



amsa

American Medical Student Association

Estefania Henriquez

10/03/2016

Role

Medical Student

Location

AMSA



Introduction

Purpose

This report is designed to provide insight into your preferences, attitudes and personal characteristics, and how they relate to your behavior, primarily in professional settings. It is organized around six Styles and fifteen Aspects of personality. Each Style and Aspect provides insight into your approaches as assessed by AMSA's Self-Awareness Assessment Instrument. Each of the Styles and their Aspects, along with your results and the implications of your scores, are described in greater detail below.

Cautions

Your results should be considered in the context of other available information. It is important not to over-emphasize any one specific statement or conclusion. When examining the report, consider the pattern of strengths and improvement opportunities across the personality Aspects presented, and review feedback you have received from other sources. Although individual personality tends to be relatively static and stable across an individual's lifespan, certain identified Aspects of personality can vary and shift over time for a variety of reasons. In addition, the display of specific behaviors in professional settings is also a function of situations and contexts, which also can change over time. Therefore, it is important not to rely on results which have become dated.

Interpretation

Personality traits are best interpreted on a continuum. Low scores and high scores are neither inherently good nor bad. Both low and high scores have beneficial and undesirable implications for behavior. While scores reflect a likelihood of displaying certain behaviors, remember it is possible to compensate for improvement opportunities through development, experience and coaching.

Interpretation of personality scores requires a comparison to others. In this report, the scores for each Aspect are communicated on a standardized nine-point scale called a stanine. As illustrated below, most people will tend to fall toward the middle of the stanine continuum on many Aspects, but will likely have higher or lower scores for some Aspects.

Each of the fifteen Aspects is presented with a single, short description, along with descriptions at each end of the continuum. The darker box on the graph represents your exact stanine and the lighter boxes to either side indicate the possible range of your score. For example, the graph below indicates a stanine of 2 and a possible range of scores of 1 to 3.



Aspect: A short aspect description

A description of one end of the spectrum



A description of the other end of the spectrum

To interpret your results, start by reviewing your overall Styles. Then proceed to the detail for each of the Aspects that constitute those Styles.

Model of Personality

The Personality Model used as a foundation for this assessment is firmly grounded in the well-established and empirically supported Five Factor Model (at times referred to as the Big Five). Elements of each of the traditional concepts of Extroversion, Emotional Stability, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, and Openness to Experience are directly represented across ten of the fifteen Aspects of the model. The remaining five Aspects reflect traits critical for successful professional performance, particularly in leadership roles, but not captured in the traditional Five Factor Model. These additional five Aspects were taken from the latest literature on authentic leadership, learning theories, and positive psychology.

Normative Group

The selection of an appropriate normative group is important for accurate interpretation of personality results. The choice of which is based on a number of factors including cultural elements and the intended use of the report. This report is based on Professionals and Managers in the U.S. As physicians occupy a space in the top tiers of the health care hierarchy, it is important to explore individual student potential, as well as behaviors, from this perspective.

American Medical Student Association


AMSA is a student-governed, national organization committed to representing the concerns of physicians-in-training. AMSA members are medical students, premedical students, interns, residents and practicing physicians. Founded in 1950, AMSA continues its commitment to improving medical training and the nation's health. This self-awareness assessment represents one part of AMSA's commitment to assisting future physicians realize their full potential and grow their skill sets in areas not typically addressed in medical schools. The assessment is specifically focused on traits critical for medical students, and is but one tool offered by AMSA to assist physicians-in-training in their professional development and well-being.

For more information on the assessment please visit <http://www.amsa.org>

Aspect Summary

Aspect Profile

Stanine scores on each of the fifteen Aspects of personality are summarized in the table below.



Task Style

5.5

Summary

The Task Style is a broad measure of conscientiousness capturing one's approach to duties, responsibilities, and getting things done. Your results on Internal Drive suggest a comfort in moving from task to task and a more relaxed approach to work. When combined with a lower Organization score, task completion may be at risk as your tendency to leave some tasks unfinished may not be effectively checked and balanced. A high degree of Organization may suggest a healthy preference toward planning and organization which may help ensure tasks are completed.

