```
IDENTITIES VIA IDEMPOTENT EQUIVALENCES
                    complementing the paper
   Internal \infty-Categorical Models of Dependent Type Theory
Summary. The standard definition of a semicategory consists
of objects, morphisms, composition, and associativity. How
can we say that a given semicategory has identities, i.e. is
a category? The standard answer is to say that the
semicategory has identities which satisfy left-neutrality and
right-neutrality.
In this short note, I present another definition of the
statement "has-identities" (a.k.a. "is-a-category").
The motivation is that I want "is-a-category" to be a
*property* of a semicategory rather than *data*, i.e. I want
it to be a proposition a.k.a. proof-irrelevant. For a semi-
category where the morphisms form a set, this is already the
case for the standard answer above. However, without this
additional assumption, the standard answer is data.
My new definition of "is-a-category" is "for every object x,
there is an endomorphism i \in Hom(x,x) such that i is
an idempotent equivalence". This Agda file shows that this
is a propositional property. I also show below that a semi-
category has idempotent equivalences if and only if it has
standard identities.
The Agda code can be type-checked with Agda 2.6.1 and makes
use of the library HoTT-Agda, using Andrew Swan's fork that
is 2.6.1-compatible:
https://github.com/awswan/HoTT-Agda/tree/agda-2.6.1-compatible
- }
{-# OPTIONS --without-K #-}
module Identities where
open import HoTT public
open import Iff
{- A *wild semicategory*, sometimes also known as a *wild
    semigroupoid*, consists of:
      - Ob: a type of objects;
      - Hom: a type family of morphisms (for increased
        generality possibly in another universe than Ob, but
         it doesn't matter);
    - and an associative binary operation \_ \bullet \_. This is of course just a "category without identities".
    Note that we do *NOT* ask for set-truncation; Ob and Hom
    are arbitrary types/type families!
record SemiCategory j1 j2 : Type (lsucc (lmax j1 j2)) where
  infixr 40 _<_
  field
    Ob : Type jı
    \text{Hom} : \text{Ob} \rightarrow \text{Ob} \rightarrow \text{Type j2}
     _{\bullet}: \forall {x y z} \rightarrow Hom y z \rightarrow Hom x y \rightarrow Hom x z
    \overline{ass}: \forall \{x \ y \ z \ w\} \{f : Hom z \ w\} \{g : Hom y z\} \{h : Hom x y\}
        \rightarrow (f \diamond g) \diamond h == f \diamond (g \diamond h)
\{\mbox{-}\mbox{ For the rest of this file, we assume that C is a given }
    fixed wild semicategory. We work inside a module which
    fixes C.
module _ {ji j2} (C : SemiCategory ji j2) where
  open SemiCategory C
  j = lmax j_1 j_2
  {- A "standard identity" is a morphism which is left and
     right neutral. This is the normal, well-known definition.
           {y : Ob} (i : Hom y y) where
  module
    is-left-neutral = \{x : Ob\}\ (f : Hom \times y) \rightarrow i \diamond f == f
    is-right-neutral = \{z : Ob\}\ (g : Hom \ y \ z) \rightarrow g \diamond i == g
    is-standard-id = is-left-neutral × is-right-neutral
  {- We say that a semicategory (here, the semicategory C) is a *standard category* if every object comes with
      a morphism which is left- and right-neutral. This is
      the usual definition of what it means to "have
      identities". -}
```

is-standard-category = $(x : Ob) \rightarrow \Sigma$ (Hom x x) is-standard-id

```
standard identities" is not a propositional property:
   it is structure, i.e. C can have standard identities in
   multiple different ways. This makes "having standard
   identities" ill-behaved, as demonstrated in the paper
   (see Example 9, initial model in the wild/incoherent
   setting).
   We now develop an alternative, and better, definition
   of identities, namely *idempotent equivalences*, and
   this will lead to a propositional property.
