).

- **1.** H. S. Fairman, M. H. Brill, and H. Hemmendinger, How the CIE 1931 color-matching functions were derived from Wright-Guild data, *Color Res. Appl.* **22**, 11–23 (1997).
- G. Wyszecki and W. S. Stiles, Color Science, Second Ed., Wiley, New York, 1982, pp.737, 751.
- **3.** R. W. G. Hunt, The heights of the CIE colour-matching functions, *Color Res. Appl.* **22.**, 335 (1997).