2

Internal Drive: relaxed vs. focused

Relaxed, distractible,
unconcerned



Hard working, reliable,
overly task-focused

People who fall within this range of the Internal Drive scale may be seen as:

- Easy going and relaxed
- Able to shift between tasks but may leave some incomplete
- May dismiss project timelines, seeing them as adjustable
- Likely to get diverted during extensive tasks
- Likely to procrastinate
- Less interested in difficult tasks that require perseverance

9

Organization: big picture vs. detail focus

Comfortable with ambiguity,
spontaneous, unorganized



Organized, careful, detail-
focused, perfectionist

People who fall within this range of the Organization scale may be seen as:

- Very practical and prudent
- Orderly and neat; often a perfectionist
- Very organized and planful, but sometimes having difficulty dealing with ambiguity or sudden changes
- Cautious and rigorous
- Uneasy with people who do not follow all policies and procedures
- Diligent with specifications yet unskilled at innovating to accomplish final goals

Adaptation Style

1.7

Summary

Adaptation Style relates to a person's openness to experience and approach to learning and adapting to situations. Your results suggest a tendency toward a pragmatic approach to problem solving rather than a more theoretical approach. A lower Open-Mindedness score may also indicate a high degree of consistency in your behaviors but also suggests you may be less willing to consider new ideas. A higher Open-Mindedness score suggests you can be too willing to try different approaches without considering their long-term implications. Finally, consider the impact of Mastery and how this influences how you prefer to adapt to situations.

2

Conceptual: practical vs. abstract

Pragmatic, concrete, overly tactical



Inquisitive, imaginative, overly theoretical

People who fall within this range of the Conceptual scale may be seen as:

- Very pragmatic in their approach to things
- Functional mindset and sees society as forthright
- Preferring to solve problems that are concrete and well-defined rather than ambiguous
- More comfortable focusing on execution and immediate issues
- Demonstrating trivial interest in comprehensive or conceptual topics

1

Open-mindedness: consistent vs. flexible

Predictable, consistent, inflexible



Open to new ideas and experiences, inconsistent

People who fall within this range of the Open-Mindedness scale may be seen as:

- Firm in views and notions
- Reliable and explicit
- Always using trusted approaches
- Uninterested in seeking out new ideas or experiences
- Inflexible and rigid
- Resistant to change

2

Mastery: doing vs. improving

Focused on doing, less concerned with learning and development



Seeks challenges, focused on learning and development

People who fall within this range of the Mastery scale may be seen as:

- Opting to maximize skills rather than growing new ones
- Trusting that individuals ought to do what they are great at and not stress over attempting to create aptitudes for which they have no characteristic ability
- Less interested in personal development without immediate practical value
- Not inspired to test open doors when there is a chance of disappointment
- Uninterested in supporting other people to acquire new skillsets and progress

Achievement Style

5.0

Summary

Need for achievement, including focus on career goals and influence over others is captured by Achievement Style. Your results suggest you are likely to strive for a balance between your career and your personal goals and interests. While you are willing to take control of situations, at times, you may let others with greater desire for leadership and control take the lead in some situations. When considering future leadership roles that come with greater time commitments, be sure to carefully consider whether you will feel comfortable taking on additional authority and responsibilities.

5

Ambition: contented vs. striving

Good work-life balance, less concerned with career progression



Career-focused, goaloriented, obsessive

People who fall within this range of the Ambition scale may be seen as:

- Valuing good work-life balance
- Intrigued by advancements and accomplishing vocation objectives, however hesitant to relinquish individual objectives to accomplish them
- Motivated to achieve good results, however not stressed over being exceptional
- Preferring a balance of both achievable and challenging objectives
- For the most part having high expectations of themselves as well as other people, albeit willing to trade off on occasion

5

Control: contributor vs. controller

Interested in contributing, open to others taking control



Interested in leading, having influence, controlling

People who fall within this range of the Control scale may be seen as:

- Inclined to undertake management responsibilities, yet a cooperative team player
- Happy with showing others how its done or through straightforward direction
- Ready to be mandate and leading when essential
- Opting to manage a few instead of a large group
- Not strongly motivated by accumulating authority and influence
- Willing to giving others a chance to lead in troublesome circumstances or when extreme choices should be made

Interaction Style

3.5

Summary

Interaction Style is a broad measure of extraversion that describes how much you seek out interaction with others and how you prefer to engage with them. Your results suggest you generally prefer to avoid confrontation, even when you disagree. A lower Approachability score would suggest you may find constant interaction with others to be draining, especially when interacting with others that are highly opinionated. You may need time to yourself to recharge. A higher Approachability score would suggest you really enjoy spending time being around others, but are not likely to dominate the discussion.