\texttt{is-idpt} \; : \; \{\, \texttt{x} \; : \; \mathsf{Ob} \,\} \; \; (\, \texttt{f} \; : \; \mathsf{Hom} \; \; \texttt{x} \; \; \texttt{x}) \; \to \; \mathsf{Type} \; \; \mathsf{j} \, \mathsf{z}
is-idpt f = f \diamond f == f
{- Note: `is-idpt f` a.k.a. "f is idempotent" is not
   necessarily a proposition (as 'Hom \times \times' might not be a
   set). -}
is-eqv : \{x y : Ob\} (g : Hom x y) \rightarrow Type j
is-eqv {x} {y} g = ((z : Ob) \rightarrow is-equiv \lambda (h : Hom y z) \rightarrow h \diamond g)
 \times ((w : Ob) \rightarrow is-equiv \lambda (f : Hom w x) \rightarrow g \diamond f)
{- Note: `is-eqv g` a.k.a. "g is an equivalence" is a
  proposition. This is automatic, as `is-equiv` (the
   analogous property for types) is a proposition. -}
is-idpt+eqv : \{x : Ob\} (i : Hom x x) \rightarrow Type j
is-idpt+eqv i = is-idpt i × is-eqv i
{\hbox{\it E.g.}} in the wild semicategory of types and functions,
   the identity on the circle S1 is an idempotent
   equivalence in Z-many ways. -}
{- I define "being a good category" as a property of a
   semicategory. The property states that each object
   comes with an idempotent equivalence. -}
is-good-category = (x : Ob) \rightarrow \Sigma (Hom x x) is-idpt+eqv
{- Note: "being a category" a.k.a. "having idempotent
   equivalences" *is* a proposition, but this is not
   trivial. The main goal of the current file is to prove
   this result.
   First, we show that an idempotent equivalence is also a
   standard identity. -}
module idpt+eqv \rightarrow std \{y : Ob\} (i : Hom y y) (idpt+eqv : is-idpt+eqv i) where
  idpt = fst idpt+eqv
  eqv = snd idpt+eqv
  {- The idempotent equivalence i is left neutral: -}
  left-neutral : is-left-neutral i
  left-neutral f = w/o-i
    where
       with-i : i \diamond (i \diamond f) == i \diamond f
       with-i =
        i ♦ (i ♦ f)
           =( ! ass )
          (i ♦ i) ♦ f
           =( ap (\lambda g \rightarrow g \diamond f) idpt)
         i ♦ f
            -1
       w/o-i : i \diamond f == f
       w/o-i = is-equiv.q
                   (ap-is-equiv \{f = \lambda g \rightarrow i \diamond g\} (snd eqv) (i \diamond f) f)
                   with-i
  {- The proof of right neutrality is completely symmetric
      to the above. Here is the shortened version: -}
  right-neutral : is-right-neutral i
  right-neutral g =
    is-equiv.q
       (ap-is-equiv (fst eqv _) (g ❖ i) g)
       (ass · ap (\lambda f \rightarrow g • f) idpt)
{- The above shows that an idempotent equivalence is a
   standard equivalence. A "summary statement" will be given
   later.
```

{- The problem with these identities is that "having

```
We start the opposite direction with very simple
    observation: Any left-neutral endomorphism is idempotent. -}
\texttt{left-neutral} \rightarrow \texttt{idempotent} \; : \; \forall \{y\} \; \; (\texttt{f} \; : \; \texttt{Hom} \; \; \texttt{y} \; \; \texttt{y}) \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{is-left-neutral} \; \; \texttt{f} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{is-idpt} \; \; \texttt{f}
left-neutral→idempotent f l-ntrl = l-ntrl f
{- Of course, the same is true for right-neutral
    endomorphisms, but the above suffices for us.
    We are now ready to prove that a standard identity is an
    idempotent equivalence. -}
module std→idpt+eqv {y : Ob} (i : Hom y y) (std-id : is-standard-id i) where
  l-ntrl = fst std-id
  r-ntrl = snd std-id
  eqv : is-eqv i
  eqv = (\lambda z \rightarrow is-eq (\lambda q \rightarrow q \diamond i) (\lambda h \rightarrow h) r-ntrl r-ntrl)
           \lambda \times \rightarrow is-eq (\lambda f \rightarrow i \diamond f) (\lambda h \rightarrow h) l-ntrl l-ntrl
  idpt : is-idpt i
  idpt = left-neutral→idempotent i l-ntrl
{- "Summary statement":
   We now have everything in place to state Lemma TODO (15?) of
    the paper. An endomorphism `i` is an idempotent equivalence
    if and only if it is a standard identity. -}
idpt+eqv \Leftrightarrow std : \forall \{y\} \rightarrow (i : Hom y y) \rightarrow is-idpt+eqv i \Leftrightarrow is-standard-id i
idpt+eqv \Leftrightarrow std \ i = (\Rightarrow , \Leftarrow)
  where
     \Rightarrow : is-idpt+eqv i \rightarrow is-standard-id i
     \Rightarrow p = idpt+eqv\rightarrowstd.left-neutral i p , idpt+eqv\rightarrowstd.right-neutral i p
     ← : is-standard-id i → is-idpt+eqv i
     ← p = std→idpt+eqv.idpt i p , std→idpt+eqv.eqv i p
{- This implies that any two idempotent equivalences are equal. -}
idpt+eqv-unique : \forall \{y\} \rightarrow (ii iz : Hom y y) \rightarrow
                         is-idpt+eqv i₁ → is-idpt+eqv i₂ → i₁ == i₂
idpt+eqv-unique i1 i2 p1 p2 =
     =( ! (idpt+eqv-std.right-neutral i2 p2 i1) )
   iı ♦ i₂
    =( idpt+eqv→std.left-neutral i1 p1 i2 )
  i 2
{- A useful property is 2-out-of-3 for equivalences:
    If we have g \circ f == h and two out of the three maps
    {f, g, h} are equivalences, then so is the third.
    Here, we only show (and need) one instance, namely
    that it suffices if g and h are equivalences.
    This is easy but a bit tedious. -}
eqv-2-out-of-3 : \forall \{w \times y\} (f : Hom w x) (g : Hom x y)
   \rightarrow is-eqv g \rightarrow is-eqv (g \diamond f) \rightarrow is-eqv f
eqv-2-out-of-3 \{w\} \{x\} \{y\} f g (p1, p2) (q1, q2) =
   (\lambda \_ \rightarrow - \diamond f - is - eqv)
   (\lambda _{-} \rightarrow f \diamond -is-eqv)
     where
     {- first part -}
     - \diamond f : \{ y : Ob \} \rightarrow Hom \ x \ y \rightarrow Hom \ w \ y
     -\diamond f = \lambda h \rightarrow h \diamond f
     -\diamond g^{-1} : {z : Ob} \rightarrow Hom x z \rightarrow Hom y z
     -•g<sup>-1</sup> = is-equiv.g (p1 _)
     -\diamond gf : \{z : Ob\} \rightarrow Hom \ y \ z \rightarrow Hom \ w \ z-\diamond gf = \lambda \ h \rightarrow h \ \diamond \ (g \ \diamond \ f)
     eq': \forall \{z\} \rightarrow -\diamond gf \{z\} \circ -\diamond g^{-1} == -\diamond f \{z\}
     eq' \{z\} = \lambda = (\lambda h \rightarrow
        (-⋄g<sup>-1</sup> h) ⋄ (g ⋄ f)
          =( ! ass )
        ((-\diamond g^{-1} h) \diamond g) \diamond f
          =( ap (\lambda k \rightarrow k \diamond f) (is-equiv.f-g (p1 _) _)
        h ♦ f
          -1
     -\diamond q^{-1}-equiv : \forall \{z\} \rightarrow \text{is-equiv } (-\diamond q^{-1} \{z\})
     -\phi g^{-1}-equiv = is-equiv-inverse (p1 _)
     -•f-is-eqv {z} = transport is-equiv eq' (q1 _ •ise -•g-1-equiv)
     {- second part -}
```

```
f \diamond - = \lambda h \rightarrow f \diamond h
     g^{-1} \diamond - : \{v : Ob\} \rightarrow Hom \ v \ y \rightarrow Hom \ v \ x
     g^{-1} \diamond - = is-equiv.g \{f = \lambda h \rightarrow g \diamond h\} (p2 )
     gf \diamond - : \{v : Ob\} \rightarrow Hom \ v \ w \rightarrow Hom \ v \ y
     gf \diamond - = \lambda h \rightarrow (g \diamond f) \diamond h
     eq : \forall \{v\} \rightarrow g^{-1} \diamond - \{v\} \circ gf \diamond - == f \diamond - \{v\}
     eq \{v\} = \lambda = (\lambda h \rightarrow
        (g^{-1} \diamond - \circ gf \diamond -) h
          =( idp )
        g^{-1} \diamond - ((g \diamond f) \diamond h)
          =( ap g^{-1} \diamond - ass)
        g^{-1} \diamond - (g \diamond (f \diamond h))
          =( is-equiv.g-f (p2 _) (f • h) )
        (f 💠 h)
          =( idp )
        f◊- h
          -
     g^{-1} \diamond - \texttt{equiv} \ : \ \forall \{ \, v \, \} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{is-equiv} \ (g^{-1} \diamond - \ \{ \, v \, \} \, )
     g^{-1} \diamond -equiv \{v\} = is-equiv-inverse (p2 _)
      f \diamond -is - eqv : \forall \{v\} \rightarrow is - equiv (f \diamond - \{v\})
     f - is - eqv \{v\} = transport is - equiv eq (g^{-1} - equiv o ise q_2 _)
{- Given an equivalence, we can define an idempotent
    equivalence. This construction was already presented in
    "Higher Univalent Categories via Complete Semi-Segal Types"
    (Capriotti-Kraus, POPL'18) and is motivated by work of
    Harpaz and Lurie in higher dimensional category theory. -}
module I {y z} (e : Hom y z) (p : is-eqv e) where
  e^{\text{-l}\, \diamondsuit \text{--}} \ : \ \forall \{\, \mathbf{x}\,\} \ \to \ \text{Hom} \ \mathbf{x} \ z \ \to \ \text{Hom} \ \mathbf{x} \ y
  e^{-1} \diamond - = is-equiv.g (snd p _)