3

Assertiveness: cautious vs. socially bold

Non-confrontational,
hesitant, indecisive



Opinionated, decisive,
competitive, overbearing

People who fall within this range of the Assertiveness scale may be seen as:

- Favoring synergistic instead of aggressive situations
- Willing to go along with the group
- Unlikely to offend others or appear aggressive
- Wary and astute in gatherings, reluctant to express contradiction
- Liking to keep quiet about contemplations and assessments
- Indecisive or hesitant to make decisions that affect others
- Giving in to others too easily

4

Approachability: reserved vs. outgoing

Quiet, unassuming, private



Talkative, friendly, attention
seeking

People who fall within this range of the Approachability scale may be seen as:

- Typically outgoing in social situations
- Excited or even goofy now and again, however more earnest at other times
- Comfortable working independently, but also enjoys social interaction at work
- Opting toward not being the focal point of consideration much of the time, however getting a charge out of it infrequently
- Socially confident in most situations but sometimes more reserved when interacting with people they do not know well

Emotional Style

3.0

Summary

Emotional Style describes how you experience and react to feelings and your degree of self-awareness. Your results suggest you tend to experience feelings intensely and can become apprehensive in stressful situations; however, you listen to feedback and are attuned to others' emotional states. A lower Positivity score would suggest you tend to expect the worst when facing stress. A higher Positivity score would suggest that while you can be reactive, you tend to believe things will work out well in the long run. You should consider how your degree of Awareness may help or hinder your approach to intense or frustrating situations.

2

Self-Control: passionate vs. calm

Passionate, intense, reactive, moody



Stable, poised, emotionless

People who fall within this range of the Self-Control scale may be seen as:

- Transparently showing enthusiasm, fervor, and vigor
- Responsive, easy to read, and in touch with feelings and emotions
- Short-tempered and irritable
- Effortlessly irritated or annoyed
- Edgy, responsive, and capricious under pressure

4

Positivity: concerned vs. hopeful

Realistic, nervous, selfcritical



Resilient, cheerful, overly optimistic

People who fall within this range of the Positivity scale may be seen as:

- Aware of both the positive and negative aspects of people and situations
- Generally optimistic and hopeful about the future, but also realistic
- Usually realistic and accurate when making promises
- Ready to defeat negative emotions and worries with backing from others
- Often resilient in the face of setbacks, but can become anxious and self-critical when under stress

3

Self-Awareness: insulated vs. self-aware

Unconcerned with criticism, resistant to feedback



Reflective, open to feedback, overly concerned with criticism

People who fall within this range of the Self-Awareness scale may be seen as:

- Willing to act free from concerns about what others think
- Insulated against unfair or inaccurate criticism
- Not actively seeking and often discounting feedback
- Not often considering how their behavior and decisions impact others
- Possessing little insight of individual qualities and shortcomings

Teamwork Style

2.7

Summary

Teamwork Style is a broad assessment of agreeableness that describes how you approach relationships and how focused you are on the needs of others. Your results suggest you tend to prefer relying on yourself rather than trusting or accommodating others. A lower Compassion score would suggest, you may at times be perceived as overly direct or unsympathetic toward people that you don't trust. A higher Compassion score, however, would suggest that while you may be reluctant to fully accommodate others' needs, you tend to be understanding of them. Finally, consider how your degree of Humility may impact how coworkers perceive you.

1

Team Orientation: independent vs. team-oriented

Self-reliant, independent, skeptical



Team-oriented, accommodating, overly trusting

People who fall within this range of the Team Orientation scale may be seen as:

- Enjoying self-driven work, preferring to work independently
- Unrealistic to be exploited by others
- Preferring to solve own problems rather than asking for help
- Skeptical of others and their motives, hesitant to trust
- Now and again, abrasive, fretful, and argumentative

3

Compassion: stoic vs. compassionate

Stoic, direct, unsentimental



Warmhearted, empathetic, unwilling to be tough

People who fall within this range of the Compassion scale may be seen as:

- Comfortable giving feedback and making difficult people decisions
- Unaffected by attempts to invoke feelings
- For the most part uninterested in comprehension of reasons for individuals' conduct
- Generally unsympathetic to the misfortunes of others
- Selective with praise and recognition

4

Humility: proud vs. humble

Boastful, shrewd, status-focused



Modest, genuine, selfeffacing

People who fall within this range of the Humility scale may be seen as:

- Powerful at endorsing for their own particular involvements when essential
- Interested in achieving status to the same degree as most people
- Takes pride in accomplishments and triumphs, yet readily imparts credit to others
- For the most part modest, yet once in a while boasting when confronting rivalry
- Truthful and genuine by and large