  e^{-}: \forall \{x\} \rightarrow \text{Hom } x y \rightarrow \text{Hom } x z
  e⋄- = _⋄_ e
  I : Hom y y
  I = e<sup>-1</sup>♦- e
  {- In comments, we write I(e) for the I constructed above
      (e is a module parameter).
      It is easy to see that I(e) is right neutral for e: -}
  e◊I : e ◊ I == e
  e > I = is-equiv.f-g (snd p _) e
   {- Also easy (but more work):
       I is left neutral in general. -}
  1-ntrl : is-left-neutral I
   1-ntrl f =
     I ♦ f
       =( ! (is-equiv.g-f (snd p _) _) )
     e<sup>-1</sup>♦- (e♦- (I ♦ f))
       =( ap e<sup>-1</sup> <- (! ass) )
     e<sup>-1</sup> \langle - ((e \langle I) \langle f)
       =( ap (\lambda g \rightarrow e^{-1} \diamond - (g \diamond f)) e \diamond I)
     e<sup>-1</sup>♦- (e ♦ f)
       =( is-equiv.g-f (snd p _) _ )
     f
  {- We do not need the other analogous properties. This
      is enough to see that I is an idempotent equivalence. -}
   I-is-idpt+eqv : is-idpt+eqv I
  I-is-idpt+eqv = left-neutral→idempotent
     I 1-ntrl ,
     eqv-2-out-of-3 I e p (transport is-eqv (! e\diamondI) p)
{- If an endomorphism e is an equivalence, then it is
    idempotent if and only if it is equal to I(e); and
    this connection even forms an equivalence of types
module e-vs-I {y : Ob} (e : Hom y y) (p : is-eqv e) where
  e-I-idpt : (e == I e p) \simeq is-idpt e
  e-I-idpt =
     e == I e p
      ~( ap-equiv (e♦- e p , snd p _) e (I e p) )
     e • e == e • I e p
        \simeq( transport (\lambda expr \rightarrow
```

 $f^{-}: \{v : Ob\} \rightarrow Hom \ v \ w \rightarrow Hom \ v \ x$

```
(e \diamond e == e \diamond I e p) \simeq (e \diamond e == expr)) (e \diamond I e p) (ide ) )
              e • e == e
                 ≃( ide _ )
              is-idpt e
                   ~
     (- Finally, put all the previous lemmas together to show that
            the type of idempotent equivalences is a proposition. We do
            this by showing that, if we assume that this type has one
            element io, then io is the only element. -}
    module unique (y : Ob) (io : Hom y y) (idpt+eqvo : is-idpt+eqv io) where
         open J
         open e-vs-I
         idpt0 = fst idpt+eqv0
         eqvo = snd idpt+eqvo
         \{\mbox{-}\mbox{Using a chain of equivalences, we show that the type}
                 of idempotent equivalences is trivial (given one
                 single idempotent equivalence, see the module
                parameter). -}
         idpt-to-=io =
              \Sigma (Hom y y) (\lambda i \rightarrow is-idpt+eqv i)
              \simeq ( ide {i = j} _ )

\Sigma (Hom y y) (\lambda i \rightarrow is-idpt i \times is-eqv i)
                  \simeq ( \Sigma-emap-r (\lambda i \rightarrow \times-comm) )
              \Sigma \ (\stackrel{\cdot}{\text{Hom}} \ y \ \stackrel{\cdot}{y}) \ (\lambda \ i \ \rightarrow \ \Sigma \ (\text{is-eqv i}) \ \lambda \ \text{eqv} \ \rightarrow \ (\text{is-idpt i}))
                   \simeq( \Sigma-emap-r (\lambda i \rightarrow \Sigma-emap-r \lambda eqv \rightarrow e-I-idpt i eqv ^{-1}) )
              \Sigma (Hom y y) (\lambda i \rightarrow \Sigma (is-eqv i) \lambda eqv \rightarrow i == I i eqv)
                   \cong ( \Sigma - emap - r \ (\lambda \ i \rightarrow \Sigma - emap - r \ \lambda \ p \rightarrow coe - equiv \ (ap \ (\lambda \ i' \rightarrow (i == i')) \ (
                                        idpt+eqv-unique (I i p) io (I-is-idpt+eqv i p) idpt+eqvo))) )
              \Sigma \text{ (Hom y y) } (\lambda \text{ i} \rightarrow \Sigma \text{ (is-eqv i) } \lambda \text{ \_} \rightarrow \text{ i == i0)}
                  \simeq (\Sigma - emap - r (\lambda i \rightarrow \times - comm))
              \Sigma (Hom y y) (\lambda i \rightarrow \Sigma (i == ie) \lambda \_ \rightarrow (is-eqv i)) \simeq ( \Sigma\text{-assoc}^{-1} )
               (\Sigma \ (\Sigma \ (\text{\texttt{Hom}} \ y \ y) \ (\lambda \ i \ \rightarrow \ (i \ \text{\texttt{==}} \ i_0))) \ \lambda \ ip \ \rightarrow \ (is\text{-eqv} \ (\text{\texttt{fst}} \ ip)))
                   \simeq( \Sigma-emap-1 {A = Unit}
                                               \{B = \Sigma \ (Hom \ y \ y) \ \lambda \ i \rightarrow (i == io)\}
                                                (\lambda \text{ ip} \rightarrow (\text{is-eqv } (\text{fst ip})))
                                                (contr-equiv-Unit (pathto-is-contr ie) -1) -1 )
              (\Sigma \text{ Unit } \lambda)
                                         → is-eqv io)
                  \simeq (\Sigma_1 - U_{nit})
              is-eqv io
                  ≃( contr-equiv-Unit (inhab-prop-is-contr eqv₀) )
              Unit
                  ~
          \{\mbox{- In other words, the type of idempotent equivalences}
                is contractible. -}
         unique-idpt+eqv : is-contr (\Sigma (Hom y y) (\lambda i \rightarrow is-idpt+eqv i))
         unique-idpt+eqv = equiv-preserves-level (idpt-to-=io -1)
{ -
                                                      THEOREMS
      With the help of the above lemmas, we show our main
       results:
       (1) A semicategory is a good category if and only if
                 it is a standard category.
       (2) "Being a good category" is a propositional (proof-
                 irrelevant) property of a semicategory.
\texttt{good-iff-standard} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{j1} \;\; \texttt{j2}\} \;\; (\texttt{C} \; : \; \texttt{SemiCategory} \;\; \texttt{j1} \;\; \texttt{j2}) \;\; \rightarrow \;\;
                                               is-good-category C ⇔ is-standard-category C
good-iff-standard C = \Rightarrow , \leftarrow
    where
    \Rightarrow : is-good-category C \rightarrow is-standard-category C
    ⇒ igc x = fst (igc x), fst (idpt+eqv⇔std C_) (snd (igc x)) 
 \Leftarrow : is-standard-category C → is-good-category C
    \leftarrow isc x = fst (isc x) , snd (idpt+eqv\Leftrightarrowstd C ) (snd (isc x))
\texttt{goodness-is-prop} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{j1} \; \texttt{j2}\} \; \; (\texttt{C} \; : \; \texttt{SemiCategory} \; \texttt{j1} \; \; \texttt{j2}) \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{is-prop} \; \; (\texttt{is-good-category} \; \texttt{C})
goodness-is-prop C = inhab-to-contr-is-prop λ idpt+eqvs →
     \label{eq:weak-newtweak-lambda} \mbox{WeakFunext.weak-} \mbox{$\lambda$ = $\lambda$ y $\rightarrow$ unique.unique-idpt+eqv C y (fst(idpt+eqvs y)) (snd(idpt+eqvs y)) } \mbox{$(snd(idpt+eqvs y))$ is the same of the same
```